

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Spring 2006 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Aerospace Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 114 001S1 LEADERSHIP LABORATORY I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Gross, Roy

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	9	81.8	A	1	9.1
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	1	9.1	C	0	0.0
Senior	1	9.1	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	1	9.1
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	9	81.8

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	7	63.6
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	9.1
Required by my major	2	18.2	3 hours	1	9.1
Other (e.g. elective)	9	81.8	4 - 5 hours	2	18.2
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		SD	D	A	SA			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	12	0.0	8.3	41.7	50.0	0.67	3.4	3.4	0.0
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	.	3.2	3.3	-0.1
4. Exams reflected what was taught	4	0.0	25.0	25.0	50.0	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
5. Grading was fair and consistent	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.4	0.1
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.4	0.1
8. Graded assignments included comments	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.1	0.7

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	12	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.52	3.5	3.3	0.2
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	12	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	0.51	3.6	3.6	0.0
11. Was available for consultation	11	0.0	9.1	27.3	63.6	0.69	3.5	3.4	0.1
12. Satisfact answered class questions	11	0.0	9.1	36.4	54.5	0.69	3.5	3.4	0.1
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	11	9.1	0.0	27.3	63.6	0.93	3.5	3.2	0.3
14. Encouraged class participation	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.47	3.7	3.4	0.3

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	12	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.52	3.5	3.2	0.3
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	11	0.0	0.0	36.4	63.6	0.50	3.6	3.2	0.4
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	12	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.45	3.8	3.2	0.6
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	12	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	0.51	3.6	3.3	0.3
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	11	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	0.52	3.5	3.0	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		P	F	G	E			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	12	0.0	8.3	25.0	66.7	0.67	3.6	3.2	0.4
21. Overall quality of teaching	12	0.0	8.3	41.7	50.0	0.67	3.4	3.3	0.1

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	COLL	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.0	-3.0
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 12

Number of forms scanned = 12

Number of forms with at least one student response = 12

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Spring 2006 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Aerospace Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 213 002L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES II

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Gross, Roy

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	10	76.9
Sophomore	11	84.6	B	2	15.4
Junior	1	7.7	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	1	7.7	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	7.7

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	7	53.8
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	4	30.8
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	2	15.4
Other (e.g. elective)	13	100.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		SD	D	A	SA			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	13	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.28	3.9	3.4	0.5
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	13	0.0	23.1	23.1	53.8	0.85	3.3	3.2	0.1
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	13	0.0	0.0	30.8	69.2	0.48	3.7	3.3	0.4
4. Exams reflected what was taught	13	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.28	3.9	3.3	0.6
5. Grading was fair and consistent	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.38	3.8	3.3	0.5
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	13	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.44	3.8	3.4	0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	13	0.0	7.7	7.7	84.6	0.60	3.8	3.4	0.4
8. Graded assignments included comments	13	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.44	3.8	3.1	0.7

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.38	3.8	3.3	0.5
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	13	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.28	3.9	3.6	0.3
11. Was available for consultation	13	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.28	3.9	3.4	0.5
12. Satisfact answered class questions	13	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.28	3.9	3.4	0.5
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.38	3.8	3.2	0.6
14. Encouraged class participation	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.38	3.8	3.4	0.4

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	13	0.0	7.7	7.7	84.6	0.60	3.8	3.2	0.6
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	13	0.0	0.0	30.8	69.2	0.48	3.7	3.2	0.5
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	13	0.0	0.0	38.5	61.5	0.51	3.6	3.2	0.4
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	13	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.44	3.8	3.3	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	13	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.44	3.8	3.0	0.8

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		P	F	G	E			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	13	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.28	3.9	3.2	0.7
21. Overall quality of teaching	13	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.28	3.9	3.3	0.6

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	COLL	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.0	-3.0
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 14

Number of forms scanned = 13

Number of forms with at least one student response = 13

Percent completed = 92.9 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Spring 2006 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Aerospace Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 214 001S1 LEADERSHIP LABORATORY II

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Gross, Roy

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	1	11.1
Sophomore	10	90.9	B	0	0.0
Junior	1	9.1	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	8	88.9

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	2	18.2
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	9.1
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	2	18.2
Other (e.g. elective)	11	100.0	4 - 5 hours	6	54.5
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		SD	D	A	SA			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	11	0.0	0.0	9.1	90.9	0.30	3.9	3.4	0.5
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	11	9.1	0.0	18.2	72.7	0.93	3.5	3.2	0.3
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.3	0.5
4. Exams reflected what was taught	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.3	0.5
5. Grading was fair and consistent	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.3	0.5
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.4	0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	10	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.32	3.9	3.4	0.5
8. Graded assignments included comments	10	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.32	3.9	3.1	0.8

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.3	0.5
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.6	0.2
11. Was available for consultation	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.4	0.4
12. Satisfact answered class questions	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.4	0.4
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.2	0.6
14. Encouraged class participation	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.4	0.4

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.2	0.6
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.2	0.6
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.2	0.6
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	11	0.0	0.0	9.1	90.9	0.30	3.9	3.3	0.6
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	11	0.0	0.0	9.1	90.9	0.30	3.9	3.0	0.9

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		P	F	G	E			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	11	0.0	0.0	9.1	90.9	0.30	3.9	3.2	0.7
21. Overall quality of teaching	11	0.0	0.0	9.1	90.9	0.30	3.9	3.3	0.6

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	COLL	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.0	-3.0
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 13

Number of forms scanned = 12

Number of forms with at least one student response = 11

Percent completed = 84.6 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Spring 2006 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Aerospace Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 414 001A1 LEADERSHIP LAB IVB

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Gross, Roy

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	1	14.3
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	7	100.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	6	85.7

