

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Aerospace Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 211 002L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES II

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Gross, Roy

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	7	63.6
Sophomore	12	100.0	B	4	36.4
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	5	41.7
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	3	25.0
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	2	16.7
Other (e.g. elective)	12	100.0	4 - 5 hours	1	8.3
			6 - 7 hours	1	8.3
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		SD	D	A	SA			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	12	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	0.51	3.6	3.4	0.2
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	12	8.3	0.0	41.7	50.0	0.89	3.3	3.2	0.1
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	12	0.0	8.3	33.3	58.3	0.67	3.5	3.3	0.2
4. Exams reflected what was taught	12	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.45	3.8	3.3	0.5
5. Grading was fair and consistent	11	0.0	9.1	36.4	54.5	0.69	3.5	3.3	0.2
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	12	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.52	3.5	3.4	0.1
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	12	0.0	0.0	58.3	41.7	0.51	3.4	3.3	0.1
8. Graded assignments included comments	12	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	0.51	3.6	3.1	0.5

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	12	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.52	3.5	3.3	0.2
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	12	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.52	3.5	3.6	-0.1
11. Was available for consultation	12	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.49	3.7	3.4	0.3
12. Satisfact answered class questions	12	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	0.51	3.6	3.4	0.2
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	12	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.52	3.5	3.1	0.4
14. Encouraged class participation	12	0.0	8.3	58.3	33.3	0.62	3.3	3.3	0.0

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	12	0.0	8.3	50.0	41.7	0.65	3.3	3.2	0.1
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	12	0.0	8.3	50.0	41.7	0.65	3.3	3.2	0.1
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	12	0.0	8.3	50.0	41.7	0.65	3.3	3.1	0.2
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	12	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	0.51	3.6	3.3	0.3
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	12	0.0	8.3	50.0	41.7	0.65	3.3	2.9	0.4

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		P	F	G	E			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.47	3.7	3.2	0.5
21. Overall quality of teaching	11	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	0.52	3.5	3.3	0.2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	COLL	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.4	-0.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 12

Number of forms scanned = 12

Number of forms with at least one student response = 12

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Aerospace Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 111 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Horn, Ron

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	5	100.0	A	3	60.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	2	40.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	60.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	20.0
Required by my major	1	20.0	3 hours	1	20.0
Other (e.g. elective)	4	80.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				COLL		
		SD	D	A	SA	SD	Mean	Mean Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	.	3.4	3.4	0.0
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	5	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	.	3.2	3.2	0.0
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	5	0.0	40.0	20.0	40.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
4. Exams reflected what was taught	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	.	3.4	3.3	0.1
5. Grading was fair and consistent	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	.	3.2	3.4	-0.2
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	.	3.4	3.3	0.1
8. Graded assignments included comments	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	.	3.4	3.1	0.3

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	.	3.6	3.4	0.2
12. Satisfact answered class questions	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	.	3.6	3.4	0.2
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	.	3.4	3.1	0.3
14. Encouraged class participation	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	.	3.4	3.3	0.1

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	5	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	5	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	.	3.2	3.1	0.1
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	.	3.4	2.9	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				COLL		
		P	F	G	E	SD	Mean	Mean Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	5	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
21. Overall quality of teaching	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	.	3.4	3.3	0.1

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	COLL	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 6

Number of forms scanned = 5

Number of forms with at least one student response = 5

Percent completed = 83.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Aerospace Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 111 002L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Horn, Ron

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	9	90.0	A	6	60.0
Sophomore	1	10.0	B	2	20.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	1	10.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	1	10.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	5	50.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	4	40.0
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	1	10.0
Other (e.g. elective)	10	100.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		SD	D	A	SA			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	10	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.53	3.5	3.4	0.1
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	10	10.0	0.0	40.0	50.0	0.95	3.3	3.2	0.1
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	9	0.0	11.1	44.4	44.4	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
4. Exams reflected what was taught	10	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.52	3.6	3.3	0.3
5. Grading was fair and consistent	10	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.52	3.4	3.3	0.1
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	10	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.53	3.5	3.4	0.1
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
8. Graded assignments included comments	10	0.0	10.0	40.0	50.0	0.70	3.4	3.1	0.3

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	10	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.53	3.5	3.3	0.2
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	10	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.52	3.6	3.6	0.0
11. Was available for consultation	10	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.53	3.5	3.4	0.1
12. Satisfact answered class questions	10	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.53	3.5	3.4	0.1
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	10	0.0	20.0	20.0	60.0	0.84	3.4	3.1	0.3
14. Encouraged class participation	10	0.0	10.0	30.0	60.0	0.71	3.5	3.3	0.2

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	10	0.0	10.0	40.0	50.0	0.70	3.4	3.2	0.2
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	10	0.0	20.0	50.0	30.0	0.74	3.1	3.2	-0.1
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	10	0.0	20.0	50.0	30.0	0.74	3.1	3.1	0.0
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	10	0.0	10.0	30.0	60.0	0.71	3.5	3.3	0.2
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	10	0.0	10.0	50.0	40.0	0.67	3.3	2.9	0.4

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		P	F	G	E			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	10	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.52	3.6	3.2	0.4
21. Overall quality of teaching	10	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.42	3.8	3.3	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	COLL	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 10

Number of forms scanned = 10

Number of forms with at least one student response = 10

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Aerospace Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 411 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES IVA

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steve

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	8	80.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	2	20.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	10	100.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	6	60.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	2	20.0
Required by my major	1	10.0	3 hours	2	20.0
Other (e.g. elective)	9	90.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		SD	D	A	SA			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.47	3.7	3.4	0.3
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	11	0.0	9.1	54.5	36.4	0.65	3.3	3.2	0.1
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	11	0.0	0.0	36.4	63.6	0.50	3.6	3.3	0.3
4. Exams reflected what was taught	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.47	3.7	3.3	0.4
5. Grading was fair and consistent	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.47	3.7	3.3	0.4
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	11	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	0.52	3.5	3.4	0.1
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	11	0.0	9.1	27.3	63.6	0.69	3.5	3.3	0.2
8. Graded assignments included comments	11	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	0.52	3.5	3.1	0.4

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.00	4.0	3.3	0.7
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	11	0.0	0.0	9.1	90.9	0.30	3.9	3.6	0.3
11. Was available for consultation	11	0.0	0.0	9.1	90.9	0.30	3.9	3.4	0.5
12. Satisfact answered class questions	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.00	4.0	3.4	0.6
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	11	0.0	0.0	9.1	90.9	0.30	3.9	3.1	0.8
14. Encouraged class participation	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.00	4.0	3.3	0.7

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.2	0.6
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	11	0.0	0.0	9.1	90.9	0.30	3.9	3.2	0.7
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.47	3.7	3.1	0.6
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.3	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	11	0.0	0.0	9.1	90.9	0.30	3.9	2.9	1.0

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		P	F	G	E			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.2	0.6
21. Overall quality of teaching	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.00	4.0	3.3	0.7

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	COLL	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 11

Number of forms scanned = 11

Number of forms with at least one student response = 11

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Aerospace Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 311 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES III

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Roland, Mark

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	5	100.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	3	60.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	2	40.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	60.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	2	40.0
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	5	100.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				COLL		
		SD	D	A	SA	SD	Mean	Mean Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
4. Exams reflected what was taught	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
5. Grading was fair and consistent	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
8. Graded assignments included comments	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.1	0.7

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
12. Satisfact answered class questions	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
14. Encouraged class participation	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	.	3.6	3.2	0.4
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.1	0.7
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	.	3.6	2.9	0.7

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				COLL		
		P	F	G	E	SD	Mean	Mean Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
21. Overall quality of teaching	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	COLL	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 6

Number of forms scanned = 5

Number of forms with at least one student response = 5

Percent completed = 83.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf