

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication/Graduate
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = CJT 651 401L1 COMMUNICATION THEORY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Anyaegbunam, Chike

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 12 | 85.7 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 2 | 14.3 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 14 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 1 | 7.1 | 2 hours | 3 | 21.4 |
| Required by my major | 12 | 85.7 | 3 hours | 4 | 28.6 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 1 | 7.1 | 4 - 5 hours | 5 | 35.7 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 2 | 14.3 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 50.0 | 35.7 | 0.70 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 14 | 7.1 | 28.6 | 50.0 | 14.3 | 0.83 | 2.7 | 3.3 | -0.6 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 14 | 7.1 | 14.3 | 64.3 | 14.3 | 0.77 | 2.9 | 3.4 | -0.5 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 44.4 | 44.4 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 57.1 | 35.7 | 0.61 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.5 | 38.5 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 14 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 50.0 | 21.4 | 0.73 | 2.9 | 3.4 | -0.5 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 14 | 14.3 | 35.7 | 21.4 | 28.6 | 1.08 | 2.6 | 3.3 | -0.7 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 13 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 53.8 | 30.8 | 0.86 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 13 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 46.2 | 38.5 | 0.90 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 50.0 | 42.9 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 64.3 | 28.6 | 0.58 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 50.0 | 35.7 | 0.70 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 0.73 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 14 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 35.7 | 35.7 | 1.07 | 2.9 | 3.1 | -0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 14 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 21.4 | 0.73 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 14 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 42.9 | 35.7 | 0.77 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 19

Number of forms scanned = 19

Number of forms with at least one student response = 14

Percent completed = 73.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication/Graduate
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = CJT 731 401S1 SEM IN INTRPERSONAL COM: COM IN THE FAMILY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Arrington, Michael

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 5 | 100.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 0 | 0.0 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 5 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 1 | 20.0 |
| Required by my major | 2 | 40.0 | 3 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 3 | 60.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 4 | 80.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|------|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 5 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | . | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|------|------|-------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 5 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|------|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 5 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | P | F | G | E | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-----|-----|------|------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|------|-------|-----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 | |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 | |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 | |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 | |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 | |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 | |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 5

Number of forms scanned = 5

Number of forms with at least one student response = 5

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication/Graduate
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = CJT 719 001L1 SEM IN INTRNATIONL COM: TOPS IN INTRNATIONL COM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Boyd, Douglas A

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 5 | 83.3 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 1 | 16.7 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 6 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 1 | 16.7 |
| Required by my major | 1 | 16.7 | 3 hours | 1 | 16.7 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 5 | 83.3 | 4 - 5 hours | 2 | 33.3 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 2 | 33.3 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|-----|------|-------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | . | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | . | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | . | 3.9 | 3.3 | 0.6 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|-----|------|-------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | . | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.7 | 0.3 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | . | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|-----|------|------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | . | 3.9 | 3.3 | 0.6 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.2 | 0.6 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.1 | 0.7 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | P | F | G | E | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|-------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | COLL | | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|------|-------|-----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | Mean | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 | |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 | |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 | |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 | |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 85.7 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 | |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 | |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 9

Number of forms scanned = 9

Number of forms with at least one student response = 8

Percent completed = 88.9 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication/Graduate
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = CJT 667 001L1 QUALITATIVE METHODS IN COMMUNICATION RESEARCH

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = DeSantis, Alan

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 4 | 44.4 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 5 | 55.6 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 9 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 1 | 11.1 |
| Required by my major | 7 | 77.8 | 3 hours | 4 | 44.4 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 2 | 22.2 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 33.3 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 11.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 10 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 0.88 | 2.9 | 3.5 | -0.6 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 0.67 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 10 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 0.67 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 28.6 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 9 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 55.6 | 22.2 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 10 | 10.0 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.67 | 2.3 | 3.4 | -1.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 5 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.2 | 3.3 | -1.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 0.42 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.42 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 0.42 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 70.0 | 20.0 | 0.57 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 70.0 | 20.0 | 0.57 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.52 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 60.0 | 30.0 | 0.63 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 60.0 | 30.0 | 0.63 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 10 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 60.0 | 10.0 | 0.63 | 2.8 | 3.2 | -0.4 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 0.48 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 10 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 60.0 | 10.0 | 0.63 | 2.8 | 3.1 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 10 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 0.74 | 2.9 | 3.4 | -0.5 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 10 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 0.74 | 2.9 | 3.5 | -0.6 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|-----|-----|-------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 11

Number of forms scanned = 10

Number of forms with at least one student response = 10

Percent completed = 90.9 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication/Graduate
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = CJT 630 001S1 PROSEM IN MASS MEDIA LAW AND PUBLIC POLICY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Moore, Roy L

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 4 | 50.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 4 | 50.0 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 8 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 3 | 37.5 |
| Required by my major | 1 | 12.5 | 3 hours | 5 | 62.5 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 7 | 87.5 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.53 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.52 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 0.48 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.52 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.52 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.53 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.52 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.52 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.52 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.53 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.52 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 70.0 | 20.0 | 0.57 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 0.67 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 77.8 | 11.1 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 0.48 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 0.48 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-----|-----|------|------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | . | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 14

Number of forms scanned = 14

Number of forms with at least one student response = 10

Percent completed = 71.4 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication/Graduate
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = CJT 671 001L1 PROSEM IN HEALTH COMM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Noar, Seth

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 9 | 100.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 0 | 0.0 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 10 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 1 | 10.0 | 2 hours | 1 | 10.0 |
| Required by my major | 2 | 20.0 | 3 hours | 4 | 40.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 7 | 70.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 30.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 10.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 10.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|-------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 90.0 | 0.32 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 10 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 50.0 | 0.82 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.53 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 70.0 | 0.70 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.52 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 0.67 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.53 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.42 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.42 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 90.0 | 0.32 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.52 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | . | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.52 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 50.0 | 0.70 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.52 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|----|------------------|-----|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | . | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.52 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 | |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 90.0 | 0.32 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 13

Number of forms scanned = 13

Number of forms with at least one student response = 10

Percent completed = 76.9 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication/Graduate
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = CJT 780 001S1 SPEC TOPS COM: AUDIENCE USES ON MASS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Palmgreen, Philip C

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 4 | 66.7 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 2 | 33.3 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 6 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| Required by my major | 0 | 0.0 | 3 hours | 1 | 16.7 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 6 | 100.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 50.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 2 | 33.3 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|------|-------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 5 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 33.3 | 50.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | . | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | . | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------|------|------|-------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 33.3 | 50.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.7 | 0.3 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 83.3 | . | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | . | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 6 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83.3 | . | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 6 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83.3 | . | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------|------|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 33.3 | 50.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 33.3 | 50.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 83.3 | . | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 6 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 16.7 | 16.7 | . | 2.3 | 3.1 | -0.8 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | P | F | G | E | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|------|-----|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 6 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 33.3 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | . | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|------|------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.0 | . | 2.7 | 3.4 | -0.7 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 33.3 | . | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 7

Number of forms scanned = 7

Number of forms with at least one student response = 6

Percent completed = 85.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 351 001L1 INTRO COMMUNICATION THRY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Arrington, Michael

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 6 | 31.6 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 11 | 57.9 |
| Junior | 4 | 21.1 | C | 2 | 10.5 |
| Senior | 15 | 78.9 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 5.3 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 2 | 10.5 |
| Required by my major | 18 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 7 | 36.8 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 4 | 21.1 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 5.3 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 4 | 21.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.8 | 65.2 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 23 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 17.4 | 78.3 | 0.54 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 22 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 63.6 | 0.67 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 23 | 0.0 | 17.4 | 30.4 | 52.2 | 0.78 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 23 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 43.5 | 47.8 | 0.66 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 22 | 0.0 | 22.7 | 31.8 | 45.5 | 0.81 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 23 | 21.7 | 13.0 | 34.8 | 30.4 | 1.14 | 2.7 | 3.4 | -0.7 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 23 | 4.3 | 13.0 | 34.8 | 47.8 | 0.86 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.5 | 56.5 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.4 | 69.6 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 36.4 | 59.1 | 0.60 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 23 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 43.5 | 52.2 | 0.59 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 23 | 4.3 | 21.7 | 34.8 | 39.1 | 0.90 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.8 | 65.2 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 23 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 34.8 | 56.5 | 0.67 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 50.0 | 45.5 | 0.59 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 23 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 43.5 | 47.8 | 0.66 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 23 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 47.8 | 47.8 | 0.59 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 23 | 4.3 | 13.0 | 39.1 | 43.5 | 0.85 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 23 | 4.3 | 8.7 | 47.8 | 39.1 | 0.80 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 22 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 50.0 | 36.4 | 0.69 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-------|-------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.2 | -1.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.3 | -1.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 25

Number of forms scanned = 23

Number of forms with at least one student response = 23

Percent completed = 92.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 571 001L1 HEALTH COMMUNICATION

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Arrington, Michael

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 17 | 94.4 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 1 | 5.6 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 17 | 94.4 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 1 | 5.6 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 7 | 38.9 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 4 | 22.2 |
| Required by my major | 18 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 6 | 33.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 5.6 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 55.6 | 38.9 | 0.59 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.7 | 35.3 | 0.49 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 61.1 | 33.3 | 0.57 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 18 | 38.9 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 16.7 | 1.15 | 2.2 | 3.4 | -1.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 18 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 44.4 | 33.3 | 0.76 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 50.0 | 44.4 | 0.61 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.8 | 41.2 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.9 | 61.1 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 18 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 0.69 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 18 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 38.9 | 38.9 | 0.79 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 | |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 29

Number of forms scanned = 18

Number of forms with at least one student response = 18

Percent completed = 62.1 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 365 002L1 INTRO TO COMM RES METH

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Boyson, Aaron

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 8 | 44.4 |
| Junior | 4 | 21.1 | C | 8 | 44.4 |
| Senior | 15 | 78.9 | D | 2 | 11.1 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 4 | 22.2 |
| Required by my major | 19 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 2 | 11.1 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 7 | 38.9 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 3 | 16.7 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 2 | 11.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.9 | 38.1 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 19 | 5.3 | 15.8 | 52.6 | 26.3 | 0.82 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 21 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 52.4 | 33.3 | 0.68 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 21 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 57.1 | 19.0 | 0.67 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 21 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 28.6 | 0.65 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 21 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 66.7 | 23.8 | 0.57 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.9 | 38.1 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 21 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 52.4 | 33.3 | 0.68 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 21 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 57.1 | 19.0 | 0.67 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 81.0 | 0.40 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 42.9 | 52.4 | 0.60 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 21 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 61.9 | 28.6 | 0.73 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 21 | 4.8 | 42.9 | 33.3 | 19.0 | 0.86 | 2.7 | 3.3 | -0.6 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 77.8 | 16.7 | 0.47 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.4 | 47.6 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 20 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 60.0 | 30.0 | 0.62 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 47.6 | 47.6 | 0.60 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 21 | 9.5 | 38.1 | 23.8 | 28.6 | 1.01 | 2.7 | 3.1 | -0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 21 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 38.1 | 33.3 | 0.80 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 20 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 65.0 | 25.0 | 0.59 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-------|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 1.0 | 3.1 | -2.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 1.0 | 3.2 | -2.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 1.0 | 3.2 | -2.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.3 | -1.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.3 | -1.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 25

Number of forms scanned = 22

Number of forms with at least one student response = 21

Percent completed = 84.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 453 001L1 MASS COMMUNICATION AND SOCIAL ISSUES

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Boyson, Aaron

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 10 | 55.6 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 7 | 38.9 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 1 | 5.6 |
| Senior | 18 | 100.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 5 | 27.8 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 4 | 22.2 |
| Required by my major | 14 | 77.8 | 3 hours | 5 | 27.8 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 4 | 22.2 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 16.7 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 5.6 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 19 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 15.8 | 73.7 | 0.84 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 73.7 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 78.9 | 0.42 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 73.7 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 10.5 | 84.2 | 0.54 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 21.1 | 73.7 | 0.58 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 73.7 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 84.2 | 0.37 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 94.7 | 0.23 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 89.5 | 0.32 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 89.5 | 0.32 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 84.2 | 0.37 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 89.5 | 0.32 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 84.2 | 0.37 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 84.2 | 0.37 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 0.6 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 89.5 | 0.32 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 84.2 | 0.37 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 0.7 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 94.7 | 0.23 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 24

Number of forms scanned = 19

Number of forms with at least one student response = 19

Percent completed = 79.2 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = COM 252 403L1 INTRO INTERPERSONAL COM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Burton, Deb

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 9 | 50.0 | A | 9 | 50.0 |
| Sophomore | 3 | 16.7 | B | 9 | 50.0 |
| Junior | 4 | 22.2 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 2 | 11.1 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 4 | 22.2 |
| Studies Program | 9 | 56.3 | 2 hours | 7 | 38.9 |
| Required by my major | 3 | 18.8 | 3 hours | 6 | 33.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 4 | 25.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 5.6 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 21 | 9.5 | 4.8 | 47.6 | 38.1 | 0.91 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 21 | 4.8 | 14.3 | 61.9 | 19.0 | 0.74 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 21 | 9.5 | 4.8 | 66.7 | 19.0 | 0.80 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 21 | 4.8 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 23.8 | 0.77 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 21 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 47.6 | 33.3 | 0.92 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 21 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 61.9 | 19.0 | 0.83 | 2.9 | 3.4 | -0.5 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 21 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 47.6 | 33.3 | 0.92 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 21 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 47.6 | 33.3 | 0.92 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 21 | 9.5 | 23.8 | 47.6 | 19.0 | 0.89 | 2.8 | 3.4 | -0.6 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 21 | 14.3 | 9.5 | 61.9 | 14.3 | 0.89 | 2.8 | 3.7 | -0.9 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 20 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 65.0 | 20.0 | 0.83 | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 21 | 9.5 | 14.3 | 52.4 | 23.8 | 0.89 | 2.9 | 3.5 | -0.6 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 21 | 9.5 | 19.0 | 57.1 | 14.3 | 0.83 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 20 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 55.0 | 25.0 | 0.89 | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 18 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 61.1 | 22.2 | 0.64 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 19 | 5.3 | 10.5 | 63.2 | 21.1 | 0.75 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 20 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 55.0 | 20.0 | 0.79 | 2.9 | 3.2 | -0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 20 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 65.0 | 25.0 | 0.59 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 20 | 15.0 | 25.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 0.99 | 2.7 | 3.1 | -0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 21 | 14.3 | 23.8 | 47.6 | 14.3 | 0.92 | 2.6 | 3.4 | -0.8 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 21 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 38.1 | 19.0 | 0.97 | 2.6 | 3.5 | -0.9 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-------|------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 6 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 50.0 | 16.7 | . | 2.8 | 3.1 | -0.3 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 7 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 14.3 | . | 2.7 | 3.2 | -0.5 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 7 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 14.3 | . | 2.7 | 3.2 | -0.5 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 5 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.0 | . | 2.7 | 3.3 | -0.6 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.4 | -1.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.4 | -1.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.5 | -1.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.5 | -1.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.4 | -1.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.4 | -1.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.4 | -1.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.3 | -1.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.4 | -1.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.4 | -1.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 24

Number of forms scanned = 21

Number of forms with at least one student response = 21

Percent completed = 87.5 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = COM 181 001L1 BASIC PUBLIC SPEAKING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Christensen, Spencer

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 4 | 19.0 | A | 6 | 31.6 |
| Sophomore | 14 | 66.7 | B | 11 | 57.9 |
| Junior | 3 | 14.3 | C | 2 | 10.5 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 4.8 |
| Studies Program | 7 | 35.0 | 2 hours | 6 | 28.6 |
| Required by my major | 11 | 55.0 | 3 hours | 10 | 47.6 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 2 | 10.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 2 | 9.5 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 4.8 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 4.8 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 21 | 9.5 | 4.8 | 57.1 | 28.6 | 0.86 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 21 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 47.6 | 42.9 | 0.78 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 17 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 47.1 | 41.2 | 0.69 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 21 | 4.8 | 19.0 | 42.9 | 33.3 | 0.86 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 21 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 57.1 | 33.3 | 0.75 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 21 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 57.1 | 33.3 | 0.62 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 52.4 | 42.9 | 0.59 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.48 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 65.0 | 35.0 | 0.49 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 19 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 47.4 | 31.6 | 0.74 | 3.1 | 3.2 | -0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.0 | 45.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 20 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 0.79 | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 52.4 | 42.9 | 0.59 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.2 | 0.5 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 23

Number of forms scanned = 21

Number of forms with at least one student response = 21

Percent completed = 91.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = COM 181 002L1 BASIC PUBLIC SPEAKING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Christensen, Spencer

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 3 | 20.0 | A | 5 | 33.3 |
| Sophomore | 5 | 33.3 | B | 6 | 40.0 |
| Junior | 5 | 33.3 | C | 4 | 26.7 |
| Senior | 2 | 13.3 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 2 | 13.3 |
| Studies Program | 8 | 57.1 | 2 hours | 7 | 46.7 |
| Required by my major | 6 | 42.9 | 3 hours | 2 | 13.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 4 | 26.7 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 76.2 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 21 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 33.3 | 57.1 | 0.68 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 76.2 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 35.0 | 60.0 | 0.60 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 21 | 14.3 | 4.8 | 33.3 | 47.6 | 1.06 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 21 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 61.9 | 0.75 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 21 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 33.3 | 57.1 | 0.68 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 85.0 | 0.37 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 75.0 | 0.57 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 75.0 | 0.57 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 20 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 25.0 | 65.0 | 0.69 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 78.9 | 0.42 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.3 | 64.7 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 19 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 26.3 | 57.9 | 0.77 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 21.1 | 73.7 | 0.58 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 19 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 78.9 | 0.67 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|------|------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.2 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 6 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 28

Number of forms scanned = 22

Number of forms with at least one student response = 21

Percent completed = 75.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = COM 181 003L1 BASIC PUBLIC SPEAKING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Christensen, Spencer

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 2 | 10.5 | A | 4 | 22.2 |
| Sophomore | 10 | 52.6 | B | 13 | 72.2 |
| Junior | 6 | 31.6 | C | 1 | 5.6 |
| Senior | 1 | 5.3 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 6 | 31.6 |
| Studies Program | 7 | 36.8 | 2 hours | 6 | 31.6 |
| Required by my major | 8 | 42.1 | 3 hours | 3 | 15.8 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 4 | 21.1 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 15.8 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 5.3 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.1 | 57.9 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 19 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 57.9 | 26.3 | 0.66 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.8 | 41.2 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 73.7 | 21.1 | 0.50 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.2 | 36.8 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.49 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 73.7 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.6 | 47.4 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.9 | 61.1 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.1 | 57.9 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 18 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 38.9 | 50.0 | 0.70 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.1 | 57.9 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 76.5 | 17.6 | 0.49 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 19 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 57.9 | 31.6 | 0.63 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 16 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 62.5 | 18.8 | 0.63 | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 19 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 52.6 | 36.8 | 0.65 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 18 | 0.0 | 27.8 | 61.1 | 11.1 | 0.62 | 2.8 | 3.1 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.9 | 42.1 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|------|-------|------|-----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 5 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 3.1 | -0.5 | |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 3.1 | 3.2 | -0.1 | |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 3.1 | 3.2 | -0.1 | |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 | |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 | |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 28

Number of forms scanned = 19

Number of forms with at least one student response = 19

Percent completed = 67.9 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = COM 181 013L1 BASIC PUBLIC SPEAKING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Christensen, Spencer

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 15 | 93.8 | A | 4 | 25.0 |
| Sophomore | 1 | 6.3 | B | 10 | 62.5 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 2 | 12.5 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 2 | 12.5 |
| Studies Program | 6 | 40.0 | 2 hours | 4 | 25.0 |
| Required by my major | 9 | 60.0 | 3 hours | 6 | 37.5 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 2 | 12.5 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 2 | 12.5 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 37.5 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 16 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 50.0 | 31.3 | 0.72 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 16 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 62.5 | 25.0 | 0.62 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 55.6 | 33.3 | . | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 16 | 12.5 | 50.0 | 18.8 | 18.8 | 0.96 | 2.4 | 3.3 | -0.9 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 16 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 68.8 | 12.5 | 0.57 | 2.9 | 3.4 | -0.5 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 16 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 62.5 | 25.0 | 0.62 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 43.8 | 50.0 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.45 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 16 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 0.73 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 43.8 | 50.0 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 73.3 | 20.0 | 0.52 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 15 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 53.3 | 26.7 | 0.70 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 12 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 41.7 | 25.0 | 0.79 | 2.9 | 3.2 | -0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.8 | 31.3 | 0.48 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 16 | 6.3 | 75.0 | 6.3 | 12.5 | 0.77 | 2.3 | 3.1 | -0.8 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 16 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 56.3 | 25.0 | 0.68 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 15 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 53.3 | 33.3 | 0.68 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-------|-------|------|-----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 5 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 3.1 | -0.5 | |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 6 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 3.2 | -0.5 | |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 7 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 28.6 | 3.1 | 3.2 | -0.1 | |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.3 | -1.3 | |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.3 | -1.3 | |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 | |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 | |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 | |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 | |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 | |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 22

Number of forms scanned = 16

Number of forms with at least one student response = 16

Percent completed = 72.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = COM 181 024L1 BASIC PUBLIC SPEAKING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Christensen, Spencer

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 7 | 38.9 | A | 2 | 11.8 |
| Sophomore | 10 | 55.6 | B | 10 | 58.8 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 5 | 29.4 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 1 | 5.6 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 5.6 |
| Studies Program | 5 | 27.8 | 2 hours | 2 | 11.1 |
| Required by my major | 9 | 50.0 | 3 hours | 10 | 55.6 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 4 | 22.2 | 4 - 5 hours | 4 | 22.2 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 5.6 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.49 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 61.1 | 33.3 | 0.57 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 52.9 | 41.2 | 0.61 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 18 | 11.1 | 22.2 | 27.8 | 38.9 | 1.06 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 55.6 | 38.9 | 0.59 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.1 | 38.9 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 18 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 44.4 | 0.77 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 44.4 | 50.0 | 0.62 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 77.8 | 0.43 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.9 | 61.1 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 18 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 27.8 | 61.1 | 0.71 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 18 | 5.6 | 11.1 | 38.9 | 44.4 | 0.88 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.7 | 35.3 | 0.49 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.3 | 43.8 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 13 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 53.8 | 38.5 | 0.63 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.1 | 38.9 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 16 | 6.3 | 25.0 | 37.5 | 31.3 | 0.93 | 2.9 | 3.1 | -0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 17 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 47.1 | 41.2 | 0.83 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 17 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 82.4 | 0.86 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|------|-------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.2 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 4 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 19

Number of forms scanned = 18

Number of forms with at least one student response = 18

Percent completed = 94.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = COM 181 025L1 BASIC PUBLIC SPEAKING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Christensen, Spencer

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 2 | 20.0 | A | 1 | 10.0 |
| Sophomore | 5 | 50.0 | B | 6 | 60.0 |
| Junior | 2 | 20.0 | C | 3 | 30.0 |
| Senior | 1 | 10.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 3 | 30.0 | 2 hours | 6 | 60.0 |
| Required by my major | 6 | 60.0 | 3 hours | 4 | 40.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 1 | 10.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.3 | 35.7 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 78.6 | 14.3 | 0.47 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 28.6 | 0.47 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 13 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 76.9 | 15.4 | 0.49 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 14 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 50.0 | 28.6 | 0.73 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 28.6 | 0.66 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 64.3 | 28.6 | 0.58 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.3 | 35.7 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.3 | 35.7 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 61.5 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 28.6 | 0.47 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 71.4 | 21.4 | 0.53 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 13 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 69.2 | 15.4 | 0.58 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 12 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 66.7 | 8.3 | 0.58 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 11 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 72.7 | 9.1 | 0.75 | 2.8 | 3.2 | -0.4 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 85.7 | 7.1 | 0.39 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 13 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 61.5 | 7.7 | 0.87 | 2.6 | 3.1 | -0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 28.6 | 0.66 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 21.4 | 64.3 | 0.76 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 18

Number of forms scanned = 14

Number of forms with at least one student response = 14

Percent completed = 77.8 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = COM 452 001L1 STDS IN INTERPERS COMM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Cichocki, David