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	42.9
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	3	42.9
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	7	100.0	4 - 5 hours	1	14.3
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				COLL		
		SD	D	A	SA	SD	Mean	Mean Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
4. Exams reflected what was taught	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
5. Grading was fair and consistent	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.4	0.3
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
8. Graded assignments included comments	5	0.0	20.0	20.0	60.0	.	3.4	3.1	0.3

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	8	0.0	12.5	37.5	50.0	.	3.4	3.6	-0.2
11. Was available for consultation	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.4	0.2
12. Satisfact answered class questions	7	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	.	3.4	3.4	0.0
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	.	3.6	3.2	0.4
14. Encouraged class participation	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.4	0.4

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	.	3.6	3.2	0.4
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	.	3.6	3.2	0.4
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	.	3.6	3.0	0.6

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				COLL		
		P	F	G	E	SD	Mean	Mean Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.2	0.7
21. Overall quality of teaching	8	0.0	12.5	25.0	62.5	.	3.5	3.3	0.2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	COLL	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.0	-3.0
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 9

Number of forms scanned = 8

Number of forms with at least one student response = 8

Percent completed = 88.9 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Spring 2006 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Aerospace Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 113 002L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Horn, Ron

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	10	100.0	A	9	90.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	1	10.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	5	50.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	2	20.0
Required by my major	1	10.0	3 hours	3	30.0
Other (e.g. elective)	9	90.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		SD	D	A	SA			Mean	Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.47	3.7	3.4	0.3
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	11	0.0	9.1	45.5	45.5	0.67	3.4	3.2	0.2
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	11	0.0	0.0	54.5	45.5	0.52	3.5	3.3	0.2
4. Exams reflected what was taught	11	0.0	0.0	36.4	63.6	0.50	3.6	3.3	0.3
5. Grading was fair and consistent	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.47	3.7	3.3	0.4
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	11	0.0	0.0	63.6	36.4	0.50	3.4	3.4	0.0
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	11	0.0	0.0	54.5	45.5	0.52	3.5	3.4	0.1
8. Graded assignments included comments	11	0.0	0.0	54.5	45.5	0.52	3.5	3.1	0.4

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	11	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	0.52	3.5	3.3	0.2
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.47	3.7	3.6	0.1
11. Was available for consultation	11	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	0.52	3.5	3.4	0.1
12. Satisfact answered class questions	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.47	3.7	3.4	0.3
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	11	0.0	9.1	36.4	54.5	0.69	3.5	3.2	0.3
14. Encouraged class participation	11	0.0	0.0	36.4	63.6	0.50	3.6	3.4	0.2

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	11	0.0	9.1	45.5	45.5	0.67	3.4	3.2	0.2
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	11	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	0.52	3.5	3.2	0.3
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	11	0.0	9.1	36.4	54.5	0.69	3.5	3.2	0.3
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	11	0.0	0.0	36.4	63.6	0.50	3.6	3.3	0.3
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	11	0.0	9.1	36.4	54.5	0.69	3.5	3.0	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		P	F	G	E			Mean	Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	11	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	0.52	3.5	3.2	0.3
21. Overall quality of teaching	11	0.0	0.0	36.4	63.6	0.50	3.6	3.3	0.3

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	COLL	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.0	-3.0
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 11

Number of forms scanned = 11

Number of forms with at least one student response = 11

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Spring 2006 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Aerospace Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 413 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES IVB

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steve

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	7	87.5
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	1	12.5
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	8	100.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	6	75.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	2	25.0
Required by my major	1	12.5	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	7	87.5	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		SD	D	A	SA			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	11	0.0	0.0	9.1	90.9	0.30	3.9	3.4	0.5
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.47	3.7	3.2	0.5
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.47	3.7	3.3	0.4
4. Exams reflected what was taught	11	0.0	0.0	9.1	90.9	0.30	3.9	3.3	0.6
5. Grading was fair and consistent	11	0.0	0.0	9.1	90.9	0.30	3.9	3.3	0.6
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	11	0.0	0.0	9.1	90.9	0.30	3.9	3.4	0.5
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.47	3.7	3.4	0.3
8. Graded assignments included comments	11	0.0	0.0	9.1	90.9	0.30	3.9	3.1	0.8

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.00	4.0	3.3	0.7
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.00	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.00	4.0	3.4	0.6
12. Satisfact answered class questions	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.00	4.0	3.4	0.6
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	10	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.00	4.0	3.2	0.8
14. Encouraged class participation	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.00	4.0	3.4	0.6

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.2	0.6
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	11	0.0	9.1	9.1	81.8	0.65	3.7	3.2	0.5
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	11	0.0	9.1	0.0	90.9	0.60	3.8	3.2	0.6
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.00	4.0	3.3	0.7
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.47	3.7	3.0	0.7

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		P	F	G	E			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.47	3.7	3.2	0.5
21. Overall quality of teaching	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.00	4.0	3.3	0.7

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	COLL	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.0	-3.0
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 11

Number of forms scanned = 11

Number of forms with at least one student response = 11

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Spring 2006 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Aerospace Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 313 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES III

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Roland, Mark

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	5	100.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	3	60.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	2	40.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	4	80.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	20.0
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	5	100.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% SD	% D	% A	% SA	SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
4. Exams reflected what was taught	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
5. Grading was fair and consistent	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
8. Graded assignments included comments	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.1	0.7

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
12. Satisfact answered class questions	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
14. Encouraged class participation	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.0	1.0

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% P	% F	% G	% E	SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
21. Overall quality of teaching	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	COLL	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.0	-3.0
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 5

Number of forms scanned = 5

Number of forms with at least one student response = 5

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf