

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Agriculture DEPARTMENT = Agricultural Biotechnology

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	28	26.7	A	91	87.5
Sophomore	32	30.5	B	12	11.5
Junior	17	16.2	C	1	1.0
Senior	26	24.8	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	2	1.9	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	61	57.5
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	16	15.1
Required by my major	103	98.1	3 hours	12	11.3
Other (e.g. elective)	2	1.9	4 - 5 hours	10	9.4
			6 - 7 hours	3	2.8
			8 or more hours	4	3.8

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	113	0.0	0.0	35.4	64.6	3.6	0.48
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	23	13.0	13.0	43.5	30.4	2.9	1.00
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	104	1.0	6.7	51.9	40.4	3.3	0.64
4. Exams reflected what was taught	19	0.0	5.3	36.8	57.9	3.5	0.61
5. Grading was fair and consistent	103	0.0	3.9	44.7	51.5	3.5	0.57
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	108	0.9	0.9	50.9	47.2	3.4	0.57
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	96	1.0	11.5	45.8	41.7	3.3	0.71
8. Graded assignments included comments	91	0.0	7.7	37.4	54.9	3.5	0.64

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	107	0.9	0.9	40.2	57.9	3.6	0.57
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	109	0.0	0.0	24.8	75.2	3.8	0.43
11. Was available for consultation	111	0.0	0.0	38.7	61.3	3.6	0.49
12. Satisfact answered class questions	113	0.0	0.9	34.5	64.6	3.6	0.50
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	107	0.0	0.9	43.0	56.1	3.6	0.52
14. Encouraged class participation	111	0.0	0.0	35.1	64.9	3.6	0.48

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	96	0.0	3.1	50.0	46.9	3.4	0.56
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	110	0.0	2.7	32.7	64.5	3.6	0.54
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	102	0.0	9.8	40.2	50.0	3.4	0.66
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	111	0.0	0.0	32.4	67.6	3.7	0.47
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	110	0.0	2.7	44.5	52.7	3.5	0.55

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	112	0.0	1.8	31.3	67.0	3.7	0.51
21. Overall quality of teaching	112	0.0	3.6	16.1	80.4	3.8	0.50

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Agriculture

DEPARTMENT = Agricultural Biotechnology

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	6	16.7	0.0	33.3	50.0	3.2	.
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	.
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	3.9	.
28. Guided student report preparation	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
29. Students free to express opinions	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	3.7	0.47
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	10	0.0	10.0	30.0	60.0	3.5	0.71
31. Developed abil to conduct research	9	0.0	11.1	22.2	66.7	3.6	.
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	15	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	0.49
33. Amount of work appropriate	15	0.0	13.3	46.7	40.0	3.3	0.70
34. Instructor explained expectations	15	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	3.5	0.52
35. Instructor helped with my problems	15	0.0	0.0	53.3	46.7	3.5	0.52
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	14	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	0.51

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 171

Number of forms scanned = 113

Number of forms with at least one student response = 113

Percent completed = 66.1 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Agriculture DEPARTMENT = Agricultural Economics

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	16	3.8	A	154	36.7
Sophomore	28	6.7	B	208	49.5
Junior	108	25.7	C	53	12.6
Senior	244	58.0	D	3	0.7
Graduate	22	5.2	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	3	0.7	Pass or Audit	2	0.5

  

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	137	32.5
Studies Program	22	5.3	2 hours	141	33.4
Required by my major	283	67.5	3 hours	69	16.4
Other (e.g. elective)	114	27.2	4 - 5 hours	55	13.0
			6 - 7 hours	17	4.0
			8 or more hours	3	0.7

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	453	0.9	4.9	48.3	45.9	3.4	0.62
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	343	7.0	18.1	46.9	28.0	3.0	0.86
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	444	2.0	5.9	51.1	41.0	3.3	0.67
4. Exams reflected what was taught	410	1.0	6.1	49.0	43.9	3.4	0.64
5. Grading was fair and consistent	450	1.6	5.6	50.4	42.4	3.3	0.66
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	451	2.7	6.2	51.9	39.2	3.3	0.70
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	452	2.2	4.0	48.0	45.8	3.4	0.67
8. Graded assignments included comments	445	2.5	9.2	50.3	38.0	3.2	0.72

