

SPRING 2003 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Aerospace Studies DEPARTMENT = ROTC  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = AFS 213 002L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES II  
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Chamberlin, Mark

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	6	75.0
Sophomore	8	100.0	B	2	25.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

  

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	6	75.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	12.5
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	8	100.0	4 - 5 hours	1	12.5
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
--	---	----------------------------------	----------------------	-------------------	-------------------------------	------	----

## SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	8	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	.

## SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
11. Was available for consultation	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	.
14. Encouraged class participation	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	.

## SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	8	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	.

	N	% Poor (1)	% Fair (2)	% Good (3)	% Excellent (4)	Mean	SD
--	---	------------------	------------------	------------------	-----------------------	------	----

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	.

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
--	---	----------------------------------	----------------------	-------------------	-------------------------------	------	----

SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

	N	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	Mean	SD
--	---	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------	----

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS  
 \*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*  
 Number of forms preslugged = 9  
 Number of forms scanned = 8  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 8  
 Percent completed = 88.9 %  
 For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at [www.uky.edu/IR/tce.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/tce.html).

SPRING 2003 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Aerospace Studies DEPARTMENT = ROTC  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = AFS 113 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES I  
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Grimes, Paul D

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	12	92.3	A	9	69.2
Sophomore	1	7.7	B	4	30.8
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

  

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	6	46.2
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	3	23.1
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	2	15.4
Other (e.g. elective)	13	100.0	4 - 5 hours	1	7.7
			6 - 7 hours	1	7.7
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
--	---	-------------------------	----------------	-------------	----------------------	------	----

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	3.8	0.38
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	13	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	3.8	0.44
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	13	0.0	0.0	61.5	38.5	3.4	0.51
4. Exams reflected what was taught	13	0.0	0.0	46.2	53.8	3.5	0.52
5. Grading was fair and consistent	13	0.0	0.0	46.2	53.8	3.5	0.52
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	13	0.0	0.0	38.5	61.5	3.6	0.51
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	13	0.0	0.0	53.8	46.2	3.5	0.52
8. Graded assignments included comments	12	0.0	8.3	50.0	41.7	3.3	0.65

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	13	0.0	0.0	46.2	53.8	3.5	0.52
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	13	0.0	0.0	30.8	69.2	3.7	0.48
11. Was available for consultation	13	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	3.8	0.44
12. Satisfied answered class questions	13	0.0	0.0	30.8	69.2	3.7	0.48
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	13	7.7	0.0	30.8	61.5	3.5	0.88
14. Encouraged class participation	13	0.0	0.0	46.2	53.8	3.5	0.52

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	13	0.0	7.7	46.2	46.2	3.4	0.65
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	13	0.0	7.7	46.2	46.2	3.4	0.65
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	13	0.0	7.7	46.2	46.2	3.4	0.65
18. Gained undrstd of concepts & prin.	13	0.0	0.0	38.5	61.5	3.6	0.51
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	13	0.0	7.7	38.5	53.8	3.5	0.66

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	13	0.0	7.7	7.7	84.6	3.8	0.60
21. Overall quality of teaching	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	3.8	0.38

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
--	---	-------------------------	----------------	-------------	----------------------	------	----

SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY

22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	3	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	3	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	3	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	3	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	3	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.

SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY

27. Feedback on oral presentations	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
28. Guided student report preparation	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
29. Students free to express opinions	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
31. Developed abil to conduct research	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.

SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY

32. Clarified lecture material	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
33. Amount of work appropriate	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
34. Instructor explained expectations	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
35. Instructor helped with my problems	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.

	N	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	Mean	SD
--	---	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------	----

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 13  
 Number of forms scanned = 13  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 13  
 Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at [www.uky.edu/IR/tce.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/tce.html).

