

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 580 001L1 ADVANCED TOPICS IN ANTHROPOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Alcalde, Maria Cristina

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	2	66.7
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	1	33.3
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	3	100.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	33.3
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	1	33.3
Other (e.g. elective)	3	100.0	4 - 5 hours	1	33.3
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.4	0.3
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
4. Exams reflected what was taught	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
5. Grading was fair and consistent	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	3	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.3	.	3.0	3.4	-0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.4	0.3
8. Graded assignments included comments	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.1	0.2

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.6	0.1
11. Was available for consultation	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.5	0.2
12. Satisfact answered class questions	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.4	0.3
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.1	0.2
14. Encouraged class participation	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.3	0.0

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.1	0.4
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	2.9	0.8

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
21. Overall quality of teaching	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 580 001L1 ADVANCED TOPICS IN ANTHROPOLOGY

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Alcalde, Maria Cristina

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
28. Guided student report preparation	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
29. Students free to express opinions	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
31. Developed abil to conduct research	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 4

Number of forms scanned = 3

Number of forms with at least one student response = 3

Percent completed = 75.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 470G001L1 REG AMERICAN ETHNOGRAPHY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Anglin, Mary Kivas

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	6	75.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	2	25.0
Junior	1	12.5	C	0	0.0
Senior	4	50.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	3	37.5	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	12.5
Required by my major	4	50.0	3 hours	2	25.0
Other (e.g. elective)	4	50.0	4 - 5 hours	4	50.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	1	12.5

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	8	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	.	3.4	3.4	0.0
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.2	0.4
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
4. Exams reflected what was taught	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
5. Grading was fair and consistent	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	8	0.0	12.5	25.0	62.5	.	3.5	3.4	0.1
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	8	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	.	3.4	3.4	0.0
8. Graded assignments included comments	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.1	0.7

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.6	0.3
11. Was available for consultation	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	.	3.6	3.5	0.1
12. Satisfact answered class questions	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.1	0.8
14. Encouraged class participation	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.2	0.4
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	7	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	.	3.4	3.1	0.3
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	.	3.6	2.9	0.7

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.2	0.7
21. Overall quality of teaching	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 470G001L1 REG AMERICAN ETHNOGRAPHY

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Anglin, Mary Kivas

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	6	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	.	3.2	3.3	-0.1
28. Guided student report preparation	5	0.0	20.0	20.0	60.0	.	3.4	3.3	0.1
29. Students free to express opinions	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 10

Number of forms scanned = 8

Number of forms with at least one student response = 8

Percent completed = 80.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 765 00101 ADV SEMINAR IN MEDICAL ANTHROPOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Anglin, Mary Kivas

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	5	83.3
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	1	16.7
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	6	85.7	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	1	14.3	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	1	16.7	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	5	83.3	4 - 5 hours	1	14.3
			6 - 7 hours	1	14.3
			8 or more hours	5	71.4

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.4	0.3
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
4. Exams reflected what was taught	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
5. Grading was fair and consistent	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.4	0.3
8. Graded assignments included comments	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.1	0.8

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.5	0.2
12. Satisfact answered class questions	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
14. Encouraged class participation	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.2	0.4
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.1	0.8
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	2.9	1.1

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
21. Overall quality of teaching	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 765 00101 ADV SEMINAR IN MEDICAL ANTHROPOLOGY

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Anglin, Mary Kivas

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
28. Guided student report preparation	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	.	3.4	3.3	0.1
29. Students free to express opinions	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
31. Developed abil to conduct research	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 8

Number of forms scanned = 7

Number of forms with at least one student response = 7

Percent completed = 87.5 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = ANT 101 006L1 INTRO TO ANTHROPOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Bonzani, Renee M

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	15	75.0	A	15	75.0
Sophomore	3	15.0	B	5	25.0
Junior	2	10.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	10	50.0
Studies Program	14	70.0	2 hours	5	25.0
Required by my major	1	5.0	3 hours	5	25.0
Other (e.g. elective)	5	25.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	21	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	0.51	3.4	3.4	0.0
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	20	15.0	15.0	55.0	15.0	0.92	2.7	3.2	-0.5
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	19	5.3	15.8	57.9	21.1	0.78	2.9	3.3	-0.4
4. Exams reflected what was taught	21	0.0	0.0	38.1	61.9	0.50	3.6	3.3	0.3
5. Grading was fair and consistent	21	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.48	3.7	3.3	0.4
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	19	0.0	5.3	42.1	52.6	0.61	3.5	3.4	0.1
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	21	0.0	9.5	33.3	57.1	0.68	3.5	3.4	0.1
8. Graded assignments included comments	17	0.0	35.3	29.4	35.3	0.87	3.0	3.1	-0.1

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	21	0.0	14.3	47.6	38.1	0.70	3.2	3.3	-0.1
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	21	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.51	3.6	3.6	0.0
11. Was available for consultation	20	0.0	0.0	70.0	30.0	0.47	3.3	3.5	-0.2
12. Satisfact answered class questions	21	0.0	4.8	47.6	47.6	0.60	3.4	3.4	0.0
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	21	4.8	9.5	52.4	33.3	0.79	3.1	3.1	0.0
14. Encouraged class participation	21	0.0	9.5	61.9	28.6	0.60	3.2	3.3	-0.1

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	20	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.50	3.4	3.2	0.2
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	20	5.0	15.0	60.0	20.0	0.76	3.0	3.2	-0.2
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	18	11.1	33.3	33.3	22.2	0.97	2.7	3.1	-0.4
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	21	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.48	3.3	3.3	0.0
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	18	11.1	16.7	50.0	22.2	0.92	2.8	2.9	-0.1

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	20	0.0	20.0	45.0	35.0	0.75	3.2	3.2	0.0
21. Overall quality of teaching	20	5.0	10.0	35.0	50.0	0.86	3.3	3.3	0.0

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = ANT 101 006L1 INTRO TO ANTHROPOLOGY

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Bonzani, Renee M

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	13	0.0	7.7	61.5	30.8	0.60	3.2	3.1	0.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	14	0.0	14.3	57.1	28.6	0.66	3.1	3.1	0.0
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	13	0.0	15.4	53.8	30.8	0.69	3.2	3.1	0.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	12	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.49	3.7	3.2	0.5
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	7	0.0	14.3	42.9	42.9	.	3.3	3.2	0.1
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	5	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	.	3.2	3.3	-0.1
28. Guided student report preparation	5	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	.	3.2	3.3	-0.1
29. Students free to express opinions	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	.	3.4	3.5	-0.1
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	5	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	.	3.2	3.4	-0.2
31. Developed abil to conduct research	4	0.0	25.0	50.0	25.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.2	0.1
33. Amount of work appropriate	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
34. Instructor explained expectations	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
35. Instructor helped with my problems	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.2	0.1
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.3	0.0

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 28

Number of forms scanned = 21

Number of forms with at least one student response = 21

Percent completed = 75.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = ANT 160 013L1 CULTURAL DIVERSITY IN THE MODERN WORLD

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Bonzani, Renee M

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	22	28.9	A	40	51.9
Sophomore	34	44.7	B	36	46.8
Junior	16	21.1	C	1	1.3
Senior	4	5.3	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	42	54.5
Studies Program	58	75.3	2 hours	22	28.6
Required by my major	15	19.5	3 hours	6	7.8
Other (e.g. elective)	4	5.2	4 - 5 hours	7	9.1
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	81	0.0	0.0	54.3	45.7	0.50	3.5	3.4	0.1
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	77	9.1	20.8	53.2	16.9	0.84	2.8	3.2	-0.4
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	78	3.8	20.5	55.1	20.5	0.75	2.9	3.3	-0.4
4. Exams reflected what was taught	81	0.0	2.5	48.1	49.4	0.55	3.5	3.3	0.2
5. Grading was fair and consistent	80	0.0	1.3	53.8	45.0	0.52	3.4	3.3	0.1
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	79	0.0	6.3	49.4	44.3	0.61	3.4	3.4	0.0
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	78	3.8	11.5	48.7	35.9	0.78	3.2	3.4	-0.2
8. Graded assignments included comments	67	13.4	38.8	31.3	16.4	0.93	2.5	3.1	-0.6

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	80	2.5	12.5	65.0	20.0	0.66	3.0	3.3	-0.3
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	80	2.5	0.0	48.8	48.8	0.63	3.4	3.6	-0.2
11. Was available for consultation	77	0.0	0.0	61.0	39.0	0.49	3.4	3.5	-0.1
12. Satisfact answered class questions	80	1.3	1.3	65.0	32.5	0.56	3.3	3.4	-0.1
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	80	8.8	23.8	51.3	16.3	0.83	2.8	3.1	-0.3
14. Encouraged class participation	78	5.1	28.2	48.7	17.9	0.80	2.8	3.3	-0.5

