

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 111 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Jordan, Mickey

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	8	100.0	A	6	75.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	2	25.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	6	75.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	2	25.0
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	8	100.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.47	3.7	3.4	0.3
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	10	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.53	3.5	3.2	0.3
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
4. Exams reflected what was taught	10	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.42	3.8	3.3	0.5
5. Grading was fair and consistent	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	10	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.32	3.9	3.4	0.5
8. Graded assignments included comments	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.1	0.7

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.6	0.2
11. Was available for consultation	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.5	0.3
12. Satisfact answered class questions	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.4	0.3
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.1	0.6
14. Encouraged class participation	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.3	0.5

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	9	0.0	11.1	44.4	44.4	.	3.3	3.2	0.1
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	.	3.6	3.1	0.5
18. Gained undrstdn of concepts & prin.	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	9	0.0	11.1	44.4	44.4	.	3.3	2.9	0.4

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.2	0.7
21. Overall quality of teaching	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 111 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES I

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Jordan, Mickey

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.1	0.4
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 12

Number of forms scanned = 12

Number of forms with at least one student response = 11

Percent completed = 91.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html. For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 411 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES IVA

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Jordan, Mickey

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	3	75.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	1	25.0
Senior	4	100.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	2	50.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	25.0
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	1	25.0
Other (e.g. elective)	4	100.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS									
1. Outlined course material and grading	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
4. Exams reflected what was taught	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
5. Grading was fair and consistent	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
8. Graded assignments included comments	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.1	0.7

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	4	0.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.5	0.3
12. Satisfact answered class questions	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.1	0.7
14. Encouraged class participation	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.1	0.7
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	3	0.0	33.3	0.0	66.7	.	3.3	2.9	0.4

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS									
20. Overall value of the course	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
21. Overall quality of teaching	4	0.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 411 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES IVA

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Jordan, Mickey

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 13

Number of forms scanned = 4

Number of forms with at least one student response = 4

Percent completed = 30.8 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html. For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 311 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES III

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = O'Dell, Col Kelvin

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	7	100.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	5	71.4	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	2	28.6	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	42.9
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	2	28.6
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	7	100.0	4 - 5 hours	1	14.3
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	1	14.3

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS									
1. Outlined course material and grading	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.4	0.2
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.2	0.4
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
4. Exams reflected what was taught	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
5. Grading was fair and consistent	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.4	0.2
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.4	0.2
8. Graded assignments included comments	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.1	0.5

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.6	0.1
11. Was available for consultation	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.5	0.2
12. Satisfact answered class questions	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.4	0.3
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.1	0.6
14. Encouraged class participation	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.3	0.4

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	7	0.0	14.3	14.3	71.4	.	3.6	3.2	0.4
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	7	0.0	14.3	14.3	71.4	.	3.6	3.2	0.4
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	7	0.0	14.3	14.3	71.4	.	3.6	3.1	0.5
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	7	0.0	14.3	14.3	71.4	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	7	0.0	14.3	14.3	71.4	.	3.6	2.9	0.7

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS									
20. Overall value of the course	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.2	0.4
21. Overall quality of teaching	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.3	0.3

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 311 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES III

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = O'Dell, Col Kelvin

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.5	-0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.4	-0.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 7

Number of forms scanned = 7

Number of forms with at least one student response = 7

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html. For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 112 001S1 LEADERSHIP LABORATORY I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steven

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	10	100.0	A	2	22.2
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	7	77.8

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	6	66.7
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	11.1
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	1	11.1
Other (e.g. elective)	10	100.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	1	11.1
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	.	3.4	3.4	0.0
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	6	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
4. Exams reflected what was taught	6	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
5. Grading was fair and consistent	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	.	3.6	3.4	0.2
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	.	3.4	3.4	0.0
8. Graded assignments included comments	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	.	3.6	3.1	0.5

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	.	3.6	3.6	0.0
11. Was available for consultation	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	.	3.6	3.5	0.1
12. Satisfact answered class questions	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	.	3.6	3.4	0.2
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	.	3.6	3.1	0.5
14. Encouraged class participation	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	.	3.6	3.3	0.3

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	.	3.6	3.2	0.4
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.1	0.7
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	2.9	0.8

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
21. Overall quality of teaching	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.3	0.6

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 112 001S1 LEADERSHIP LABORATORY I

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steven

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
33. Amount of work appropriate	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
34. Instructor explained expectations	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
35. Instructor helped with my problems	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 11

Number of forms scanned = 11

Number of forms with at least one student response = 11

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html. For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 211 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES II

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steven

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	21	100.0
Sophomore	20	95.2	B	0	0.0
Junior	1	4.8	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	13	61.9
Studies Program	1	4.8	2 hours	3	14.3
Required by my major	1	4.8	3 hours	3	14.3
Other (e.g. elective)	19	90.5	4 - 5 hours	2	9.5
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	21	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.4	0.5
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	20	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.41	3.8	3.2	0.6
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	21	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.46	3.7	3.3	0.4
4. Exams reflected what was taught	21	0.0	0.0	9.5	90.5	0.30	3.9	3.3	0.6
5. Grading was fair and consistent	21	0.0	0.0	9.5	90.5	0.30	3.9	3.3	0.6
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	21	0.0	0.0	23.8	76.2	0.44	3.8	3.4	0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	21	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.4	0.5
8. Graded assignments included comments	20	0.0	0.0	15.0	85.0	0.37	3.9	3.1	0.8

