

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY - Fall 2002 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Aerospace Studies DEPARTMENT = ROTC
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 211 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES II
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Chamberlin, Mark

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION						
Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent	
Freshman	1	16.7	A	4	66.7	
Sophomore	5	83.3	B	2	33.3	
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0	
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0	
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0	
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0	
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0	
Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent	
Required by University			1 hour or less	4	66.7	
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	2	33.3	
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	0	0.0	
Other (e.g. elective)	6	100.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0	
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0	
			8 or more hours	0	0.0	

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	6	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	3.2	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	6	0.0	16.7	66.7	16.7	3.0	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	6	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	6	0.0	16.7	33.3	50.0	3.3	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	6	0.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	3.2	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	6	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
11. Was available for consultation	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	3.8	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	6	16.7	0.0	50.0	33.3	3.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	6	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	3.2	.
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	6	0.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	3.2	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	6	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	3.2	.

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	6	0.0	16.7	16.7	66.7	3.5	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	6	16.7	0.0	0.0	83.3	3.5	.

	N	%				Mean	SD	
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)			
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY								
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY								
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY								
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS
 *** No Optional Questions were answered ***
 Number of forms preslugged = 6
 Number of forms scanned = 6
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 6
 Percent completed = 100.0 %
 For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at www.uky.edu/IR/tce.html.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY - Fall 2002 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Aerospace Studies DEPARTMENT = ROTC
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 211 002L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES II
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Chamberlin, Mark

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION						
Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent	
Freshman	0	0.0	A	9	90.0	
Sophomore	9	90.0	B	1	10.0	
Junior	1	10.0	C	0	0.0	
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0	
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0	
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0	
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0	
Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent	
Required by University			1 hour or less	7	70.0	
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	3	30.0	
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	0	0.0	
Other (e.g. elective)	10	100.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0	
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0	
			8 or more hours	0	0.0	

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	3.8	0.40
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	11	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	3.5	0.52
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	3.8	0.40
4. Exams reflected what was taught	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	3.8	0.40
5. Grading was fair and consistent	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	3.7	0.47
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	3.8	0.40
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	3.8	0.40
8. Graded assignments included comments	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	3.7	0.47
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	3.8	0.40
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	11	0.0	0.0	9.1	90.9	3.9	0.30
11. Was available for consultation	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	3.8	0.40
12. Satisfact answered class questions	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	3.8	0.40
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	11	0.0	9.1	18.2	72.7	3.6	0.67
14. Encouraged class participation	11	0.0	9.1	18.2	72.7	3.6	0.67
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	11	0.0	0.0	36.4	63.6	3.6	0.50
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	11	0.0	9.1	27.3	63.6	3.5	0.69
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	11	0.0	9.1	36.4	54.5	3.5	0.69
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	3.8	0.40
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	11	0.0	9.1	18.2	72.7	3.6	0.67

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	3.8	0.40
21. Overall quality of teaching	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	3.8	0.40

	N	%		%		Mean	SD	
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)			
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY								
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY								
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY								
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS
 *** No Optional Questions were answered ***
 Number of forms preslugged = 20
 Number of forms scanned = 20
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 11
 Percent completed = 55.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at www.uky.edu/IR/tce.html.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY - Fall 2002 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Aerospace Studies DEPARTMENT = ROTC
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 111 001LL AEROSPACE STUDIES I
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Grimes, Paul D

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION						
Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent	
Freshman	18	85.7	A	11	52.4	
Sophomore	3	14.3	B	9	42.9	
Junior	0	0.0	C	1	4.8	
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0	
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0	
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0	
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0	
Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent	
Required by University			1 hour or less	11	52.4	
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	7	33.3	
Required by my major	1	5.0	3 hours	2	9.5	
Other (e.g. elective)	19	95.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0	
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0	
			8 or more hours	1	4.8	

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	23	0.0	4.3	34.8	60.9	3.6	0.59
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	23	4.3	0.0	39.1	56.5	3.5	0.73
3. Suppl read & assign helped understand	23	0.0	0.0	47.8	52.2	3.5	0.51
4. Exams reflected what was taught	23	0.0	4.3	34.8	60.9	3.6	0.59
5. Grading was fair and consistent	23	0.0	4.3	34.8	60.9	3.6	0.59
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	23	0.0	0.0	39.1	60.9	3.6	0.50
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	23	0.0	0.0	43.5	56.5	3.6	0.51
8. Graded assignments included comments	22	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	3.5	0.51
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	23	0.0	0.0	34.8	65.2	3.7	0.49
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	23	0.0	0.0	30.4	69.6	3.7	0.47
11. Was available for consultation	23	0.0	0.0	39.1	60.9	3.6	0.50
12. Satisfact answered class questions	23	0.0	0.0	39.1	60.9	3.6	0.50
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	23	0.0	0.0	43.5	56.5	3.6	0.51
14. Encouraged class participation	23	0.0	0.0	39.1	60.9	3.6	0.50
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	23	0.0	0.0	52.2	47.8	3.5	0.51
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	22	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.51
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	23	0.0	0.0	39.1	60.9	3.6	0.50
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	23	0.0	0.0	39.1	60.9	3.6	0.50
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	23	0.0	0.0	34.8	65.2	3.7	0.49

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	23	0.0	0.0	21.7	78.3	3.8	0.42
21. Overall quality of teaching	23	0.0	0.0	13.0	87.0	3.9	0.34

	N	%				Mean	SD	
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)			
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY								
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.	
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.	
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.	
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY								
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY								
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS
 *** No Optional Questions were answered ***
 Number of forms preslugged = 28
 Number of forms scanned = 28
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 23
 Percent completed = 82.1 %
 For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at www.uky.edu/IR/tce.html.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY - Fall 2002 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Aerospace Studies DEPARTMENT = ROTC
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 111 002L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES I
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Grimes, Paul D

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION						
Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent	
Freshman	9	100.0	A	2	22.2	
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	6	66.7	
Junior	0	0.0	C	1	11.1	
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0	
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0	
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0	
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0	
Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent	
Required by University			1 hour or less	7	77.8	
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	2	22.2	
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	0	0.0	
Other (e.g. elective)	9	100.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0	
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0	
			8 or more hours	0	0.0	

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	11	0.0	0.0	54.5	45.5	3.5	0.52
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	11	0.0	0.0	63.6	36.4	3.4	0.50
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	11	0.0	0.0	81.8	18.2	3.2	0.40
4. Exams reflected what was taught	11	0.0	0.0	63.6	36.4	3.4	0.50
5. Grading was fair and consistent	11	0.0	0.0	54.5	45.5	3.5	0.52
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	11	0.0	0.0	63.6	36.4	3.4	0.50
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	11	0.0	0.0	63.6	36.4	3.4	0.50
8. Graded assignments included comments	11	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	3.5	0.52
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	11	0.0	0.0	63.6	36.4	3.4	0.50
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	11	0.0	9.1	27.3	63.6	3.5	0.69
11. Was available for consultation	11	0.0	0.0	36.4	63.6	3.6	0.50
12. Satisfact answered class questions	11	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	3.5	0.52
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	11	0.0	9.1	36.4	54.5	3.5	0.69
14. Encouraged class participation	11	0.0	0.0	54.5	45.5	3.5	0.52
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	10	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	0.00
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	10	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.0	2.9	0.32
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	9	0.0	22.2	44.4	33.3	3.1	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	11	0.0	0.0	72.7	27.3	3.3	0.47
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	11	0.0	27.3	45.5	27.3	3.0	0.77
	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	11	0.0	9.1	36.4	54.5	3.5	0.69
21. Overall quality of teaching	11	0.0	9.1	27.3	63.6	3.5	0.69.

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
28. Guided student report preparation	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
29. Students free to express opinions	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
	N	% answering item				Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS
 *** No Optional Questions were answered ***
 Number of forms preslugged = 24
 Number of forms scanned = 24
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 11
 Percent completed = 45.8 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at www.uky.edu/IR/tce.html.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY - Fall 2002 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Aerospace Studies DEPARTMENT = ROTC
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 411 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES IVA
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Hellums, Duane

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	6	85.7
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	1	14.3
Junior	1	14.3	C	0	0.0
Senior	6	85.7	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	5	71.4
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	14.3
Required by my major	1	14.3	3 hours	1	14.3
Other (e.g. elective)	6	85.7	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	7	0.0	14.3	14.3	71.4	3.6	.

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	.
11. Was available for consultation	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.
14. Encouraged class participation	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	.

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	.

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***
 Number of forms preslugged = 15
 Number of forms scanned = 15
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 7
 Percent completed = 46.7 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at www.uky.edu/IR/tce.html.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY - Fall 2002 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Aerospace Studies DEPARTMENT = ROTC
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 311 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES III
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = McKinney, William

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	6	37.5
Sophomore	1	6.3	B	8	50.0
Junior	13	81.3	C	2	12.5
Senior	2	12.5	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	5	31.3
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	3	18.8
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	5	31.3
Other (e.g. elective)	16	100.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	2	12.5
			8 or more hours	1	6.3

	N	% Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
--	---	----------------	----------------	-------------	----------------------	------	----

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	16	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.52
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	16	6.3	12.5	43.8	37.5	3.1	0.89
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	15	0.0	13.3	53.3	33.3	3.2	0.68
4. Exams reflected what was taught	16	0.0	18.8	50.0	31.3	3.1	0.72
5. Grading was fair and consistent	16	0.0	6.3	62.5	31.3	3.3	0.58
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	16	0.0	6.3	62.5	31.3	3.3	0.58
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	16	6.3	6.3	50.0	37.5	3.2	0.83
8. Graded assignments included comments	16	6.3	0.0	43.8	50.0	3.4	0.81

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	16	0.0	6.3	56.3	37.5	3.3	0.60
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	16	0.0	0.0	31.3	68.8	3.7	0.48
11. Was available for consultation	16	0.0	6.3	50.0	43.8	3.4	0.62
12. Satisfact answered class questions	16	0.0	12.5	25.0	62.5	3.5	0.73
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	16	6.3	25.0	31.3	37.5	3.0	0.97
14. Encouraged class participation	16	0.0	12.5	37.5	50.0	3.4	0.72

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	15	13.3	0.0	46.7	40.0	3.1	0.99
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	14	7.1	7.1	50.0	35.7	3.1	0.86
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	14	7.1	21.4	42.9	28.6	2.9	0.92
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	16	0.0	12.5	50.0	37.5	3.3	0.68
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	16	12.5	31.3	25.0	31.3	2.8	1.06

	N	% Poor (1)	% Fair (2)	% Good (3)	% Excellent (4)	Mean	SD
--	---	------------	------------	------------	-----------------	------	----

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	16	6.3	18.8	37.5	37.5	3.1	0.93
21. Overall quality of teaching	16	0.0	31.3	43.8	25.0	2.9	0.77

	N	% Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
--	---	----------------	----------------	-------------	----------------------	------	----

SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY

22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY

27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY

32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 22
 Number of forms scanned = 22
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 16
 Percent completed = 72.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at www.uky.edu/IR/tce.html.