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	80	1.3	8.8	56.3	33.8	0.66	3.2	3.2	0.0
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	77	1.3	23.4	57.1	18.2	0.68	2.9	3.2	-0.3
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	70	1.4	32.9	50.0	15.7	0.71	2.8	3.1	-0.3
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	78	1.3	1.3	70.5	26.9	0.53	3.2	3.3	-0.1
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	77	9.1	44.2	39.0	7.8	0.77	2.5	2.9	-0.4

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	78	1.3	26.9	59.0	12.8	0.65	2.8	3.2	-0.4
21. Overall quality of teaching	80	3.8	17.5	58.8	20.0	0.73	3.0	3.3	-0.3

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = ANT 160 013L1 CULTURAL DIVERSITY IN THE MODERN WORLD

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Bonzani, Renee M

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Coll		
		SD	D	A	SA		Mean	Mean	Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	39	2.6	30.8	53.8	12.8	0.71	2.8	3.1	-0.3
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	49	0.0	20.4	63.3	16.3	0.61	3.0	3.1	-0.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	34	0.0	17.6	64.7	17.6	0.60	3.0	3.1	-0.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	47	0.0	0.0	55.3	44.7	0.50	3.4	3.2	0.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	19	0.0	0.0	84.2	15.8	0.37	3.2	3.2	0.0
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	8	0.0	0.0	87.5	12.5	.	3.1	3.3	-0.2
28. Guided student report preparation	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
29. Students free to express opinions	12	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.45	3.3	3.5	-0.2
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	11	0.0	9.1	63.6	27.3	0.60	3.2	3.4	-0.2
31. Developed abil to conduct research	9	0.0	11.1	66.7	22.2	.	3.1	3.3	-0.2
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	32	6.3	31.3	53.1	9.4	0.75	2.7	3.2	-0.5
33. Amount of work appropriate	32	0.0	0.0	84.4	15.6	0.37	3.2	3.2	0.0
34. Instructor explained expectations	32	9.4	18.8	62.5	9.4	0.77	2.7	3.3	-0.6
35. Instructor helped with my problems	27	3.7	37.0	55.6	3.7	0.64	2.6	3.2	-0.6
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	13	7.7	7.7	76.9	7.7	0.69	2.8	3.3	-0.5

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 180

Number of forms scanned = 86

Number of forms with at least one student response = 82

Percent completed = 45.6 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = ANT 160 401L1 CULTURAL DIVERSITY IN THE MODERN WORLD

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Chrostowsky, Marybeth L

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	11	26.2	A	17	40.5
Sophomore	15	35.7	B	17	40.5
Junior	7	16.7	C	6	14.3
Senior	8	19.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	1	2.4
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	2.4	Pass or Audit	1	2.4

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	24	57.1
Studies Program	30	71.4	2 hours	14	33.3
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	3	7.1
Other (e.g. elective)	12	28.6	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	1	2.4

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	46	2.2	4.3	58.7	34.8	0.65	3.3	3.4	-0.1
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	46	4.3	10.9	60.9	23.9	0.73	3.0	3.2	-0.2
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	46	2.2	15.2	65.2	17.4	0.65	3.0	3.3	-0.3
4. Exams reflected what was taught	46	2.2	4.3	50.0	43.5	0.67	3.3	3.3	0.0
5. Grading was fair and consistent	44	2.3	6.8	38.6	52.3	0.73	3.4	3.3	0.1
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	44	4.5	6.8	50.0	38.6	0.77	3.2	3.4	-0.2
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	44	6.8	2.3	50.0	40.9	0.81	3.3	3.4	-0.1
8. Graded assignments included comments	33	21.2	27.3	39.4	12.1	0.97	2.4	3.1	-0.7

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	46	2.2	23.9	60.9	13.0	0.67	2.8	3.3	-0.5
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	46	2.2	17.4	47.8	32.6	0.77	3.1	3.6	-0.5
11. Was available for consultation	43	2.3	9.3	65.1	23.3	0.65	3.1	3.5	-0.4
12. Satisfact answered class questions	46	6.5	8.7	54.3	30.4	0.81	3.1	3.4	-0.3
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	45	8.9	22.2	55.6	13.3	0.81	2.7	3.1	-0.4
14. Encouraged class participation	46	2.2	2.2	60.9	34.8	0.62	3.3	3.3	0.0

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	46	2.2	2.2	63.0	32.6	0.61	3.3	3.2	0.1
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	44	4.5	15.9	63.6	15.9	0.71	2.9	3.2	-0.3
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	39	5.1	25.6	51.3	17.9	0.79	2.8	3.1	-0.3
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	46	2.2	10.9	65.2	21.7	0.65	3.1	3.3	-0.2
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	46	8.7	41.3	37.0	13.0	0.84	2.5	2.9	-0.4

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	45	6.7	20.0	55.6	17.8	0.80	2.8	3.2	-0.4
21. Overall quality of teaching	45	4.4	17.8	48.9	28.9	0.81	3.0	3.3	-0.3

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = ANT 160 401L1 CULTURAL DIVERSITY IN THE MODERN WORLD

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Chrostowsky, Marybeth L

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	20	10.0	35.0	50.0	5.0	0.76	2.5	3.1	-0.6
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	22	0.0	18.2	72.7	9.1	0.53	2.9	3.1	-0.2
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	15	0.0	6.7	86.7	6.7	0.38	3.0	3.1	-0.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	25	4.0	0.0	64.0	32.0	0.66	3.2	3.2	0.0
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	13	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.0	0.38	2.8	3.2	-0.4
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
28. Guided student report preparation	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.5	-0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.4	-0.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 58

Number of forms scanned = 47

Number of forms with at least one student response = 46

Percent completed = 79.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = ANT 160 402L1 CULTURAL DIVERSITY IN THE MODERN WORLD

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Chrostowsky, Marybeth L

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	9	20.9	A	22	51.2
Sophomore	23	53.5	B	18	41.9
Junior	4	9.3	C	3	7.0
Senior	5	11.6	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	2	4.7	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	22	51.2
Studies Program	30	69.8	2 hours	15	34.9
Required by my major	6	14.0	3 hours	6	14.0
Other (e.g. elective)	7	16.3	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	48	0.0	0.0	60.4	39.6	0.49	3.4	3.4	0.0
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	48	2.1	14.6	54.2	29.2	0.72	3.1	3.2	-0.1
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	46	0.0	6.5	67.4	26.1	0.54	3.2	3.3	-0.1
4. Exams reflected what was taught	48	0.0	0.0	56.3	43.8	0.50	3.4	3.3	0.1
5. Grading was fair and consistent	48	0.0	0.0	58.3	41.7	0.50	3.4	3.3	0.1
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	47	0.0	6.4	48.9	44.7	0.61	3.4	3.4	0.0
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	47	0.0	2.1	53.2	44.7	0.54	3.4	3.4	0.0
8. Graded assignments included comments	37	5.4	21.6	48.6	24.3	0.83	2.9	3.1	-0.2

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	48	6.3	10.4	45.8	37.5	0.85	3.1	3.3	-0.2
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	48	0.0	6.3	45.8	47.9	0.61	3.4	3.6	-0.2
11. Was available for consultation	47	0.0	0.0	57.4	42.6	0.50	3.4	3.5	-0.1
12. Satisfact answered class questions	48	0.0	4.2	47.9	47.9	0.58	3.4	3.4	0.0
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	48	2.1	27.1	41.7	29.2	0.81	3.0	3.1	-0.1
14. Encouraged class participation	48	0.0	4.2	41.7	54.2	0.58	3.5	3.3	0.2

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	48	0.0	0.0	47.9	52.1	0.50	3.5	3.2	0.3
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	47	2.1	6.4	61.7	29.8	0.65	3.2	3.2	0.0
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	40	2.5	15.0	65.0	17.5	0.66	3.0	3.1	-0.1
18. Gained undrstdnd of concepts & prin.	47	0.0	2.1	59.6	38.3	0.53	3.4	3.3	0.1
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	46	0.0	43.5	39.1	17.4	0.74	2.7	2.9	-0.2

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	48	2.1	16.7	47.9	33.3	0.76	3.1	3.2	-0.1
21. Overall quality of teaching	48	4.2	12.5	35.4	47.9	0.84	3.3	3.3	0.0

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = ANT 160 402L1 CULTURAL DIVERSITY IN THE MODERN WORLD

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Chrostowsky, Marybeth L

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	16	0.0	18.8	81.3	0.0	0.40	2.8	3.1	-0.3
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	25	0.0	12.0	68.0	20.0	0.57	3.1	3.1	0.0
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	19	0.0	15.8	73.7	10.5	0.52	2.9	3.1	-0.2
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	26	0.0	0.0	53.8	46.2	0.51	3.5	3.2	0.3
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	9	0.0	11.1	66.7	22.2	.	3.1	3.2	-0.1
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.5	-0.2
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.4	0.1
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 59

Number of forms scanned = 48

Number of forms with at least one student response = 48

Percent completed = 81.4 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 770 002S1 TOPICAL SEMINAR:ANT MOBILITY & MIGRATION