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	21	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.3	0.6
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	21	0.0	0.0	4.8	95.2	0.22	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	21	0.0	0.0	9.5	90.5	0.30	3.9	3.5	0.4
12. Satisfact answered class questions	20	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.31	3.9	3.4	0.5
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	21	0.0	0.0	9.5	90.5	0.30	3.9	3.1	0.8
14. Encouraged class participation	21	0.0	0.0	9.5	90.5	0.30	3.9	3.3	0.6

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	21	0.0	0.0	23.8	76.2	0.44	3.8	3.2	0.6
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	21	0.0	4.8	14.3	81.0	0.54	3.8	3.2	0.6
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	21	0.0	4.8	23.8	71.4	0.58	3.7	3.1	0.6
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	21	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.3	0.6
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	21	0.0	0.0	19.0	81.0	0.40	3.8	2.9	0.9

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	21	0.0	0.0	19.0	81.0	0.40	3.8	3.2	0.6
21. Overall quality of teaching	21	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.00	4.0	3.3	0.7

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 211 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES II

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steven

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 21

Number of forms scanned = 21

Number of forms with at least one student response = 21

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html. For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 212 001S1 LEADERSHIP LABORATORY II

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steven

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	1	5.6	A	7	36.8
Sophomore	16	88.9	B	1	5.3
Junior	1	5.6	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	11	57.9

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	7	38.9
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	4	22.2
Required by my major	1	5.6	3 hours	4	22.2
Other (e.g. elective)	17	94.4	4 - 5 hours	3	16.7
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	19	0.0	0.0	15.8	84.2	0.37	3.8	3.4	0.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	15	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.51	3.6	3.2	0.4
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	15	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.49	3.7	3.3	0.4
4. Exams reflected what was taught	15	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.41	3.8	3.3	0.5
5. Grading was fair and consistent	18	0.0	5.6	16.7	77.8	0.57	3.7	3.3	0.4
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	18	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.38	3.8	3.4	0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	18	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	0.43	3.8	3.4	0.4
8. Graded assignments included comments	18	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.38	3.8	3.1	0.7

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	19	0.0	0.0	21.1	78.9	0.42	3.8	3.3	0.5
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	19	0.0	0.0	15.8	84.2	0.37	3.8	3.6	0.2
11. Was available for consultation	19	0.0	0.0	15.8	84.2	0.37	3.8	3.5	0.3
12. Satisfact answered class questions	19	0.0	0.0	15.8	84.2	0.37	3.8	3.4	0.4
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	19	0.0	0.0	21.1	78.9	0.42	3.8	3.1	0.7
14. Encouraged class participation	19	0.0	0.0	15.8	84.2	0.37	3.8	3.3	0.5

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	19	0.0	0.0	15.8	84.2	0.37	3.8	3.2	0.6
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	19	0.0	0.0	21.1	78.9	0.42	3.8	3.2	0.6
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	19	0.0	0.0	10.5	89.5	0.32	3.9	3.1	0.8
18. Gained undrstdn of concepts & prin.	19	0.0	0.0	15.8	84.2	0.37	3.8	3.3	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	19	0.0	0.0	21.1	78.9	0.42	3.8	2.9	0.9

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	19	0.0	0.0	10.5	89.5	0.32	3.9	3.2	0.7
21. Overall quality of teaching	19	0.0	0.0	15.8	84.2	0.37	3.8	3.3	0.5

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 212 001S1 LEADERSHIP LABORATORY II

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steven

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 21

Number of forms scanned = 21

Number of forms with at least one student response = 19

Percent completed = 90.5 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html. For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 312 001A1 LEADERSHIP LAB IIIA

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steven

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	0	0.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	2	66.7	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	1	33.3	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	4	100.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	2	50.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	1	25.0
Other (e.g. elective)	4	100.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	1	25.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
4. Exams reflected what was taught	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
5. Grading was fair and consistent	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.4	0.3
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.4	0.1
8. Graded assignments included comments	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.1	0.4

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.6	0.1
11. Was available for consultation	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.5	0.0
12. Satisfact answered class questions	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.1	0.7
14. Encouraged class participation	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.1	0.7
18. Gained undrstdn of concepts & prin.	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	2.9	0.9

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
21. Overall quality of teaching	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 312 001A1 LEADERSHIP LAB IIIA

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steven

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 4

Number of forms scanned = 4

Number of forms with at least one student response = 4

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html. For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 412 001A1 LEADERSHIP LABORATORY IVA

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steven

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	4	57.1
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	7	100.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	3	42.9

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	2	28.6
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	14.3
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	3	42.9
Other (e.g. elective)	7	100.0	4 - 5 hours	1	14.3
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
4. Exams reflected what was taught	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
5. Grading was fair and consistent	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
8. Graded assignments included comments	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
12. Satisfact answered class questions	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
14. Encouraged class participation	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.2	0.7
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.2	0.7
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	2.9	1.1

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
21. Overall quality of teaching	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.3	0.6

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time
 COURSE = AFS 412 001A1 LEADERSHIP LABORATORY IVA

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steven

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	1100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	1.0	3.1	-2.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS
 *** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 12
 Number of forms scanned = 7
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 7
 Percent completed = 58.3 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html. For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.