

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = American Military Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = AMS 341 001L1 LEADERSHP & MANAGEMNT II

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Dotson, Anthony

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	7	100.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	7	100.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	42.9
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	2	28.6
Required by my major	2	28.6	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	5	71.4	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	2	28.6

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	7	0.0	14.3	71.4	14.3	.	3.0	3.4	-0.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	.	3.4	3.2	0.2
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
4. Exams reflected what was taught	7	0.0	0.0	85.7	14.3	.	3.1	3.3	-0.2
5. Grading was fair and consistent	7	0.0	0.0	85.7	14.3	.	3.1	3.3	-0.2
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	.	3.3	3.4	-0.1
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	7	0.0	14.3	57.1	28.6	.	3.1	3.4	-0.3
8. Graded assignments included comments	7	0.0	14.3	42.9	42.9	.	3.3	3.1	0.2

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	7	0.0	28.6	42.9	28.6	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.6	0.0
11. Was available for consultation	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	.	3.3	3.5	-0.2
12. Satisfact answered class questions	7	0.0	0.0	85.7	14.3	.	3.1	3.4	-0.3
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	7	0.0	14.3	71.4	14.3	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
14. Encouraged class participation	7	0.0	14.3	57.1	28.6	.	3.1	3.3	-0.2

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	.	3.3	3.2	0.1
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	.	3.3	3.2	0.1
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	.	3.3	3.1	0.2
18. Gained undrstd of concepts & prin.	7	0.0	0.0	85.7	14.3	.	3.1	3.3	-0.2
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	7	0.0	0.0	85.7	14.3	.	3.1	2.9	0.2

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	7	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	.	2.9	3.2	-0.3
21. Overall quality of teaching	7	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	.	2.7	3.3	-0.6

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = American Military Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = AMS 341 001L1 LEADERSHP & MANAGEMNT II

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Dotson, Anthony

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 7

Number of forms scanned = 7

Number of forms with at least one student response = 7

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = American Military Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = AMS 101 001L1 INTRO TO THE ARMY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Rush, Michael L.

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	15	68.2	A	16	72.7
Sophomore	3	13.6	B	5	22.7
Junior	1	4.5	C	0	0.0
Senior	3	13.6	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	4.5

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	9	40.9
Studies Program	1	4.5	2 hours	9	40.9
Required by my major	1	4.5	3 hours	3	13.6
Other (e.g. elective)	20	90.9	4 - 5 hours	1	4.5
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	23	0.0	0.0	26.1	73.9	0.45	3.7	3.4	0.3
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	23	4.3	21.7	30.4	43.5	0.92	3.1	3.2	-0.1
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	23	0.0	4.3	34.8	60.9	0.59	3.6	3.3	0.3
4. Exams reflected what was taught	23	0.0	0.0	17.4	82.6	0.39	3.8	3.3	0.5
5. Grading was fair and consistent	23	0.0	0.0	8.7	91.3	0.29	3.9	3.3	0.6
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	23	0.0	0.0	21.7	78.3	0.42	3.8	3.4	0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	23	0.0	0.0	13.0	87.0	0.34	3.9	3.4	0.5
8. Graded assignments included comments	23	0.0	8.7	26.1	65.2	0.66	3.6	3.1	0.5

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	23	0.0	0.0	4.3	95.7	0.21	4.0	3.3	0.7
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	23	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.00	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	23	0.0	0.0	21.7	78.3	0.42	3.8	3.5	0.3
12. Satisfact answered class questions	23	0.0	0.0	8.7	91.3	0.29	3.9	3.4	0.5
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	23	0.0	0.0	8.7	91.3	0.29	3.9	3.1	0.8
14. Encouraged class participation	23	0.0	0.0	8.7	91.3	0.29	3.9	3.3	0.6

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	23	0.0	4.3	26.1	69.6	0.57	3.7	3.2	0.5
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	23	0.0	4.3	26.1	69.6	0.57	3.7	3.2	0.5
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	23	0.0	0.0	30.4	69.6	0.47	3.7	3.1	0.6
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	23	0.0	0.0	17.4	82.6	0.39	3.8	3.3	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	23	0.0	4.3	21.7	73.9	0.56	3.7	2.9	0.8

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	23	0.0	0.0	4.3	95.7	0.21	4.0	3.2	0.8
21. Overall quality of teaching	23	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.00	4.0	3.3	0.7

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = American Military Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = AMS 101 001L1 INTRO TO THE ARMY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Rush, Michael L.

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 24

Number of forms scanned = 23

Number of forms with at least one student response = 23

Percent completed = 95.8 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = American Military Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = AMS 101 002L1 INTRO TO THE ARMY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Rush, Michael L.

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	5	71.4	A	3	42.9
Sophomore	2	28.6	B	4	57.1
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	42.9
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	3	42.9
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	7	100.0	4 - 5 hours	1	14.3
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	7	0.0	14.3	42.9	42.9	.	3.3	3.2	0.1
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
4. Exams reflected what was taught	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
5. Grading was fair and consistent	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
8. Graded assignments included comments	7	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	.	3.4	3.1	0.3

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.5	0.2
12. Satisfact answered class questions	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.1	0.6
14. Encouraged class participation	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.3	0.3

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.2	0.4
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.2	0.4
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.1	0.5
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	7	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	.	3.4	3.3	0.1
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	.	3.4	2.9	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.2	0.7
21. Overall quality of teaching	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = American Military Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = AMS 101 002L1 INTRO TO THE ARMY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Rush, Michael L.

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 8

Number of forms scanned = 8

Number of forms with at least one student response = 7

Percent completed = 87.5 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = American Military Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = AMS 301 001L1 LEADERSHP & MANAGEMNT I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Stoehr, Roy W

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	5	100.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	5	100.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	60.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	2	40.0
Required by my major	2	40.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	3	60.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	.	3.6	3.4	0.2
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	.	3.4	3.2	0.2
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	.	3.2	3.3	-0.1
4. Exams reflected what was taught	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
5. Grading was fair and consistent	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
8. Graded assignments included comments	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.1	0.7

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
12. Satisfact answered class questions	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
14. Encouraged class participation	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	2.9	1.1

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
21. Overall quality of teaching	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = American Military Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = AMS 301 001L1 LEADERSHP & MANAGEMNT I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Stoehr, Roy W

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 9

Number of forms scanned = 5

Number of forms with at least one student response = 5

Percent completed = 55.6 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = American Military Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = AMS 301 002L1 LEADERSHP & MANAGEMNT I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Stoehr, Roy W

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	3	100.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	3	100.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	2	66.7
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	1	50.0	3 hours	1	33.3
Other (e.g. elective)	1	50.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.4	-0.1
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.2	0.1
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
4. Exams reflected what was taught	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
5. Grading was fair and consistent	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.4	-0.1
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.4	0.3
8. Graded assignments included comments	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.1	0.2

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
12. Satisfact answered class questions	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.4	0.3
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
14. Encouraged class participation	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	3	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	.	2.3	3.2	-0.9
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.2	0.1
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.1	0.2
18. Gained undrstdn of concepts & prin.	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	2.9	0.8

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
21. Overall quality of teaching	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = American Military Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = AMS 301 002L1 LEADERSHP & MANAGEMNT I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Stoehr, Roy W

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 4

Number of forms scanned = 3

Number of forms with at least one student response = 3

Percent completed = 75.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = American Military Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = AMS 211 001L1 ADVANCED LEADERSHIP I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Stoker, Patrick D

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	8	100.0
Sophomore	7	87.5	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	1	12.5	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	7	87.5
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	12.5
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	7	100.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
4. Exams reflected what was taught	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
5. Grading was fair and consistent	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
8. Graded assignments included comments	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.1	0.7

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.6	0.3
11. Was available for consultation	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.5	0.4
12. Satisfact answered class questions	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.1	0.8
14. Encouraged class participation	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.1	0.8
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	2.9	0.9

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.2	0.7
21. Overall quality of teaching	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = American Military Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = AMS 211 001L1 ADVANCED LEADERSHIP I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Stoker, Patrick D

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	----- % answering item -----					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 11  
 Number of forms scanned = 11  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 8  
 Percent completed = 72.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = American Military Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = AMS 211 002L1 ADVANCED LEADERSHIP I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Stoker, Patrick D

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	4	80.0
Sophomore	4	80.0	B	1	20.0
Junior	1	20.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University Studies Program	0	0.0	1 hour or less	3	60.0
			2 hours	1	20.0
Required by my major	1	20.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	4	80.0	4 - 5 hours	1	20.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	6	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	.	3.2	3.2	0.0
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	6	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
4. Exams reflected what was taught	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
5. Grading was fair and consistent	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	6	0.0	16.7	16.7	66.7	.	3.5	3.4	0.1
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.4	0.3
8. Graded assignments included comments	6	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	.	3.2	3.1	0.1

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.6	0.2
11. Was available for consultation	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.5	0.3
12. Satisfact answered class questions	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	6	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.1	0.4
14. Encouraged class participation	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	.	3.4	3.2	0.2
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	.	3.6	3.2	0.4
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	.	3.6	3.1	0.5
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	.	3.6	2.9	0.7

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	6	0.0	16.7	16.7	66.7	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
21. Overall quality of teaching	6	0.0	16.7	16.7	66.7	.	3.5	3.3	0.2

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = American Military Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = AMS 211 002L1 ADVANCED LEADERSHIP I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Stoker, Patrick D

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 7

Number of forms scanned = 6

Number of forms with at least one student response = 6

Percent completed = 85.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.