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Cliggett, Lisa C

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	5	100.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	7	100.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	5	100.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	3	50.0
			8 or more hours	3	50.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	8	0.0	0.0	87.5	12.5	.	3.1	3.4	-0.3
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
5. Grading was fair and consistent	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
8. Graded assignments included comments	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.1	0.8

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.5	0.4
12. Satisfact answered class questions	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
14. Encouraged class participation	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.2	0.7
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.1	0.7
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	2.9	1.1

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.2	0.7
21. Overall quality of teaching	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 770 002S1 TOPICAL SEMINAR:ANT MOBILITY & MIGRATION

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Cliggett, Lisa C

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
28. Guided student report preparation	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
29. Students free to express opinions	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.5	0.3
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
31. Developed abil to conduct research	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 11

Number of forms scanned = 8

Number of forms with at least one student response = 8

Percent completed = 72.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 230 001L1 INTRO TO PHYSICAL ANT

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Crooks, Deborah L

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	3	14.3
Sophomore	4	19.0	B	12	57.1
Junior	9	42.9	C	5	23.8
Senior	8	38.1	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	4.8

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	8	38.1
Studies Program	3	14.3	2 hours	4	19.0
Required by my major	14	66.7	3 hours	7	33.3
Other (e.g. elective)	4	19.0	4 - 5 hours	2	9.5
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	21	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.48	3.7	3.4	0.3
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	21	0.0	4.8	38.1	57.1	0.60	3.5	3.2	0.3
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	21	0.0	0.0	38.1	61.9	0.50	3.6	3.3	0.3
4. Exams reflected what was taught	21	0.0	0.0	38.1	61.9	0.50	3.6	3.3	0.3
5. Grading was fair and consistent	21	0.0	4.8	33.3	61.9	0.60	3.6	3.3	0.3
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	21	0.0	0.0	19.0	81.0	0.40	3.8	3.4	0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	21	0.0	4.8	23.8	71.4	0.58	3.7	3.4	0.3
8. Graded assignments included comments	20	0.0	5.0	35.0	60.0	0.60	3.6	3.1	0.5

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	21	0.0	4.8	33.3	61.9	0.60	3.6	3.3	0.3
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	21	0.0	0.0	4.8	95.2	0.22	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	21	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.48	3.7	3.5	0.2
12. Satisfact answered class questions	21	0.0	0.0	23.8	76.2	0.44	3.8	3.4	0.4
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	21	0.0	14.3	33.3	52.4	0.74	3.4	3.1	0.3
14. Encouraged class participation	21	0.0	9.5	28.6	61.9	0.68	3.5	3.3	0.2

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	19	0.0	0.0	36.8	63.2	0.50	3.6	3.2	0.4
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	20	0.0	0.0	45.0	55.0	0.51	3.6	3.2	0.4
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	18	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.51	3.5	3.1	0.4
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	21	0.0	4.8	33.3	61.9	0.60	3.6	3.3	0.3
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	21	4.8	23.8	33.3	38.1	0.92	3.0	2.9	0.1

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	21	0.0	9.5	33.3	57.1	0.68	3.5	3.2	0.3
21. Overall quality of teaching	21	0.0	4.8	28.6	66.7	0.59	3.6	3.3	0.3

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 230 001L1 INTRO TO PHYSICAL ANT

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Crooks, Deborah L

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.1	0.4
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.2	-1.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 29

Number of forms scanned = 21

Number of forms with at least one student response = 21

Percent completed = 72.4 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 230 002L1 INTRO TO PHYSICAL ANT

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Crooks, Deborah L

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	1	5.6
Sophomore	2	10.5	B	12	66.7
Junior	5	26.3	C	5	27.8
Senior	12	63.2	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	5	26.3
Studies Program	1	5.3	2 hours	3	15.8
Required by my major	16	84.2	3 hours	7	36.8
Other (e.g. elective)	2	10.5	4 - 5 hours	3	15.8
			6 - 7 hours	1	5.3
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	20	0.0	0.0	30.0	70.0	0.47	3.7	3.4	0.3
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	20	0.0	5.0	30.0	65.0	0.60	3.6	3.2	0.4
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	20	0.0	0.0	35.0	65.0	0.49	3.7	3.3	0.4
4. Exams reflected what was taught	19	0.0	5.3	42.1	52.6	0.61	3.5	3.3	0.2
5. Grading was fair and consistent	20	0.0	5.0	35.0	60.0	0.60	3.6	3.3	0.3
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	20	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.44	3.8	3.4	0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	20	0.0	0.0	35.0	65.0	0.49	3.7	3.4	0.3
8. Graded assignments included comments	20	0.0	10.0	25.0	65.0	0.69	3.6	3.1	0.5

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	20	0.0	10.0	45.0	45.0	0.67	3.4	3.3	0.1
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	20	0.0	0.0	15.0	85.0	0.37	3.9	3.6	0.3
11. Was available for consultation	18	0.0	0.0	27.8	72.2	0.46	3.7	3.5	0.2
12. Satisfact answered class questions	20	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.44	3.8	3.4	0.4
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	20	0.0	20.0	25.0	55.0	0.81	3.4	3.1	0.3
14. Encouraged class participation	20	0.0	0.0	45.0	55.0	0.51	3.6	3.3	0.3

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	17	0.0	5.9	35.3	58.8	0.62	3.5	3.2	0.3
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	20	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.51	3.5	3.2	0.3
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	18	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	0.51	3.4	3.1	0.3
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	20	0.0	0.0	35.0	65.0	0.49	3.7	3.3	0.4
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	20	0.0	30.0	30.0	40.0	0.85	3.1	2.9	0.2

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	20	0.0	15.0	35.0	50.0	0.75	3.4	3.2	0.2
21. Overall quality of teaching	20	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.50	3.6	3.3	0.3

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 230 002L1 INTRO TO PHYSICAL ANT

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Crooks, Deborah L

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 34

Number of forms scanned = 20

Number of forms with at least one student response = 20

Percent completed = 58.8 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = ANT 340 001L1 DEVELOP AND CHANGE IN THIRD WORLD

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Hakansson, Nils Thomas

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	6	37.5
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	8	50.0
Junior	3	16.7	C	2	12.5
Senior	13	72.2	D	0	0.0
Graduate	1	5.6	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	1	5.6	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	5	29.4
Studies Program	1	5.6	2 hours	6	35.3
Required by my major	10	55.6	3 hours	3	17.6
Other (e.g. elective)	7	38.9	4 - 5 hours	2	11.8
			6 - 7 hours	1	5.9
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	19	0.0	15.8	63.2	21.1	0.62	3.1	3.4	-0.3
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	19	0.0	10.5	52.6	36.8	0.65	3.3	3.2	0.1
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	18	0.0	16.7	55.6	27.8	0.68	3.1	3.3	-0.2
4. Exams reflected what was taught	19	0.0	15.8	63.2	21.1	0.62	3.1	3.3	-0.2
5. Grading was fair and consistent	19	0.0	5.3	78.9	15.8	0.46	3.1	3.3	-0.2
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	19	0.0	10.5	68.4	21.1	0.57	3.1	3.4	-0.3
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	18	0.0	0.0	61.1	38.9	0.50	3.4	3.4	0.0
8. Graded assignments included comments	18	0.0	16.7	66.7	16.7	0.59	3.0	3.1	-0.1

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	18	0.0	33.3	55.6	11.1	0.65	2.8	3.3	-0.5
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	19	0.0	10.5	31.6	57.9	0.70	3.5	3.6	-0.1
11. Was available for consultation	18	0.0	11.1	55.6	33.3	0.65	3.2	3.5	-0.3
12. Satisfact answered class questions	19	0.0	5.3	63.2	31.6	0.56	3.3	3.4	-0.1
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	19	0.0	15.8	47.4	36.8	0.71	3.2	3.1	0.1
14. Encouraged class participation	19	0.0	5.3	47.4	47.4	0.61	3.4	3.3	0.1

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	19	0.0	5.3	42.1	52.6	0.61	3.5	3.2	0.3
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	19	0.0	10.5	57.9	31.6	0.63	3.2	3.2	0.0
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	17	0.0	11.8	47.1	41.2	0.69	3.3	3.1	0.2
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	18	0.0	5.6	55.6	38.9	0.59	3.3	3.3	0.0
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	18	0.0	11.1	44.4	44.4	0.69	3.3	2.9	0.4

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	18	5.6	22.2	55.6	16.7	0.79	2.8	3.2	-0.4
21. Overall quality of teaching	18	0.0	16.7	44.4	38.9	0.73	3.2	3.3	-0.1

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = ANT 340 001L1 DEVELOP AND CHANGE IN THIRD WORLD

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Hakansson, Nils Thomas

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 26

Number of forms scanned = 19

Number of forms with at least one student response = 19

Percent completed = 73.1 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = ANT 101 005L1 INTRO TO ANTHROPOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Howard, Patricia A.

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	11	40.7	A	19	70.4
Sophomore	7	25.9	B	6	22.2
Junior	4	14.8	C	1	3.7
Senior	5	18.5	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	3.7

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	15	55.6
Studies Program	12	44.4	2 hours	8	29.6
Required by my major	2	7.4	3 hours	4	14.8
Other (e.g. elective)	13	48.1	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	29	0.0	0.0	27.6	72.4	0.45	3.7	3.4	0.3
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	29	0.0	13.8	37.9	48.3	0.72	3.3	3.2	0.1
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	29	0.0	3.4	37.9	58.6	0.57	3.6	3.3	0.3
4. Exams reflected what was taught	24	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	0.50	3.6	3.3	0.3
5. Grading was fair and consistent	29	3.4	3.4	24.1	69.0	0.73	3.6	3.3	0.3
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	29	0.0	0.0	37.9	62.1	0.49	3.6	3.4	0.2
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	29	3.4	0.0	41.4	55.2	0.69	3.5	3.4	0.1
8. Graded assignments included comments	29	0.0	0.0	41.4	58.6	0.50	3.6	3.1	0.5

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	29	0.0	0.0	41.4	58.6	0.50	3.6	3.3	0.3
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	29	0.0	0.0	20.7	79.3	0.41	3.8	3.6	0.2
11. Was available for consultation	28	0.0	3.6	39.3	57.1	0.58	3.5	3.5	0.0
12. Satisfact answered class questions	29	0.0	3.4	31.0	65.5	0.56	3.6	3.4	0.2
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	29	3.4	6.9	37.9	51.7	0.78	3.4	3.1	0.3
14. Encouraged class participation	29	0.0	0.0	48.3	51.7	0.51	3.5	3.3	0.2

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	29	0.0	0.0	37.9	62.1	0.49	3.6	3.2	0.4
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	28	0.0	10.7	39.3	50.0	0.69	3.4	3.2	0.2
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	28	7.1	21.4	25.0	46.4	0.99	3.1	3.1	0.0
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	29	0.0	3.4	44.8	51.7	0.57	3.5	3.3	0.2
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	29	6.9	17.2	27.6	48.3	0.97	3.2	2.9	0.3

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	29	3.4	6.9	34.5	55.2	0.78	3.4	3.2	0.2
21. Overall quality of teaching	29	0.0	3.4	24.1	72.4	0.54	3.7	3.3	0.4

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = ANT 101 005L1 INTRO TO ANTHROPOLOGY

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Howard, Patricia A.

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	.	3.4	3.1	0.3
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	8	0.0	12.5	37.5	50.0	.	3.4	3.1	0.3
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	8	0.0	12.5	37.5	50.0	.	3.4	3.1	0.3
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 40

Number of forms scanned = 29

Number of forms with at least one student response = 29

Percent completed = 72.5 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 242 001L1 ORIGINS-NEW WORLD CIVIL

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Hutson, Scott R

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	13	28.9	A	13	28.9
Sophomore	15	33.3	B	22	48.9
Junior	10	22.2	C	9	20.0
Senior	7	15.6	D	1	2.2
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	16	35.6
Studies Program	22	48.9	2 hours	15	33.3
Required by my major	16	35.6	3 hours	10	22.2
Other (e.g. elective)	7	15.6	4 - 5 hours	3	6.7
			6 - 7 hours	1	2.2
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	48	0.0	0.0	43.8	56.3	0.50	3.6	3.4	0.2
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	47	4.3	21.3	51.1	23.4	0.79	2.9	3.2	-0.3
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	48	4.2	4.2	60.4	31.3	0.70	3.2	3.3	-0.1
4. Exams reflected what was taught	48	2.1	2.1	41.7	54.2	0.65	3.5	3.3	0.2
5. Grading was fair and consistent	48	0.0	6.3	43.8	50.0	0.62	3.4	3.3	0.1
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	48	2.1	2.1	52.1	43.8	0.64	3.4	3.4	0.0
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	48	0.0	10.4	39.6	50.0	0.68	3.4	3.4	0.0
8. Graded assignments included comments	45	2.2	20.0	46.7	31.1	0.78	3.1	3.1	0.0

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	48	2.1	6.3	35.4	56.3	0.71	3.5	3.3	0.2
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	48	0.0	0.0	20.8	79.2	0.41	3.8	3.6	0.2
11. Was available for consultation	44	0.0	2.3	54.5	43.2	0.54	3.4	3.5	-0.1
12. Satisfact answered class questions	48	0.0	2.1	43.8	54.2	0.55	3.5	3.4	0.1
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	47	4.3	17.0	23.4	55.3	0.91	3.3	3.1	0.2
14. Encouraged class participation	48	0.0	2.1	35.4	62.5	0.54	3.6	3.3	0.3

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	48	2.1	2.1	52.1	43.8	0.64	3.4	3.2	0.2
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	46	2.2	15.2	56.5	26.1	0.71	3.1	3.2	-0.1
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	41	2.4	22.0	56.1	19.5	0.72	2.9	3.1	-0.2
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	47	2.1	2.1	46.8	48.9	0.65	3.4	3.3	0.1
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	47	6.4	19.1	42.6	31.9	0.88	3.0	2.9	0.1

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	48	2.1	6.3	43.8	47.9	0.70	3.4	3.2	0.2
21. Overall quality of teaching	48	2.1	6.3	25.0	66.7	0.71	3.6	3.3	0.3

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 242 001L1 ORIGINS-NEW WORLD CIVIL

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Hutson, Scott R

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	15	6.7	26.7	46.7	20.0	0.86	2.8	3.1	-0.3
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	16	6.3	6.3	68.8	18.8	0.73	3.0	3.1	-0.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	16	6.3	6.3	62.5	25.0	0.77	3.1	3.1	0.0
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	16	6.3	6.3	31.3	56.3	0.89	3.4	3.2	0.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	5	20.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 87

Number of forms scanned = 48

Number of forms with at least one student response = 48

Percent completed = 55.2 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 770 001S1 TOP SEM:LANDSCAPE ARCH & ANT PERSPECTIVE

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Hutson, Scott R

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	5	83.3
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	1	16.7
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	6	100.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	1	16.7	2 hours	1	16.7
Required by my major	1	16.7	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	4	66.7	4 - 5 hours	3	50.0
			6 - 7 hours	1	16.7
			8 or more hours	1	16.7

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
5. Grading was fair and consistent	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.4	0.3
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.4	0.3
8. Graded assignments included comments	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.1	0.6

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.6	0.1
11. Was available for consultation	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.5	0.3
12. Satisfact answered class questions	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.4	0.3
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	7	0.0	14.3	14.3	71.4	.	3.6	3.1	0.5
14. Encouraged class participation	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	6	0.0	16.7	16.7	66.7	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	6	0.0	16.7	0.0	83.3	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.1	0.7
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	6	16.7	0.0	0.0	83.3	.	3.5	2.9	0.6

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
21. Overall quality of teaching	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.3	0.6

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 770 001S1 TOP SEM:LANDSCAPE ARCH & ANT PERSPECTIVE

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Hutson, Scott R

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.1	0.4
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
28. Guided student report preparation	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
29. Students free to express opinions	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	6	0.0	16.7	0.0	83.3	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 7

Number of forms scanned = 7

Number of forms with at least one student response = 7

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 342 001L1 NORTH AMER ARCHAEOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Jefferies, Richard

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	8	66.7
Sophomore	2	15.4	B	3	25.0
Junior	1	7.7	C	1	8.3
Senior	10	76.9	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	4	30.8
Studies Program	1	7.7	2 hours	4	30.8
Required by my major	8	61.5	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	4	30.8	4 - 5 hours	4	30.8
			6 - 7 hours	1	7.7
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	14	0.0	0.0	21.4	78.6	0.43	3.8	3.4	0.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	14	0.0	7.1	42.9	50.0	0.65	3.4	3.2	0.2
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	14	0.0	14.3	50.0	35.7	0.70	3.2	3.3	-0.1
4. Exams reflected what was taught	14	0.0	0.0	35.7	64.3	0.50	3.6	3.3	0.3
5. Grading was fair and consistent	14	0.0	0.0	21.4	78.6	0.43	3.8	3.3	0.5
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	14	0.0	0.0	35.7	64.3	0.50	3.6	3.4	0.2
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	14	0.0	0.0	35.7	64.3	0.50	3.6	3.4	0.2
8. Graded assignments included comments	14	0.0	7.1	35.7	57.1	0.65	3.5	3.1	0.4

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	14	0.0	7.1	42.9	50.0	0.65	3.4	3.3	0.1
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.6	0.3
11. Was available for consultation	13	0.0	0.0	38.5	61.5	0.51	3.6	3.5	0.1
12. Satisfact answered class questions	14	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.47	3.7	3.4	0.3
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	14	0.0	7.1	14.3	78.6	0.61	3.7	3.1	0.6
14. Encouraged class participation	14	0.0	7.1	64.3	28.6	0.58	3.2	3.3	-0.1