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 11 | 47.8 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 11 | 47.8 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 1 | 4.3 |
| Senior | 23 | 100.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 2 | 8.7 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 10 | 43.5 |
| Required by my major | 21 | 91.3 | 3 hours | 9 | 39.1 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 2 | 8.7 | 4 - 5 hours | 2 | 8.7 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |
| SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 76.0 | 20.0 | 0.47 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 25 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 72.0 | 16.0 | 0.54 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 25 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 56.0 | 24.0 | 0.68 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 24 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 62.5 | 25.0 | 0.61 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 25 | 12.0 | 28.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 0.95 | 2.7 | 3.3 | -0.6 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 68.0 | 28.0 | 0.52 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 25 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 64.0 | 16.0 | 0.61 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 24 | 4.2 | 25.0 | 58.3 | 12.5 | 0.72 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. Presented material effectively | 24 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 54.2 | 20.8 | 0.69 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 68.0 | 28.0 | 0.52 | 3.2 | 3.7 | -0.5 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 76.0 | 24.0 | 0.44 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 25 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 60.0 | 28.0 | 0.62 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 25 | 0.0 | 24.0 | 56.0 | 20.0 | 0.68 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 25 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 60.0 | 32.0 | 0.60 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES | | | | | | | | | |
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 25 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 48.0 | 36.0 | 0.71 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 24 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 58.3 | 29.2 | 0.64 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 24 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 58.3 | 25.0 | 0.65 | 3.1 | 3.2 | -0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 25 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 60.0 | 32.0 | 0.60 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 25 | 4.0 | 12.0 | 64.0 | 20.0 | 0.71 | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |
| RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT | | | | | | | | | |
| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |
| SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS | | | | | | | | | |
| 20. Overall value of the course | 25 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 60.0 | 24.0 | 0.64 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 25 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 52.0 | 24.0 | 0.93 | 2.9 | 3.5 | -0.6 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 | |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 28

Number of forms scanned = 25

Number of forms with at least one student response = 25

Percent completed = 89.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = COM 462 001L1 INTERCULTURAL COMM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Cichocki, David

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 8 | 36.4 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 14 | 63.6 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 22 | 100.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 12 | 54.5 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 5 | 22.7 |
| Required by my major | 16 | 72.7 | 3 hours | 4 | 18.2 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 6 | 27.3 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 4.5 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.2 | 47.8 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 22 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 54.5 | 31.8 | 0.66 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 23 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 65.2 | 30.4 | 0.67 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 63.6 | 31.8 | 0.55 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 23 | 0.0 | 17.4 | 56.5 | 26.1 | 0.67 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 23 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 60.9 | 30.4 | 0.60 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 23 | 8.7 | 17.4 | 56.5 | 17.4 | 0.83 | 2.8 | 3.4 | -0.6 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 23 | 8.7 | 4.3 | 60.9 | 26.1 | 0.82 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 23 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 56.5 | 34.8 | 0.74 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 23 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 47.8 | 39.1 | 0.69 | 3.3 | 3.7 | -0.4 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.5 | 43.5 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 23 | 4.3 | 8.7 | 56.5 | 30.4 | 0.76 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 23 | 4.3 | 21.7 | 34.8 | 39.1 | 0.90 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 22 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 50.0 | 40.9 | 0.65 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 23 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 56.5 | 39.1 | 0.70 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 22 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 54.5 | 27.3 | 0.68 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 22 | 4.5 | 27.3 | 50.0 | 18.2 | 0.80 | 2.8 | 3.2 | -0.4 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 23 | 0.0 | 17.4 | 47.8 | 34.8 | 0.72 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 23 | 4.3 | 30.4 | 43.5 | 21.7 | 0.83 | 2.8 | 3.1 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 23 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 56.5 | 34.8 | 0.62 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 23 | 0.0 | 17.4 | 43.5 | 39.1 | 0.74 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 25

Number of forms scanned = 23

Number of forms with at least one student response = 23

Percent completed = 92.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = COM 462 002L1 INTERCULTURAL COMM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Cichocki, David

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 9 | 39.1 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 13 | 56.5 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 1 | 4.3 |
| Senior | 23 | 100.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 3 | 13.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 9 | 39.1 |
| Required by my major | 21 | 95.5 | 3 hours | 7 | 30.4 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 1 | 4.5 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 13.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 4.3 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 26 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 73.1 | 26.9 | 0.45 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 26 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 61.5 | 34.6 | 0.55 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 25 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 60.0 | 28.0 | 0.62 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 26 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 53.8 | 30.8 | 0.67 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 26 | 7.7 | 26.9 | 38.5 | 26.9 | 0.92 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 26 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 57.7 | 38.5 | 0.56 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 26 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 73.1 | 11.5 | 0.53 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 26 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 65.4 | 19.2 | 0.60 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 26 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 46.2 | 42.3 | 0.68 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 26 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 53.8 | 38.5 | 0.62 | 3.3 | 3.7 | -0.4 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 26 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 53.8 | 42.3 | 0.57 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 26 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 61.5 | 30.8 | 0.69 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 26 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 42.3 | 50.0 | 0.64 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 26 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 50.0 | 38.5 | 0.67 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 25 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 60.0 | 32.0 | 0.60 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 26 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 53.8 | 26.9 | 0.69 | 3.1 | 3.2 | -0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 26 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 65.4 | 30.8 | 0.53 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 25 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 64.0 | 20.0 | 0.61 | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 26 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 53.8 | 38.5 | 0.62 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 26 | 7.7 | 3.8 | 50.0 | 38.5 | 0.85 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 27

Number of forms scanned = 26

Number of forms with at least one student response = 26

Percent completed = 96.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 101 001L1 INTRO TO COMMUNICATIONS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = DeSantis, Alan

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 65 | 36.7 | A | 97 | 55.1 |
| Sophomore | 67 | 37.9 | B | 61 | 34.7 |
| Junior | 32 | 18.1 | C | 12 | 6.8 |
| Senior | 13 | 7.3 | D | 3 | 1.7 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 3 | 1.7 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 108 | 61.4 |
| Studies Program | 74 | 42.5 | 2 hours | 46 | 26.1 |
| Required by my major | 45 | 25.9 | 3 hours | 16 | 9.1 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 55 | 31.6 | 4 - 5 hours | 6 | 3.4 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 199 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 38.2 | 60.8 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 181 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 31.5 | 65.7 | 0.54 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 187 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 44.4 | 52.9 | 0.57 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 198 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 37.9 | 59.1 | 0.57 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 198 | 2.0 | 6.6 | 42.4 | 49.0 | 0.70 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 197 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 42.1 | 57.4 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 195 | 6.7 | 17.9 | 39.5 | 35.9 | 0.90 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 185 | 11.9 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 34.1 | 1.03 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 198 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 21.7 | 77.3 | 0.45 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 198 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 81.8 | 0.39 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 185 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 31.9 | 62.7 | 0.60 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 199 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 26.1 | 72.9 | 0.50 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 199 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 25.6 | 71.9 | 0.56 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 197 | 0.5 | 4.1 | 34.5 | 60.9 | 0.60 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 191 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 37.7 | 59.7 | 0.57 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 195 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 37.9 | 59.0 | 0.58 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 181 | 0.6 | 7.7 | 39.8 | 51.9 | 0.66 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 193 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 33.7 | 65.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 194 | 2.6 | 7.2 | 35.1 | 55.2 | 0.74 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 196 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 19.9 | 76.5 | 0.54 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 195 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 11.3 | 86.7 | 0.41 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | | Diff |
|---|----|------------------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | SD | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 45 | 2.2 | 6.7 | 48.9 | 42.2 | 0.70 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.2 | |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 59 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 47.5 | 49.2 | 0.62 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 | |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 56 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 42.9 | 53.6 | 0.57 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 | |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 | |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 65.0 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 | |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 45.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 | |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | . | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 | |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 54.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 | |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 54.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 | |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | . | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 | |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 28.6 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 | |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | . | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 | |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | . | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 | |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 | |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 | |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 293

Number of forms scanned = 281

Number of forms with at least one student response = 200

Percent completed = 68.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 101 002L1 INTRO TO COMMUNICATIONS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = DeSantis, Alan

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 23 | 13.0 | A | 86 | 49.4 |
| Sophomore | 90 | 50.8 | B | 68 | 39.1 |
| Junior | 41 | 23.2 | C | 15 | 8.6 |
| Senior | 23 | 13.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 1 | 0.6 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 4 | 2.3 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 106 | 60.2 |
| Studies Program | 56 | 31.8 | 2 hours | 47 | 26.7 |
| Required by my major | 37 | 21.0 | 3 hours | 17 | 9.7 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 83 | 47.2 | 4 - 5 hours | 6 | 3.4 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 204 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 46.1 | 52.9 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 174 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 33.3 | 64.4 | 0.55 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 195 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 47.7 | 48.2 | 0.57 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 205 | 1.0 | 7.3 | 40.5 | 51.2 | 0.67 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 201 | 2.5 | 12.9 | 43.3 | 41.3 | 0.77 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 205 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 51.7 | 45.4 | 0.57 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 203 | 5.4 | 24.1 | 39.4 | 31.0 | 0.88 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 193 | 16.1 | 30.6 | 24.4 | 29.0 | 1.06 | 2.7 | 3.3 | -0.6 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 205 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 29.8 | 69.8 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 203 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.6 | 75.4 | 0.43 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 185 | 2.2 | 10.8 | 40.5 | 46.5 | 0.75 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 203 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 36.5 | 60.6 | 0.59 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 203 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 29.6 | 66.0 | 0.61 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 203 | 2.0 | 12.3 | 37.4 | 48.3 | 0.76 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 198 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 46.0 | 50.5 | 0.58 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 200 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 46.0 | 47.5 | 0.61 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 194 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 46.4 | 43.3 | 0.66 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 203 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 41.4 | 56.2 | 0.55 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 202 | 1.0 | 11.4 | 43.6 | 44.1 | 0.71 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 203 | 0.5 | 5.4 | 29.6 | 64.5 | 0.62 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 203 | 0.5 | 3.9 | 16.3 | 79.3 | 0.55 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|----|------------------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 42 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 52.4 | 38.1 | 0.64 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.2 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 48 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 43.8 | 50.0 | 0.62 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 44 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 43.2 | 52.3 | 0.59 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 25 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 40.0 | 52.0 | 0.65 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 23 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 39.1 | 52.2 | 0.66 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 88.9 | . | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 77.8 | . | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 90.0 | 0.63 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.42 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 80.0 | 0.67 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 85.7 | . | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 85.7 | . | 3.9 | 3.3 | 0.6 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 85.7 | . | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 295

Number of forms scanned = 284

Number of forms with at least one student response = 205

Percent completed = 69.5 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = COM 181 009L1 BASIC PUBLIC SPEAKING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Glikman, Andrew

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 1 | 5.0 | A | 4 | 20.0 |
| Sophomore | 8 | 40.0 | B | 15 | 75.0 |
| Junior | 7 | 35.0 | C | 1 | 5.0 |
| Senior | 4 | 20.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 2 | 10.0 |
| Studies Program | 8 | 40.0 | 2 hours | 12 | 60.0 |
| Required by my major | 12 | 60.0 | 3 hours | 5 | 25.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 5.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.0 | 15.0 | 0.37 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 20 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 55.0 | 15.0 | 0.67 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 20 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 55.0 | 30.0 | 0.67 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 85.7 | 7.1 | 0.39 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 20 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 65.0 | 15.0 | 0.60 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 85.0 | 10.0 | 0.39 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 0.41 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 50.0 | 45.0 | 0.60 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.0 | 15.0 | 0.37 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.0 | 45.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.7 | -0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 0.47 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 0.47 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 20 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 75.0 | 5.0 | 0.49 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 20 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 55.0 | 35.0 | 0.64 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 76.5 | 17.6 | 0.49 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 18 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 72.2 | 11.1 | 0.54 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 15 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 6.7 | 0.63 | 2.6 | 3.2 | -0.6 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 0.41 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 19 | 0.0 | 52.6 | 42.1 | 5.3 | 0.61 | 2.5 | 3.1 | -0.6 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 19 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 78.9 | 5.3 | 0.46 | 2.9 | 3.4 | -0.5 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 19 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 57.9 | 31.6 | 0.63 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|------|-------|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 2 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.5 | 3.1 | -0.6 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 27

Number of forms scanned = 20

Number of forms with at least one student response = 20

Percent completed = 74.1 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = COM 181 010L2 BASIC PUBLIC SPEAKING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Glikman, Andrew

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 2 | 11.1 | A | 5 | 27.8 |
| Sophomore | 10 | 55.6 | B | 13 | 72.2 |
| Junior | 6 | 33.3 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 5 | 27.8 |
| Studies Program | 3 | 17.6 | 2 hours | 6 | 33.3 |
| Required by my major | 12 | 70.6 | 3 hours | 5 | 27.8 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 2 | 11.8 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 5.6 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 5.6 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 60.0 | 35.0 | 0.57 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 20 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 65.0 | 10.0 | 0.70 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 19 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 78.9 | 10.5 | 0.47 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 15 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 73.3 | 0.0 | 0.46 | 2.7 | 3.4 | -0.7 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 20 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 65.0 | 10.0 | 0.70 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 19 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 57.9 | 31.6 | 0.63 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 0.44 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 50.0 | 45.0 | 0.60 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 0.44 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.0 | 55.0 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 77.8 | 22.2 | 0.43 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 65.0 | 35.0 | 0.49 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 20 | 5.0 | 45.0 | 35.0 | 15.0 | 0.82 | 2.6 | 3.3 | -0.7 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 0.47 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 19 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 68.4 | 21.1 | 0.57 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 19 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 63.2 | 15.8 | 0.62 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 16 | 6.3 | 37.5 | 43.8 | 12.5 | 0.81 | 2.6 | 3.2 | -0.6 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 19 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 78.9 | 10.5 | 0.47 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 20 | 10.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 0.80 | 2.3 | 3.1 | -0.8 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 20 | 5.0 | 30.0 | 50.0 | 15.0 | 0.79 | 2.8 | 3.4 | -0.6 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 20 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 0.79 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|------|-------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 6 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 | . | 2.3 | 3.1 | -0.8 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 9 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 55.6 | 11.1 | . | 2.8 | 3.2 | -0.4 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.0 | . | 2.8 | 3.2 | -0.4 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 3 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 | . | 2.3 | 3.3 | -1.0 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 2 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 22

Number of forms scanned = 20

Number of forms with at least one student response = 20

Percent completed = 90.9 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = COM 181 011L1 BASIC PUBLIC SPEAKING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Hager, Jacqueline O

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 5 | 29.4 | A | 11 | 64.7 |
| Sophomore | 7 | 41.2 | B | 6 | 35.3 |
| Junior | 3 | 17.6 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 2 | 11.8 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 5 | 29.4 |
| Studies Program | 7 | 41.2 | 2 hours | 8 | 47.1 |
| Required by my major | 10 | 58.8 | 3 hours | 3 | 17.6 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 5.9 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.1 | 52.9 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 17 | 11.8 | 23.5 | 41.2 | 23.5 | 0.97 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 17 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 58.8 | 29.4 | 0.64 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.5 | 38.5 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.9 | 47.1 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.8 | 41.2 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.9 | 47.1 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.2 | 58.8 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.2 | 58.8 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.3 | 64.7 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 53.3 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.2 | 58.8 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 17 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 41.2 | 47.1 | 0.70 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.2 | 58.8 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 0.45 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 17 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 64.7 | 23.5 | 0.60 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 16 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 37.5 | 31.3 | 0.82 | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.7 | 35.3 | 0.49 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 17 | 11.8 | 29.4 | 41.2 | 17.6 | 0.93 | 2.6 | 3.1 | -0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 17 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 41.2 | 47.1 | 0.70 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 29.4 | 64.7 | 0.62 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|----|------------------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 4 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | . | 2.5 | 3.1 | -0.6 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 58.3 | 33.3 | 0.62 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 36.4 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 66.7 | . | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 20

Number of forms scanned = 17

Number of forms with at least one student response = 17

Percent completed = 85.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 252 001L1 INTRO INTERPERSONAL COM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Letcher, Traci

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 14 | 66.7 |
| Sophomore | 12 | 54.5 | B | 7 | 33.3 |
| Junior | 7 | 31.8 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 3 | 13.6 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 6 | 27.3 |
| Studies Program | 7 | 31.8 | 2 hours | 10 | 45.5 |
| Required by my major | 11 | 50.0 | 3 hours | 5 | 22.7 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 4 | 18.2 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 4.5 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.1 | 73.9 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.8 | 52.2 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.5 | 56.5 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 23 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 39.1 | 56.5 | 0.59 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.8 | 65.2 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.2 | 47.8 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.1 | 73.9 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 23 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 56.5 | 39.1 | 0.57 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.8 | 65.2 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 87.0 | 0.34 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.4 | 69.6 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.1 | 73.9 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 23 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 43.5 | 43.5 | 0.70 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.4 | 82.6 | 0.39 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 23 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 30.4 | 56.5 | 0.73 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 23 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 52.2 | 39.1 | 0.63 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 23 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 52.2 | 34.8 | 0.67 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.5 | 56.5 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 23 | 0.0 | 26.1 | 34.8 | 39.1 | 0.81 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.8 | 52.2 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 78.3 | 0.42 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|------|------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | . | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 2 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.5 | 3.4 | -0.9 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 27

Number of forms scanned = 23

Number of forms with at least one student response = 23

Percent completed = 85.2 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 252 002L1 INTRO INTERPERSONAL COM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Letcher, Traci

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 2 | 9.1 | A | 13 | 59.1 |
| Sophomore | 6 | 27.3 | B | 9 | 40.9 |
| Junior | 11 | 50.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 3 | 13.6 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 10 | 45.5 |
| Studies Program | 10 | 47.6 | 2 hours | 6 | 27.3 |
| Required by my major | 7 | 33.3 | 3 hours | 5 | 22.7 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 4 | 19.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 4.5 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 24 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 45.8 | 50.0 | 0.59 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.8 | 65.2 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 24 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 58.3 | 33.3 | 0.61 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 24 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 58.3 | 33.3 | 0.61 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.8 | 54.2 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | 0.34 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.8 | 65.2 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.2 | 70.8 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 24 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 50.0 | 41.7 | 0.64 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 24 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 45.8 | 50.0 | 0.59 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 23 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 52.2 | 39.1 | 0.63 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 63.6 | 31.8 | 0.55 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 23 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 65.2 | 26.1 | 0.58 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.3 | 41.7 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 23 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 65.2 | 13.0 | 0.60 | 2.9 | 3.1 | -0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 24 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 45.8 | 50.0 | 0.59 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.2 | 70.8 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|------|-------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 5 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | . | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 29

Number of forms scanned = 29

Number of forms with at least one student response = 24

Percent completed = 82.8 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 252 202L1 INTRO INTERPERSONAL COM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Letcher, Traci

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 9 | 52.9 |
| Sophomore | 7 | 41.2 | B | 8 | 47.1 |
| Junior | 5 | 29.4 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 5 | 29.4 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 8 | 47.1 |
| Studies Program | 4 | 25.0 | 2 hours | 7 | 41.2 |
| Required by my major | 10 | 62.5 | 3 hours | 2 | 11.8 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 2 | 12.5 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 36.8 | 57.9 | 0.61 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 52.6 | 42.1 | 0.60 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 52.6 | 42.1 | 0.60 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 18 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 55.6 | 33.3 | 0.65 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 31.6 | 63.2 | 0.61 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 42.1 | 52.6 | 0.61 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 42.1 | 52.6 | 0.61 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 42.1 | 52.6 | 0.61 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 26.3 | 68.4 | 0.60 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 21.1 | 73.7 | 0.58 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 33.3 | 61.1 | 0.62 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 31.6 | 63.2 | 0.61 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 31.6 | 63.2 | 0.61 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 15.8 | 78.9 | 0.56 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 55.6 | 38.9 | 0.59 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 41.2 | 52.9 | 0.62 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 18 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 55.6 | 33.3 | 0.65 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 47.4 | 47.4 | 0.61 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 18 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 27.8 | 50.0 | 0.83 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 19 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 57.9 | 0.77 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 18 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 83.3 | 0.75 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.2 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 19

Number of forms scanned = 19

Number of forms with at least one student response = 19

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 287 001L1 PERSUASIVE SPEAKING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Letcher, Traci

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 2 | 11.1 | A | 12 | 66.7 |
| Sophomore | 7 | 38.9 | B | 6 | 33.3 |
| Junior | 5 | 27.8 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 4 | 22.2 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 7 | 38.9 |
| Studies Program | 2 | 11.1 | 2 hours | 6 | 33.3 |
| Required by my major | 9 | 50.0 | 3 hours | 5 | 27.8 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 7 | 38.9 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 19 | 15.8 | 52.6 | 21.1 | 10.5 | 0.87 | 2.3 | 3.3 | -1.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 19 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 52.6 | 36.8 | 0.65 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 64.7 | 29.4 | 0.56 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.6 | 47.4 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.4 | 52.6 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.6 | 68.4 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.4 | 52.6 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 73.7 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.6 | 68.4 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 19 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 52.6 | 36.8 | 0.65 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 73.7 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 37.5 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.8 | 41.2 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 14 | 7.1 | 35.7 | 21.4 | 35.7 | 1.03 | 2.9 | 3.2 | -0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 17 | 0.0 | 47.1 | 23.5 | 29.4 | 0.88 | 2.8 | 3.1 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 15.8 | 78.9 | 0.56 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 78.9 | 0.42 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-------|-------|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.2 | -1.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 24

Number of forms scanned = 19

Number of forms with at least one student response = 19

Percent completed = 79.2 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 287 002L1 PERSUASIVE SPEAKING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Letcher, Traci

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 2 | 8.7 | A | 14 | 60.9 |
| Sophomore | 6 | 26.1 | B | 8 | 34.8 |
| Junior | 8 | 34.8 | C | 1 | 4.3 |
| Senior | 7 | 30.4 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 4 | 17.4 |
| Studies Program | 1 | 4.3 | 2 hours | 10 | 43.5 |
| Required by my major | 14 | 60.9 | 3 hours | 9 | 39.1 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 8 | 34.8 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 79.2 | 0.41 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 20 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 45.0 | 30.0 | 0.86 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.9 | 59.1 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.2 | 70.8 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | 0.34 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 79.2 | 0.41 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.38 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.1 | 73.9 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.2 | 70.8 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 24 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 29.2 | 66.7 | 0.58 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 45.5 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 24 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 41.7 | 54.2 | 0.59 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 19 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 31.6 | 52.6 | 0.76 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 23 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 34.8 | 52.2 | 0.72 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | P | F | G | E | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 79.2 | 0.41 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 87.0 | 0.34 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 25

Number of forms scanned = 24

Number of forms with at least one student response = 24

Percent completed = 96.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 252 003L1 INTRO INTERPERSONAL COM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Lowe, Don

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 1 | 4.0 | A | 20 | 80.0 |
| Sophomore | 6 | 24.0 | B | 5 | 20.0 |
| Junior | 11 | 44.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 7 | 28.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 20 | 80.0 |
| Studies Program | 13 | 52.0 | 2 hours | 3 | 12.0 |
| Required by my major | 9 | 36.0 | 3 hours | 2 | 8.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 3 | 12.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 27 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 55.6 | 40.7 | 0.56 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 27 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 55.6 | 29.6 | 0.66 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 27 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 66.7 | 22.2 | 0.58 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 27 | 7.4 | 3.7 | 63.0 | 25.9 | 0.78 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 27 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 63.0 | 29.6 | 0.58 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 27 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 66.7 | 25.9 | 0.56 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 27 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 63.0 | 25.9 | 0.60 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 27 | 3.7 | 25.9 | 55.6 | 14.8 | 0.74 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 27 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 63.0 | 25.9 | 0.60 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 51.9 | 48.1 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.7 | -0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 63.6 | 31.8 | 0.55 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 27 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 70.4 | 25.9 | 0.51 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 27 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 63.0 | 14.8 | 0.62 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 27 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 59.3 | 33.3 | 0.59 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 26 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 76.9 | 11.5 | 0.49 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 26 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 73.1 | 15.4 | 0.53 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 24 | 8.3 | 12.5 | 62.5 | 16.7 | 0.80 | 2.9 | 3.2 | -0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 27 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 70.4 | 25.9 | 0.51 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 27 | 7.4 | 37.0 | 51.9 | 3.7 | 0.70 | 2.5 | 3.1 | -0.6 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 27 | 3.7 | 22.2 | 55.6 | 18.5 | 0.75 | 2.9 | 3.4 | -0.5 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 27 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 59.3 | 33.3 | 0.70 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|----|------------------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 8 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 50.0 | 12.5 | . | 2.8 | 3.1 | -0.3 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 11 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 27.3 | 0.83 | 3.1 | 3.2 | -0.1 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 72.7 | 18.2 | 0.54 | 3.1 | 3.2 | -0.1 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 1.0 | 3.4 | -2.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.4 | -1.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 1.0 | 3.3 | -2.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 1.0 | 3.4 | -2.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 30

Number of forms scanned = 29

Number of forms with at least one student response = 27

Percent completed = 90.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 252 004L1 INTRO INTERPERSONAL COM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Lowe, Don

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 16 | 76.2 |
| Sophomore | 14 | 66.7 | B | 5 | 23.8 |
| Junior | 7 | 33.3 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 18 | 85.7 |
| Studies Program | 11 | 52.4 | 2 hours | 2 | 9.5 |
| Required by my major | 6 | 28.6 | 3 hours | 1 | 4.8 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 4 | 19.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.2 | 31.8 | 0.48 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 22 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 63.6 | 22.7 | 0.61 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 22 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 59.1 | 27.3 | 0.64 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 22 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 50.0 | 36.4 | 0.69 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 22 | 4.5 | 13.6 | 50.0 | 31.8 | 0.81 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 72.7 | 27.3 | 0.46 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 59.1 | 36.4 | 0.57 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 22 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 50.0 | 31.8 | 0.71 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 22 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 54.5 | 31.8 | 0.66 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 22 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 45.5 | 45.5 | 0.66 | 3.4 | 3.7 | -0.3 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 68.4 | 26.3 | 0.54 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 22 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 50.0 | 40.9 | 0.65 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 22 | 4.5 | 18.2 | 45.5 | 31.8 | 0.84 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 45.5 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 22 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 63.6 | 27.3 | 0.59 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 22 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 63.6 | 22.7 | 0.61 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 21 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 61.9 | 28.6 | 0.60 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.2 | 31.8 | 0.48 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 21 | 4.8 | 33.3 | 52.4 | 9.5 | 0.73 | 2.7 | 3.1 | -0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | P | F | G | E | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 45.5 | 50.0 | 0.60 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 22 | 4.5 | 9.1 | 31.8 | 54.5 | 0.85 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|------|------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.0 | . | 2.8 | 3.1 | -0.3 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 55.6 | 33.3 | . | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 55.6 | 33.3 | . | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 30

Number of forms scanned = 22

Number of forms with at least one student response = 22

Percent completed = 73.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 252 006L1 INTRO INTERPERSONAL COM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Lowe, Don

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 1 | 4.2 | A | 15 | 62.5 |
| Sophomore | 15 | 62.5 | B | 8 | 33.3 |
| Junior | 7 | 29.2 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 1 | 4.2 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 1 | 4.2 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 14 | 58.3 |
| Studies Program | 14 | 58.3 | 2 hours | 8 | 33.3 |
| Required by my major | 6 | 25.0 | 3 hours | 1 | 4.2 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 4 | 16.7 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 4.2 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 28 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.4 | 53.6 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 28 | 3.6 | 7.1 | 57.1 | 32.1 | 0.72 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 28 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 57.1 | 35.7 | 0.60 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 28 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 50.0 | 39.3 | 0.66 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 28 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 28 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 39.3 | 57.1 | 0.58 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.7 | 59.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 27 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 40.7 | 48.1 | 0.69 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 28 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 39.3 | 57.1 | 0.58 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 28 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.3 | 60.7 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 51.9 | 48.1 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 28 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.3 | 60.7 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 28 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 28 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 32.1 | 60.7 | 0.64 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 27 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 48.1 | 44.4 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 27 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 33.3 | 51.9 | 0.74 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 25 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 36.0 | 56.0 | 0.65 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 26 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 46.2 | 50.0 | 0.58 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 27 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 37.0 | 51.9 | 0.69 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.0 | 63.0 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 28 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 17.9 | 78.6 | 0.52 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|----|------------------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 10 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 0.79 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 0.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.52 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 0.67 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | . | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 7 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 57.1 | . | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 31

Number of forms scanned = 28

Number of forms with at least one student response = 28

Percent completed = 90.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 252 008L1 INTRO INTERPERSONAL COM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Lowe, Don

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 22 | 84.6 |
| Sophomore | 18 | 66.7 | B | 4 | 15.4 |
| Junior | 5 | 18.5 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 4 | 14.8 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 14 | 51.9 |
| Studies Program | 12 | 44.4 | 2 hours | 10 | 37.0 |
| Required by my major | 11 | 40.7 | 3 hours | 1 | 3.7 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 4 | 14.8 | 4 - 5 hours | 2 | 7.4 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 0.47 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 30 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 70.0 | 23.3 | 0.53 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 30 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 66.7 | 26.7 | 0.55 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 30 | 3.3 | 13.3 | 63.3 | 20.0 | 0.69 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.3 | 36.7 | 0.49 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 73.3 | 26.7 | 0.45 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 30 | 0.0 | 36.7 | 50.0 | 13.3 | 0.68 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 29 | 3.4 | 6.9 | 65.5 | 24.1 | 0.67 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 29 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.2 | 44.8 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.7 | -0.3 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 27 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 59.3 | 29.6 | 0.62 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 30 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 70.0 | 26.7 | 0.50 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 29 | 3.4 | 27.6 | 48.3 | 20.7 | 0.79 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 30 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 56.7 | 40.0 | 0.56 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 28 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 64.3 | 32.1 | 0.53 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 28 | 3.6 | 7.1 | 67.9 | 21.4 | 0.66 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 28 | 3.6 | 10.7 | 67.9 | 17.9 | 0.67 | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 30 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 83.3 | 13.3 | 0.40 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 30 | 3.3 | 26.7 | 56.7 | 13.3 | 0.71 | 2.8 | 3.1 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 30 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 60.0 | 33.3 | 0.58 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 30 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 60.0 | 33.3 | 0.58 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|----|------------------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 7 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 57.1 | 14.3 | . | 2.9 | 3.1 | -0.2 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 11 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 63.6 | 18.2 | 0.63 | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 11 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 72.7 | 9.1 | 0.54 | 2.9 | 3.2 | -0.3 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 33

Number of forms scanned = 30

Number of forms with at least one student response = 30

Percent completed = 90.9 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 252 010L1 INTRO INTERPERSONAL COM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Lowe, Don

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 2 | 9.5 | A | 16 | 76.2 |
| Sophomore | 3 | 14.3 | B | 5 | 23.8 |
| Junior | 9 | 42.9 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 7 | 33.3 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 14 | 66.7 |
| Studies Program | 9 | 42.9 | 2 hours | 5 | 23.8 |
| Required by my major | 9 | 42.9 | 3 hours | 2 | 9.5 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 3 | 14.3 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.9 | 59.1 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 22 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 40.9 | 45.5 | 0.72 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 63.6 | 31.8 | 0.55 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 22 | 0.0 | 22.7 | 22.7 | 54.5 | 0.84 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 63.6 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.8 | 68.2 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 22 | 0.0 | 22.7 | 59.1 | 18.2 | 0.65 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 36.4 | 59.1 | 0.60 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.8 | 68.2 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.4 | 47.6 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 22 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 45.5 | 45.5 | 0.66 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.6 | 47.4 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 57.1 | 38.1 | 0.58 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 21 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 38.1 | 0.72 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.0 | 55.0 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 21 | 4.8 | 28.6 | 42.9 | 23.8 | 0.85 | 2.9 | 3.1 | -0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 45.5 | 50.0 | 0.60 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 40.9 | 54.5 | 0.60 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|------|-------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 4 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | . | 2.8 | 3.1 | -0.3 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 37.5 | . | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 7 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 57.1 | 14.3 | . | 2.9 | 3.2 | -0.3 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 30

Number of forms scanned = 22

Number of forms with at least one student response = 22

Percent completed = 73.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 252 014L1 INTRO INTERPERSONAL COM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Lowe, Don

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 2 | 10.0 | A | 15 | 75.0 |
| Sophomore | 10 | 50.0 | B | 5 | 25.0 |
| Junior | 6 | 30.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 2 | 10.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 8 | 40.0 |
| Studies Program | 12 | 60.0 | 2 hours | 11 | 55.0 |
| Required by my major | 5 | 25.0 | 3 hours | 1 | 5.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 3 | 15.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.0 | 56.0 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 25 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 44.0 | 48.0 | 0.76 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.0 | 36.0 | 0.49 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 25 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 44.0 | 48.0 | 0.65 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 40.0 | 56.0 | 0.59 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.0 | 68.0 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 0.58 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 25 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 48.0 | 40.0 | 0.78 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.0 | 76.0 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.2 | 70.8 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 64.0 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.0 | 68.0 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 64.0 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.0 | 76.0 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.0 | 56.0 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 0.58 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.0 | 36.0 | 0.49 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.0 | 56.0 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 25 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 64.0 | 28.0 | 0.58 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.0 | 52.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.0 | 76.0 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|----|------------------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 77.8 | 22.2 | . | 3.2 | 3.1 | 0.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 76.9 | 23.1 | 0.44 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.5 | 38.5 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | . | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 4 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 32

Number of forms scanned = 25

Number of forms with at least one student response = 25

Percent completed = 78.1 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 252 404L1 INTRO INTERPERSONAL COM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Lowe, Don

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 2 | 8.0 | A | 16 | 66.7 |
| Sophomore | 13 | 52.0 | B | 7 | 29.2 |
| Junior | 8 | 32.0 | C | 1 | 4.2 |
| Senior | 2 | 8.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 14 | 58.3 |
| Studies Program | 8 | 33.3 | 2 hours | 8 | 33.3 |
| Required by my major | 11 | 45.8 | 3 hours | 2 | 8.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 5 | 20.8 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 26 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 53.8 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 26 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 65.4 | 30.8 | 0.53 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 64.0 | 32.0 | 0.54 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 26 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 57.7 | 30.8 | 0.73 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 26 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 53.8 | 42.3 | 0.57 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 26 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.5 | 38.5 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 26 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.5 | 38.5 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 26 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 61.5 | 26.9 | 0.61 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 26 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 61.5 | 34.6 | 0.55 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 26 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.7 | 42.3 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.7 | -0.3 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.8 | 29.2 | 0.46 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 26 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69.2 | 30.8 | 0.47 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 26 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 53.8 | 38.5 | 0.62 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 26 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.7 | 42.3 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 26 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 65.4 | 30.8 | 0.53 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 72.0 | 24.0 | 0.50 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 25 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 68.0 | 24.0 | 0.55 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 26 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 73.1 | 26.9 | 0.45 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 26 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 73.1 | 19.2 | 0.63 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 26 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 42.3 | 50.0 | 0.64 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 0.58 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.1 | 0.7 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.2 | 0.6 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 29

Number of forms scanned = 26

Number of forms with at least one student response = 26

Percent completed = 89.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 252 012L1 INTRO INTERPERSONAL COM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Miller, Cyndy

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 1 | 3.7 | A | 23 | 85.2 |
| Sophomore | 8 | 29.6 | B | 4 | 14.8 |
| Junior | 9 | 33.3 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 9 | 33.3 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 12 | 44.4 |
| Studies Program | 17 | 63.0 | 2 hours | 11 | 40.7 |
| Required by my major | 7 | 25.9 | 3 hours | 4 | 14.8 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 3 | 11.1 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 29 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.2 | 82.8 | 0.38 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 29 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.1 | 75.9 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 29 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 24.1 | 72.4 | 0.54 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 29 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.1 | 75.9 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 29 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 27.6 | 69.0 | 0.55 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 29 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.1 | 75.9 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 29 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.1 | 75.9 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 29 | 6.9 | 3.4 | 24.1 | 65.5 | 0.87 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 29 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 17.2 | 75.9 | 0.72 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 29 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 89.7 | 0.31 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 26 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 19.2 | 76.9 | 0.53 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 29 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 79.3 | 0.41 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 29 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 72.4 | 0.82 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 29 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 79.3 | 0.41 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 29 | 3.4 | 6.9 | 27.6 | 62.1 | 0.78 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 28 | 3.6 | 7.1 | 28.6 | 60.7 | 0.79 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 26 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 30.8 | 57.7 | 0.81 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 29 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 31.0 | 65.5 | 0.68 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 29 | 13.8 | 3.4 | 37.9 | 44.8 | 1.03 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 28 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 17.9 | 60.7 | 1.05 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 28 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 14.3 | 78.6 | 0.60 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|------|------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 4 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | . | 2.5 | 3.1 | -0.6 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 9 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 55.6 | 11.1 | . | 2.8 | 3.2 | -0.4 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 8 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 50.0 | 12.5 | . | 2.8 | 3.2 | -0.4 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 30

Number of forms scanned = 29

Number of forms with at least one student response = 29

Percent completed = 96.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 325 001L1 INTRO TO ORG COMM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Miller, Cyndy

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 20 | 43.5 |
| Sophomore | 1 | 2.2 | B | 18 | 39.1 |
| Junior | 19 | 41.3 | C | 8 | 17.4 |
| Senior | 26 | 56.5 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 13 | 28.3 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 19 | 41.3 |
| Required by my major | 38 | 84.4 | 3 hours | 11 | 23.9 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 7 | 15.6 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 6.5 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 49 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 49.0 | 46.9 | 0.58 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 48 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 43.8 | 54.2 | 0.55 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 48 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 43.8 | 52.1 | 0.58 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 49 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 49.0 | 42.9 | 0.63 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 49 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 53.1 | 42.9 | 0.57 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 49 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 46.9 | 49.0 | 0.58 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 48 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 49 | 2.0 | 8.2 | 40.8 | 49.0 | 0.73 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 48 | 6.3 | 4.2 | 45.8 | 43.8 | 0.82 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 49 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 42.9 | 49.0 | 0.64 | 3.4 | 3.7 | -0.3 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 49 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 53.1 | 44.9 | 0.54 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 49 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 44.9 | 51.0 | 0.58 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 49 | 2.0 | 6.1 | 46.9 | 44.9 | 0.69 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 49 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 44.9 | 53.1 | 0.54 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 45 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 51.1 | 44.4 | 0.58 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 47 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 48.9 | 42.6 | 0.64 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 48 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 47.9 | 43.8 | 0.64 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 48 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 54.2 | 43.8 | 0.54 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 47 | 2.1 | 8.5 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 0.73 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 49 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 42.9 | 49.0 | 0.64 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 46 | 2.2 | 6.5 | 23.9 | 67.4 | 0.72 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-------|-------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.4 | -1.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 60

Number of forms scanned = 50

Number of forms with at least one student response = 49

Percent completed = 81.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = COM 181 021L1 BASIC PUBLIC SPEAKING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = O'Connor, Sandra

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 2 | 14.3 | A | 11 | 78.6 |
| Sophomore | 6 | 42.9 | B | 3 | 21.4 |
| Junior | 6 | 42.9 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 4 | 28.6 |
| Studies Program | 5 | 35.7 | 2 hours | 5 | 35.7 |
| Required by my major | 6 | 42.9 | 3 hours | 4 | 28.6 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 3 | 21.4 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 7.1 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 64.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 14 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 57.1 | 28.6 | 0.83 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 0.73 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 45.5 | 45.5 | 0.67 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 64.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.8 | 46.2 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 64.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 61.5 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 35.7 | 57.1 | 0.65 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 13 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 38.5 | 46.2 | 0.75 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 64.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 14 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 35.7 | 35.7 | 0.83 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 35.7 | 57.1 | 0.65 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 21.4 | 71.4 | 0.63 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.1 | 0.7 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 20

Number of forms scanned = 14

Number of forms with at least one student response = 14

Percent completed = 70.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = COM 181 022L1 BASIC PUBLIC SPEAKING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = O'Connor, Sandra

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 7 | 46.7 | A | 13 | 86.7 |
| Sophomore | 6 | 40.0 | B | 2 | 13.3 |
| Junior | 1 | 6.7 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 1 | 6.7 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 6 | 40.0 |
| Studies Program | 2 | 13.3 | 2 hours | 6 | 40.0 |
| Required by my major | 12 | 80.0 | 3 hours | 2 | 13.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 1 | 6.7 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 6.7 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 15 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 0.82 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 16 | 12.5 | 6.3 | 56.3 | 25.0 | 0.93 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 16 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 50.0 | 37.5 | 0.68 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.3 | 43.8 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 43.8 | 50.0 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 43.8 | 50.0 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.45 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.49 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 16 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 56.3 | 0.81 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.45 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.49 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 66.7 | 26.7 | 0.56 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 13 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 69.2 | 15.4 | 0.58 | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.3 | 43.8 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 15 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 46.7 | 26.7 | 0.76 | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 16 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 43.8 | 50.0 | 0.81 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 31.3 | 62.5 | 0.63 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | COLL | | |
|---|---|------------------|-------|-------|------|-----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | Mean | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 3.1 | -2.1 | |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 2 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 3.2 | -0.7 | |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 | |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 | |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.3 | -1.3 | |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 | |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 | |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 | |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 | |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 | |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 20

Number of forms scanned = 16

Number of forms with at least one student response = 16

Percent completed = 80.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = COM 181 202L1 BASIC PUBLIC SPEAKING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = O'Connor, Sandra

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 4 | 66.7 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 2 | 33.3 |
| Junior | 6 | 100.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 2 | 33.3 |
| Studies Program | 3 | 50.0 | 2 hours | 2 | 33.3 |
| Required by my major | 3 | 50.0 | 3 hours | 2 | 33.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|-----------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|-----|------|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|------|------|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 0.3 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 66.7 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|------|------|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 0.6 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|-----------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-----|-----|------|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|------|------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 4 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.1 | 0.4 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.2 | 0.6 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 11

Number of forms scanned = 6

Number of forms with at least one student response = 6

Percent completed = 54.5 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = COM 252 405L1 INTRO INTERPERSONAL COM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = O'Connor, Sandra

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 3 | 15.0 | A | 19 | 95.0 |
| Sophomore | 11 | 55.0 | B | 1 | 5.0 |
| Junior | 4 | 20.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 2 | 10.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 8 | 40.0 |
| Studies Program | 7 | 35.0 | 2 hours | 10 | 50.0 |
| Required by my major | 9 | 45.0 | 3 hours | 1 | 5.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 4 | 20.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 5.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 25 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 72.0 | 24.0 | 0.62 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 26 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 50.0 | 42.3 | 0.74 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 26 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 61.5 | 26.9 | 0.71 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 26 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 57.7 | 30.8 | 0.73 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 26 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 53.8 | 42.3 | 0.69 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 26 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 61.5 | 30.8 | 0.69 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 26 | 3.8 | 19.2 | 42.3 | 34.6 | 0.84 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 25 | 8.0 | 16.0 | 48.0 | 28.0 | 0.89 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 26 | 3.8 | 11.5 | 50.0 | 34.6 | 0.78 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 26 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 53.8 | 38.5 | 0.72 | 3.3 | 3.7 | -0.4 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 26 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 53.8 | 30.8 | 0.84 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 26 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 57.7 | 30.8 | 0.73 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 26 | 11.5 | 7.7 | 53.8 | 26.9 | 0.92 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 26 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 46.2 | 42.3 | 0.78 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 25 | 4.0 | 12.0 | 48.0 | 36.0 | 0.80 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 26 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 61.5 | 26.9 | 0.71 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 26 | 3.8 | 11.5 | 61.5 | 23.1 | 0.72 | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 26 | 3.8 | 11.5 | 53.8 | 30.8 | 0.77 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 25 | 8.0 | 12.0 | 44.0 | 36.0 | 0.91 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 25 | 4.0 | 16.0 | 36.0 | 44.0 | 0.87 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 25 | 12.0 | 20.0 | 24.0 | 44.0 | 1.08 | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|----|------------------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 0.67 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.2 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 10 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 0.88 | 2.9 | 3.2 | -0.3 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 37.5 | . | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 7 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 42.9 | 42.9 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | . | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | . | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 5 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 5 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 29

Number of forms scanned = 26

Number of forms with at least one student response = 26

Percent completed = 89.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = COM 252 406L1 INTRO INTERPERSONAL COM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = O'Connor, Sandra

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 5 | 50.0 | A | 7 | 63.6 |
| Sophomore | 2 | 20.0 | B | 3 | 27.3 |
| Junior | 2 | 20.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 1 | 10.0 | D | 1 | 9.1 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 4 | 40.0 |
| Studies Program | 4 | 40.0 | 2 hours | 4 | 40.0 |
| Required by my major | 5 | 50.0 | 3 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 1 | 10.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 2 | 20.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 28.6 | 0.47 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 64.3 | 28.6 | 0.58 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 50.0 | 42.9 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 13 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 46.2 | 30.8 | 0.76 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 13 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 23.1 | 38.5 | 0.91 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 13 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 30.8 | 23.1 | 0.83 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 28.6 | 0.66 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 13 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 46.2 | 46.2 | 0.65 | 3.4 | 3.7 | -0.3 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 13 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 46.2 | 38.5 | 0.73 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69.2 | 30.8 | 0.48 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 13 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 53.8 | 38.5 | 0.63 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.8 | 46.2 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 13 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 46.2 | 38.5 | 0.73 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 13 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 61.5 | 30.8 | 0.60 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 13 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 53.8 | 23.1 | 0.71 | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.5 | 38.5 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 12 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 33.3 | 0.72 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 13 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 46.2 | 38.5 | 0.73 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 13 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 53.8 | 0.87 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|------|-------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 4 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.8 | 3.1 | -0.3 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 4 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.8 | 3.2 | -0.4 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 3 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 33.3 | . | 2.7 | 3.2 | -0.5 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 28

Number of forms scanned = 15

Number of forms with at least one student response = 14

Percent completed = 50.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 482 001L1 STUDIES IN PERSUASION

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Rankin, Caroline

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 10 | 43.5 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 13 | 56.5 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 23 | 100.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 5 | 21.7 |
| Studies Program | 1 | 4.3 | 2 hours | 11 | 47.8 |
| Required by my major | 21 | 91.3 | 3 hours | 4 | 17.4 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 1 | 4.3 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 13.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 0.58 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 25 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 48.0 | 40.0 | 0.68 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 25 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 52.0 | 40.0 | 0.74 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 25 | 8.0 | 16.0 | 40.0 | 36.0 | 0.93 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 25 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 60.0 | 28.0 | 0.73 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 72.0 | 28.0 | 0.46 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 25 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 52.0 | 32.0 | 0.69 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 25 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 56.0 | 36.0 | 0.72 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 25 | 4.0 | 16.0 | 44.0 | 36.0 | 0.83 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.7 | -0.3 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 56.0 | 40.0 | 0.57 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 25 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 48.0 | 44.0 | 0.64 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 24 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 54.2 | 29.2 | 0.68 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 25 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 60.0 | 32.0 | 0.60 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 25 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 36.0 | 0.68 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 25 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 0.71 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 24 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 54.2 | 41.7 | 0.70 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 25 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 52.0 | 40.0 | 0.74 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 25 | 4.0 | 28.0 | 36.0 | 32.0 | 0.89 | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 25 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 48.0 | 40.0 | 0.68 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 25 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 48.0 | 36.0 | 0.71 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 26

Number of forms scanned = 25

Number of forms with at least one student response = 25

Percent completed = 96.2 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 482 002L1 STUDIES IN PERSUASION

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Rankin, Caroline

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 8 | 50.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 8 | 50.0 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 16 | 100.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 3 | 18.8 |
| Studies Program | 1 | 6.3 | 2 hours | 5 | 31.3 |
| Required by my major | 13 | 81.3 | 3 hours | 5 | 31.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 2 | 12.5 | 4 - 5 hours | 2 | 12.5 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 6.3 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.5 | 43.5 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 23 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 52.2 | 39.1 | 0.63 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 23 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 65.2 | 30.4 | 0.54 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.9 | 39.1 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 23 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 56.5 | 39.1 | 0.57 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 50.0 | 45.5 | 0.59 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 23 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 52.2 | 34.8 | 0.67 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 23 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 52.2 | 43.5 | 0.58 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 23 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 52.2 | 43.5 | 0.58 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.5 | 56.5 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.5 | 43.5 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.5 | 43.5 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 23 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 47.8 | 47.8 | 0.59 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 23 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 52.2 | 39.1 | 0.63 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 23 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 56.5 | 39.1 | 0.57 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 23 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 60.9 | 30.4 | 0.60 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 23 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 43.5 | 52.2 | 0.59 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 22 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 54.5 | 31.8 | 0.66 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 45.5 | 50.0 | 0.60 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 45.5 | 50.0 | 0.60 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.2 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 27

Number of forms scanned = 24

Number of forms with at least one student response = 23

Percent completed = 85.2 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 365 001L1 INTRO TO COMM RES METH

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Real, Kevin

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 3 | 15.8 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 13 | 68.4 |
| Junior | 4 | 21.1 | C | 3 | 15.8 |
| Senior | 15 | 78.9 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 5.3 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 4 | 21.1 |
| Required by my major | 19 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 4 | 21.1 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 5 | 26.3 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 2 | 10.5 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 3 | 15.8 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |
| SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.2 | 70.8 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 24 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 58.3 | 0.88 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 24 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 37.5 | 54.2 | 0.66 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 24 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 41.7 | 50.0 | 0.65 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 24 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 45.8 | 0.71 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 24 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 41.7 | 45.8 | 0.70 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 24 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 33.3 | 62.5 | 0.58 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.8 | 54.2 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. Presented material effectively | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.38 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 24 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 25.0 | 70.8 | 0.56 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 24 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 25.0 | 70.8 | 0.56 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 23 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 39.1 | 52.2 | 0.66 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.4 | 82.6 | 0.39 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES | | | | | | | | | |
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 45.5 | 50.0 | 0.60 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 54.5 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 22 | 0.0 | 22.7 | 40.9 | 36.4 | 0.77 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
| RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT | | | | | | | | | |
| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |
| SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS | | | | | | | | | |
| 20. Overall value of the course | 23 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 47.8 | 43.5 | 0.65 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.1 | 73.9 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 25

Number of forms scanned = 24

Number of forms with at least one student response = 24

Percent completed = 96.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 525 401L1 ORGANIZATIONAL COMM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Real, Kevin

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 4 | 19.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 16 | 76.2 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 1 | 4.8 |
| Senior | 21 | 100.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 6 | 30.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 10 | 50.0 |
| Required by my major | 19 | 90.5 | 3 hours | 2 | 10.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 2 | 9.5 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 5.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 5.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |
| SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 64.0 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 64.0 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 64.0 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 64.0 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 64.0 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.0 | 52.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. Presented material effectively | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 16.0 | 80.0 | 0.52 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 84.0 | 0.37 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 20.0 | 76.0 | 0.54 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 24.0 | 72.0 | 0.56 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 25 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 28.0 | 64.0 | 0.65 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.41 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES | | | | | | | | | |
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 24 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 33.3 | 62.5 | 0.58 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 25 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 28.0 | 64.0 | 0.65 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 32.0 | 64.0 | 0.58 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 64.0 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 24 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 29.2 | 62.5 | 0.78 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |
| SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS | | | | | | | | | |
| 20. Overall value of the course | 24 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 20.8 | 75.0 | 0.55 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 23 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 13.0 | 82.6 | 0.52 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 28

Number of forms scanned = 25

Number of forms with at least one student response = 25

Percent completed = 89.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 281 001L1 COMM IN SMALL GROUPS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Stephens, Cartwright

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 2 | 11.1 |
| Sophomore | 6 | 33.3 | B | 12 | 66.7 |
| Junior | 11 | 61.1 | C | 4 | 22.2 |
| Senior | 1 | 5.6 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 2 | 11.1 | 2 hours | 3 | 16.7 |
| Required by my major | 14 | 77.8 | 3 hours | 6 | 33.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 2 | 11.1 | 4 - 5 hours | 5 | 27.8 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 3 | 16.7 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 5.6 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 45.5 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 68.2 | 27.3 | 0.53 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 22 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 77.3 | 18.2 | 0.61 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 22 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 68.2 | 27.3 | 0.66 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 22 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 50.0 | 13.6 | 0.69 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 22 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 77.3 | 9.1 | 0.49 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 68.2 | 27.3 | 0.53 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81.8 | 18.2 | 0.39 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 22 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 50.0 | 40.9 | 0.65 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.9 | 59.1 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.6 | 52.4 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 22 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 45.5 | 36.4 | 0.73 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 22 | 4.5 | 31.8 | 50.0 | 13.6 | 0.77 | 2.7 | 3.3 | -0.6 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 66.7 | 28.6 | 0.54 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 22 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 54.5 | 18.2 | 0.68 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 22 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 63.6 | 27.3 | 0.59 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 68.2 | 27.3 | 0.53 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 22 | 4.5 | 40.9 | 31.8 | 22.7 | 0.88 | 2.7 | 3.1 | -0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 22 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 59.1 | 13.6 | 0.64 | 2.9 | 3.4 | -0.5 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 22 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 54.5 | 31.8 | 0.66 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-------|-------|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.1 | -1.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 2 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.5 | 3.2 | -0.7 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | % answering item | | | | | | |
| N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 27
 Number of forms scanned = 22
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 22
 Percent completed = 81.5 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 281 002L1 COMM IN SMALL GROUPS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Stephens, Cartwright

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 2 | 9.5 | A | 10 | 45.5 |
| Sophomore | 4 | 19.0 | B | 11 | 50.0 |
| Junior | 9 | 42.9 | C | 1 | 4.5 |
| Senior | 6 | 28.6 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 2 | 9.1 |
| Studies Program | 1 | 4.5 | 2 hours | 4 | 18.2 |
| Required by my major | 13 | 59.1 | 3 hours | 4 | 18.2 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 8 | 36.4 | 4 - 5 hours | 7 | 31.8 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 3 | 13.6 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 2 | 9.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 26 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.8 | 46.2 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 26 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 69.2 | 26.9 | 0.51 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 26 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 65.4 | 26.9 | 0.57 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 26 | 3.8 | 11.5 | 61.5 | 23.1 | 0.72 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 26 | 3.8 | 19.2 | 57.7 | 19.2 | 0.74 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 26 | 7.7 | 19.2 | 53.8 | 19.2 | 0.83 | 2.8 | 3.4 | -0.6 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 26 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 65.4 | 30.8 | 0.53 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 26 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 65.4 | 26.9 | 0.57 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 26 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 53.8 | 30.8 | 0.67 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 26 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.3 | 57.7 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 26 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 53.8 | 38.5 | 0.72 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 26 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.7 | 42.3 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 25 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 68.0 | 20.0 | 0.57 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 26 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.7 | 42.3 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 0.44 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 26 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 65.4 | 26.9 | 0.57 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 25 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 64.0 | 28.0 | 0.58 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 26 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 57.7 | 34.6 | 0.60 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 26 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 46.2 | 15.4 | 0.71 | 2.8 | 3.1 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 26 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 50.0 | 34.6 | 0.69 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 26 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 46.2 | 50.0 | 0.58 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

% answering item
 N ----- Mean SD
 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 30
 Number of forms scanned = 26
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 26
 Percent completed = 86.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 281 003L1 COMM IN SMALL GROUPS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Stephens, Cartwright

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 9 | 47.4 |
| Sophomore | 5 | 26.3 | B | 9 | 47.4 |
| Junior | 9 | 47.4 | C | 1 | 5.3 |
| Senior | 5 | 26.3 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 2 | 10.5 |
| Studies Program | 3 | 16.7 | 2 hours | 4 | 21.1 |
| Required by my major | 10 | 55.6 | 3 hours | 5 | 26.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 5 | 27.8 | 4 - 5 hours | 5 | 26.3 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 3 | 15.8 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 0.58 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.0 | 68.0 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.2 | 45.8 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 72.0 | 24.0 | 0.50 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 25 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 64.0 | 20.0 | 0.79 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.0 | 36.0 | 0.49 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 25 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 44.0 | 0.70 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 25 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 60.0 | 32.0 | 0.71 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 25 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 44.0 | 48.0 | 0.65 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.0 | 72.0 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 24 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 45.8 | 41.7 | 0.69 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 25 | 4.0 | 12.0 | 52.0 | 32.0 | 0.78 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 25 | 8.0 | 16.0 | 48.0 | 28.0 | 0.89 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.0 | 56.0 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 25 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 52.0 | 40.0 | 0.63 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 24 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 62.5 | 33.3 | 0.55 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 23 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 60.9 | 34.8 | 0.56 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.0 | 32.0 | 0.48 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 25 | 4.0 | 20.0 | 52.0 | 24.0 | 0.79 | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 25 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 36.0 | 52.0 | 0.71 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 52.0 | 44.0 | 0.58 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 29
 Number of forms scanned = 25
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 25
 Percent completed = 86.2 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 281 401L1 COMM IN SMALL GROUPS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Stephens, Cartwright

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 6 | 24.0 |
| Sophomore | 1 | 4.0 | B | 18 | 72.0 |
| Junior | 9 | 36.0 | C | 1 | 4.0 |
| Senior | 15 | 60.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 4.0 |
| Studies Program | 4 | 16.0 | 2 hours | 1 | 4.0 |
| Required by my major | 19 | 76.0 | 3 hours | 12 | 48.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 2 | 8.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 10 | 40.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 4.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 27 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 37.0 | 59.3 | 0.58 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 27 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 59.3 | 33.3 | 0.70 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 27 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 59.3 | 37.0 | 0.55 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 27 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 66.7 | 25.9 | 0.56 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 27 | 0.0 | 25.9 | 55.6 | 18.5 | 0.68 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 27 | 7.4 | 18.5 | 63.0 | 11.1 | 0.75 | 2.8 | 3.4 | -0.6 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 77.8 | 22.2 | 0.42 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 27 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 55.6 | 33.3 | 0.64 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 27 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 55.6 | 33.3 | 0.64 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 77.8 | 0.42 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.5 | 56.5 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 26 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 38.5 | 50.0 | 0.70 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 27 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 63.0 | 22.2 | 0.62 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 27 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 59.3 | 33.3 | 0.59 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 27 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 63.0 | 29.6 | 0.58 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 27 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 63.0 | 29.6 | 0.58 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.4 | 29.6 | 0.47 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 27 | 0.0 | 40.7 | 44.4 | 14.8 | 0.71 | 2.7 | 3.1 | -0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 27 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 0.68 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 51.9 | 48.1 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-------|-------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.0 | . | 2.7 | 3.1 | -0.4 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.3 | -1.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.4 | -1.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 29
 Number of forms scanned = 27
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 27
 Percent completed = 93.1 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 281 402L1 COMM IN SMALL GROUPS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Stephens, Cartwright

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 4 | 22.2 |
| Sophomore | 1 | 5.3 | B | 9 | 50.0 |
| Junior | 11 | 57.9 | C | 4 | 22.2 |
| Senior | 7 | 36.8 | D | 1 | 5.6 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 2 | 11.1 |
| Studies Program | 5 | 26.3 | 2 hours | 1 | 5.6 |
| Required by my major | 12 | 63.2 | 3 hours | 6 | 33.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 2 | 10.5 | 4 - 5 hours | 5 | 27.8 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 2 | 11.1 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 2 | 11.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.9 | 38.1 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 21 | 4.8 | 9.5 | 61.9 | 23.8 | 0.74 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 57.1 | 38.1 | 0.58 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 21 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 42.9 | 38.1 | 0.94 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 21 | 14.3 | 4.8 | 52.4 | 28.6 | 0.97 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 66.7 | 28.6 | 0.54 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 57.1 | 38.1 | 0.58 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 20 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 65.0 | 25.0 | 0.72 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 21 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 42.9 | 47.6 | 0.67 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 18 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 38.9 | 50.0 | 0.70 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 47.6 | 47.6 | 0.60 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 21 | 14.3 | 23.8 | 33.3 | 28.6 | 1.04 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 21 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 42.9 | 47.6 | 0.67 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 66.7 | 28.6 | 0.54 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 21 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 61.9 | 28.6 | 0.73 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 21 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 66.7 | 23.8 | 0.57 | 3.1 | 3.2 | -0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 21 | 4.8 | 9.5 | 52.4 | 33.3 | 0.79 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 21 | 4.8 | 42.9 | 38.1 | 14.3 | 0.80 | 2.6 | 3.1 | -0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 21 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 38.1 | 42.9 | 0.77 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|------|-------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 4 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | . | 2.5 | 3.1 | -0.6 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 26
 Number of forms scanned = 21
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 21
 Percent completed = 80.8 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 249 001L1 MASS MEDIA/MASS CULTURE

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Van Tubergen, G Norman

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 3 | 20.0 |
| Sophomore | 1 | 6.7 | B | 9 | 60.0 |
| Junior | 6 | 40.0 | C | 2 | 13.3 |
| Senior | 8 | 53.3 | D | 1 | 6.7 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 5 | 33.3 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 5 | 33.3 |
| Required by my major | 13 | 86.7 | 3 hours | 3 | 20.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 2 | 13.3 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 2 | 13.3 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 20 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 70.0 | 20.0 | 0.69 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 20 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 0.72 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 18 | 5.6 | 16.7 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 0.80 | 2.9 | 3.4 | -0.5 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 20 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 40.0 | 15.0 | 1.10 | 2.4 | 3.4 | -1.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 20 | 15.0 | 25.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 0.99 | 2.7 | 3.3 | -0.6 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 20 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 70.0 | 20.0 | 0.55 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 0.47 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 19 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 52.6 | 26.3 | 0.91 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 20 | 10.0 | 35.0 | 30.0 | 25.0 | 0.98 | 2.7 | 3.4 | -0.7 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 65.0 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 15 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 60.0 | 26.7 | 0.64 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 17 | 5.9 | 23.5 | 41.2 | 29.4 | 0.90 | 2.9 | 3.5 | -0.6 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 20 | 15.0 | 25.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 0.99 | 2.7 | 3.3 | -0.6 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 19 | 5.3 | 10.5 | 63.2 | 21.1 | 0.75 | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 19 | 5.3 | 10.5 | 84.2 | 0.0 | 0.54 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 19 | 5.3 | 21.1 | 73.7 | 0.0 | 0.58 | 2.7 | 3.3 | -0.6 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 14 | 7.1 | 35.7 | 57.1 | 0.0 | 0.65 | 2.5 | 3.2 | -0.7 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 19 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 63.2 | 15.8 | 0.62 | 2.9 | 3.4 | -0.5 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 19 | 5.3 | 52.6 | 36.8 | 5.3 | 0.69 | 2.4 | 3.1 | -0.7 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 19 | 15.8 | 21.1 | 47.4 | 15.8 | 0.96 | 2.6 | 3.4 | -0.8 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 19 | 26.3 | 31.6 | 26.3 | 15.8 | 1.06 | 2.3 | 3.5 | -1.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

% answering item
 N ----- Mean SD
 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 23
 Number of forms scanned = 21
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 20
 Percent completed = 87.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 249 002L1 MASS MEDIA/MASS CULTURE

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Van Tubergen, G Norman

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 3 | 25.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 6 | 50.0 |
| Junior | 2 | 16.7 | C | 3 | 25.0 |
| Senior | 10 | 83.3 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 8.3 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 3 | 25.0 |
| Required by my major | 10 | 83.3 | 3 hours | 7 | 58.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 2 | 16.7 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 8.3 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|-------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81.3 | 18.8 | 0.40 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 75.0 | 18.8 | 0.50 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 16 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 81.3 | 0.0 | 0.40 | 2.8 | 3.4 | -0.6 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 81.3 | 12.5 | 0.44 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 93.8 | 0.0 | 0.25 | 2.9 | 3.4 | -0.5 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81.3 | 18.8 | 0.40 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 0.36 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 16 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 0.34 | 2.9 | 3.4 | -0.5 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.7 | -0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 0.36 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 87.5 | 12.5 | 0.34 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 16 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 75.0 | 6.3 | 0.50 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 16 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 81.3 | 6.3 | 0.44 | 2.9 | 3.5 | -0.6 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92.9 | 7.1 | 0.27 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 78.6 | 14.3 | 0.47 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 13 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 0.0 | 0.44 | 2.8 | 3.2 | -0.4 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 68.8 | 25.0 | 0.54 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 16 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 0.34 | 2.9 | 3.1 | -0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 75.0 | 18.8 | 0.50 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 81.3 | 12.5 | 0.44 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 | |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |

% answering item
 N ----- Mean SD
 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 27
 Number of forms scanned = 16
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 16
 Percent completed = 59.3 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 351 002L1 INTRO COMMUNICATION THRY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Waldhart, Enid S

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 2 | 10.5 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 11 | 57.9 |
| Junior | 4 | 21.1 | C | 6 | 31.6 |
| Senior | 15 | 78.9 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 2 | 10.5 |
| Required by my major | 19 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 4 | 21.1 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 8 | 42.1 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 3 | 15.8 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 2 | 10.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 40.9 | 54.5 | 0.60 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 22 | 4.5 | 9.1 | 63.6 | 22.7 | 0.72 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 54.5 | 40.9 | 0.58 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 22 | 4.5 | 13.6 | 45.5 | 36.4 | 0.83 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 22 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 68.2 | 13.6 | 0.58 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 45.5 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 63.6 | 31.8 | 0.55 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 22 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 63.6 | 22.7 | 0.61 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.8 | 68.2 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 22 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 45.5 | 45.5 | 0.66 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 54.5 | 40.9 | 0.58 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 22 | 9.1 | 22.7 | 54.5 | 13.6 | 0.83 | 2.7 | 3.3 | -0.6 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 59.1 | 36.4 | 0.57 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 21 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 76.2 | 14.3 | 0.50 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 22 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 72.7 | 13.6 | 0.53 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 22 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 72.7 | 9.1 | 0.53 | 2.9 | 3.2 | -0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 22 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 54.5 | 36.4 | 0.75 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 22 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 59.1 | 4.5 | 0.73 | 2.6 | 3.1 | -0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 21 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 28.6 | 0.65 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 21 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 47.6 | 38.1 | 0.70 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 25
 Number of forms scanned = 22
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 22
 Percent completed = 88.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = COM 584 001L1 TEACHING COMMUNICATION

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Waldhart, Enid S

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 8 | 66.7 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 4 | 33.3 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 12 | 100.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 2 | 16.7 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 3 | 25.0 |
| Required by my major | 12 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 5 | 41.7 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 2 | 16.7 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 14 | 7.1 | 14.3 | 42.9 | 35.7 | 0.92 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 50.0 | 42.9 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 16.7 | . | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 14 | 21.4 | 28.6 | 21.4 | 28.6 | 1.16 | 2.6 | 3.4 | -0.8 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 57.1 | 35.7 | 0.61 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 42.9 | 50.0 | 0.65 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 50.0 | 35.7 | 0.70 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 42.9 | 50.0 | 0.65 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 42.9 | 50.0 | 0.65 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 21.4 | 71.4 | 0.63 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 57.1 | 35.7 | 0.61 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 35.7 | 50.0 | 0.74 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 15
 Number of forms scanned = 15
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 14
 Percent completed = 93.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = COM 181 401L1 BASIC PUBLIC SPEAKING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Witt, Donald E

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 3 | 18.8 | A | 16 | 100.0 |
| Sophomore | 7 | 43.8 | B | 0 | 0.0 |
| Junior | 2 | 12.5 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 4 | 25.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 7 | 46.7 |
| Studies Program | 6 | 37.5 | 2 hours | 6 | 40.0 |
| Required by my major | 5 | 31.3 | 3 hours | 2 | 13.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 5 | 31.3 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 76.5 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 16 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 62.5 | 0.82 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.3 | 64.7 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 69.2 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 76.5 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 76.5 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 70.6 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 76.5 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 82.4 | 0.39 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 76.5 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 70.6 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 82.4 | 0.39 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 68.8 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 70.6 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 70.6 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.45 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 81.3 | 0.40 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 0.6 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 76.5 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 31.3 | 62.5 | 0.63 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 11.8 | 82.4 | 0.56 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 94.1 | 0.24 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.2 | 0.5 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 4 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |

% answering item

N ----- Mean SD

(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 27
 Number of forms scanned = 17
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 17
 Percent completed = 63.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visite www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = COM 181 402L1 BASIC PUBLIC SPEAKING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Witt, Donald E

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 1 | 8.3 | A | 12 | 100.0 |
| Sophomore | 6 | 50.0 | B | 0 | 0.0 |
| Junior | 3 | 25.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 2 | 16.7 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 9 | 75.0 |
| Studies Program | 4 | 33.3 | 2 hours | 2 | 16.7 |
| Required by my major | 7 | 58.3 | 3 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 1 | 8.3 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 8.3 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.45 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 12 | 8.3 | 16.7 | 33.3 | 41.7 | 1.00 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 63.6 | 0.69 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 77.8 | . | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 63.6 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.45 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 63.6 | 0.69 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 70.0 | 0.70 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 63.6 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 63.6 | 0.69 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 91.7 | 0.29 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.2 | 0.5 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |

% answering item
 N ----- Mean SD
 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 20
 Number of forms scanned = 12
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 12
 Percent completed = 60.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = LIS 601 401L1 INFO SOURCES & SERVICES

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Black-Parker, Kimberly

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 12 | 92.3 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 1 | 7.7 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 13 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 2 | 15.4 | 2 hours | 5 | 38.5 |
| Required by my major | 11 | 84.6 | 3 hours | 4 | 30.8 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 7.7 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 3 | 23.1 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 73.3 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 40.0 | 53.3 | 0.64 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 93.3 | 0.26 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.41 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 86.7 | 0.35 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 0.6 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 86.7 | 0.35 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.41 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.41 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 93.3 | 0.26 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 20.0 | 73.3 | 0.62 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 93.3 | 0.26 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.41 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 93.3 | 0.26 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 50.0 | 42.9 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 33.3 | 60.0 | 0.64 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 40.0 | 53.3 | 0.64 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 86.7 | 0.35 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 33.3 | 60.0 | 0.64 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.41 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.41 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.2 | 0.5 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

% answering item

N ----- Mean SD

(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 25
 Number of forms scanned = 15
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 15
 Percent completed = 60.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visite www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = LIS 645 001L1 PUBLIC LIBRARIES

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Carrigan, Dennis P

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 17 | 73.9 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 6 | 26.1 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 23 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 4 | 17.4 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 7 | 30.4 |
| Required by my major | 0 | 0.0 | 3 hours | 6 | 26.1 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 23 | 100.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 5 | 21.7 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 4.3 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.2 | 45.8 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 23 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 47.8 | 47.8 | 0.59 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 24 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 33.3 | 62.5 | 0.58 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 23 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 43.5 | 52.2 | 0.59 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 20 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 35.0 | 50.0 | 0.86 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 23 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 39.1 | 47.8 | 0.71 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 24 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 33.3 | 58.3 | 0.66 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 24 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 25.0 | 70.8 | 0.56 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 24 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 45.8 | 50.0 | 0.59 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 24 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 45.8 | 45.8 | 0.65 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 24 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 50.0 | 41.7 | 0.64 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 22 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 45.5 | 45.5 | 0.66 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 24 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 50.0 | 41.7 | 0.64 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 21 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 57.1 | 33.3 | 0.62 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 24 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 41.7 | 54.2 | 0.59 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 24 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 45.8 | 45.8 | 0.65 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 23 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 39.1 | 52.2 | 0.66 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 22 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 31.8 | 59.1 | 0.67 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 25
 Number of forms scanned = 24
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 24
 Percent completed = 96.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = LIS 600 001L1 INFORMATION IN SOCIETY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Case, Donald

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 11 | 73.3 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 4 | 26.7 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 16 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 6.3 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 5 | 31.3 |
| Required by my major | 15 | 93.8 | 3 hours | 5 | 31.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 1 | 6.3 | 4 - 5 hours | 5 | 31.3 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.1 | 52.9 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 17 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 52.9 | 35.3 | 0.66 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.6 | 29.4 | 0.47 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 17 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 47.1 | 41.2 | 0.83 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 17 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 35.3 | 47.1 | 0.77 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 47.1 | 47.1 | 0.62 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.1 | 52.9 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 17 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 47.1 | 41.2 | 0.69 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 17 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 58.8 | 29.4 | 0.64 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 68.8 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.3 | 35.7 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.2 | 58.8 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 17 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 41.2 | 47.1 | 0.70 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 17 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 41.2 | 52.9 | 0.80 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81.3 | 18.8 | 0.40 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 66.7 | 26.7 | 0.56 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 14 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 64.3 | 14.3 | 0.62 | 2.9 | 3.2 | -0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.1 | 52.9 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 17 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 52.9 | 29.4 | 0.70 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 41.2 | 52.9 | 0.62 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.2 | 58.8 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 18
 Number of forms scanned = 17
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 17
 Percent completed = 94.4 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = LIS 600 201L1 INFORMATION IN SOCIETY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Case, Donald

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 8 | 66.7 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 4 | 33.3 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 12 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 2 | 16.7 | 2 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| Required by my major | 10 | 83.3 | 3 hours | 3 | 25.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 6 | 50.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 3 | 25.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 41.7 | 50.0 | 0.67 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.3 | 41.7 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 90.0 | 10.0 | 0.32 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 33.3 | 58.3 | 0.67 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 63.6 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 72.7 | 18.2 | 0.54 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.39 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 12 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 41.7 | 41.7 | 0.75 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.45 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 54.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 12 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 0.74 | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 12 | 8.3 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 0.90 | 2.9 | 3.1 | -0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 12 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 41.7 | 41.7 | 0.75 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 16.7 | 75.0 | 0.65 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 14
 Number of forms scanned = 12
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 12
 Percent completed = 85.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = LIS 600 202L1 INFORMATION IN SOCIETY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Case, Donald

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 4 | 44.4 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 5 | 55.6 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 9 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 1 | 11.1 | 2 hours | 1 | 11.1 |
| Required by my major | 8 | 88.9 | 3 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 4 | 44.4 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 4 | 44.4 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 30.0 | 60.0 | 0.71 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 10 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 0.79 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.52 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 10 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 70.0 | 10.0 | 0.57 | 2.9 | 3.4 | -0.5 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 50.0 | 0.70 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.52 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 10 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 0.82 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.52 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.52 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | . | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | . | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.52 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | . | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 66.7 | 22.2 | . | 3.1 | 3.2 | -0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.53 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 50.0 | 0.70 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 30.0 | 60.0 | 0.71 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.52 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 10
 Number of forms scanned = 10
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 10
 Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = LIS 602 001L1 INFO STORAGE & RETRIEVAL

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Chan, Lois M

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 8 | 50.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 7 | 43.8 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 1 | 6.3 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 16 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 3 | 18.8 |
| Required by my major | 16 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 4 | 25.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 7 | 43.8 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 2 | 12.5 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.2 | 58.8 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.9 | 47.1 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.7 | 35.3 | 0.49 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 29.4 | 64.7 | 0.62 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 37.5 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 17 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 52.9 | 29.4 | 0.70 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.3 | 43.8 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 17 | 5.9 | 17.6 | 64.7 | 11.8 | 0.73 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 17 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 41.2 | 35.3 | 0.78 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 88.2 | 0.33 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.8 | 41.2 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 17 | 5.9 | 11.8 | 58.8 | 23.5 | 0.79 | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 17 | 0.0 | 35.3 | 41.2 | 23.5 | 0.78 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 17 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 58.8 | 11.8 | 0.64 | 2.8 | 3.5 | -0.7 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 52.9 | 41.2 | 0.61 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 28.6 | 0.66 | 3.1 | 3.2 | -0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 47.1 | 47.1 | 0.62 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 17 | 0.0 | 58.8 | 23.5 | 17.6 | 0.80 | 2.6 | 3.1 | -0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 17 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 47.1 | 35.3 | 0.73 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 17 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 41.2 | 41.2 | 0.75 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 24
 Number of forms scanned = 17
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 17
 Percent completed = 70.8 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = LIS 655 001L1 ORGANIZATION OF KNOWLEDGE I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Chan, Lois M

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 7 | 58.3 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 4 | 33.3 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 1 | 8.3 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 13 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 7.7 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 1 | 7.7 |
| Required by my major | 0 | 0.0 | 3 hours | 3 | 23.1 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 13 | 100.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 4 | 30.8 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 7.7 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 3 | 23.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69.2 | 30.8 | 0.48 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 61.5 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 69.2 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 53.8 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.8 | 46.2 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 84.6 | 15.4 | 0.38 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 13 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 53.8 | 38.5 | 0.63 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 13 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 76.9 | 7.7 | 0.49 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 13 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 61.5 | 30.8 | 0.60 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 92.3 | 0.28 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 0.42 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 53.8 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 41.7 | 50.0 | 0.67 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 84.6 | 0.38 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 0.48 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 69.2 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 53.8 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 13 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 0.86 | 2.9 | 3.1 | -0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 84.6 | 0.38 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 69.2 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

% answering item
 N ----- Mean SD
 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 13
 Number of forms scanned = 13
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 13
 Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = LIS 630 201L1 ONLINE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AND SERVICES

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Franks, Mildred

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 13 | 81.3 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 3 | 18.8 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 16 | 94.1 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 1 | 5.9 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 3 | 17.6 |
| Required by my major | 3 | 17.6 | 3 hours | 1 | 5.9 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 14 | 82.4 | 4 - 5 hours | 5 | 29.4 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 5 | 29.4 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 3 | 17.6 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|-------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.9 | 61.1 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.9 | 61.1 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 38.9 | 55.6 | 0.62 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.8 | 72.2 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 44.4 | 50.0 | 0.62 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.5 | 38.5 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.8 | 41.2 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 55.6 | 38.9 | 0.59 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 11.1 | 83.3 | 0.55 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 27.8 | 66.7 | 0.61 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 20
 Number of forms scanned = 18
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 18
 Percent completed = 90.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = LIS 510 001L1 CHILDRENS LITERATURE AND RELATED MATERIALS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ireland, Sandra

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 20 | 83.3 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 4 | 16.7 |
| Junior | 2 | 8.3 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 17 | 70.8 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 5 | 20.8 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 3 | 12.5 |
| Required by my major | 20 | 83.3 | 3 hours | 6 | 25.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 4 | 16.7 | 4 - 5 hours | 7 | 29.2 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 6 | 25.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 2 | 8.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.0 | 68.0 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 25 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 40.0 | 48.0 | 0.80 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.0 | 68.0 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.0 | 56.0 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 36.0 | 60.0 | 0.58 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 40.0 | 56.0 | 0.59 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.0 | 72.0 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 84.0 | 0.37 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 88.0 | 0.33 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.4 | 69.6 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.41 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 16.0 | 80.0 | 0.52 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.0 | 76.0 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 36.0 | 60.0 | 0.58 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.0 | 72.0 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 21 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 38.1 | 52.4 | 0.68 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 25 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 60.0 | 0.71 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 40.0 | 56.0 | 0.59 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 24.0 | 72.0 | 0.56 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 16.0 | 80.0 | 0.52 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 30

Number of forms scanned = 25

Number of forms with at least one student response = 25

Percent completed = 83.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = LIS 659 202L1 COLLECTION DEVELOPMENT

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Jenkins, Paul

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 14 | 82.4 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 3 | 17.6 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 17 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 3 | 17.6 |
| Required by my major | 1 | 5.9 | 3 hours | 8 | 47.1 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 16 | 94.1 | 4 - 5 hours | 5 | 29.4 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 5.9 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 76.5 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 70.6 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 70.6 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 70.6 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 82.4 | 0.39 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.3 | 64.7 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.45 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 16 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 25.0 | 62.5 | 0.73 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.3 | 64.7 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 70.6 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 76.5 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 82.4 | 0.39 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.1 | 52.9 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 31.3 | 62.5 | 0.63 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 70.6 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 70.6 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 0.6 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 88.2 | 0.33 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 88.2 | 0.33 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 19
 Number of forms scanned = 17
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 17
 Percent completed = 89.5 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = LIS 602 401L1 INFO STORAGE & RETRIEVAL

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Kim, Sujin

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 16 | 76.2 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 5 | 23.8 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 22 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 4.5 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 4 | 18.2 |
| Required by my major | 22 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 3 | 13.6 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 7 | 31.8 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 6 | 27.3 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 4.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 45.5 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 22 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 68.2 | 18.2 | 0.58 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 22 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 81.8 | 9.1 | 0.44 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 22 | 4.5 | 22.7 | 59.1 | 13.6 | 0.73 | 2.8 | 3.4 | -0.6 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 22 | 4.5 | 9.1 | 68.2 | 18.2 | 0.69 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 22 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 77.3 | 13.6 | 0.49 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 22 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 68.2 | 22.7 | 0.56 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 21 | 4.8 | 23.8 | 47.6 | 23.8 | 0.83 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 22 | 13.6 | 36.4 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.73 | 2.4 | 3.4 | -1.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.7 | -0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 68.4 | 26.3 | 0.54 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 22 | 9.1 | 13.6 | 72.7 | 4.5 | 0.70 | 2.7 | 3.5 | -0.8 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 22 | 18.2 | 36.4 | 40.9 | 4.5 | 0.84 | 2.3 | 3.3 | -1.0 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 22 | 0.0 | 31.8 | 68.2 | 0.0 | 0.48 | 2.7 | 3.5 | -0.8 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 16 | 6.3 | 25.0 | 62.5 | 6.3 | 0.70 | 2.7 | 3.3 | -0.6 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 20 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 0.73 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 20 | 5.0 | 30.0 | 50.0 | 15.0 | 0.79 | 2.8 | 3.2 | -0.4 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 22 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 68.2 | 18.2 | 0.58 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 21 | 14.3 | 38.1 | 28.6 | 19.0 | 0.98 | 2.5 | 3.1 | -0.6 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|-----|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 21 | 4.8 | 33.3 | 52.4 | 9.5 | 0.73 | 2.7 | 3.4 | -0.7 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 22 | 4.5 | 40.9 | 54.5 | 0.0 | 0.60 | 2.5 | 3.5 | -1.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 23
 Number of forms scanned = 22
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 22
 Percent completed = 95.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = LIS 640 401L1 HEALTH SCI LIBRARIES

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Kim, Sujin

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 3 | 60.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 2 | 40.0 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 6 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 1 | 16.7 |
| Required by my major | 1 | 16.7 | 3 hours | 1 | 16.7 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 5 | 83.3 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 50.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 16.7 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|-----------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------|------|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 87.5 | 12.5 | . | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 9 | 22.2 | 11.1 | 66.7 | 0.0 | . | 2.4 | 3.3 | -0.9 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 8 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 12.5 | . | 2.9 | 3.4 | -0.5 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 66.7 | 22.2 | . | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 88.9 | 11.1 | . | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 62.5 | 25.0 | . | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 9 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 55.6 | 11.1 | . | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------|------|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 9 | 11.1 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 0.0 | . | 2.3 | 3.4 | -1.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.7 | -0.4 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 77.8 | 11.1 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 9 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 55.6 | 0.0 | . | 2.4 | 3.5 | -1.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 9 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 44.4 | 11.1 | . | 2.6 | 3.3 | -0.7 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 9 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 66.7 | 11.1 | . | 2.9 | 3.5 | -0.6 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------|------|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 6 | 16.7 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 16.7 | . | 2.5 | 3.3 | -0.8 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 7 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 42.9 | 14.3 | . | 2.6 | 3.3 | -0.7 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 6 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 16.7 | 16.7 | . | 2.3 | 3.2 | -0.9 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 8 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 62.5 | 12.5 | . | 2.9 | 3.1 | -0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|-----------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|------|------|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 8 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 37.5 | 12.5 | . | 2.5 | 3.4 | -0.9 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 8 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 37.5 | 12.5 | . | 2.6 | 3.5 | -0.9 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 10
 Number of forms scanned = 9
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 9
 Percent completed = 90.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = LIS 510 401L1 CHILDRENS LITERATURE AND RELATED MATERIALS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = McHugh, Susan

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 18 | 94.7 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 1 | 5.3 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 18 | 90.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 2 | 10.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 5.0 |
| Studies Program | 1 | 5.3 | 2 hours | 3 | 15.0 |
| Required by my major | 17 | 89.5 | 3 hours | 10 | 50.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 1 | 5.3 | 4 - 5 hours | 4 | 20.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 2 | 10.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 90.0 | 0.31 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 19 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 31.6 | 52.6 | 0.76 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 65.0 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.0 | 55.0 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 20 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 0.66 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 65.0 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 65.0 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 19 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 68.4 | 0.77 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 65.0 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 65.0 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 38.9 | 55.6 | 0.62 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 38.9 | 55.6 | 0.62 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 57.1 | 0.76 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 33.3 | 61.1 | 0.62 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 33.3 | 61.1 | 0.62 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.41 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 27
 Number of forms scanned = 20
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 20
 Percent completed = 74.1 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = LIS 510 003L1 CHILDRENS LITERATURE AND RELATED MATERIALS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = McQueen, Sharon

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 11 | 50.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 10 | 45.5 |
| Junior | 1 | 4.5 | C | 1 | 4.5 |
| Senior | 18 | 81.8 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 3 | 13.6 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 4.5 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 10 | 45.5 |
| Required by my major | 20 | 90.9 | 3 hours | 1 | 4.5 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 2 | 9.1 | 4 - 5 hours | 9 | 40.9 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 4.5 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 22 | 13.6 | 18.2 | 36.4 | 31.8 | 1.04 | 2.9 | 3.5 | -0.6 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 21 | 9.5 | 23.8 | 42.9 | 23.8 | 0.93 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 54.5 | 40.9 | 0.58 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 22 | 22.7 | 9.1 | 54.5 | 13.6 | 1.01 | 2.6 | 3.3 | -0.7 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 22 | 4.5 | 9.1 | 54.5 | 31.8 | 0.77 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 54.5 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 36.4 | 59.1 | 0.60 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 22 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 54.5 | 27.3 | 0.68 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 90.9 | 0.29 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 20 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 35.0 | 55.0 | 0.69 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 59.1 | 40.9 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 22 | 4.5 | 27.3 | 13.6 | 54.5 | 1.01 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 61.9 | 33.3 | 0.56 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 22 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 45.5 | 0.73 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 18 | 5.6 | 44.4 | 16.7 | 33.3 | 1.00 | 2.8 | 3.2 | -0.4 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 40.9 | 54.5 | 0.60 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 22 | 4.5 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 59.1 | 0.95 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 22 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 40.9 | 40.9 | 0.75 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 22 | 9.1 | 22.7 | 31.8 | 36.4 | 1.00 | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

% answering item
 N ----- Mean SD
 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 25
 Number of forms scanned = 22
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 22
 Percent completed = 88.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = LIS 636 001L1 MICROCOMPUTERS IN LIBRARIES & INFO CENTERS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Miller, Joseph

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 10 | 52.6 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 9 | 47.4 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 19 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 5.3 |
| Studies Program | 3 | 15.8 | 2 hours | 2 | 10.5 |
| Required by my major | 10 | 52.6 | 3 hours | 4 | 21.1 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 6 | 31.6 | 4 - 5 hours | 8 | 42.1 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 5.3 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 3 | 15.8 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.1 | 61.9 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 20 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 0.79 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 21 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 61.9 | 28.6 | 0.60 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.4 | 47.6 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 47.6 | 47.6 | 0.60 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 28.6 | 66.7 | 0.59 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 50.0 | 45.0 | 0.60 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 23.8 | 71.4 | 0.58 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 95.2 | 0.22 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 0.3 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 20 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 0.67 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 47.6 | 47.6 | 0.60 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 70.0 | 20.0 | 0.57 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 63.2 | 31.6 | 0.56 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 63.2 | 31.6 | 0.56 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 47.6 | 47.6 | 0.60 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 20 | 5.0 | 30.0 | 35.0 | 30.0 | 0.91 | 2.9 | 3.1 | -0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 9.5 | 85.7 | 0.51 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 23
 Number of forms scanned = 21
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 21
 Percent completed = 91.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = LIS 638 401L1 INTERNET TECHNOLOGIES AND INFO SERVICES

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Miller, Joseph

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 8 | 44.4 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 10 | 55.6 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 19 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 2 | 11.1 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 3 | 16.7 |
| Required by my major | 3 | 15.8 | 3 hours | 4 | 22.2 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 16 | 84.2 | 4 - 5 hours | 5 | 27.8 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 3 | 16.7 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 5.6 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.41 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 19 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 63.2 | 15.8 | 0.62 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 45.0 | 50.0 | 0.60 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.0 | 45.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 65.0 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 95.0 | 0.22 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 0.3 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 65.0 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 45.0 | 50.0 | 0.60 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 52.6 | 42.1 | 0.60 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 6 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 61.1 | 33.3 | 0.57 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 72.2 | 27.8 | 0.46 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.0 | 45.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 20 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 55.0 | 30.0 | 0.67 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.41 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 20
 Number of forms scanned = 20
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 20
 Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = LIS 510 002L1 CHILDRENS LITERATURE AND RELATED MATERIALS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Nelson, Rebecca

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 16 | 80.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 4 | 20.0 |
| Junior | 1 | 5.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 15 | 75.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 4 | 20.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 9 | 45.0 |
| Required by my major | 17 | 85.0 | 3 hours | 6 | 30.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 3 | 15.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 15.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 5.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 5.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 40.0 | 55.0 | 0.61 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 20 | 10.0 | 25.0 | 45.0 | 20.0 | 0.91 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.0 | 55.0 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 20 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 45.0 | 40.0 | 0.72 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 20 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 65.0 | 30.0 | 0.70 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 20 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 45.0 | 0.85 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 20 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 45.0 | 0.85 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 20 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 35.0 | 55.0 | 0.69 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 20 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 50.0 | 0.68 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 19 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 42.1 | 47.4 | 0.82 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 65.0 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 20 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 30.0 | 55.0 | 0.88 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 45.0 | 50.0 | 0.60 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 42.1 | 52.6 | 0.61 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 50.0 | 45.0 | 0.60 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 19 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 52.6 | 42.1 | 0.75 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 65.0 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 20 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 40.0 | 50.0 | 0.81 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 20 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 15.0 | 65.0 | 0.83 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 20 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 75.0 | 0.67 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 24

Number of forms scanned = 20

Number of forms with at least one student response = 20

Percent completed = 83.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = LIS 600 401L1 INFORMATION IN SOCIETY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = O'Connor, Lisa

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 18 | 90.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 2 | 10.0 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 20 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 2 | 10.0 |
| Studies Program | 2 | 10.0 | 2 hours | 5 | 25.0 |
| Required by my major | 18 | 90.0 | 3 hours | 2 | 10.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 8 | 40.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 2 | 10.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 5.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 65.0 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 50.0 | 45.0 | 0.60 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 20 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 55.0 | 35.0 | 0.64 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 19 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 42.1 | 42.1 | 0.73 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 40.0 | 55.0 | 0.61 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 20 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 50.0 | 0.68 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.0 | 45.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 20 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 0.88 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 65.0 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 50.0 | 45.0 | 0.60 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 20 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 50.0 | 35.0 | 0.81 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 20 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 35.0 | 55.0 | 0.69 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 18 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 44.4 | 0.77 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 20 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 0.66 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 20 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 70.0 | 10.0 | 0.55 | 2.9 | 3.2 | -0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 20 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 50.0 | 0.68 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 20 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 35.0 | 40.0 | 0.91 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 20 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 0.67 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 20 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 35.0 | 55.0 | 0.82 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 21
 Number of forms scanned = 20
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 20
 Percent completed = 95.2 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = LIS 625 001L1 INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = O'Connor, Lisa

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 8 | 57.1 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 6 | 42.9 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 14 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 2 | 14.3 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 2 | 14.3 |
| Required by my major | 1 | 7.1 | 3 hours | 3 | 21.4 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 13 | 92.9 | 4 - 5 hours | 5 | 35.7 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 7.1 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 7.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 31.3 | 62.5 | 0.63 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 16 | 6.3 | 12.5 | 56.3 | 25.0 | 0.82 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.3 | 43.8 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 50.0 | 43.8 | 0.62 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 37.5 | 56.3 | 0.63 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.45 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 37.5 | 56.3 | 0.63 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 93.8 | 0.25 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 86.7 | 0.56 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 68.8 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 12.5 | 81.3 | 0.58 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 93.8 | 0.25 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.3 | 46.7 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 53.3 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 15 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 0.82 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 53.3 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 15 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 33.3 | 40.0 | 0.83 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 40.0 | 53.3 | 0.64 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 13.3 | 80.0 | 0.59 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 19
 Number of forms scanned = 16
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 16
 Percent completed = 84.2 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = LIS 659 201L1 COLLECTION DEVELOPMENT

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Palmer, Suzy Szasz

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 12 | 63.2 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 6 | 31.6 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 1 | 5.3 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 19 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 5.6 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 3 | 16.7 |
| Required by my major | 2 | 11.8 | 3 hours | 7 | 38.9 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 15 | 88.2 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 16.7 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 5.6 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 3 | 16.7 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|-------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.1 | 61.9 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 21 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 38.1 | 0.72 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 21 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 47.6 | 38.1 | 0.70 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 21 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 38.1 | 0.72 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 21 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 52.4 | 38.1 | 0.77 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 21 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 28.6 | 0.68 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.0 | 45.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 33.3 | 61.9 | 0.60 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 40.0 | 55.0 | 0.61 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 78.6 | 14.3 | 0.47 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 20 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 65.0 | 20.0 | 0.73 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 18 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 61.1 | 27.8 | 0.62 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 21 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 38.1 | 52.4 | 0.80 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 20 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 45.0 | 25.0 | 0.76 | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 21 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 28.6 | 61.9 | 0.81 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 20 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 55.0 | 0.76 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 24

Number of forms scanned = 21

Number of forms with at least one student response = 21

Percent completed = 87.5 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = LIS 653 401L1 PRESERVATION MANAGEMENT

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ryder, R

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 9 | 60.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 6 | 40.0 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 16 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 2 | 14.3 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 5 | 35.7 |
| Required by my major | 0 | 0.0 | 3 hours | 3 | 21.4 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 16 | 100.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 2 | 14.3 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 2 | 14.3 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 68.8 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | . | 3.9 | 3.3 | 0.6 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 26.7 | 66.7 | 0.63 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 21.4 | 64.3 | 0.76 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 68.8 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | 0.34 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 0.3 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.42 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.45 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 93.3 | 0.26 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 0.6 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 18.8 | 75.0 | 0.60 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | . | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 53.3 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 64.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.41 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 33.3 | 60.0 | 0.64 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | 0.34 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | 0.34 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 16
 Number of forms scanned = 16
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 16
 Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = LIS 655 401L1 ORGANIZATION OF KNOWLEDGE I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Scannell, Kerrie

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 8 | 57.1 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 5 | 35.7 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 1 | 7.1 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 16 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 7 | 46.7 |
| Required by my major | 8 | 50.0 | 3 hours | 4 | 26.7 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 8 | 50.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 20.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 6.7 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 37.5 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 60.0 | 33.3 | 0.59 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 50.0 | 43.8 | 0.62 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 47.1 | 47.1 | 0.62 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 16 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 56.3 | 31.3 | 0.66 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 50.0 | 43.8 | 0.62 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.8 | 41.2 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 58.8 | 35.3 | 0.59 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 16 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 62.5 | 25.0 | 0.62 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 47.1 | 47.1 | 0.62 | 3.4 | 3.7 | -0.3 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 36.4 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.8 | 31.3 | 0.48 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 17 | 5.9 | 17.6 | 52.9 | 23.5 | 0.83 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.6 | 29.4 | 0.47 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 7 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 57.1 | 14.3 | . | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.3 | 46.7 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 13 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 46.2 | 46.2 | 0.65 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 15 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 20.0 | 33.3 | 0.92 | 2.9 | 3.1 | -0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 17 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 41.2 | 41.2 | 0.75 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 17 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 41.2 | 41.2 | 0.75 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 21

Number of forms scanned = 17

Number of forms with at least one student response = 17

Percent completed = 81.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = LIS 603 001L1 MANAGEMENT IN LIBRARY AND INFO SCIENCE

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Sineath, Timothy W

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 13 | 86.7 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 2 | 13.3 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 15 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 3 | 20.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 7 | 46.7 |
| Required by my major | 15 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 2 | 13.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 20.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.7 | 35.3 | 0.49 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 17 | 5.9 | 23.5 | 64.7 | 5.9 | 0.69 | 2.7 | 3.3 | -0.6 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 76.5 | 23.5 | 0.44 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 16 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 50.0 | 37.5 | 0.68 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 47.1 | 47.1 | 0.62 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.7 | 35.3 | 0.49 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 16 | 6.3 | 12.5 | 56.3 | 25.0 | 0.82 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 76.5 | 23.5 | 0.44 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.2 | 58.8 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 0.45 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 76.5 | 23.5 | 0.44 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 16 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 62.5 | 25.0 | 0.62 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 76.5 | 17.6 | 0.49 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93.8 | 6.3 | 0.25 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.6 | 29.4 | 0.47 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 76.5 | 23.5 | 0.44 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 82.4 | 17.6 | 0.39 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 17 | 5.9 | 29.4 | 47.1 | 17.6 | 0.83 | 2.8 | 3.1 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 16 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 56.3 | 31.3 | 0.66 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 68.8 | 25.0 | 0.54 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 17
 Number of forms scanned = 17
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 17
 Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = LIS 603 401L1 MANAGEMENT IN LIBRARY AND INFO SCIENCE

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Sineath, Timothy W

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 7 | 50.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 7 | 50.0 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 14 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 7.1 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 5 | 35.7 |
| Required by my major | 13 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 2 | 14.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 21.4 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 3 | 21.4 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 47.1 | 47.1 | 0.62 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 17 | 5.9 | 11.8 | 47.1 | 35.3 | 0.86 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 17 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 41.2 | 35.3 | 0.78 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 5 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 17 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 41.2 | 47.1 | 0.97 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 58.8 | 35.3 | 0.59 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 58.8 | 35.3 | 0.59 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 17 | 11.8 | 17.6 | 41.2 | 29.4 | 0.99 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 17 | 5.9 | 17.6 | 47.1 | 29.4 | 0.87 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.1 | 52.9 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.7 | -0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.8 | 46.2 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 16 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 50.0 | 0.72 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 17 | 0.0 | 41.2 | 29.4 | 29.4 | 0.86 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 17 | 11.8 | 5.9 | 41.2 | 41.2 | 0.99 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 15 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 60.0 | 26.7 | 0.64 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 16 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 56.3 | 31.3 | 0.81 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 53.3 | 40.0 | 0.62 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.3 | 43.8 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 16 | 0.0 | 43.8 | 25.0 | 31.3 | 0.89 | 2.9 | 3.1 | -0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 16 | 6.3 | 31.3 | 18.8 | 43.8 | 1.03 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 15 | 13.3 | 6.7 | 33.3 | 46.7 | 1.06 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

% answering item
 N ----- Mean SD
 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 24
 Number of forms scanned = 17
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 17
 Percent completed = 70.8 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = LIS 644 201L1 ADMIN OF SCHL MEDIA CTRS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = White, Jackie

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 10 | 90.9 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 1 | 9.1 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 12 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 1 | 8.3 | 2 hours | 2 | 16.7 |
| Required by my major | 10 | 83.3 | 3 hours | 5 | 41.7 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 1 | 8.3 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 25.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 8.3 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 8.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 41.7 | 50.0 | 0.67 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 25.0 | 66.7 | 0.67 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.45 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 63.6 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 54.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 25.0 | 66.7 | 0.67 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 12 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 66.7 | 0.80 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 12 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 25.0 | 58.3 | 0.79 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.45 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 16.7 | 75.0 | 0.65 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 13
 Number of forms scanned = 12
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 12
 Percent completed = 92.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = LIS 647 201L1 CURRENT TRENDS IN SCHOOL MEDIA CENTERS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = White, Jackie

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 7 | 77.8 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 2 | 22.2 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 9 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 1 | 11.1 | 2 hours | 1 | 11.1 |
| Required by my major | 7 | 77.8 | 3 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 1 | 11.1 | 4 - 5 hours | 6 | 66.7 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 11.1 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 11.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.3 | 41.7 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 66.7 | 25.0 | 0.58 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.3 | 41.7 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 12 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 33.3 | 0.72 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 25.0 | 66.7 | 0.67 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.3 | 41.7 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 54.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 50.0 | 41.7 | 0.65 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 25.0 | 66.7 | 0.67 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 36.4 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 45.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 54.5 | 36.4 | 0.65 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.49 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 58.3 | 33.3 | 0.62 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 63.6 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 63.6 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 13
 Number of forms scanned = 13
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 12
 Percent completed = 92.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = LIS 668 001L1 INFO SYSTEMS DESIGN

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Yi, Kwan

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 5 | 100.0 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 5 | 100.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| Required by my major | 1 | 25.0 | 3 hours | 1 | 20.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 3 | 75.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 20.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 3 | 60.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|------|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 33.3 | . | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 33.3 | . | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 66.7 | 16.7 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|------|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 6 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.5 | 3.4 | -0.9 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.7 | -0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 6 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 33.3 | 16.7 | . | 2.7 | 3.5 | -0.8 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 6 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 50.0 | 16.7 | . | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 16.7 | . | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------|------|------|-------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | . | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 5 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 16.7 | . | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 6 | 16.7 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 16.7 | . | 2.5 | 3.1 | -0.6 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | P | F | G | E | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-----|------|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 6 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 33.3 | 16.7 | . | 2.7 | 3.4 | -0.7 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 6 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.0 | . | 2.7 | 3.5 | -0.8 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 6

Number of forms scanned = 6

Number of forms with at least one student response = 6

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 331 001L1 ADVERTISING CREATIVE STRATEGY & EXECUTION I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Altman, Dennis

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 4 | 28.6 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 9 | 64.3 |
| Junior | 8 | 57.1 | C | 1 | 7.1 |
| Senior | 6 | 42.9 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| Required by my major | 12 | 85.7 | 3 hours | 4 | 28.6 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 2 | 14.3 | 4 - 5 hours | 2 | 14.3 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 3 | 21.4 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 5 | 35.7 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 64.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 64.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 64.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 14 | 7.1 | 14.3 | 21.4 | 57.1 | 0.99 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 14 | 14.3 | 7.1 | 21.4 | 57.1 | 1.12 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 57.1 | 0.76 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 14 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 28.6 | 57.1 | 0.93 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 64.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 85.7 | 0.36 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 61.5 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 0.6 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 0.6 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 28.6 | 64.3 | 0.65 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 16
 Number of forms scanned = 14
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 14
 Percent completed = 87.5 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 331 002L1 ADVERTISING CREATIVE STRATEGY & EXECUTION I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Altman, Dennis

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 2 | 25.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 6 | 75.0 |
| Junior | 2 | 25.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 6 | 75.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 1 | 12.5 |
| Required by my major | 8 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 4 | 50.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 2 | 25.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 12.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 22.2 | 66.7 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 77.8 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 77.8 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 55.6 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 9 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 33.3 | 44.4 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 9 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 33.3 | 44.4 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 77.8 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 77.8 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 50.0 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 77.8 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 77.8 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 0.7 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | P | F | G | E | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | % answering item | | | | | | |
| N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 9
 Number of forms scanned = 9
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 9
 Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 431 001L1 ADVERTISING CREATIVE STRATEGY & EXECUTION II

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Altman, Dennis

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 11 | 73.3 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 4 | 26.7 |
| Junior | 1 | 6.3 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 15 | 93.8 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 1 | 6.7 |
| Required by my major | 15 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 9 | 60.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 4 | 26.7 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 6.7 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 17 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 35.3 | 58.8 | 0.80 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 12 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 58.3 | 0.90 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 76.5 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 61.5 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 47.1 | 47.1 | 0.62 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 52.9 | 41.2 | 0.61 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 52.9 | 41.2 | 0.61 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 76.5 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 94.1 | 0.24 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 82.4 | 0.39 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 70.6 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 70.6 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 82.4 | 0.39 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 29.4 | 64.7 | 0.62 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 76.5 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 70.6 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 0.5 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 76.5 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 76.5 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 0.7 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 93.8 | 0.25 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 93.8 | 0.25 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

% answering item
 N ----- Mean SD
 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 20
 Number of forms scanned = 17
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 17
 Percent completed = 85.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 341 001L1 STRATEGIC PUBLIC RELATIONS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Anyaegbunam, Chike

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 16 | 76.2 |
| Sophomore | 1 | 4.8 | B | 5 | 23.8 |
| Junior | 4 | 19.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 16 | 76.2 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 4.8 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 9 | 42.9 |
| Required by my major | 18 | 85.7 | 3 hours | 7 | 33.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 3 | 14.3 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 14.3 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 4.8 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 24 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 37.5 | 54.2 | 0.66 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 24 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 41.7 | 54.2 | 0.59 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 24 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 33.3 | 58.3 | 0.66 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.8 | 54.2 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 24 | 8.3 | 20.8 | 33.3 | 37.5 | 0.98 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.8 | 54.2 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.1 | 60.9 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.38 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 79.2 | 0.41 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 24 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 37.5 | 58.3 | 0.59 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.5 | 56.5 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 23 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 43.5 | 52.2 | 0.59 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.1 | 60.9 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 23 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 39.1 | 56.5 | 0.59 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 24 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 41.7 | 54.2 | 0.59 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.1 | 60.9 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.1 | 60.9 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 | |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |

% answering item
 N ----- Mean SD
 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 37
 Number of forms scanned = 32
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 24
 Percent completed = 64.9 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visite www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 371 001L1 SPECIALIZED PUBLIC RELATIONS WRITING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Anyaegbunam, Chike

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 6 | 85.7 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 0 | 0.0 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 7 | 100.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 1 | 14.3 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 7 | 100.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| Required by my major | 3 | 42.9 | 3 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 4 | 57.1 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------|------|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 75.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 75.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 75.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 85.7 | . | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 25.0 | 62.5 | . | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 8 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 62.5 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 8 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 12.5 | 62.5 | . | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|------|------|-------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 75.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | . | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|-----|------|------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | . | 3.9 | 3.3 | 0.6 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | . | 3.9 | 3.2 | 0.7 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | . | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.1 | 0.7 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | P | F | G | E | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-----|------|------|------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 8 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 | |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS
 *** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 14
 Number of forms scanned = 8
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 8
 Percent completed = 57.1 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 161 001L1 INTRO TO INTEGRATED STRATEGIC COMMUNICATION

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Barnes, Beth

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 2 | 2.8 | A | 26 | 36.6 |
| Sophomore | 26 | 36.6 | B | 36 | 50.7 |
| Junior | 39 | 54.9 | C | 9 | 12.7 |
| Senior | 3 | 4.2 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 1 | 1.4 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 20 | 28.2 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 33 | 46.5 |
| Required by my major | 69 | 97.2 | 3 hours | 15 | 21.1 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 2 | 2.8 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 1.4 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 1.4 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 1.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 76 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.9 | 67.1 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 75 | 4.0 | 12.0 | 42.7 | 41.3 | 0.81 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 76 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 40.8 | 57.9 | 0.52 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 76 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 38.2 | 60.5 | 0.52 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 76 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 39.5 | 53.9 | 0.70 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 76 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 39.5 | 57.9 | 0.55 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 76 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 76 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 42.1 | 52.6 | 0.60 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 76 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 30.3 | 63.2 | 0.62 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 76 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.1 | 82.9 | 0.38 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 69 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 40.6 | 58.0 | 0.53 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 75 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.7 | 69.3 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 76 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 34.2 | 61.8 | 0.57 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 76 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 47.4 | 50.0 | 0.55 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 69 | 1.4 | 7.2 | 50.7 | 40.6 | 0.67 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 74 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 44.6 | 51.4 | 0.58 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 72 | 1.4 | 12.5 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 0.74 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 76 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.5 | 60.5 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 76 | 1.3 | 11.8 | 32.9 | 53.9 | 0.75 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 75 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 32.0 | 62.7 | 0.64 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 75 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 18.7 | 73.3 | 0.63 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|-----|-----|------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.1 | 0.7 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.2 | 0.6 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |

% answering item

N ----- Mean SD

(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 115
 Number of forms scanned = 79
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 76
 Percent completed = 66.1 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visite www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 261 001L1 STRATEGIC PLANNING AND WRITING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Eckman, Alyssa

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 5 | 35.7 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 8 | 57.1 |
| Junior | 11 | 78.6 | C | 1 | 7.1 |
| Senior | 3 | 21.4 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 3 | 21.4 |
| Required by my major | 14 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 6 | 42.9 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 4 | 28.6 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 7.1 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 35.7 | 57.1 | 0.65 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.3 | 35.7 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 15 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 40.0 | 26.7 | 0.80 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 73.3 | 26.7 | 0.46 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.3 | 35.7 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 64.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 64.3 | 28.6 | 0.58 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 28.6 | 0.66 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 13 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 76.9 | 15.4 | 0.49 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.3 | 35.7 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 73.3 | 26.7 | 0.46 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 50.0 | 42.9 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|------|-------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 5 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | . | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 5 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 4 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 4 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | . | 2.8 | 3.4 | -0.6 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 3 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 33.3 | . | 2.7 | 3.4 | -0.7 |

% answering item
 N ----- Mean SD
 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 16
 Number of forms scanned = 16
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 15
 Percent completed = 93.8 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 261 002L1 STRATEGIC PLANNING AND WRITING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Eckman, Alyssa

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 1 | 8.3 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 11 | 91.7 |
| Junior | 12 | 100.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 3 | 25.0 |
| Required by my major | 12 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 1 | 8.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 6 | 50.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 2 | 16.7 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 25.0 | 66.7 | 0.67 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.49 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 12 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 41.7 | 25.0 | 0.79 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 58.3 | 33.3 | 0.62 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.3 | 41.7 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 12 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 33.3 | 41.7 | 0.83 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.3 | 41.7 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.45 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 45.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.3 | 41.7 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 50.0 | 41.7 | 0.65 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 12 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 58.3 | 25.0 | 0.67 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 11 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 63.6 | 18.2 | 0.83 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 0.45 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 60.0 | 30.0 | 0.63 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 12 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 16.7 | 0.74 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 12 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 0.74 | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 72.7 | 27.3 | 0.47 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 41.7 | 50.0 | 0.67 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|------|-------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 33.3 | . | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 6 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 50.0 | 16.7 | . | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 6 | 16.7 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 16.7 | . | 2.5 | 3.4 | -0.9 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 15

Number of forms scanned = 13

Number of forms with at least one student response = 12

Percent completed = 80.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 261 003L1 STRATEGIC PLANNING AND WRITING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Eckman, Alyssa

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 1 | 10.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 5 | 50.0 |
| Junior | 10 | 100.0 | C | 4 | 40.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 1 | 11.1 |
| Required by my major | 10 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 4 | 44.4 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 11.1 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 3 | 33.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 0.42 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 0.67 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.52 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 10 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 0.74 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 10 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 0.79 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 70.0 | 20.0 | 0.57 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 80.0 | 10.0 | 0.47 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 10 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 10.0 | 0.82 | 2.7 | 3.3 | -0.6 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 60.0 | 30.0 | 0.63 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.52 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 87.5 | 12.5 | . | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 70.0 | 20.0 | 0.57 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 10 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 0.67 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 10 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 10.0 | 0.82 | 2.7 | 3.5 | -0.8 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 9 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 55.6 | 11.1 | . | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 10 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 0.74 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 10 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 0.88 | 2.9 | 3.2 | -0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.52 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 10 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 0.79 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 10 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 0.82 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 10 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 0.82 | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|------|------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 6 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 50.0 | 16.7 | . | 2.8 | 3.4 | -0.6 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 16.7 | . | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 6 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 50.0 | 16.7 | . | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 6 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 16.7 | . | 2.7 | 3.4 | -0.7 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 66.7 | 16.7 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 15
 Number of forms scanned = 12
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 10
 Percent completed = 66.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 261 004L1 STRATEGIC PLANNING AND WRITING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Eckman, Alyssa

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 7 | 100.0 |
| Junior | 6 | 85.7 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 1 | 14.3 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 4 | 57.1 |
| Required by my major | 7 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 1 | 14.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 14.3 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 14.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | | |
|--|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | SD | Mean | Mean Diff | |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |
| SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | . | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 85.7 | . | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 7 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 42.9 | 42.9 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 14.3 | . | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | . | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | . | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | . | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. Presented material effectively | 7 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 28.6 | . | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | . | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 7 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 28.6 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 28.6 | . | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 7 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 42.9 | 42.9 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 7 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 28.6 | . | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES | | | | | | | | | |
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | . | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | . | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | . | 3.6 | 3.1 | 0.5 |
| RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT | | | | | | | | | |
| | N | % | | | | COLL | | | |
| | | P | F | G | E | SD | Mean | Mean Diff | |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |
| SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS | | | | | | | | | |
| 20. Overall value of the course | 7 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 42.9 | 42.9 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 28.6 | . | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.1 | 0.4 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 16
 Number of forms scanned = 14
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 7
 Percent completed = 43.8 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 321 401L1 RESEARCH METHODS FOR THE ISC PROFESSIONAL

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Eckman, Alyssa

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 8 | 57.1 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 5 | 35.7 |
| Junior | 5 | 35.7 | C | 1 | 7.1 |
| Senior | 9 | 64.3 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 4 | 28.6 |
| Studies Program | 1 | 7.7 | 2 hours | 7 | 50.0 |
| Required by my major | 12 | 92.3 | 3 hours | 1 | 7.1 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 2 | 14.3 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 73.3 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 15 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 0.83 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 93.3 | 0.26 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 93.3 | 0.26 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 0.3 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 86.7 | 0.35 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 0.6 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 86.7 | 0.35 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 86.7 | 0.35 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 0.6 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 20.0 | 73.3 | 0.62 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 0.5 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 93.3 | 0.26 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 15 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 26.7 | 60.0 | 0.74 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 18
 Number of forms scanned = 18
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 15
 Percent completed = 83.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 541 401L1 CRIT TOPS ISC: ISC IN AMERICAN CULTURE

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Eckman, Alyssa

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 27 | 64.3 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 12 | 28.6 |
| Junior | 1 | 2.5 | C | 3 | 7.1 |
| Senior | 39 | 97.5 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 20 | 47.6 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 15 | 35.7 |
| Required by my major | 22 | 53.7 | 3 hours | 6 | 14.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 19 | 46.3 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 2.4 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 44 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.5 | 70.5 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 44 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 43.2 | 52.3 | 0.59 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 44 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 38.6 | 59.1 | 0.55 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 44 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 47.7 | 50.0 | 0.55 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 43 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.6 | 67.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 44 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.8 | 68.2 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 44 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 29.5 | 68.2 | 0.53 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 44 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 43.2 | 54.5 | 0.55 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 44 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 44 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 86.4 | 0.35 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 42 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 78.6 | 0.42 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 44 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 44 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 44 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 40 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 45.0 | 50.0 | 0.60 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 43 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 39.5 | 53.5 | 0.63 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 40 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 40.0 | 47.5 | 0.70 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 43 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.2 | 62.8 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 42 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 42.9 | 50.0 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 44 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.9 | 59.1 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 44 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 88.6 | 0.32 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 53
 Number of forms scanned = 53
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 44
 Percent completed = 83.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 543 001L1 REGULATION OF STRATEGIC COMMUNICATION

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Moore, Roy L

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 3 | 27.3 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 6 | 54.5 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 1 | 9.1 |
| Senior | 12 | 100.0 | D | 1 | 9.1 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 5 | 41.7 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 4 | 33.3 |
| Required by my major | 6 | 50.0 | 3 hours | 3 | 25.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 6 | 50.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 15 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 46.7 | 40.0 | 0.70 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 42.9 | 50.0 | 0.65 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 53.3 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 53.3 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 53.3 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 53.3 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 53.3 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 73.3 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 53.3 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 53.3 | 40.0 | 0.62 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.3 | 46.7 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.49 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 71.4 | 21.4 | 0.53 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 | |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |

% answering item
 N ----- Mean SD
 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 25
 Number of forms scanned = 25
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 15
 Percent completed = 60.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCResultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 351 001L1 ISC MANAGEMENT: THE CASE APPROACH

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Roth, Richard

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 4 | 14.8 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 20 | 74.1 |
| Junior | 5 | 18.5 | C | 3 | 11.1 |
| Senior | 22 | 81.5 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 4 | 14.8 |
| Studies Program | 2 | 7.7 | 2 hours | 8 | 29.6 |
| Required by my major | 20 | 76.9 | 3 hours | 11 | 40.7 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 4 | 15.4 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 11.1 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 3.7 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 28 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.7 | 39.3 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 28 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 57.1 | 39.3 | 0.56 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 28 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 60.7 | 35.7 | 0.55 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 27 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 55.6 | 40.7 | 0.56 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 28 | 7.1 | 39.3 | 35.7 | 17.9 | 0.87 | 2.6 | 3.3 | -0.7 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 28 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 64.3 | 32.1 | 0.53 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 28 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 64.3 | 28.6 | 0.57 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 28 | 10.7 | 17.9 | 53.6 | 17.9 | 0.88 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 28 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 28.6 | 0.65 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 28 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 26 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.5 | 38.5 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 28 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 28 | 7.1 | 17.9 | 35.7 | 39.3 | 0.94 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 28 | 0.0 | 17.9 | 50.0 | 32.1 | 0.71 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 0.44 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.0 | 37.0 | 0.49 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 27 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 63.0 | 29.6 | 0.58 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 28 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 28 | 3.6 | 17.9 | 46.4 | 32.1 | 0.81 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 27 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 70.4 | 22.2 | 0.53 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 28 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 42.9 | 35.7 | 0.76 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | % answering item | | | | | | |
| N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 28
 Number of forms scanned = 28
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 28
 Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 351 401L1 ISC MANAGEMENT: THE CASE APPROACH

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Roth, Richard

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 3 | 18.8 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 13 | 81.3 |
| Junior | 3 | 18.8 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 13 | 81.3 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 2 | 13.3 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 7 | 46.7 |
| Required by my major | 13 | 81.3 | 3 hours | 5 | 33.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 3 | 18.8 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 6.7 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 84.2 | 0.37 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 31.6 | 63.2 | 0.61 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 73.7 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.4 | 52.6 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.6 | 47.4 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.1 | 57.9 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 73.7 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 84.2 | 0.37 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 94.7 | 0.23 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 89.5 | 0.32 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 73.7 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 73.7 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 41.2 | 52.9 | 0.62 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 27.8 | 66.7 | 0.61 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 76.5 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.2 | 58.8 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.1 | 57.9 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 89.5 | 0.32 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 20
 Number of forms scanned = 19
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 19
 Percent completed = 95.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 541 001L1 CRIT TOPS ISC: THE GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Roth, Richard

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 9 | 33.3 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 17 | 63.0 |
| Junior | 1 | 3.7 | C | 1 | 3.7 |
| Senior | 26 | 96.3 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 2 | 7.4 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 14 | 51.9 |
| Required by my major | 12 | 44.4 | 3 hours | 10 | 37.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 15 | 55.6 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 3.7 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.7 | 59.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 27 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 55.6 | 25.9 | 0.68 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 27 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 37.0 | 59.3 | 0.58 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 27 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 55.6 | 37.0 | 0.61 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 27 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 48.1 | 37.0 | 0.70 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 27 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 33.3 | 51.9 | 0.74 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 27 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 55.6 | 40.7 | 0.56 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 27 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 59.3 | 25.9 | 0.64 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 59.3 | 40.7 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.6 | 70.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.0 | 63.0 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 26 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 38.5 | 50.0 | 0.80 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 26 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 53.8 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 26 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 61.5 | 34.6 | 0.55 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 27 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 40.7 | 51.9 | 0.64 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 27 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 55.6 | 29.6 | 0.66 | 3.1 | 3.2 | -0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 27 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 48.1 | 48.1 | 0.58 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 27 | 3.7 | 11.1 | 55.6 | 29.6 | 0.75 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 27 | 3.7 | 7.4 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 0.78 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 27 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 55.6 | 0.70 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

% answering item
 N ----- Mean SD
 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 37
 Number of forms scanned = 28
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 27
 Percent completed = 73.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = ISC 311 402L1 ETHICS AND THE STRATEGIC COMMUNICATOR

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Shotwell, John

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 13 | 76.5 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 4 | 23.5 |
| Junior | 4 | 23.5 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 13 | 76.5 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 8 | 47.1 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 6 | 35.3 |
| Required by my major | 17 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 3 | 17.6 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.0 | 45.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 20 | 10.0 | 25.0 | 40.0 | 25.0 | 0.95 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 60.0 | 35.0 | 0.57 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 52.6 | 42.1 | 0.60 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 45.0 | 50.0 | 0.60 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 20 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 45.0 | 30.0 | 0.86 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 15 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 0.77 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 47.4 | 47.4 | 0.61 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 19 | 5.3 | 21.1 | 57.9 | 15.8 | 0.76 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 20 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 40.0 | 25.0 | 0.79 | 2.9 | 3.5 | -0.6 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 28.6 | 0.66 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 19 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 52.6 | 36.8 | 0.65 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 17 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 47.1 | 29.4 | 0.75 | 3.1 | 3.2 | -0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 60.0 | 35.0 | 0.57 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 19 | 10.5 | 36.8 | 36.8 | 15.8 | 0.90 | 2.6 | 3.1 | -0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 20 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 45.0 | 20.0 | 0.75 | 2.9 | 3.4 | -0.5 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 20 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 0.83 | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 21
 Number of forms scanned = 20
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 20
 Percent completed = 95.2 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = ISC 311 403L1 ETHICS AND THE STRATEGIC COMMUNICATOR

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Shotwell, John

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 14 | 82.4 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 3 | 17.6 |
| Junior | 8 | 47.1 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 9 | 52.9 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 9 | 52.9 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 5 | 29.4 |
| Required by my major | 17 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 3 | 17.6 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.1 | 38.9 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 18 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 66.7 | 11.1 | 0.81 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 61.1 | 33.3 | 0.57 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 18 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 61.1 | 27.8 | 0.76 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 18 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 0.69 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 55.6 | 38.9 | 0.59 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.1 | 38.9 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 18 | 5.6 | 16.7 | 61.1 | 16.7 | 0.76 | 2.9 | 3.4 | -0.5 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.1 | 38.9 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.7 | -0.3 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 16 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 62.5 | 25.0 | 0.62 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 66.7 | 27.8 | 0.55 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 18 | 11.1 | 22.2 | 50.0 | 16.7 | 0.89 | 2.7 | 3.3 | -0.6 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 18 | 11.1 | 27.8 | 50.0 | 11.1 | 0.85 | 2.6 | 3.5 | -0.9 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 17 | 5.9 | 11.8 | 70.6 | 11.8 | 0.70 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 17 | 5.9 | 17.6 | 70.6 | 5.9 | 0.66 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 16 | 6.3 | 12.5 | 75.0 | 6.3 | 0.66 | 2.8 | 3.2 | -0.4 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 72.2 | 22.2 | 0.51 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 17 | 17.6 | 5.9 | 70.6 | 5.9 | 0.86 | 2.6 | 3.1 | -0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 18 | 11.1 | 27.8 | 61.1 | 0.0 | 0.71 | 2.5 | 3.4 | -0.9 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 18 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 50.0 | 16.7 | 0.71 | 2.8 | 3.5 | -0.7 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 21
 Number of forms scanned = 19
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 18
 Percent completed = 85.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = ISC 361 401L1 DIR RESPONSE TARGETING: MEDIA/DATABASE MGMT

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Van Erden, Don

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 6 | 54.5 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 4 | 36.4 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 1 | 9.1 |
| Senior | 11 | 100.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 8 | 80.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 2 | 20.0 |
| Required by my major | 9 | 81.8 | 3 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 2 | 18.2 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 45.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 36.4 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 11 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 45.5 | 36.4 | 0.75 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 54.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 54.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 45.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 45.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 11 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 36.4 | 45.5 | 0.79 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 36.4 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 45.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.7 | -0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.52 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 45.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 11 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 45.5 | 36.4 | 0.94 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 11 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 36.4 | 0.87 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 54.5 | 36.4 | 0.65 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 36.4 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 36.4 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 36.4 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 36.4 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 11 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 45.5 | 36.4 | 0.75 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 36.4 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 | |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |

% answering item

N ----- Mean SD

(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 21
 Number of forms scanned = 12
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 11
 Percent completed = 52.4 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visite www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 441 401L1 CASE STDS IN PUB RELATNS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Whitlow, S S

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 8 | 29.6 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 15 | 55.6 |
| Junior | 3 | 11.1 | C | 4 | 14.8 |
| Senior | 24 | 88.9 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 3.7 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 4 | 14.8 |
| Required by my major | 27 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 16 | 59.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 5 | 18.5 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 3.7 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 28 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 32.1 | 64.3 | 0.57 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 28 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.4 | 53.6 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 28 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 28 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 53.6 | 21.4 | 0.69 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 28 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 60.7 | 32.1 | 0.59 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 28 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 46.4 | 42.9 | 0.67 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 28 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 39.3 | 57.1 | 0.58 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 28 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 0.71 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 28 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 28 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 78.6 | 0.42 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.0 | 63.0 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 28 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.3 | 60.7 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 27 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 44.4 | 48.1 | 0.64 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.0 | 63.0 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 27 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 51.9 | 44.4 | 0.57 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 28 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 64.3 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 28 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 57.1 | 32.1 | 0.63 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 28 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 28.6 | 67.9 | 0.56 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 28 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 35
 Number of forms scanned = 28
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 28
 Percent completed = 80.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 491 001L1 ISC CAMPAIGNS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Whitlow, S S