SPRING 2003 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Aerospace Studies DEPARTMENT = ROTC  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = AFS 113 002L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES I  
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Grimes, Paul D

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	11	100.0	A	5	45.5
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	5	45.5
Junior	0	0.0	C	1	9.1
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0
Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	6	54.5
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	3	27.3
Required by my major	1	9.1	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	10	90.9	4 - 5 hours	1	9.1
			6 - 7 hours	1	9.1
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
--	---	-------------------------	----------------	-------------	----------------------	------	----

## SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	12	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	0.49
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	12	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	3.8	0.39
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	12	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.52
4. Exams reflected what was taught	12	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	3.6	0.51
5. Grading was fair and consistent	12	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	0.49
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	12	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	0.49
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	12	0.0	0.0	58.3	41.7	3.4	0.51
8. Graded assignments included comments	11	0.0	9.1	54.5	36.4	3.3	0.65

## SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	12	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	3.6	0.51
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	12	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	3.9	0.29
11. Was available for consultation	12	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	0.49
12. Satisfied answered class questions	12	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	0.45
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	12	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	3.8	0.39
14. Encouraged class participation	12	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	3.9	0.29

## SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	12	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	0.49
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	12	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.52
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	12	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	0.49
18. Gained undrstd of concepts & prin.	12	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	0.45
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	12	0.0	0.0	58.3	41.7	3.4	0.51

## SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	12	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	0.45
21. Overall quality of teaching	12	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	3.8	0.39

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
--	---	-------------------------	----------------	-------------	----------------------	------	----

## SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY

22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

## SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY

27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

## SECTION H - LABORATORY &amp; DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY

32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

	N	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	Mean	SD
--	---	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------	----

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 13

Number of forms scanned = 13

Number of forms with at least one student response = 12

Percent completed = 92.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at [www.uky.edu/IR/tce.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/tce.html).

SPRING 2003 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Aerospace Studies DEPARTMENT = ROTC  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = AFS 413 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES IVB  
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Hellums, Duane

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	5	83.3
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	1	16.7
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	6	100.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

  

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	4	66.7
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	16.7
Required by my major	1	16.7	3 hours	1	16.7
Other (e.g. elective)	5	83.3	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
--	---	-------------------------	----------------	-------------	----------------------	------	----

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	6	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	6	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	6	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
11. Was available for consultation	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
14. Encouraged class participation	6	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	3.2	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	6	0.0	16.7	66.7	16.7	3.0	.

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	6	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
--	---	-------------------------	----------------	-------------	----------------------	------	----

SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY

22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY

27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY

32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

	N	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	Mean	SD
--	---	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------	----

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 6  
 Number of forms scanned = 6  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 6  
 Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at [www.uky.edu/IR/tce.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/tce.html).

SPRING 2003 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Aerospace Studies DEPARTMENT = ROTC  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = AFS 313 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES III  
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = McKinney, William

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	9	64.3
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	4	28.6
Junior	12	85.7	C	1	7.1
Senior	2	14.3	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

  

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	4	28.6
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	4	28.6
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	2	14.3
Other (e.g. elective)	14	100.0	4 - 5 hours	1	7.1
			6 - 7 hours	1	7.1
			8 or more hours	2	14.3

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
--	---	-------------------------	----------------	-------------	----------------------	------	----

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	14	0.0	0.0	21.4	78.6	3.8	0.43
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	14	0.0	7.1	42.9	50.0	3.4	0.65
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	14	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.52
4. Exams reflected what was taught	14	0.0	0.0	35.7	64.3	3.6	0.50
5. Grading was fair and consistent	14	0.0	0.0	35.7	64.3	3.6	0.50
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	14	0.0	0.0	35.7	64.3	3.6	0.50
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	14	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	0.47
8. Graded assignments included comments	13	0.0	0.0	30.8	69.2	3.7	0.48

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	14	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	0.51
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	14	0.0	0.0	21.4	78.6	3.8	0.43
11. Was available for consultation	14	0.0	0.0	21.4	78.6	3.8	0.43
12. Satisfied answered class questions	14	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	0.47
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	14	0.0	7.1	28.6	64.3	3.6	0.65
14. Encouraged class participation	14	0.0	7.1	35.7	57.1	3.5	0.65

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	14	0.0	7.1	42.9	50.0	3.4	0.65
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	14	0.0	7.1	50.0	42.9	3.4	0.63
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	14	7.1	7.1	42.9	42.9	3.2	0.89
18. Gained undrstd of concepts & prin.	14	0.0	0.0	35.7	64.3	3.6	0.50
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	14	0.0	7.1	35.7	57.1	3.5	0.65

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	14	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	0.51
21. Overall quality of teaching	14	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	0.51

SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY

22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY

27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY

32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 16  
 Number of forms scanned = 16  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 14  
 Percent completed = 87.5 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at [www.uky.edu/IR/tce.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/tce.html).