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	12	0.0	16.7	25.0	58.3	0.79	3.4	3.2	0.2
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	13	0.0	7.7	46.2	46.2	0.65	3.4	3.2	0.2
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	13	0.0	23.1	30.8	46.2	0.83	3.2	3.1	0.1
18. Gained undrstdn of concepts & prin.	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.38	3.8	3.3	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	13	7.7	7.7	23.1	61.5	0.96	3.4	2.9	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	14	0.0	7.1	42.9	50.0	0.65	3.4	3.2	0.2
21. Overall quality of teaching	14	7.1	0.0	14.3	78.6	0.84	3.6	3.3	0.3

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 342 001L1 NORTH AMER ARCHAEOLOGY

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Jefferies, Richard

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 20

Number of forms scanned = 14

Number of forms with at least one student response = 14

Percent completed = 70.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 220 002L1 INTRO TO CULTURAL ANT

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = King, Diane E

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	4	11.1
Sophomore	8	22.2	B	26	72.2
Junior	14	38.9	C	4	11.1
Senior	14	38.9	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	2	5.6

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	6	16.7
Studies Program	4	11.1	2 hours	14	38.9
Required by my major	23	63.9	3 hours	10	27.8
Other (e.g. elective)	9	25.0	4 - 5 hours	5	13.9
			6 - 7 hours	1	2.8
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	38	0.0	2.6	60.5	36.8	0.53	3.3	3.4	-0.1
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	38	0.0	5.3	65.8	28.9	0.54	3.2	3.2	0.0
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	38	2.6	2.6	65.8	28.9	0.62	3.2	3.3	-0.1
4. Exams reflected what was taught	38	0.0	7.9	68.4	23.7	0.55	3.2	3.3	-0.1
5. Grading was fair and consistent	37	2.7	18.9	59.5	18.9	0.70	2.9	3.3	-0.4
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	38	0.0	2.6	76.3	21.1	0.46	3.2	3.4	-0.2
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	38	10.5	44.7	36.8	7.9	0.79	2.4	3.4	-1.0
8. Graded assignments included comments	37	8.1	32.4	51.4	8.1	0.76	2.6	3.1	-0.5

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	38	2.6	10.5	55.3	31.6	0.72	3.2	3.3	-0.1
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	38	0.0	0.0	36.8	63.2	0.49	3.6	3.6	0.0
11. Was available for consultation	35	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	0.46	3.3	3.5	-0.2
12. Satisfact answered class questions	38	0.0	0.0	63.2	36.8	0.49	3.4	3.4	0.0
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	38	2.6	21.1	44.7	31.6	0.80	3.1	3.1	0.0
14. Encouraged class participation	38	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.51	3.5	3.3	0.2

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	38	0.0	2.6	47.4	50.0	0.56	3.5	3.2	0.3
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	38	0.0	7.9	68.4	23.7	0.55	3.2	3.2	0.0
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	36	0.0	13.9	63.9	22.2	0.60	3.1	3.1	0.0
18. Gained undrstdnd of concepts & prin.	37	0.0	2.7	56.8	40.5	0.55	3.4	3.3	0.1
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	37	2.7	24.3	48.6	24.3	0.78	2.9	2.9	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	38	2.6	15.8	55.3	26.3	0.73	3.1	3.2	-0.1
21. Overall quality of teaching	38	2.6	15.8	36.8	44.7	0.82	3.2	3.3	-0.1

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 220 002L1 INTRO TO CULTURAL ANT

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = King, Diane E

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	3	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	3	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	.	2.7	3.1	-0.4
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.2	0.1
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	3	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 49

Number of forms scanned = 38

Number of forms with at least one student response = 38

Percent completed = 77.6 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 350 001L1 TOPICS IN ANT: MEDICAL ANTHROPOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Koch, Erin

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	4	25.0
Sophomore	2	12.5	B	7	43.8
Junior	2	12.5	C	4	25.0
Senior	11	68.8	D	1	6.3
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	6.3	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	2	13.3	2 hours	4	25.0
Required by my major	7	46.7	3 hours	6	37.5
Other (e.g. elective)	6	40.0	4 - 5 hours	2	12.5
			6 - 7 hours	3	18.8
			8 or more hours	1	6.3

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	16	0.0	6.3	56.3	37.5	0.60	3.3	3.4	-0.1
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	16	0.0	25.0	43.8	31.3	0.77	3.1	3.2	-0.1
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	16	6.3	12.5	50.0	31.3	0.85	3.1	3.3	-0.2
4. Exams reflected what was taught	16	0.0	12.5	56.3	31.3	0.66	3.2	3.3	-0.1
5. Grading was fair and consistent	16	0.0	18.8	68.8	12.5	0.57	2.9	3.3	-0.4
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	16	0.0	0.0	87.5	12.5	0.34	3.1	3.4	-0.3
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	16	0.0	18.8	50.0	31.3	0.72	3.1	3.4	-0.3
8. Graded assignments included comments	16	6.3	12.5	37.5	43.8	0.91	3.2	3.1	0.1

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	16	12.5	37.5	31.3	18.8	0.96	2.6	3.3	-0.7
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	16	0.0	0.0	31.3	68.8	0.48	3.7	3.6	0.1
11. Was available for consultation	16	0.0	0.0	43.8	56.3	0.51	3.6	3.5	0.1
12. Satisfact answered class questions	16	0.0	12.5	56.3	31.3	0.66	3.2	3.4	-0.2
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	16	25.0	0.0	37.5	37.5	1.20	2.9	3.1	-0.2
14. Encouraged class participation	16	12.5	12.5	31.3	43.8	1.06	3.1	3.3	-0.2

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	15	0.0	6.7	46.7	46.7	0.63	3.4	3.2	0.2
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	16	6.3	25.0	43.8	25.0	0.89	2.9	3.2	-0.3
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	15	13.3	26.7	60.0	0.0	0.74	2.5	3.1	-0.6
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	16	0.0	18.8	50.0	31.3	0.72	3.1	3.3	-0.2
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	15	13.3	20.0	26.7	40.0	1.10	2.9	2.9	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	16	12.5	6.3	50.0	31.3	0.97	3.0	3.2	-0.2
21. Overall quality of teaching	16	18.8	12.5	31.3	37.5	1.15	2.9	3.3	-0.4

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 350 001L1 TOPICS IN ANT: MEDICAL ANTHROPOLOGY

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Koch, Erin

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.1	-1.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	.	2.5	3.1	-0.6
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	.	2.5	3.1	-0.6
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.5	-1.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.4	-0.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.3	-1.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	1.0	3.2	-2.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.3	-1.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.2	-1.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 23

Number of forms scanned = 16

Number of forms with at least one student response = 16

Percent completed = 69.6 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 610 401S1 HISTORY OF THEORY IN ANTHROPOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Koch, Erin

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	5	62.5
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	3	37.5
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	9	100.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	1	11.1	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	7	77.8	3 hours	1	11.1
Other (e.g. elective)	1	11.1	4 - 5 hours	2	22.2
			6 - 7 hours	5	55.6
			8 or more hours	1	11.1

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	9	0.0	22.2	22.2	55.6	.	3.3	3.4	-0.1
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	9	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.2	0.1
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	9	0.0	11.1	44.4	44.4	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
5. Grading was fair and consistent	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	.	3.6	3.4	0.2
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	9	0.0	44.4	44.4	11.1	.	2.7	3.4	-0.7
8. Graded assignments included comments	9	0.0	11.1	55.6	33.3	.	3.2	3.1	0.1

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	8	0.0	12.5	50.0	37.5	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	9	0.0	11.1	11.1	77.8	.	3.7	3.6	0.1
11. Was available for consultation	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	.	3.6	3.5	0.1
12. Satisfact answered class questions	9	0.0	11.1	33.3	55.6	.	3.4	3.4	0.0
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	9	0.0	22.2	44.4	33.3	.	3.1	3.1	0.0
14. Encouraged class participation	9	0.0	11.1	55.6	33.3	.	3.2	3.3	-0.1

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	9	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.2	0.1
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	9	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.2	0.1
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	9	0.0	0.0	77.8	22.2	.	3.2	3.1	0.1
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	9	0.0	11.1	44.4	44.4	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	8	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	2.9	0.6

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	9	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.3	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
21. Overall quality of teaching	9	11.1	11.1	44.4	33.3	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 610 401S1 HISTORY OF THEORY IN ANTHROPOLOGY

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Koch, Erin

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	6	16.7	0.0	66.7	16.7	.	2.8	3.3	-0.5
28. Guided student report preparation	8	0.0	25.0	62.5	12.5	.	2.9	3.3	-0.4
29. Students free to express opinions	9	11.1	0.0	44.4	44.4	.	3.2	3.5	-0.3
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	9	0.0	11.1	66.7	22.2	.	3.1	3.4	-0.3
31. Developed abil to conduct research	8	0.0	0.0	87.5	12.5	.	3.1	3.3	-0.2
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 10

Number of forms scanned = 9

Number of forms with at least one student response = 9

Percent completed = 90.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 301 001L1 HIS ANTHROPOLOGIC THEORY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Lyon, Sarah M