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 6 | 35.3 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 11 | 64.7 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 17 | 100.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 1 | 5.9 |
| Required by my major | 16 | 94.1 | 3 hours | 7 | 41.2 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 1 | 5.9 | 4 - 5 hours | 6 | 35.3 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 5.9 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 2 | 11.8 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.6 | 68.4 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.6 | 68.4 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.9 | 61.1 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.4 | 52.6 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.1 | 57.9 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.1 | 57.9 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 89.5 | 0.32 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 73.7 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 21.1 | 73.7 | 0.58 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 47.1 | 47.1 | 0.62 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.6 | 68.4 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 17 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 58.8 | 35.3 | 0.59 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 33.3 | 61.1 | 0.62 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 18 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 38.9 | 50.0 | 0.70 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.1 | 57.9 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.1 | 57.9 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 19
 Number of forms scanned = 19
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 19
 Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 491 002L1 ISC CAMPAIGNS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Whitlow, S S

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 4 | 25.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 11 | 68.8 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 1 | 6.3 |
| Senior | 16 | 100.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 3 | 18.8 |
| Required by my major | 16 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 4 | 25.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 5 | 31.3 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 3 | 18.8 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 6.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 90.5 | 0.30 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.1 | 61.9 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 76.2 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.1 | 61.9 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 81.0 | 0.40 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 76.2 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 85.7 | 0.36 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 90.5 | 0.30 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 85.7 | 0.36 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 85.7 | 0.36 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 19 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 21.1 | 73.7 | 0.58 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 0.5 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 25.0 | 70.0 | 0.59 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 0.6 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 65.0 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 85.0 | 0.37 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 22
 Number of forms scanned = 21
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 21
 Percent completed = 95.5 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 321 002L1 RESEARCH METHODS FOR THE ISC PROFESSIONAL

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Yoo, Chan

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 6 | 30.0 |
| Sophomore | 1 | 5.0 | B | 11 | 55.0 |
| Junior | 8 | 40.0 | C | 3 | 15.0 |
| Senior | 11 | 55.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 3 | 15.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 2 | 10.0 |
| Required by my major | 20 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 6 | 30.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 7 | 35.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 2 | 10.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 21 | 19.0 | 9.5 | 66.7 | 4.8 | 0.87 | 2.6 | 3.5 | -0.9 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 21 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 33.3 | 9.5 | 1.00 | 2.2 | 3.3 | -1.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 21 | 23.8 | 9.5 | 61.9 | 4.8 | 0.93 | 2.5 | 3.4 | -0.9 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 20 | 25.0 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 5.0 | 0.91 | 2.3 | 3.4 | -1.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 21 | 38.1 | 19.0 | 38.1 | 4.8 | 1.00 | 2.1 | 3.3 | -1.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 20 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 45.0 | 10.0 | 0.94 | 2.5 | 3.4 | -0.9 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 21 | 9.5 | 19.0 | 52.4 | 19.0 | 0.87 | 2.8 | 3.4 | -0.6 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 21 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 42.9 | 14.3 | 0.93 | 2.6 | 3.3 | -0.7 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 21 | 28.6 | 38.1 | 28.6 | 4.8 | 0.89 | 2.1 | 3.4 | -1.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 21 | 9.5 | 14.3 | 71.4 | 4.8 | 0.72 | 2.7 | 3.7 | -1.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 20 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 55.0 | 15.0 | 0.85 | 2.8 | 3.5 | -0.7 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 21 | 23.8 | 38.1 | 38.1 | 0.0 | 0.79 | 2.1 | 3.5 | -1.4 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 21 | 42.9 | 33.3 | 23.8 | 0.0 | 0.81 | 1.8 | 3.3 | -1.5 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 21 | 19.0 | 23.8 | 57.1 | 0.0 | 0.80 | 2.4 | 3.5 | -1.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|-----|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 19 | 21.1 | 42.1 | 31.6 | 5.3 | 0.85 | 2.2 | 3.3 | -1.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 21 | 14.3 | 38.1 | 42.9 | 4.8 | 0.80 | 2.4 | 3.3 | -0.9 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 19 | 15.8 | 31.6 | 52.6 | 0.0 | 0.76 | 2.4 | 3.2 | -0.8 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 21 | 9.5 | 52.4 | 33.3 | 4.8 | 0.73 | 2.3 | 3.4 | -1.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 20 | 25.0 | 45.0 | 25.0 | 5.0 | 0.85 | 2.1 | 3.1 | -1.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|------|------|------|-----|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 21 | 14.3 | 47.6 | 38.1 | 0.0 | 0.70 | 2.2 | 3.4 | -1.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 21 | 38.1 | 33.3 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 0.83 | 1.9 | 3.5 | -1.6 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 | |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |

% answering item
 N ----- Mean SD
 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 37
 Number of forms scanned = 22
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 21
 Percent completed = 56.8 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visite www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = ISC 451 001L1 INTEGRATED STRATEGIC MEDIA MANAGEMENT

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Yoo, Chan

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 5 | 33.3 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 8 | 53.3 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 2 | 13.3 |
| Senior | 16 | 100.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 2 | 13.3 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 2 | 13.3 |
| Required by my major | 13 | 86.7 | 3 hours | 7 | 46.7 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 2 | 13.3 | 4 - 5 hours | 4 | 26.7 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 83.3 | 11.1 | 0.42 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 18 | 22.2 | 33.3 | 38.9 | 5.6 | 0.89 | 2.3 | 3.3 | -1.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 17 | 5.9 | 17.6 | 52.9 | 23.5 | 0.83 | 2.9 | 3.4 | -0.5 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 18 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 0.69 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 18 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 50.0 | 27.8 | 0.73 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 18 | 0.0 | 27.8 | 66.7 | 5.6 | 0.55 | 2.8 | 3.4 | -0.6 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 17 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 64.7 | 17.6 | 0.61 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 17 | 5.9 | 11.8 | 64.7 | 17.6 | 0.75 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 18 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 0.90 | 2.9 | 3.4 | -0.5 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 18 | 5.6 | 11.1 | 55.6 | 27.8 | 0.80 | 3.1 | 3.7 | -0.6 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 17 | 5.9 | 17.6 | 58.8 | 17.6 | 0.78 | 2.9 | 3.5 | -0.6 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 18 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 16.7 | 0.97 | 2.7 | 3.5 | -0.8 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 18 | 22.2 | 27.8 | 38.9 | 11.1 | 0.98 | 2.4 | 3.3 | -0.9 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 18 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 72.2 | 16.7 | 0.69 | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 13 | 23.1 | 15.4 | 53.8 | 7.7 | 0.97 | 2.5 | 3.3 | -0.8 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 18 | 5.6 | 16.7 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 0.80 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 18 | 5.6 | 16.7 | 66.7 | 11.1 | 0.71 | 2.8 | 3.2 | -0.4 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 18 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 61.1 | 16.7 | 0.86 | 2.8 | 3.4 | -0.6 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 18 | 22.2 | 27.8 | 38.9 | 11.1 | 0.98 | 2.4 | 3.1 | -0.7 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 18 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 44.4 | 5.6 | 0.62 | 2.6 | 3.4 | -0.8 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 18 | 22.2 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 11.1 | 0.97 | 2.3 | 3.5 | -1.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 25
 Number of forms scanned = 21
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 18
 Percent completed = 72.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = JOU 302 001L1 RADIO/TV NEWS REPORTING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Cappe, Yvonne

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 8 | 66.7 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 3 | 25.0 |
| Junior | 9 | 75.0 | C | 1 | 8.3 |
| Senior | 3 | 25.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 1 | 8.3 |
| Required by my major | 12 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 4 | 33.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 4 | 33.3 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 2 | 16.7 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 8.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 42.9 | 50.0 | 0.65 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 35.7 | 57.1 | 0.65 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 50.0 | 42.9 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 14 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 35.7 | 35.7 | 0.83 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69.2 | 30.8 | 0.48 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 64.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 21.4 | 64.3 | 0.76 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 57.1 | 35.7 | 0.61 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 13 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 61.5 | 30.8 | 0.60 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 13 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 53.8 | 38.5 | 0.63 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 84.6 | 15.4 | 0.38 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.5 | 38.5 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69.2 | 30.8 | 0.48 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 28.6 | 0.47 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-------|-------|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.1 | -1.1 | |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 | |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 | |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.3 | -1.3 | |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.3 | -1.3 | |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 17
 Number of forms scanned = 17
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 14
 Percent completed = 82.4 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = JOU 403 001L1 TV NEWSCAST PRODUCING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Cappe, Yvonne

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 5 | 45.5 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 5 | 45.5 |
| Junior | 3 | 27.3 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 8 | 72.7 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 1 | 9.1 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 5 | 45.5 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 3 | 27.3 |
| Required by my major | 6 | 54.5 | 3 hours | 2 | 18.2 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 5 | 45.5 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 9.1 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 81.8 | 0.40 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 90.9 | 0.30 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 0.6 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 90.9 | 0.30 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 81.8 | 0.40 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 18.2 | 72.7 | 0.67 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 81.8 | 0.65 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 0.3 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 90.9 | 0.30 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 81.8 | 0.40 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 81.8 | 0.40 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 81.8 | 0.40 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 0.5 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 0.6 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 81.8 | 0.40 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 | |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 13
 Number of forms scanned = 11
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 11
 Percent completed = 84.6 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = JOU 404 001L1 ADV TV NEWS: JAT NEWS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Cappe, Yvonne

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 7 | 63.6 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 2 | 18.2 |
| Junior | 2 | 18.2 | C | 1 | 9.1 |
| Senior | 9 | 81.8 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 1 | 9.1 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 1 | 9.1 | 2 hours | 1 | 9.1 |
| Required by my major | 10 | 90.9 | 3 hours | 2 | 18.2 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 5 | 45.5 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 2 | 18.2 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 9.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.45 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 77.8 | . | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 81.8 | 0.40 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | . | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 33.3 | 58.3 | 0.67 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 54.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.39 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.39 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.39 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.45 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 0.6 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.45 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 0.6 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 91.7 | 0.29 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 14
 Number of forms scanned = 12
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 12
 Percent completed = 85.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = JOU 410 001L1 PUBLICATIONS PRODUCTION

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Chung, Deborah

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 5 | 38.5 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 8 | 61.5 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 13 | 100.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 2 | 15.4 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 2 | 15.4 |
| Required by my major | 12 | 92.3 | 3 hours | 4 | 30.8 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 1 | 7.7 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 23.1 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 2 | 15.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 50.0 | 42.9 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 14 | 7.1 | 14.3 | 71.4 | 7.1 | 0.70 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 42.9 | 50.0 | 0.65 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 37.5 | . | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 14 | 7.1 | 28.6 | 57.1 | 7.1 | 0.74 | 2.6 | 3.3 | -0.7 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 14 | 7.1 | 14.3 | 64.3 | 14.3 | 0.77 | 2.9 | 3.4 | -0.5 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 57.1 | 35.7 | 0.61 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 50.0 | 42.9 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 78.6 | 21.4 | 0.43 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 13 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 53.8 | 38.5 | 0.63 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 28.6 | 0.66 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 14 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 50.0 | 21.4 | 0.73 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 14 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 42.9 | 35.7 | 0.77 | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 11 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 36.4 | 27.3 | 0.83 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 0.45 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 11 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 54.5 | 27.3 | 0.70 | 3.1 | 3.2 | -0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.3 | 41.7 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 12 | 16.7 | 25.0 | 33.3 | 25.0 | 1.07 | 2.7 | 3.1 | -0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 64.3 | 21.4 | 0.62 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 50.0 | 35.7 | 0.70 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 16
 Number of forms scanned = 14
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 14
 Percent completed = 87.5 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = JOU 455 401L1 MASS MEDIA & DIVERSITY: RACE, GENDER & CLASS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Chung, Deborah

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 8 | 57.1 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 5 | 35.7 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 1 | 7.1 |
| Senior | 14 | 100.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 5 | 35.7 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 2 | 14.3 |
| Required by my major | 11 | 78.6 | 3 hours | 1 | 7.1 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 3 | 21.4 | 4 - 5 hours | 6 | 42.9 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.3 | 46.7 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 60.0 | 33.3 | 0.59 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.3 | 46.7 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.3 | 46.7 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 40.0 | 53.3 | 0.64 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 53.3 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 40.0 | 53.3 | 0.64 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 15 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 33.3 | 53.3 | 0.74 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 53.3 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 15 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 53.3 | 33.3 | 0.68 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 53.3 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | % answering item | | | | | | |
| N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 19
 Number of forms scanned = 15
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 15
 Percent completed = 78.9 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = JOU 485 401L1 COMMUNITY JOURNALISM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Cross, Al

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 3 | 33.3 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 3 | 33.3 |
| Junior | 2 | 22.2 | C | 3 | 33.3 |
| Senior | 7 | 77.8 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 1 | 12.5 | 2 hours | 3 | 33.3 |
| Required by my major | 6 | 75.0 | 3 hours | 2 | 22.2 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 1 | 12.5 | 4 - 5 hours | 4 | 44.4 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.52 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 10 | 10.0 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 0.95 | 2.7 | 3.3 | -0.6 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.52 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 55.6 | 33.3 | . | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 10 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 0.94 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 0.42 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 10 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 0.88 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 90.0 | 0.63 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 0.67 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.42 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 90.0 | 0.32 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 0.67 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.52 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 37.5 | . | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 0.48 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 0.48 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 10 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 0.74 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 10 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 0.99 | 2.9 | 3.4 | -0.5 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 10 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 1.05 | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 11
 Number of forms scanned = 10
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 10
 Percent completed = 90.9 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = JOU 303 001L1 NEWS EDITING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Farrell, Mike

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 1 | 16.7 |
| Sophomore | 1 | 16.7 | B | 4 | 66.7 |
| Junior | 3 | 50.0 | C | 1 | 16.7 |
| Senior | 1 | 16.7 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 1 | 16.7 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| Required by my major | 5 | 83.3 | 3 hours | 2 | 33.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 1 | 16.7 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 50.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 16.7 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|-----|------|------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | . | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|-----|------|------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|-----|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | . | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | P | F | G | E | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-----|-----|------|------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | . | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-------|-------|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.4 | -1.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 6
 Number of forms scanned = 6
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 6
 Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = JOU 499 002L1 ADV WRITING MASS MEDIA: COLUMN/EDITORIAL WRITING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Farrell, Mike

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 5 | 38.5 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 5 | 38.5 |
| Junior | 1 | 7.7 | C | 2 | 15.4 |
| Senior | 11 | 84.6 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 1 | 7.7 | Pass or Audit | 1 | 7.7 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 7.7 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 5 | 38.5 |
| Required by my major | 7 | 53.8 | 3 hours | 2 | 15.4 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 6 | 46.2 | 4 - 5 hours | 5 | 38.5 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 13 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 23.1 | 38.5 | 0.91 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | . | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 69.2 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 12 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 8.3 | 75.0 | 0.79 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 69.2 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 84.6 | 0.38 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 61.5 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 84.6 | 0.38 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 91.7 | 0.29 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 69.2 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 69.2 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 84.6 | 0.38 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 0.5 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 12 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 66.7 | 0.80 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-------|-----|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.4 | -1.4 |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | % answering item | | | | | | |
| N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 16
 Number of forms scanned = 13
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 13
 Percent completed = 81.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = JOU 532 401L1 ETHICS OF JOU & MASS COM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Farrell, Mike

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 11 | 78.6 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 3 | 21.4 |
| Junior | 8 | 57.1 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 6 | 42.9 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 6 | 46.2 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 4 | 30.8 |
| Required by my major | 11 | 84.6 | 3 hours | 1 | 7.7 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 2 | 15.4 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 7.7 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 7.7 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 73.7 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 73.7 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.1 | 57.9 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 89.5 | 0.32 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 78.9 | 0.42 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 73.7 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.6 | 68.4 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 89.5 | 0.32 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 78.9 | 0.42 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 73.7 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 73.7 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 0.5 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 78.9 | 0.42 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 77.8 | 0.43 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 77.8 | 0.43 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 22
 Number of forms scanned = 22
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 19
 Percent completed = 86.4 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = JOU 387 001L1 PHOTOJOURNALISM I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = LaBelle, Dave

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 6 | 75.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 2 | 25.0 |
| Junior | 4 | 50.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 4 | 50.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 12.5 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 1 | 12.5 |
| Required by my major | 2 | 25.0 | 3 hours | 5 | 62.5 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 6 | 75.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 12.5 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------|-----|------|-------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 44.4 | . | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 8 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 50.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 37.5 | . | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 44.4 | . | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 44.4 | . | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 55.6 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 44.4 | . | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------|-----|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 66.7 | . | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 88.9 | . | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 55.6 | . | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 77.8 | . | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 77.8 | . | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 66.7 | . | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------|-----|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 22.2 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 8 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 25.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 8 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 25.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 44.4 | . | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 66.7 | . | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | P | F | G | E | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-----|-----|------|-------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 88.9 | . | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 | |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |

% answering item
 N ----- Mean SD
 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 16
 Number of forms scanned = 16
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 9
 Percent completed = 56.3 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visite www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = JOU 487 401L1 PHOTOJOURNALISM II

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = LaBelle, Dave

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 2 | 50.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 1 | 25.0 |
| Junior | 1 | 25.0 | C | 1 | 25.0 |
| Senior | 3 | 75.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| Required by my major | 2 | 50.0 | 3 hours | 2 | 50.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 2 | 50.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 2 | 50.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | Mean | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|------|-------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | . | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | . | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | . | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 4 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.8 | 3.4 | -0.6 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 5 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|-----|------|------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|-----|------|------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | . | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.1 | 0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | Mean | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-----|-----|------|------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 5
 Number of forms scanned = 5
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 5
 Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = JOU 531 001L1 MEDIA LAW AND ETHICS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Labunski, Richard

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 8 | 33.3 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 15 | 62.5 |
| Junior | 4 | 16.7 | C | 1 | 4.2 |
| Senior | 20 | 83.3 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 4.2 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 5 | 20.8 |
| Required by my major | 23 | 95.8 | 3 hours | 12 | 50.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 1 | 4.2 | 4 - 5 hours | 4 | 16.7 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 4.2 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 4.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 64.0 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 36.0 | 60.0 | 0.58 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 64.0 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 32.0 | 64.0 | 0.58 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.8 | 65.2 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.0 | 68.0 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 25 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 28.0 | 64.0 | 0.65 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 25 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 24.0 | 68.0 | 0.65 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 92.0 | 0.28 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.0 | 72.0 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 36.0 | 60.0 | 0.58 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 25 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 24.0 | 68.0 | 0.65 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 21 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 38.1 | 57.1 | 0.75 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 24 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 29.2 | 62.5 | 0.78 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 22 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 27.3 | 63.6 | 0.80 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 24 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 79.2 | 0.69 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 25 | 12.0 | 8.0 | 32.0 | 48.0 | 1.03 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 24 | 4.2 | 12.5 | 29.2 | 54.2 | 0.87 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 24 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 12.5 | 79.2 | 0.62 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|------|-----|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 2 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 2 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 2 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 31
 Number of forms scanned = 28
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 25
 Percent completed = 80.6 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = JOU 204 401L1 WRITING FOR MASS MEDIA: CROSS MEDIA

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Lynch, John

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 4 | 36.4 |
| Sophomore | 1 | 9.1 | B | 6 | 54.5 |
| Junior | 5 | 45.5 | C | 1 | 9.1 |
| Senior | 4 | 36.4 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 1 | 9.1 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 9.1 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 6 | 54.5 |
| Required by my major | 11 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 3 | 27.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 9.1 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 78.6 | 0.43 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 85.7 | 0.36 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 73.3 | 0.46 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 21.4 | 71.4 | 0.63 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 84.6 | 0.38 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 78.6 | 0.43 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 85.7 | 0.36 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 85.7 | 0.36 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 85.7 | 0.36 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 78.6 | 0.43 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 85.7 | 0.36 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 35.7 | 57.1 | 0.65 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 64.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 85.7 | 0.36 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 16
 Number of forms scanned = 15
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 15
 Percent completed = 93.8 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = JOU 204 004L1 WRITING FOR MASS MEDIA: CROSS MEDIA

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Poore, Chris

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 7 | 53.8 |
| Sophomore | 10 | 66.7 | B | 6 | 46.2 |
| Junior | 3 | 20.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 1 | 6.7 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 1 | 6.7 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 2 | 13.3 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 6 | 40.0 |
| Required by my major | 15 | 100.0 | 3 hours | 3 | 20.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 0 | 0.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 20.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 6.7 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 28.6 | 0.47 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 12 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 50.0 | 0.89 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.53 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 15 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 40.0 | 13.3 | 0.72 | 2.7 | 3.4 | -0.7 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 15 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 46.7 | 40.0 | 0.70 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 15 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 53.3 | 0.83 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 78.6 | 0.43 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 15 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 46.7 | 40.0 | 0.86 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 40.0 | 53.3 | 0.64 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 15 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 0.63 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 13 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 61.5 | 30.8 | 0.80 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.8 | 46.2 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 15 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 53.3 | 0.83 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 53.3 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 15 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 60.0 | 0.83 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 2 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | . | 2.5 | 3.2 | -0.7 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 2 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | . | 2.5 | 3.2 | -0.7 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 2 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | . | 2.5 | 3.4 | -0.9 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 2 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | . | 2.5 | 3.4 | -0.9 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 2 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | . | 2.5 | 3.4 | -0.9 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 16
 Number of forms scanned = 15
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 15
 Percent completed = 93.8 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = JOU 101 001L1 INTRO TO JOURNALISM: PRINT

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ryan, Buck

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 17 | 37.0 | A | 30 | 66.7 |
| Sophomore | 14 | 30.4 | B | 11 | 24.4 |
| Junior | 12 | 26.1 | C | 3 | 6.7 |
| Senior | 2 | 4.3 | D | 1 | 2.2 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 1 | 2.2 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 5 | 11.1 |
| Studies Program | 3 | 6.7 | 2 hours | 13 | 28.9 |
| Required by my major | 35 | 77.8 | 3 hours | 17 | 37.8 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 7 | 15.6 | 4 - 5 hours | 7 | 15.6 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 3 | 6.7 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 53 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 47.2 | 43.4 | 0.75 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 54 | 1.9 | 7.4 | 64.8 | 25.9 | 0.63 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 54 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 55.6 | 33.3 | 0.63 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 54 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 51.9 | 38.9 | 0.63 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 54 | 3.7 | 7.4 | 40.7 | 48.1 | 0.78 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 54 | 1.9 | 7.4 | 51.9 | 38.9 | 0.68 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 54 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 51.9 | 48.1 | 0.50 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 54 | 3.7 | 18.5 | 42.6 | 35.2 | 0.83 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 54 | 5.6 | 9.3 | 51.9 | 33.3 | 0.80 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 54 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.6 | 57.4 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 43 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 62.8 | 32.6 | 0.55 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 53 | 11.3 | 13.2 | 41.5 | 34.0 | 0.97 | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 54 | 13.0 | 7.4 | 42.6 | 37.0 | 0.99 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 54 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 48.1 | 44.4 | 0.62 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 53 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 52.8 | 34.0 | 0.66 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 54 | 5.6 | 13.0 | 48.1 | 33.3 | 0.83 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 50 | 6.0 | 18.0 | 54.0 | 22.0 | 0.80 | 2.9 | 3.2 | -0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 54 | 5.6 | 1.9 | 53.7 | 38.9 | 0.76 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 54 | 9.3 | 5.6 | 51.9 | 33.3 | 0.87 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 52 | 3.8 | 13.5 | 46.2 | 36.5 | 0.80 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 52 | 9.6 | 7.7 | 36.5 | 46.2 | 0.95 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | COLL | | |
|---|---|------------------|------|-------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | Mean | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 4 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.2 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 7 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 42.9 | . | 3.1 | 3.2 | -0.1 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 5 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = at least 54
 Number of forms scanned = 54
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 54
 Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = JOU 303 002L1 NEWS EDITING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ryan, Buck