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	453	3.3	8.8	43.5	44.4	3.3	0.76
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	452	0.9	3.1	36.7	59.3	3.5	0.60
11. Was available for consultation	428	0.9	1.9	48.1	49.1	3.5	0.58
12. Satisfact answered class questions	453	2.2	5.7	43.7	48.3	3.4	0.70
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	452	4.2	11.7	43.6	40.5	3.2	0.81
14. Encouraged class participation	450	0.9	6.4	43.8	48.9	3.4	0.65

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	426	1.4	11.7	55.6	31.2	3.2	0.68
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	444	1.4	6.3	54.3	38.1	3.3	0.64
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	437	0.7	10.1	53.5	35.7	3.2	0.65
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	448	1.1	5.1	52.5	41.3	3.3	0.63
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	445	4.0	16.6	47.9	31.5	3.1	0.80

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	448	3.3	11.4	42.9	42.4	3.2	0.78
21. Overall quality of teaching	446	4.7	10.3	31.2	53.8	3.3	0.85

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Agriculture

DEPARTMENT = Agricultural Economics

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	38	5.3	15.8	60.5	18.4	2.9	0.75
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	48	2.1	6.3	72.9	18.8	3.1	0.58
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	44	0.0	13.6	75.0	11.4	3.0	0.51
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	26	11.5	15.4	53.8	19.2	2.8	0.90
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	26	7.7	15.4	53.8	23.1	2.9	0.84

## SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY

27. Feedback on oral presentations	19	0.0	5.3	63.2	31.6	3.3	0.56
28. Guided student report preparation	19	0.0	10.5	63.2	26.3	3.2	0.60
29. Students free to express opinions	20	0.0	10.0	55.0	35.0	3.3	0.64
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	20	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	0.44
31. Developed abil to conduct research	17	0.0	11.8	58.8	29.4	3.2	0.64

## SECTION H - LABORATORY &amp; DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY

32. Clarified lecture material	25	0.0	4.0	56.0	40.0	3.4	0.57
33. Amount of work appropriate	25	0.0	4.0	64.0	32.0	3.3	0.54
34. Instructor explained expectations	25	0.0	4.0	68.0	28.0	3.2	0.52
35. Instructor helped with my problems	25	0.0	4.0	64.0	32.0	3.3	0.54
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	25	0.0	4.0	60.0	36.0	3.3	0.56

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
41. Question 41	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 612

Number of forms scanned = 454

Number of forms with at least one student response = 453

Percent completed = 74.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Agriculture DEPARTMENT = Agricultural Education

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	40	76.9
Sophomore	1	1.9	B	10	19.2
Junior	22	42.3	C	1	1.9
Senior	27	51.9	D	1	1.9
Graduate	2	3.8	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	4	7.7
Studies Program	2	3.8	2 hours	21	40.4
Required by my major	49	94.2	3 hours	10	19.2
Other (e.g. elective)	1	1.9	4 - 5 hours	7	13.5
			6 - 7 hours	2	3.8
			8 or more hours	8	15.4

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	55	0.0	5.5	25.5	69.1	3.6	0.59
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	12	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	3.9	0.29
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	55	0.0	0.0	34.5	65.5	3.7	0.48
4. Exams reflected what was taught	38	0.0	0.0	34.2	65.8	3.7	0.48
5. Grading was fair and consistent	55	0.0	3.6	40.0	56.4	3.5	0.57
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	55	0.0	1.8	43.6	54.5	3.5	0.54
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	55	0.0	0.0	38.2	61.8	3.6	0.49
8. Graded assignments included comments	54	0.0	1.9	29.6	68.5	3.7	0.51

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	55	0.0	0.0	36.4	63.6	3.6	0.49
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	55	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	3.8	0.39
11. Was available for consultation	55	0.0	0.0	21.8	78.2	3.8	0.42
12. Satisfact answered class questions	55	0.0	0.0	25.5	74.5	3.7	0.44
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	55	0.0	5.5	23.6	70.9	3.7	0.58
14. Encouraged class participation	55	0.0	0.0	25.5	74.5	3.7	0.44

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	54	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	0.48
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	54	0.0	1.9	24.1	74.1	3.7	0.49
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	53	0.0	1.9	37.7	60.4	3.6	0.53
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	54	0.0	1.9	25.9	72.2	3.7	0.50
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	54	1.9	0.0	40.7	57.4	3.5	0.61

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	55	0.0	1.8	23.6	74.5	3.7	0.49
21. Overall quality of teaching	55	0.0	3.6	18.2	78.2	3.7	0.52

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Agriculture

DEPARTMENT = Agricultural Education

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	17	0.0	0.0	47.1	52.9	3.5	0.51
33. Amount of work appropriate	17	0.0	5.9	52.9	41.2	3.4	0.61
34. Instructor explained expectations	17	0.0	0.0	64.7	35.3	3.4	0.49
35. Instructor helped with my problems	17	0.0	0.0	64.7	35.3	3.4	0.49
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	16	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	3.4	0.50

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 70

Number of forms scanned = 55

Number of forms with at least one student response = 55

Percent completed = 78.6 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Agriculture DEPARTMENT = Animal and Food Sciences

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	60	13.4	A	223	51.0
Sophomore	63	14.1	B	138	31.6
Junior	135	30.2	C	68	15.6
Senior	145	32.4	D	6	1.4
Graduate	37	8.3	E/Fail	1	0.2
Professional	4	0.9	I	0	0.0
Other	3	0.7	Pass or Audit	1	0.2

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	101	22.7
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	139	31.3
Required by my major	384	86.7	3 hours	131	29.5
Other (e.g. elective)	59	13.3	4 - 5 hours	53	11.9
			6 - 7 hours	10	2.3
			8 or more hours	10	2.3

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	462	0.0	2.6	52.4	45.0	3.4	0.54
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	210	24.3	20.0	35.2	20.5	2.5	1.07
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	435	1.8	9.7	55.6	32.9	3.2	0.68
4. Exams reflected what was taught	401	0.7	7.0	47.1	45.1	3.4	0.65
5. Grading was fair and consistent	457	2.2	3.7	51.0	43.1	3.4	0.66
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	438	0.7	3.0	56.4	40.0	3.4	0.57
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	445	4.5	10.3	54.6	30.6	3.1	0.76
8. Graded assignments included comments	423	5.0	20.8	45.4	28.8	3.0	0.83

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	455	2.4	9.0	45.1	43.5	3.3	0.73
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	453	0.4	2.4	39.5	57.6	3.5	0.57
11. Was available for consultation	439	0.5	2.3	50.1	47.2	3.4	0.57
12. Satisfact answered class questions	457	1.3	4.4	46.4	47.9	3.4	0.64
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	454	2.4	12.8	44.5	40.3	3.2	0.76
14. Encouraged class participation	458	1.3	9.8	43.0	45.9	3.3	0.71

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	393	2.0	15.5	50.6	31.8	3.1	0.74
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	442	1.4	8.8	55.4	34.4	3.2	0.66
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	426	1.2	12.4	54.7	31.7	3.2	0.68
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	445	1.1	3.6	53.7	41.6	3.4	0.61
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	443	4.3	14.7	48.1	33.0	3.1	0.80

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	461	2.2	9.3	42.7	45.8	3.3	0.73
21. Overall quality of teaching	461	2.8	8.5	33.2	55.5	3.4	0.76