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	1	3.4	A	16	55.2
Sophomore	1	3.4	B	6	20.7
Junior	13	44.8	C	5	17.2
Senior	14	48.3	D	1	3.4
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	1	3.4
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	10.3
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	9	31.0
Required by my major	29	100.0	3 hours	7	24.1
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	5	17.2
			6 - 7 hours	2	6.9
			8 or more hours	3	10.3

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	30	0.0	0.0	23.3	76.7	0.43	3.8	3.4	0.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	30	0.0	3.3	26.7	70.0	0.55	3.7	3.2	0.5
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	31	0.0	3.2	35.5	61.3	0.56	3.6	3.3	0.3
4. Exams reflected what was taught	12	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	0.51	3.6	3.3	0.3
5. Grading was fair and consistent	31	0.0	0.0	22.6	77.4	0.43	3.8	3.3	0.5
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	30	0.0	0.0	36.7	63.3	0.49	3.6	3.4	0.2
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	31	0.0	3.2	25.8	71.0	0.54	3.7	3.4	0.3
8. Graded assignments included comments	31	0.0	0.0	25.8	74.2	0.44	3.7	3.1	0.6

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	31	0.0	3.2	35.5	61.3	0.56	3.6	3.3	0.3
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	31	0.0	0.0	19.4	80.6	0.40	3.8	3.6	0.2
11. Was available for consultation	30	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.41	3.8	3.5	0.3
12. Satisfact answered class questions	31	0.0	0.0	19.4	80.6	0.40	3.8	3.4	0.4
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	31	3.2	9.7	35.5	51.6	0.80	3.4	3.1	0.3
14. Encouraged class participation	31	0.0	0.0	22.6	77.4	0.43	3.8	3.3	0.5

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	29	0.0	0.0	44.8	55.2	0.51	3.6	3.2	0.4
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	29	0.0	3.4	13.8	82.8	0.49	3.8	3.2	0.6
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	26	0.0	3.8	46.2	50.0	0.58	3.5	3.1	0.4
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	30	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.41	3.8	3.3	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	30	6.7	13.3	36.7	43.3	0.91	3.2	2.9	0.3

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	30	0.0	0.0	30.0	70.0	0.47	3.7	3.2	0.5
21. Overall quality of teaching	30	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.38	3.8	3.3	0.5

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 301 001L1 HIS ANTHROPOLOGIC THEORY

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Lyon, Sarah M

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.1	-1.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.2	-1.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.2	-1.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.5	-0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.4	-0.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 37

Number of forms scanned = 31

Number of forms with at least one student response = 31

Percent completed = 83.8 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 324 001L1 CONTEMP LATIN AM CULTURE

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Lyon, Sarah M

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	1	2.5	A	15	37.5
Sophomore	8	20.0	B	16	40.0
Junior	10	25.0	C	7	17.5
Senior	20	50.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	1	2.5	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	2	5.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	5	12.5
Studies Program	9	23.1	2 hours	11	27.5
Required by my major	12	30.8	3 hours	14	35.0
Other (e.g. elective)	18	46.2	4 - 5 hours	7	17.5
			6 - 7 hours	2	5.0
			8 or more hours	1	2.5

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	44	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.39	3.8	3.4	0.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	43	0.0	0.0	41.9	58.1	0.50	3.6	3.2	0.4
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	44	0.0	0.0	43.2	56.8	0.50	3.6	3.3	0.3
4. Exams reflected what was taught	44	0.0	0.0	22.7	77.3	0.42	3.8	3.3	0.5
5. Grading was fair and consistent	44	0.0	0.0	20.5	79.5	0.41	3.8	3.3	0.5
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	44	0.0	2.3	29.5	68.2	0.53	3.7	3.4	0.3
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	44	0.0	0.0	29.5	70.5	0.46	3.7	3.4	0.3
8. Graded assignments included comments	44	0.0	0.0	43.2	56.8	0.50	3.6	3.1	0.5

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	44	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.45	3.7	3.3	0.4
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	44	0.0	0.0	15.9	84.1	0.37	3.8	3.6	0.2
11. Was available for consultation	43	0.0	0.0	23.3	76.7	0.43	3.8	3.5	0.3
12. Satisfact answered class questions	44	0.0	0.0	29.5	70.5	0.46	3.7	3.4	0.3
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	43	2.3	7.0	34.9	55.8	0.73	3.4	3.1	0.3
14. Encouraged class participation	44	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.44	3.8	3.3	0.5

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	44	0.0	0.0	40.9	59.1	0.50	3.6	3.2	0.4
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	44	0.0	2.3	40.9	56.8	0.55	3.5	3.2	0.3
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	39	2.6	5.1	41.0	51.3	0.72	3.4	3.1	0.3
18. Gained undrstdn of concepts & prin.	44	0.0	2.3	31.8	65.9	0.53	3.6	3.3	0.3
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	44	2.3	15.9	38.6	43.2	0.80	3.2	2.9	0.3

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	44	0.0	4.5	27.3	68.2	0.57	3.6	3.2	0.4
21. Overall quality of teaching	44	0.0	4.5	11.4	84.1	0.51	3.8	3.3	0.5

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 324 001L1 CONTEMP LATIN AM CULTURE

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Lyon, Sarah M

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	5	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	6	0.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	.	3.2	3.1	0.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.1	0.2
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.2	0.4
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	3	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
28. Guided student report preparation	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
29. Students free to express opinions	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.5	0.0
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.4	0.1
31. Developed abil to conduct research	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 55

Number of forms scanned = 44

Number of forms with at least one student response = 44

Percent completed = 80.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 130 001L1 INTRO TO COMPAR RELIGION

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = McDonald, Juliana

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	5	12.2	A	14	34.1
Sophomore	16	39.0	B	25	61.0
Junior	10	24.4	C	1	2.4
Senior	10	24.4	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	2.4

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	23	56.1
Studies Program	12	29.3	2 hours	11	26.8
Required by my major	3	7.3	3 hours	7	17.1
Other (e.g. elective)	26	63.4	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	44	2.3	9.1	54.5	34.1	0.70	3.2	3.4	-0.2
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	43	7.0	20.9	46.5	25.6	0.87	2.9	3.2	-0.3
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	42	0.0	11.9	59.5	28.6	0.62	3.2	3.3	-0.1
4. Exams reflected what was taught	44	0.0	0.0	65.9	34.1	0.48	3.3	3.3	0.0
5. Grading was fair and consistent	44	0.0	2.3	47.7	50.0	0.55	3.5	3.3	0.2
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	44	0.0	0.0	65.9	34.1	0.48	3.3	3.4	-0.1
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	44	6.8	20.5	43.2	29.5	0.89	3.0	3.4	-0.4
8. Graded assignments included comments	38	5.3	23.7	44.7	26.3	0.85	2.9	3.1	-0.2

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	44	4.5	18.2	29.5	47.7	0.90	3.2	3.3	-0.1
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	44	2.3	2.3	38.6	56.8	0.66	3.5	3.6	-0.1
11. Was available for consultation	42	0.0	0.0	45.2	54.8	0.50	3.5	3.5	0.0
12. Satisfact answered class questions	44	2.3	6.8	38.6	52.3	0.73	3.4	3.4	0.0
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	44	2.3	15.9	29.5	52.3	0.83	3.3	3.1	0.2
14. Encouraged class participation	43	0.0	14.0	44.2	41.9	0.70	3.3	3.3	0.0

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	44	0.0	2.3	43.2	54.5	0.55	3.5	3.2	0.3
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	42	7.1	7.1	45.2	40.5	0.86	3.2	3.2	0.0
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	38	2.6	10.5	55.3	31.6	0.72	3.2	3.1	0.1
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	43	0.0	4.7	55.8	39.5	0.57	3.3	3.3	0.0
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	44	2.3	15.9	36.4	45.5	0.81	3.3	2.9	0.4

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	44	2.3	18.2	34.1	45.5	0.83	3.2	3.2	0.0
21. Overall quality of teaching	44	4.5	13.6	22.7	59.1	0.89	3.4	3.3	0.1

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 130 001L1 INTRO TO COMPAR RELIGION

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = McDonald, Juliana

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		SD	D	A	SA		Mean	Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	4	0.0	50.0	25.0	25.0	.	2.8	3.1	-0.3
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	5	0.0	40.0	40.0	20.0	.	2.8	3.1	-0.3
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	6	16.7	33.3	33.3	16.7	.	2.5	3.1	-0.6
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	6	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	.	3.2	3.2	0.0
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	5	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	.	3.2	3.2	0.0
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 50

Number of forms scanned = 47

Number of forms with at least one student response = 45

Percent completed = 90.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 160 001L1 CULTURAL DIVERSITY IN THE MODERN WORLD

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = McDonald, Juliana

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	24	22.6	A	59	56.2
Sophomore	57	53.8	B	40	38.1
Junior	15	14.2	C	6	5.7
Senior	9	8.5	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	0.9	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	41	38.7
Studies Program	77	73.3	2 hours	34	32.1
Required by my major	14	13.3	3 hours	23	21.7
Other (e.g. elective)	14	13.3	4 - 5 hours	5	4.7
			6 - 7 hours	1	0.9
			8 or more hours	2	1.9