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 8 | 66.7 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 4 | 33.3 |
| Junior | 5 | 41.7 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 7 | 58.3 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 5 | 41.7 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 3 | 25.0 |
| Required by my major | 11 | 91.7 | 3 hours | 4 | 33.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 1 | 8.3 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 12 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 58.3 | 0.90 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 41.7 | 50.0 | 0.67 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 12 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 | 0.87 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 41.7 | 50.0 | 0.67 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 58.3 | 33.3 | 0.62 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 50.0 | 41.7 | 0.65 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 41.7 | 50.0 | 0.67 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 12 | 8.3 | 16.7 | 41.7 | 33.3 | 0.95 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.49 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 12 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 58.3 | 25.0 | 0.85 | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 12 | 8.3 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 41.7 | 1.04 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 33.3 | 58.3 | 0.67 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 66.7 | 22.2 | . | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 12 | 8.3 | 16.7 | 58.3 | 16.7 | 0.83 | 2.8 | 3.3 | -0.5 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 11 | 9.1 | 18.2 | 54.5 | 18.2 | 0.87 | 2.8 | 3.2 | -0.4 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 58.3 | 33.3 | 0.62 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 12 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 16.7 | 0.98 | 2.7 | 3.1 | -0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | P | F | G | E | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 12 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 16.7 | 58.3 | 0.89 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 12 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 | 0.87 | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-------|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.1 | -1.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.2 | -1.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.3 | -1.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.3 | -1.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

% answering item
 N ----- Mean SD
 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 15
 Number of forms scanned = 15
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 12
 Percent completed = 80.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = JOU 499 001L1 ADV WRITING MASS MEDIA: MAESTRO

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ryan, Buck

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 3 | 60.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 1 | 20.0 |
| Junior | 1 | 20.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 4 | 80.0 | D | 1 | 20.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 1 | 20.0 | 2 hours | 2 | 40.0 |
| Required by my major | 3 | 60.0 | 3 hours | 1 | 20.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 1 | 20.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 2 | 40.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | | |
|--|---|-----|------|------|-------|------|------|-----------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | SD | Mean | Mean Diff | |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | . | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 83.3 | . | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 4 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | . | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. Presented material effectively | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.7 | 0.3 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | . | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | . | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | . | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES | | | | | | | | | |
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 83.3 | . | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 66.7 | 16.7 | . | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |
| RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT | | | | | | | | | |
| | N | % | | | | COLL | | | |
| | | P | F | G | E | SD | Mean | Mean Diff | |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS | | | | | | | | | |
| 20. Overall value of the course | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | . | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 | |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |

% answering item

N ----- Mean SD

(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 10
 Number of forms scanned = 6
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 6
 Percent completed = 60.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visite www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = JOU 204 003L1 WRITING FOR MASS MEDIA: BROADCAST

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ryan, Elizabeth H

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 4 | 30.8 |
| Sophomore | 8 | 61.5 | B | 9 | 69.2 |
| Junior | 5 | 38.5 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 0 | 0.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 7.7 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 6 | 46.2 |
| Required by my major | 12 | 92.3 | 3 hours | 1 | 7.7 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 1 | 7.7 | 4 - 5 hours | 5 | 38.5 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |
| SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 69.2 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 13 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 69.2 | 23.1 | 0.76 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 61.5 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 53.8 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 13 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 46.2 | 46.2 | 0.65 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 69.2 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. Presented material effectively | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 69.2 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 84.6 | 0.38 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 13 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 38.5 | 53.8 | 0.66 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 53.8 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES | | | | | | | | | |
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 13 | 7.7 | 15.4 | 30.8 | 46.2 | 0.99 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 13 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 30.8 | 61.5 | 0.66 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 13 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 46.2 | 38.5 | 0.73 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 69.2 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 13 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 30.8 | 53.8 | 0.77 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |
| RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT | | | | | | | | | |
| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |
| SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS | | | | | | | | | |
| 20. Overall value of the course | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 53.8 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 13 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 84.6 | 0.38 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

% answering item
 N ----- Mean SD
 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 14
 Number of forms scanned = 13
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 13
 Percent completed = 92.9 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = JOU 497 401L1 SP TOPS JOU: JOURNALIST IN VIDEO LIT

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ryan, Elizabeth H

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 9 | 50.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 7 | 38.9 |
| Junior | 2 | 11.1 | C | 2 | 11.1 |
| Senior | 16 | 88.9 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 8 | 44.4 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 7 | 38.9 |
| Required by my major | 5 | 27.8 | 3 hours | 2 | 11.1 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 13 | 72.2 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 5.6 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 77.8 | 0.43 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 16 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 43.8 | 43.8 | 0.70 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 22.2 | 72.2 | 0.59 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 77.8 | 0.43 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 27.8 | 66.7 | 0.61 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.38 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.38 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.38 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.38 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 76.5 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 16 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 18.8 | 75.0 | 0.60 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.45 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 16 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 68.8 | 0.81 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 15 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 60.0 | 0.83 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 18 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 27.8 | 66.7 | 0.78 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 18 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 27.8 | 61.1 | 0.86 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|-----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 18 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 5.6 | 83.3 | 0.67 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 94.1 | 0.24 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 23
 Number of forms scanned = 18
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 18
 Percent completed = 78.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = JOU 497 001L1 SP TOPS JOU: VIDEOGRAPHY & EDITING

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Stevens, N

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 5 | 71.4 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 2 | 28.6 |
| Junior | 3 | 42.9 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 4 | 57.1 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 14.3 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| Required by my major | 4 | 57.1 | 3 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 3 | 42.9 | 4 - 5 hours | 2 | 28.6 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 4 | 57.1 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|-----------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------|------|------|-------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 7 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 42.9 | . | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 4 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 50.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 8 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 37.5 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 8 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 50.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 7 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 28.6 | . | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|------|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 37.5 | . | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 62.5 | 25.0 | . | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 62.5 | 25.0 | . | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 50.0 | 37.5 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 8 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 37.5 | 37.5 | . | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------|------|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | . | 3.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | . | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 7 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 42.9 | . | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|-----------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|------|------|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 25.0 | 62.5 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 8 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 37.5 | . | 3.1 | 3.5 | -0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 | |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 | |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 | |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 | |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 | |

% answering item
 N ----- Mean SD
 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 14
 Number of forms scanned = 8
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 8
 Percent completed = 57.1 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visite www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = TEL 201 001L1 TELECOM II: INTERACTIVE COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Clark, John

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 1 | 2.9 | A | 9 | 25.7 |
| Sophomore | 19 | 55.9 | B | 23 | 65.7 |
| Junior | 11 | 32.4 | C | 2 | 5.7 |
| Senior | 3 | 8.8 | D | 1 | 2.9 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 10 | 28.6 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 12 | 34.3 |
| Required by my major | 26 | 74.3 | 3 hours | 13 | 37.1 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 9 | 25.7 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 37 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 54.1 | 43.2 | 0.55 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 18 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 38.9 | 16.7 | 0.92 | 2.6 | 3.3 | -0.7 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 37 | 5.4 | 8.1 | 59.5 | 27.0 | 0.76 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 37 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 54.1 | 40.5 | 0.59 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 37 | 2.7 | 5.4 | 64.9 | 27.0 | 0.65 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 36 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 52.8 | 33.3 | 0.67 | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 37 | 18.9 | 54.1 | 27.0 | 0.0 | 0.68 | 2.1 | 3.4 | -1.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 37 | 2.7 | 8.1 | 59.5 | 29.7 | 0.69 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 37 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 59.5 | 40.5 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 37 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 21.6 | 75.7 | 0.51 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 35 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 57.1 | 40.0 | 0.55 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 37 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 51.4 | 43.2 | 0.59 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 36 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 47.2 | 41.7 | 0.67 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 37 | 2.7 | 18.9 | 59.5 | 18.9 | 0.70 | 2.9 | 3.5 | -0.6 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 31 | 0.0 | 19.4 | 58.1 | 22.6 | 0.66 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 35 | 2.9 | 8.6 | 60.0 | 28.6 | 0.69 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 33 | 3.0 | 21.2 | 60.6 | 15.2 | 0.70 | 2.9 | 3.2 | -0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 37 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 59.5 | 35.1 | 0.57 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 35 | 2.9 | 14.3 | 40.0 | 42.9 | 0.81 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 37 | 2.7 | 8.1 | 40.5 | 48.6 | 0.75 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 37 | 5.4 | 13.5 | 32.4 | 48.6 | 0.89 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-------|-------|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.1 | -1.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 1.0 | 3.2 | -2.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.3 | -1.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 1.0 | 3.3 | -2.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.4 | -1.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 2 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.5 | -1.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.4 | -1.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 1.0 | 3.4 | -2.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 2.0 | 3.4 | -1.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 1.0 | 3.3 | -2.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 50
 Number of forms scanned = 38
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 38
 Percent completed = 76.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = TEL 432 401L1 AUDIO PRODUCTION

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Clark, John

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 9 | 64.3 |
| Sophomore | 1 | 7.1 | B | 5 | 35.7 |
| Junior | 1 | 7.1 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 12 | 85.7 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 2 | 14.3 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| Required by my major | 3 | 23.1 | 3 hours | 5 | 35.7 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 10 | 76.9 | 4 - 5 hours | 5 | 35.7 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 7.1 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 7.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 71.4 | 21.4 | 0.53 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 14 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 57.1 | 0.85 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 64.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 78.6 | 0.43 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 64.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 63.6 | 0.69 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 25.0 | 66.7 | 0.67 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 25.0 | 66.7 | 0.67 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 57.1 | 0.76 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 85.7 | 0.58 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 78.6 | 0.43 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.1 | 0.4 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 14

Number of forms scanned = 14

Number of forms with at least one student response = 14

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = TEL 482 401L1 ELECTRONIC MEDIA SALES MANAGEMENT

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Crawford, Chuck

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 8 | 80.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 2 | 20.0 |
| Junior | 4 | 40.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 6 | 60.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 3 | 30.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 6 | 60.0 |
| Required by my major | 7 | 70.0 | 3 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 3 | 30.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 10.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 54.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 63.6 | 0.69 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 63.6 | 0.69 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 18.2 | 72.7 | 0.67 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.42 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 81.8 | 0.40 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 81.8 | 0.40 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 70.0 | 0.70 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 63.6 | 0.69 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 0.3 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 81.8 | 0.40 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 11 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 81.8 | 0.92 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 90.9 | 0.30 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 63.6 | 0.69 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 63.6 | 0.69 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 63.6 | 0.69 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 63.6 | 0.69 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 63.6 | 0.69 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 90.9 | 0.60 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 12
 Number of forms scanned = 11
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 11
 Percent completed = 91.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = TEL 412 401L1 VIDEO PRODUCTION II

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Davis, Britt E

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 6 | 75.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 2 | 25.0 |
| Junior | 3 | 37.5 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 5 | 62.5 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 0 | 0.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 3 | 37.5 |
| Required by my major | 4 | 50.0 | 3 hours | 1 | 12.5 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 4 | 50.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 2 | 25.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 2 | 25.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|-----|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | . | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | . | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | . | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | . | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|------|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.7 | -0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 50.0 | 37.5 | . | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 25.0 | 62.5 | . | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | . | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|------|------|------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 37.5 | . | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 37.5 | . | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 37.5 | . | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 50.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | P | F | G | E | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-----|-----|------|------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | . | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | . | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 8
 Number of forms scanned = 8
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 8
 Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = TEL 300 001L1 TELECOM RESEARCH METHODS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Hertog, James K

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 8 | 26.7 |
| Sophomore | 1 | 3.3 | B | 14 | 46.7 |
| Junior | 11 | 36.7 | C | 7 | 23.3 |
| Senior | 18 | 60.0 | D | 1 | 3.3 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 6 | 20.0 |
| Studies Program | 1 | 3.4 | 2 hours | 6 | 20.0 |
| Required by my major | 27 | 93.1 | 3 hours | 9 | 30.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 1 | 3.4 | 4 - 5 hours | 6 | 20.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 2 | 6.7 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 1 | 3.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 32 | 3.1 | 9.4 | 56.3 | 31.3 | 0.72 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 32 | 12.5 | 25.0 | 43.8 | 18.8 | 0.93 | 2.7 | 3.3 | -0.6 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 32 | 15.6 | 12.5 | 50.0 | 21.9 | 0.97 | 2.8 | 3.4 | -0.6 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 32 | 9.4 | 3.1 | 62.5 | 25.0 | 0.82 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 32 | 12.5 | 6.3 | 62.5 | 18.8 | 0.87 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 32 | 15.6 | 18.8 | 40.6 | 25.0 | 1.02 | 2.8 | 3.4 | -0.6 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 32 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 56.3 | 25.0 | 0.86 | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 32 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 46.9 | 28.1 | 0.96 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 32 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 53.1 | 21.9 | 0.92 | 2.8 | 3.4 | -0.6 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 32 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 43.8 | 43.8 | 0.84 | 3.3 | 3.7 | -0.4 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 30 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 0.89 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 32 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 50.0 | 31.3 | 0.90 | 3.0 | 3.5 | -0.5 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 32 | 25.0 | 21.9 | 31.3 | 21.9 | 1.11 | 2.5 | 3.3 | -0.8 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 32 | 9.4 | 12.5 | 53.1 | 25.0 | 0.88 | 2.9 | 3.5 | -0.6 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 28 | 7.1 | 17.9 | 57.1 | 17.9 | 0.80 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 31 | 12.9 | 9.7 | 48.4 | 29.0 | 0.96 | 2.9 | 3.3 | -0.4 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 28 | 14.3 | 10.7 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 0.97 | 2.9 | 3.2 | -0.3 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 31 | 3.2 | 12.9 | 54.8 | 29.0 | 0.75 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 31 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 12.9 | 1.03 | 2.3 | 3.1 | -0.8 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 31 | 22.6 | 32.3 | 35.5 | 9.7 | 0.94 | 2.3 | 3.4 | -1.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 31 | 9.7 | 25.8 | 38.7 | 25.8 | 0.95 | 2.8 | 3.5 | -0.7 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|------|-------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.1 | -0.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.5 | -0.2 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | . | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 39
 Number of forms scanned = 32
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 32
 Percent completed = 82.1 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = TEL 520 001L1 SOCIAL EFFECTS OF MASS MEDIA

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Hertog, James K

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 1 | 9.1 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 10 | 90.9 |
| Junior | 0 | 0.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 11 | 100.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 9.1 |
| Studies Program | 1 | 9.1 | 2 hours | 3 | 27.3 |
| Required by my major | 7 | 63.6 | 3 hours | 3 | 27.3 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 3 | 27.3 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 9.1 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 3 | 27.3 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|-------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 33.3 | 58.3 | 0.67 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 33.3 | 58.3 | 0.67 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.45 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 12 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 25.0 | 58.3 | 0.98 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 63.6 | 0.69 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.39 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 91.7 | 0.29 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.45 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.39 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.39 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.39 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 0.5 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 81.8 | 0.65 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 0.6 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.49 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 91.7 | 0.29 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|------|----|
| | N | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 12
 Number of forms scanned = 12
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 12
 Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = TEL 312 401L1 VIDEO PRODUCTION I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = LeVeque Jr, Paul N

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 5 | 35.7 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 8 | 57.1 |
| Junior | 6 | 42.9 | C | 1 | 7.1 |
| Senior | 8 | 57.1 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 5 | 35.7 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 5 | 35.7 |
| Required by my major | 8 | 57.1 | 3 hours | 1 | 7.1 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 6 | 42.9 | 4 - 5 hours | 3 | 21.4 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 42.9 | 50.0 | 0.65 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 14 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 35.7 | 50.0 | 0.91 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 42.9 | 50.0 | 0.65 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 64.3 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 13 | 7.7 | 23.1 | 30.8 | 38.5 | 1.00 | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 0.73 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 28.6 | 64.3 | 0.65 | 3.6 | 3.7 | -0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 41.7 | 50.0 | 0.67 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 14 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 0.89 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 12 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 16.7 | 58.3 | 0.89 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 13 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 30.8 | 61.5 | 0.66 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 12 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 66.7 | 25.0 | 0.58 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 13 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 61.5 | 30.8 | 0.60 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 54.5 | 36.4 | 0.65 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 14 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 57.1 | 35.7 | 0.61 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 13 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 0.80 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 14 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 0.73 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 14 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 57.1 | 0.84 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|----|
| | % answering item | | | | | Mean | SD |
| N | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- | | |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 14
 Number of forms scanned = 14
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 14
 Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = TEL 312 402L1 VIDEO PRODUCTION I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = LeVeque Jr, Paul N

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 4 | 33.3 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 8 | 66.7 |
| Junior | 4 | 33.3 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 8 | 66.7 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 5 | 41.7 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 4 | 33.3 |
| Required by my major | 7 | 58.3 | 3 hours | 2 | 16.7 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 5 | 41.7 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 8.3 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 63.6 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 63.6 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.53 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 63.6 | 0.69 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 11 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 63.6 | 0.82 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.52 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.52 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 55.6 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 11 | 9.1 | 18.2 | 27.3 | 45.5 | 1.04 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 10 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 30.0 | 50.0 | 1.03 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 11 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 18.2 | 63.6 | 1.03 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 11 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 18.2 | 54.5 | 0.90 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 63.6 | 0.69 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 45.5 | 45.5 | 0.67 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 45.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.52 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 45.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 11 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 54.5 | 0.92 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 12 | 8.3 | 16.7 | 33.3 | 41.7 | 1.00 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 12 | 8.3 | 16.7 | 25.0 | 50.0 | 1.03 | 3.2 | 3.5 | -0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|------|------|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 2 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 2 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 2 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | . | 2.5 | 3.5 | -1.0 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 2 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 3 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | . | 3.0 | 3.4 | -0.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | . | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |

% answering item
 N ----- Mean SD
 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 13
 Number of forms scanned = 12
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 12
 Percent completed = 92.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = TEL 390 001S1 TEL TOP SEM: MULTIMED II- DVD PRODUCTION

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Stevens, N

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 8 | 80.0 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 2 | 20.0 |
| Junior | 2 | 20.0 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 8 | 80.0 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 1 | 10.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| Required by my major | 8 | 80.0 | 3 hours | 2 | 20.0 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 2 | 20.0 | 4 - 5 hours | 4 | 40.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 3 | 30.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|-------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 45.5 | 45.5 | 0.67 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 11 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 72.7 | 0.93 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 54.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.53 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.52 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 33.3 | . | 3.2 | 3.4 | -0.2 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 6 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 11 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 36.4 | 45.5 | 0.79 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 36.4 | 54.5 | 0.69 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 45.5 | 45.5 | 0.67 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 63.6 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.53 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 50.0 | 37.5 | . | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.53 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 0.5 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 63.6 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 45.5 | 0.52 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 0.4 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 | 0.47 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 11 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 36.4 | 54.5 | 0.69 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | Mean | Diff |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.1 | -3.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.2 | -3.2 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

% answering item
 N ----- Mean SD
 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 15
 Number of forms scanned = 11
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 11
 Percent completed = 73.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = TEL 101 001L1 TELECOM I: MASS COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Weller, Dave

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 10 | 37.0 | A | 7 | 26.9 |
| Sophomore | 11 | 40.7 | B | 13 | 50.0 |
| Junior | 4 | 14.8 | C | 4 | 15.4 |
| Senior | 2 | 7.4 | D | 2 | 7.7 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 6 | 23.1 |
| Studies Program | 1 | 3.8 | 2 hours | 10 | 38.5 |
| Required by my major | 20 | 76.9 | 3 hours | 8 | 30.8 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 5 | 19.2 | 4 - 5 hours | 2 | 7.7 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 53.3 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 29 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.8 | 55.2 | 0.51 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 29 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 48.3 | 48.3 | 0.57 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 30 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 56.7 | 36.7 | 0.60 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 30 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 50.0 | 36.7 | 0.68 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 28 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 53.6 | 39.3 | 0.61 | 3.3 | 3.4 | -0.1 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 30 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 60.0 | 23.3 | 0.64 | 3.1 | 3.4 | -0.3 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 28 | 0.0 | 17.9 | 53.6 | 28.6 | 0.69 | 3.1 | 3.3 | -0.2 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 30 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 36.7 | 53.3 | 0.68 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 30 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 23.3 | 73.3 | 0.53 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 25 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 52.0 | 44.0 | 0.58 | 3.4 | 3.5 | -0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.7 | 63.3 | 0.49 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 30 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 50.0 | 0.67 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.3 | 56.7 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 25 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 56.0 | 32.0 | 0.75 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 28 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 53.6 | 32.1 | 0.67 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 26 | 3.8 | 23.1 | 46.2 | 26.9 | 0.82 | 3.0 | 3.2 | -0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 30 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 43.3 | 53.3 | 0.57 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 30 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 46.7 | 40.0 | 0.69 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.2 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 29 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 55.2 | 41.4 | 0.56 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 29 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 37.9 | 55.2 | 0.63 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-------|------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | . | 3.2 | 3.1 | 0.1 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | . | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | . | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

% answering item

N ----- Mean SD

(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 54
 Number of forms scanned = 31
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 30
 Percent completed = 55.6 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visite www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = TEL 504 401L1 MEDIA ORGANIZATION

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Weller, Dave

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 10 | 55.6 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 7 | 38.9 |
| Junior | 3 | 16.7 | C | 1 | 5.6 |
| Senior | 15 | 83.3 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 5 | 27.8 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 9 | 50.0 |
| Required by my major | 14 | 77.8 | 3 hours | 3 | 16.7 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 4 | 22.2 | 4 - 5 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 1 | 5.6 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 76.2 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 21 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 47.6 | 42.9 | 0.78 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 21 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 28.6 | 61.9 | 0.68 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0.4 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 22 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 22.7 | 72.7 | 0.57 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 21 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 57.1 | 0.75 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 22 | 4.5 | 13.6 | 40.9 | 40.9 | 0.85 | 3.2 | 3.3 | -0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.48 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.3 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 90.5 | 0.30 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 30.0 | 65.0 | 0.60 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 23.8 | 71.4 | 0.58 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.1 | 61.9 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 33.3 | 61.9 | 0.60 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.1 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | 0.50 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 18 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 44.4 | 50.0 | 0.62 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.51 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 21 | 4.8 | 14.3 | 42.9 | 38.1 | 0.85 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|------|
| | | P | F | G | E | | | Mean | Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 21 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 33.3 | 61.9 | 0.60 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.44 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 26
 Number of forms scanned = 25
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 22
 Percent completed = 84.6 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2005 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Sch of Journalism & Telecomm
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = TEL 555 401L1 CYBERSPACE AND COMM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Weller, Dave

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

| Classification | Number | Percent | Expected grade | Number | Percent |
|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Freshman | 0 | 0.0 | A | 7 | 87.5 |
| Sophomore | 0 | 0.0 | B | 1 | 12.5 |
| Junior | 1 | 12.5 | C | 0 | 0.0 |
| Senior | 7 | 87.5 | D | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graduate | 0 | 0.0 | E/Fail | 0 | 0.0 |
| Professional | 0 | 0.0 | I | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | Pass or Audit | 0 | 0.0 |

| Reason for taking course | Number | Percent | Hrs/week spent | Number | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Required by University | | | 1 hour or less | 4 | 50.0 |
| Studies Program | 0 | 0.0 | 2 hours | 2 | 25.0 |
| Required by my major | 5 | 62.5 | 3 hours | 1 | 12.5 |
| Other (e.g. elective) | 3 | 37.5 | 4 - 5 hours | 1 | 12.5 |
| | | | 6 - 7 hours | 0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 8 or more hours | 0 | 0.0 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | SD | D | A | SA | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|------|------|------|---|-----|-----|------|
| 1. Outlined course material and grading | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 |
| 2. Textbook contributed to understandin | 7 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 42.9 | 28.6 | . | 3.0 | 3.3 | -0.3 |
| 3. Suppl read & assign helped understan | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | . | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 4. Exams reflected what was taught | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 |
| 5. Grading was fair and consistent | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.3 | 0.5 |
| 6. Assignments were distributed evenly | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | . | 3.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| 7. Graded assignments returned promptly | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 8. Graded assignments included comments | 8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 50.0 | . | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 |

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|-----|------|------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 9. Presented material effectively | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 62.5 | . | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| 10. Had good knowledge of subject matte | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | . | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.1 |
| 11. Was available for consultation | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | . | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 12. Satisfact answered class questions | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | . | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 13. Stimulated interest of the subject | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | . | 3.9 | 3.3 | 0.6 |
| 14. Encouraged class participation | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | . | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 15. Learned respect different viewpoint | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 17. Course helped abil to solve problem | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin. | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 19. Course stimulated me to read furthe | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 |

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

| | N | % | | | | COLL | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----------|
| | | P | F | G | E | SD | Mean | Mean Diff |
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | |

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|-------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 20. Overall value of the course | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| 21. Overall quality of teaching | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 |

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

| | N | % answering item | | | | SD | Mean | COLL | |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|-------|----|------|------|------|
| | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | Mean | Diff |
| SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 |
| 23. Saw how discipline relates to other | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 24. Writing assign helps understand sub | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| 25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| 26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | . | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 27. Feedback on oral presentations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 28. Guided student report preparation | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 29. Students free to express opinions | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 30. Discussions broadened my knowledge | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.5 | -3.5 |
| 31. Developed abil to conduct research | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Clarified lecture material | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 33. Amount of work appropriate | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 34. Instructor explained expectations | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.3 | -3.3 |
| 35. Instructor helped with my problems | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |
| 36. Lab equipment use satis explained | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 | 3.4 | -3.4 |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| | % answering item | | | | | | |
| N | ----- | | | | | Mean | SD |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 10
 Number of forms scanned = 8
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 8
 Percent completed = 80.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, please visit www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/TCEresultsletter1.pdf