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Agriculture

DEPARTMENT = Animal and Food Sciences

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	28	0.0	3.6	46.4	50.0	3.5	0.58
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	30	0.0	0.0	56.7	43.3	3.4	0.50
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	25	0.0	12.0	28.0	60.0	3.5	0.71
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	20	0.0	10.0	25.0	65.0	3.6	0.69
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	22	0.0	9.1	31.8	59.1	3.5	0.67
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	24	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	0.44
28. Guided student report preparation	23	0.0	0.0	21.7	78.3	3.8	0.42
29. Students free to express opinions	25	0.0	4.0	24.0	72.0	3.7	0.56
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	25	0.0	0.0	36.0	64.0	3.6	0.49
31. Developed abil to conduct research	24	4.2	4.2	33.3	58.3	3.5	0.78
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	212	1.4	10.8	46.2	41.5	3.3	0.71
33. Amount of work appropriate	211	0.9	3.3	59.2	36.5	3.3	0.58
34. Instructor explained expectations	211	0.9	6.2	47.4	45.5	3.4	0.65
35. Instructor helped with my problems	211	0.9	10.9	46.9	41.2	3.3	0.69
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	189	0.0	6.9	48.7	44.4	3.4	0.61
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS							
37. Question 37	44	22.7	27.3	15.9	34.1	2.6	1.19

Number of forms preslugged = at least 590

Number of forms scanned = 471

Number of forms with at least one student response = 468

Percent completed = 79.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Agriculture

DEPARTMENT = Biosystems and Ag Engineering

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	15	12.2	A	98	80.3
Sophomore	10	8.1	B	23	18.9
Junior	10	8.1	C	0	0.0
Senior	81	65.9	D	0	0.0
Graduate	6	4.9	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	0.8	Pass or Audit	1	0.8

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	23	18.9
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	30	24.6
Required by my major	104	86.0	3 hours	32	26.2
Other (e.g. elective)	17	14.0	4 - 5 hours	17	13.9
			6 - 7 hours	8	6.6
			8 or more hours	12	9.8

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	127	0.8	2.4	44.1	52.8	3.5	0.59
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	95	3.2	8.4	46.3	42.1	3.3	0.75
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	123	4.1	8.9	43.9	43.1	3.3	0.79
4. Exams reflected what was taught	108	1.9	1.9	43.5	52.8	3.5	0.63
5. Grading was fair and consistent	127	0.8	3.9	36.2	59.1	3.5	0.61
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	126	1.6	4.8	39.7	54.0	3.5	0.67
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	125	0.8	4.0	53.6	41.6	3.4	0.60
8. Graded assignments included comments	126	0.8	11.1	42.1	46.0	3.3	0.70
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	127	6.3	9.4	43.3	40.9	3.2	0.85
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	127	1.6	7.9	33.9	56.7	3.5	0.71
11. Was available for consultation	126	0.0	4.8	35.7	59.5	3.5	0.59
12. Satisfact answered class questions	127	0.8	5.5	42.5	51.2	3.4	0.64
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	127	2.4	14.2	38.6	44.9	3.3	0.79
14. Encouraged class participation	127	0.0	4.7	38.6	56.7	3.5	0.59
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	100	1.0	7.0	50.0	42.0	3.3	0.65
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	125	0.8	7.2	36.8	55.2	3.5	0.67
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	123	0.8	5.7	34.1	59.3	3.5	0.64
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	126	1.6	7.1	35.7	55.6	3.5	0.70
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	124	5.6	19.4	32.3	42.7	3.1	0.92

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	127	1.6	11.8	29.9	56.7	3.4	0.76
21. Overall quality of teaching	127	2.4	11.8	26.8	59.1	3.4	0.79

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Agriculture

DEPARTMENT = Biosystems and Ag Engineering

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

## SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY

27. Feedback on oral presentations	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
28. Guided student report preparation	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
29. Students free to express opinions	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
31. Developed abil to conduct research	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.