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	111	0.9	2.7	40.5	55.9	0.60	3.5	3.4	0.1
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	106	0.0	5.7	45.3	49.1	0.60	3.4	3.2	0.2
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	110	0.0	2.7	44.5	52.7	0.55	3.5	3.3	0.2
4. Exams reflected what was taught	110	0.0	7.3	40.9	51.8	0.63	3.4	3.3	0.1
5. Grading was fair and consistent	109	0.0	3.7	41.3	55.0	0.57	3.5	3.3	0.2
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	110	0.0	1.8	41.8	56.4	0.54	3.5	3.4	0.1
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	108	0.9	6.5	46.3	46.3	0.65	3.4	3.4	0.0
8. Graded assignments included comments	96	0.0	8.3	47.9	43.8	0.63	3.4	3.1	0.3

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	110	1.8	0.9	38.2	59.1	0.62	3.5	3.3	0.2
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	111	0.9	1.8	28.8	68.5	0.57	3.6	3.6	0.0
11. Was available for consultation	107	0.0	0.9	43.0	56.1	0.52	3.6	3.5	0.1
12. Satisfact answered class questions	110	0.0	2.7	40.0	57.3	0.55	3.5	3.4	0.1
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	111	2.7	3.6	45.9	47.7	0.69	3.4	3.1	0.3
14. Encouraged class participation	110	0.0	12.7	51.8	35.5	0.66	3.2	3.3	-0.1

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	109	0.9	0.9	40.4	57.8	0.57	3.6	3.2	0.4
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	106	0.9	4.7	49.1	45.3	0.63	3.4	3.2	0.2
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	100	1.0	13.0	48.0	38.0	0.71	3.2	3.1	0.1
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	110	0.9	2.7	45.5	50.9	0.60	3.5	3.3	0.2
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	110	1.8	11.8	45.5	40.9	0.73	3.3	2.9	0.4

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	111	1.8	2.7	31.5	64.0	0.64	3.6	3.2	0.4
21. Overall quality of teaching	111	0.9	3.6	21.6	73.9	0.59	3.7	3.3	0.4

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 160 001L1 CULTURAL DIVERSITY IN THE MODERN WORLD

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = McDonald, Juliana

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	49	4.1	8.2	53.1	34.7	0.75	3.2	3.1	0.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	61	3.3	4.9	54.1	37.7	0.70	3.3	3.1	0.2
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	60	3.3	3.3	58.3	35.0	0.68	3.3	3.1	0.2
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	61	3.3	0.0	47.5	49.2	0.67	3.4	3.2	0.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	39	5.1	0.0	56.4	38.5	0.72	3.3	3.2	0.1
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	6	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
28. Guided student report preparation	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
29. Students free to express opinions	6	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.5	0.0
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	6	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.4	0.1
31. Developed abil to conduct research	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
33. Amount of work appropriate	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
34. Instructor explained expectations	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.2	0.4
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 180

Number of forms scanned = 111

Number of forms with at least one student response = 111

Percent completed = 61.7 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 160 007L1 CULTURAL DIVERSITY IN THE MODERN WORLD

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = McDonald, Juliana

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	29	37.2	A	43	56.6
Sophomore	41	52.6	B	32	42.1
Junior	5	6.4	C	1	1.3
Senior	3	3.8	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	29	38.2
Studies Program	59	77.6	2 hours	31	40.8
Required by my major	4	5.3	3 hours	15	19.7
Other (e.g. elective)	13	17.1	4 - 5 hours	1	1.3
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	84	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.50	3.6	3.4	0.2
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	82	0.0	3.7	52.4	43.9	0.56	3.4	3.2	0.2
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	84	0.0	3.6	50.0	46.4	0.57	3.4	3.3	0.1
4. Exams reflected what was taught	84	0.0	3.6	45.2	51.2	0.57	3.5	3.3	0.2
5. Grading was fair and consistent	83	0.0	0.0	39.8	60.2	0.49	3.6	3.3	0.3
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	84	0.0	0.0	44.0	56.0	0.50	3.6	3.4	0.2
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	83	0.0	3.6	53.0	43.4	0.56	3.4	3.4	0.0
8. Graded assignments included comments	75	0.0	14.7	52.0	33.3	0.67	3.2	3.1	0.1

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	84	0.0	1.2	47.6	51.2	0.53	3.5	3.3	0.2
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	83	0.0	0.0	30.1	69.9	0.46	3.7	3.6	0.1
11. Was available for consultation	81	0.0	2.5	48.1	49.4	0.55	3.5	3.5	0.0
12. Satisfact answered class questions	84	0.0	1.2	42.9	56.0	0.52	3.5	3.4	0.1
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	83	1.2	3.6	47.0	48.2	0.63	3.4	3.1	0.3
14. Encouraged class participation	81	1.2	12.3	50.6	35.8	0.70	3.2	3.3	-0.1

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	83	0.0	0.0	43.4	56.6	0.50	3.6	3.2	0.4
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	83	1.2	0.0	62.7	36.1	0.55	3.3	3.2	0.1
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	80	2.5	5.0	62.5	30.0	0.64	3.2	3.1	0.1
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	84	0.0	1.2	53.6	45.2	0.52	3.4	3.3	0.1
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	83	0.0	14.5	48.2	37.3	0.69	3.2	2.9	0.3

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	84	1.2	1.2	40.5	57.1	0.59	3.5	3.2	0.3
21. Overall quality of teaching	84	0.0	2.4	27.4	70.2	0.52	3.7	3.3	0.4

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 160 007L1 CULTURAL DIVERSITY IN THE MODERN WORLD

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = McDonald, Juliana

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	34	0.0	5.9	70.6	23.5	0.52	3.2	3.1	0.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	44	0.0	4.5	61.4	34.1	0.55	3.3	3.1	0.2
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	46	0.0	4.3	71.7	23.9	0.50	3.2	3.1	0.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	47	0.0	4.3	38.3	57.4	0.58	3.5	3.2	0.3
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	34	0.0	2.9	50.0	47.1	0.56	3.4	3.2	0.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	4	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
28. Guided student report preparation	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
29. Students free to express opinions	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.5	0.0
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.4	0.1
31. Developed abil to conduct research	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
33. Amount of work appropriate	6	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
34. Instructor explained expectations	6	0.0	16.7	33.3	50.0	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
35. Instructor helped with my problems	6	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	.	3.2	3.2	0.0
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	5	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	.	3.2	3.3	-0.1

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 177

Number of forms scanned = 86

Number of forms with at least one student response = 84

Percent completed = 47.5 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 220 001L1 INTRO TO CULTURAL ANT

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = McDonald, Juliana

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	2	6.9	A	12	46.2
Sophomore	9	31.0	B	12	46.2
Junior	12	41.4	C	2	7.7
Senior	6	20.7	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	13	46.4
Studies Program	2	7.4	2 hours	11	39.3
Required by my major	15	55.6	3 hours	4	14.3
Other (e.g. elective)	10	37.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	31	0.0	0.0	51.6	48.4	0.51	3.5	3.4	0.1
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	31	0.0	3.2	51.6	45.2	0.56	3.4	3.2	0.2
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	31	0.0	0.0	54.8	45.2	0.51	3.5	3.3	0.2
4. Exams reflected what was taught	31	0.0	6.5	45.2	48.4	0.62	3.4	3.3	0.1
5. Grading was fair and consistent	31	0.0	0.0	54.8	45.2	0.51	3.5	3.3	0.2
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	31	0.0	3.2	48.4	48.4	0.57	3.5	3.4	0.1
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	31	0.0	12.9	48.4	38.7	0.68	3.3	3.4	-0.1
8. Graded assignments included comments	30	0.0	23.3	36.7	40.0	0.79	3.2	3.1	0.1

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	31	0.0	6.5	45.2	48.4	0.62	3.4	3.3	0.1
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	31	0.0	0.0	38.7	61.3	0.50	3.6	3.6	0.0
11. Was available for consultation	31	0.0	3.2	58.1	38.7	0.55	3.4	3.5	-0.1
12. Satisfact answered class questions	31	0.0	0.0	58.1	41.9	0.50	3.4	3.4	0.0
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	31	0.0	9.7	41.9	48.4	0.67	3.4	3.1	0.3
14. Encouraged class participation	31	0.0	9.7	54.8	35.5	0.63	3.3	3.3	0.0

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	31	0.0	0.0	45.2	54.8	0.51	3.5	3.2	0.3
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	30	0.0	10.0	46.7	43.3	0.66	3.3	3.2	0.1
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	30	0.0	13.3	50.0	36.7	0.68	3.2	3.1	0.1
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	31	0.0	0.0	51.6	48.4	0.51	3.5	3.3	0.2
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	31	6.5	12.9	45.2	35.5	0.87	3.1	2.9	0.2

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	29	3.4	6.9	37.9	51.7	0.78	3.4	3.2	0.2
21. Overall quality of teaching	29	3.4	6.9	20.7	69.0	0.78	3.6	3.3	0.3

