

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY - Fall 2002 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Provost Area Graduate School DEPARTMENT = Diplomacy & International Commerce
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = DIP 740 001L1 GLOBALIZATION
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Gholz, E

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION						
Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent	
Freshman	0	0.0	A	3	11.5	
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	21	80.8	
Junior	0	0.0	C	2	7.7	
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0	
Graduate	26	100.0	E/Fail	0	0.0	
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0	
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0	
Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent	
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0	
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	2	7.7	
Required by my major	18	69.2	3 hours	4	15.4	
Other (e.g. elective)	8	30.8	4 - 5 hours	11	42.3	
			6 - 7 hours	3	11.5	
			8 or more hours	6	23.1	

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	28	0.0	10.7	53.6	35.7	3.3	0.65
2. Textbook contributed to understanding	22	0.0	9.1	63.6	27.3	3.2	0.59
3. Suppl read & assign helped understand	27	0.0	7.4	63.0	29.6	3.2	0.58
4. Exams reflected what was taught	15	6.7	13.3	60.0	20.0	2.9	0.80
5. Grading was fair and consistent	28	7.1	28.6	42.9	21.4	2.8	0.88
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	24	8.3	8.3	62.5	20.8	3.0	0.81
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	28	32.1	35.7	17.9	14.3	2.1	1.04
8. Graded assignments included comments	28	0.0	7.1	53.6	39.3	3.3	0.61
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	28	7.1	50.0	21.4	21.4	2.6	0.92
10. Had good knowledge of subject matter	27	0.0	0.0	48.1	51.9	3.5	0.51
11. Was available for consultation	28	3.6	0.0	35.7	60.7	3.5	0.69
12. Satisfact answered class questions	27	7.4	7.4	40.7	44.4	3.2	0.89
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	28	3.6	21.4	53.6	21.4	2.9	0.77
14. Encouraged class participation	28	3.6	14.3	35.7	46.4	3.3	0.84
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	28	3.6	3.6	53.6	39.3	3.3	0.71
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	28	0.0	3.6	53.6	42.9	3.4	0.57
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	27	0.0	22.2	40.7	37.0	3.1	0.77
18. Gained understanding of concepts & prin.	28	0.0	14.3	57.1	28.6	3.1	0.65
19. Course stimulated me to read further	28	0.0	17.9	53.6	28.6	3.1	0.69
	N	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	27	0.0	33.3	44.4	22.2	2.9	0.75
21. Overall quality of teaching	27	11.1	37.0	33.3	18.5	2.6	0.93

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD	
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)			
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY								
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.	
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.	
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.	
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were evident	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.	
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY								
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	.	
29. Students free to express opinions	3	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	2.3	.	
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	3	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	2.3	.	
31. Developed abil to conduct research	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	1.7	.	
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY								
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
36. Lab equipment use satisfis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS
 *** No Optional Questions were answered ***
 Number of forms preslugged = 30
 Number of forms scanned = 28
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 28
 Percent completed = 93.3 %
 For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at www.uky.edu/IR/tce.html.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY - Fall 2002 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Provost Area Graduate School DEPARTMENT = Diplomacy & International Commerce
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = DIP 777 001S1 RESEARCH PROBLEMS IN INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Stempel, John D

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION						
Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent	
Freshman	0	0.0	A	25	83.3	
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	5	16.7	
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0	
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0	
Graduate	30	96.8	E/Fail	0	0.0	
Professional	1	3.2	I	0	0.0	
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0	
Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent	
Required by University			1 hour or less	2	6.5	
Studies Program	3	9.7	2 hours	4	12.9	
Required by my major	28	90.3	3 hours	11	35.5	
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	13	41.9	
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0	
			8 or more hours	1	3.2	

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	34	0.0	17.6	67.6	14.7	3.0	0.58
2. Textbook contributed to understanding	17	5.9	35.3	52.9	5.9	2.6	0.71
3. Suppl read & assign helped understand	34	2.9	8.8	67.6	20.6	3.1	0.65
4. Exams reflected what was taught	10	0.0	10.0	70.0	20.0	3.1	0.57
5. Grading was fair and consistent	35	2.9	34.3	60.0	2.9	2.6	0.60
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	35	2.9	11.4	68.6	17.1	3.0	0.64
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	33	6.1	18.2	54.5	21.2	2.9	0.80
8. Graded assignments included comments	34	2.9	8.8	58.8	29.4	3.1	0.70
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	35	0.0	11.4	71.4	17.1	3.1	0.54
10. Had good knowledge of subject matter	35	0.0	0.0	54.3	45.7	3.5	0.51
11. Was available for consultation	35	0.0	2.9	60.0	37.1	3.3	0.54
12. Satisfactorily answered class questions	35	0.0	2.9	68.6	28.6	3.3	0.51
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	34	2.9	14.7	61.8	20.6	3.0	0.70
14. Encouraged class participation	34	0.0	8.8	44.1	47.1	3.4	0.65
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	33	3.0	9.1	54.5	33.3	3.2	0.73
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	33	6.1	9.1	48.5	36.4	3.2	0.83
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	35	2.9	14.3	54.3	28.6	3.1	0.74
18. Gained understanding of concepts & prin.	35	2.9	8.6	62.9	25.7	3.1	0.68
19. Course stimulated me to read further	32	3.1	21.9	43.8	31.3	3.0	0.82
	N	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	34	5.9	23.5	44.1	26.5	2.9	0.87
21. Overall quality of teaching	34	0.0	8.8	58.8	32.4	3.2	0.61

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD	
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)			
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY								
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	3	33.3	0.0	33.3	33.3	2.7	.	
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.	
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.	
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were evident	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.	
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY								
27. Feedback on oral presentations	12	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	3.6	0.51	
28. Guided student report preparation	11	0.0	0.0	72.7	27.3	3.3	0.47	
29. Students free to express opinions	11	0.0	0.0	54.5	45.5	3.5	0.52	
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	12	0.0	0.0	58.3	41.7	3.4	0.51	
31. Developed abil to conduct research	10	0.0	0.0	70.0	30.0	3.3	0.48	
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY								
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
36. Lab equipment use satisfactorily explained	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS
 *** No Optional Questions were answered ***
 Number of forms preslugged = 65
 Number of forms scanned = 39
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 35
 Percent completed = 53.8 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at www.uky.edu/IR/tce.html.