## SECTION H - LABORATORY &amp; DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY

32. Clarified lecture material	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
33. Amount of work appropriate	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
34. Instructor explained expectations	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
38. Question 38	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
39. Question 39	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
40. Question 40	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
41. Question 41	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
42. Question 42	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
43. Question 43	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
44. Question 44	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
45. Question 45	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
46. Question 46	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
47. Question 47	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
48. Question 48	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
49. Question 49	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 151

Number of forms scanned = 128

Number of forms with at least one student response = 127

Percent completed = 84.1 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Agriculture

DEPARTMENT = Community and Leadership Developm

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	15	5.8	A	153	60.0
Sophomore	35	13.6	B	93	36.5
Junior	84	32.7	C	6	2.4
Senior	106	41.2	D	0	0.0
Graduate	16	6.2	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	0.4	Pass or Audit	3	1.2

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	59	23.3
Studies Program	13	5.2	2 hours	82	32.4
Required by my major	177	70.2	3 hours	53	20.9
Other (e.g. elective)	62	24.6	4 - 5 hours	43	17.0
			6 - 7 hours	10	4.0
			8 or more hours	6	2.4

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	293	0.7	0.0	39.6	59.7	3.6	0.53
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	226	5.8	10.2	36.3	47.8	3.3	0.86
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	289	1.4	3.8	43.6	51.2	3.4	0.64
4. Exams reflected what was taught	254	2.0	4.3	44.1	49.6	3.4	0.67
5. Grading was fair and consistent	293	0.7	3.4	38.9	57.0	3.5	0.60
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	292	0.0	4.1	39.7	56.2	3.5	0.58
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	293	0.0	4.4	42.3	53.2	3.5	0.58
8. Graded assignments included comments	291	0.7	5.5	39.5	54.3	3.5	0.63
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	291	0.7	7.9	37.8	53.6	3.4	0.67
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	292	0.3	0.3	35.3	64.0	3.6	0.51
11. Was available for consultation	285	1.1	0.7	38.9	59.3	3.6	0.57
12. Satisfact answered class questions	289	0.7	0.7	39.1	59.5	3.6	0.55
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	291	1.0	7.2	40.2	51.5	3.4	0.67
14. Encouraged class participation	291	0.3	1.4	35.1	63.2	3.6	0.54
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	285	0.4	4.6	43.2	51.9	3.5	0.60
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	291	0.3	5.5	41.9	52.2	3.5	0.62
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	284	0.4	8.1	41.5	50.0	3.4	0.65
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	291	0.0	3.4	41.2	55.3	3.5	0.57
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	289	1.4	11.4	37.0	50.2	3.4	0.74
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	288	0.3	4.5	37.2	58.0	3.5	0.60
21. Overall quality of teaching	287	0.3	4.9	24.4	70.4	3.6	0.59

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Agriculture

DEPARTMENT = Community and Leadership Developm

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	36	0.0	5.6	41.7	52.8	3.5	0.61
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	34	0.0	2.9	38.2	58.8	3.6	0.56
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	34	0.0	2.9	35.3	61.8	3.6	0.56
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	25	0.0	4.0	28.0	68.0	3.6	0.57
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	24	0.0	4.2	33.3	62.5	3.6	0.58
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	22	0.0	4.5	36.4	59.1	3.5	0.60
28. Guided student report preparation	22	0.0	4.5	27.3	68.2	3.6	0.58
29. Students free to express opinions	22	0.0	4.5	36.4	59.1	3.5	0.60
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	22	0.0	4.5	40.9	54.5	3.5	0.60
31. Developed abil to conduct research	22	0.0	4.5	40.9	54.5	3.5	0.60
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	16	0.0	6.3	37.5	56.3	3.5	0.63
33. Amount of work appropriate	16	0.0	6.3	37.5	56.3	3.5	0.63
34. Instructor explained expectations	16	0.0	6.3	37.5	56.3	3.5	0.63
35. Instructor helped with my problems	16	0.0	6.3	37.5	56.3	3.5	0.63
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	16	0.0	6.3	37.5	56.3	3.5	0.63

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 425

Number of forms scanned = 293

Number of forms with at least one student response = 293

Percent completed = 68.9 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Agriculture DEPARTMENT = Entomology