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 220 001L1 INTRO TO CULTURAL ANT

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = McDonald, Juliana

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 47

Number of forms scanned = 31

Number of forms with at least one student response = 31

Percent completed = 66.0 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = ANT 101 403L1 INTRO TO ANTHROPOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Mink, Philip B

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	7	41.2	A	3	18.8
Sophomore	6	35.3	B	8	50.0
Junior	3	17.6	C	5	31.3
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	1	5.9	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	6	35.3
Studies Program	11	64.7	2 hours	3	17.6
Required by my major	3	17.6	3 hours	4	23.5
Other (e.g. elective)	3	17.6	4 - 5 hours	4	23.5
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	19	0.0	0.0	57.9	42.1	0.51	3.4	3.4	0.0
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	19	10.5	0.0	57.9	31.6	0.88	3.1	3.2	-0.1
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	20	5.0	0.0	60.0	35.0	0.72	3.3	3.3	0.0
4. Exams reflected what was taught	20	0.0	5.0	70.0	25.0	0.52	3.2	3.3	-0.1
5. Grading was fair and consistent	20	0.0	0.0	70.0	30.0	0.47	3.3	3.3	0.0
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	20	0.0	5.0	55.0	40.0	0.59	3.4	3.4	0.0
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	20	0.0	5.0	65.0	30.0	0.55	3.3	3.4	-0.1
8. Graded assignments included comments	19	0.0	10.5	52.6	36.8	0.65	3.3	3.1	0.2

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	20	0.0	5.0	55.0	40.0	0.59	3.4	3.3	0.1
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	20	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.51	3.5	3.6	-0.1
11. Was available for consultation	19	0.0	5.3	57.9	36.8	0.58	3.3	3.5	-0.2
12. Satisfact answered class questions	19	0.0	0.0	63.2	36.8	0.50	3.4	3.4	0.0
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	20	0.0	20.0	50.0	30.0	0.72	3.1	3.1	0.0
14. Encouraged class participation	19	0.0	26.3	36.8	36.8	0.81	3.1	3.3	-0.2

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	20	0.0	10.0	55.0	35.0	0.64	3.3	3.2	0.1
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	20	0.0	10.0	50.0	40.0	0.66	3.3	3.2	0.1
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	20	0.0	10.0	60.0	30.0	0.62	3.2	3.1	0.1
18. Gained undrstdn of concepts & prin.	20	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.50	3.4	3.3	0.1
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	20	0.0	10.0	60.0	30.0	0.62	3.2	2.9	0.3

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	20	0.0	15.0	50.0	35.0	0.70	3.2	3.2	0.0
21. Overall quality of teaching	20	0.0	15.0	35.0	50.0	0.75	3.4	3.3	0.1

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = ANT 101 403L1 INTRO TO ANTHROPOLOGY

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Mink, Philip B

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	16	0.0	6.3	50.0	43.8	0.62	3.4	3.1	0.3
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	17	0.0	5.9	52.9	41.2	0.61	3.4	3.1	0.3
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	17	0.0	11.8	52.9	35.3	0.66	3.2	3.1	0.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	16	0.0	6.3	43.8	50.0	0.63	3.4	3.2	0.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	16	0.0	12.5	43.8	43.8	0.70	3.3	3.2	0.1
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
28. Guided student report preparation	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
29. Students free to express opinions	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.5	0.2
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.4	0.3
31. Developed abil to conduct research	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
33. Amount of work appropriate	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
34. Instructor explained expectations	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
35. Instructor helped with my problems	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 34

Number of forms scanned = 20

Number of forms with at least one student response = 20

Percent completed = 58.8 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 653 001S1 PREHISTORIC ECONOMICS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Pool, Christopher A

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	3	100.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	2	66.7	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	33.3	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	1	33.3	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	2	66.7	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	3	100.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
4. Exams reflected what was taught	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
5. Grading was fair and consistent	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
8. Graded assignments included comments	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
12. Satisfact answered class questions	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
14. Encouraged class participation	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	2.9	1.1

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
21. Overall quality of teaching	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = ANT 653 001S1 PREHISTORIC ECONOMICS

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Pool, Christopher A

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		SD (1)	D (2)	A (3)	SA (4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
28. Guided student report preparation	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
29. Students free to express opinions	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
31. Developed abil to conduct research	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 5

Number of forms scanned = 4

Number of forms with at least one student response = 4

Percent completed = 80.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = ANT 327 001L1 CULTURE AND SOCIETIES OF INDIA

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = VanWilligen, John

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	1	2.9	A	21	61.8
Sophomore	8	23.5	B	11	32.4
Junior	9	26.5	C	2	5.9
Senior	16	47.1	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	14	41.2
Studies Program	9	26.5	2 hours	16	47.1
Required by my major	9	26.5	3 hours	4	11.8
Other (e.g. elective)	16	47.1	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	36	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.51	3.5	3.4	0.1
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	34	2.9	2.9	61.8	32.4	0.65	3.2	3.2	0.0
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	36	0.0	2.8	61.1	36.1	0.53	3.3	3.3	0.0
4. Exams reflected what was taught	36	0.0	2.8	36.1	61.1	0.55	3.6	3.3	0.3
5. Grading was fair and consistent	35	0.0	0.0	37.1	62.9	0.49	3.6	3.3	0.3
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	36	0.0	8.3	36.1	55.6	0.65	3.5	3.4	0.1
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	35	0.0	0.0	45.7	54.3	0.51	3.5	3.4	0.1
8. Graded assignments included comments	34	2.9	2.9	41.2	52.9	0.70	3.4	3.1	0.3

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	36	0.0	2.8	63.9	33.3	0.52	3.3	3.3	0.0
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	36	0.0	2.8	44.4	52.8	0.56	3.5	3.6	-0.1
11. Was available for consultation	32	0.0	0.0	46.9	53.1	0.51	3.5	3.5	0.0
12. Satisfact answered class questions	36	2.8	0.0	58.3	38.9	0.63	3.3	3.4	-0.1
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	36	0.0	8.3	41.7	50.0	0.65	3.4	3.1	0.3
14. Encouraged class participation	35	2.9	11.4	54.3	31.4	0.73	3.1	3.3	-0.2

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	33	0.0	0.0	51.5	48.5	0.51	3.5	3.2	0.3
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	31	0.0	6.5	58.1	35.5	0.59	3.3	3.2	0.1
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	28	0.0	17.9	46.4	35.7	0.72	3.2	3.1	0.1
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	36	0.0	2.8	58.3	38.9	0.54	3.4	3.3	0.1
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	36	0.0	11.1	50.0	38.9	0.66	3.3	2.9	0.4

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	36	0.0	0.0	63.9	36.1	0.49	3.4	3.2	0.2
21. Overall quality of teaching	36	0.0	2.8	50.0	47.2	0.56	3.4	3.3	0.1

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = ANT 327 001L1 CULTURE AND SOCIETIES OF INDIA

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = VanWilligen, John

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Coll		
		SD	D	A	SA		Mean	Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	4	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	.	3.3	3.1	0.2
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	.	3.2	3.1	0.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	.	3.2	3.1	0.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 59

Number of forms scanned = 36

Number of forms with at least one student response = 36

Percent completed = 61.0 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = ANT 525 001L1 APPLIED ANTHROPOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = VanWilligen, John

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	3	50.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	3	50.0
Junior	1	16.7	C	0	0.0
Senior	5	83.3	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	2	33.3
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	3	50.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	3	50.0	4 - 5 hours	3	50.0
			6 - 7 hours	1	16.7
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
4. Exams reflected what was taught	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
5. Grading was fair and consistent	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
8. Graded assignments included comments	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
12. Satisfact answered class questions	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
14. Encouraged class participation	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
18. Gained undrstdn of concepts & prin.	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	2.9	0.9

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
21. Overall quality of teaching	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.3	0.5

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = ANT 525 001L1 APPLIED ANTHROPOLOGY

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = VanWilligen, John

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
28. Guided student report preparation	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
29. Students free to express opinions	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
31. Developed abil to conduct research	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 7

Number of forms scanned = 7

Number of forms with at least one student response = 6

Percent completed = 85.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = ANT 600 00101 PRACTICUM IN TEACHING ANTHROPOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = VanWilligen, John

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	5	100.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	6	100.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	2	33.3
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	3	50.0
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	1	16.7
Other (e.g. elective)	5	100.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS									
1. Outlined course material and grading	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
5. Grading was fair and consistent	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
8. Graded assignments included comments	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.5	0.3
12. Satisfact answered class questions	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
14. Encouraged class participation	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.1	0.7
18. Gained undrstd of concepts & prin.	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	2.9	0.8

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS									
20. Overall value of the course	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
21. Overall quality of teaching	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.3	0.5

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = ANT 600 00101 PRACTICUM IN TEACHING ANTHROPOLOGY

Page 2

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = VanWilligen, John

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
28. Guided student report preparation	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
29. Students free to express opinions	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
31. Developed abil to conduct research	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 6

Number of forms scanned = 6

Number of forms with at least one student response = 6

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.