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	15	10.3	A	88	61.1
Sophomore	20	13.7	B	45	31.3
Junior	10	6.8	C	9	6.3
Senior	57	39.0	D	2	1.4
Graduate	41	28.1	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	3	2.1	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	26	17.8
Studies Program	39	26.7	2 hours	55	37.7
Required by my major	46	31.5	3 hours	49	33.6
Other (e.g. elective)	61	41.8	4 - 5 hours	13	8.9
			6 - 7 hours	1	0.7
			8 or more hours	2	1.4

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	153	0.0	1.3	47.1	51.6	3.5	0.53
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	102	2.0	13.7	40.2	44.1	3.3	0.77
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	147	0.7	6.1	50.3	42.9	3.4	0.63
4. Exams reflected what was taught	142	1.4	6.3	38.7	53.5	3.4	0.68
5. Grading was fair and consistent	151	0.7	6.0	42.4	51.0	3.4	0.64
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	151	1.3	4.0	51.0	43.7	3.4	0.63
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	153	0.7	4.6	37.9	56.9	3.5	0.62
8. Graded assignments included comments	151	0.0	4.6	43.0	52.3	3.5	0.59
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	152	1.3	9.9	37.5	51.3	3.4	0.72
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	153	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	3.8	0.42
11. Was available for consultation	142	0.0	0.7	40.8	58.5	3.6	0.51
12. Satisfact answered class questions	153	0.0	1.3	33.3	65.4	3.6	0.51
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	151	1.3	11.9	41.7	45.0	3.3	0.73
14. Encouraged class participation	151	0.7	7.3	42.4	49.7	3.4	0.66
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	114	0.0	11.4	54.4	34.2	3.2	0.64
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	141	0.0	8.5	56.0	35.5	3.3	0.61
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	125	0.0	20.0	51.2	28.8	3.1	0.70
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	153	0.0	2.6	43.8	53.6	3.5	0.55
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	146	4.1	23.3	45.2	27.4	3.0	0.82
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	152	2.0	8.6	37.5	52.0	3.4	0.73
21. Overall quality of teaching	152	1.3	7.9	23.7	67.1	3.6	0.70

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Agriculture DEPARTMENT = Entomology

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	45	0.0	4.4	66.7	28.9	3.2	0.53
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	43	0.0	11.6	65.1	23.3	3.1	0.59
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	42	0.0	2.4	54.8	42.9	3.4	0.54
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	15	0.0	13.3	66.7	20.0	3.1	0.59
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	13	0.0	0.0	76.9	23.1	3.2	0.44
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	15	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	0.49
28. Guided student report preparation	15	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	3.5	0.52
29. Students free to express opinions	16	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.52
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	15	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	0.49
31. Developed abil to conduct research	9	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	38	2.6	2.6	34.2	60.5	3.5	0.69
33. Amount of work appropriate	40	5.0	2.5	40.0	52.5	3.4	0.78
34. Instructor explained expectations	39	0.0	2.6	38.5	59.0	3.6	0.55
35. Instructor helped with my problems	37	0.0	2.7	40.5	56.8	3.5	0.56
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	34	0.0	0.0	44.1	55.9	3.6	0.50

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 201

Number of forms scanned = 153

Number of forms with at least one student response = 153

Percent completed = 76.1 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Agriculture DEPARTMENT = Family Studies

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	84	9.6	A	561	65.2
Sophomore	175	20.1	B	243	28.3
Junior	210	24.1	C	34	4.0
Senior	335	38.4	D	8	0.9
Graduate	59	6.8	E/Fail	1	0.1
Professional	5	0.6	I	0	0.0
Other	4	0.5	Pass or Audit	13	1.5

  

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	332	38.7
Studies Program	90	10.5	2 hours	241	28.1
Required by my major	434	50.4	3 hours	159	18.6
Other (e.g. elective)	337	39.1	4 - 5 hours	73	8.5
			6 - 7 hours	28	3.3
			8 or more hours	24	2.8

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	943	0.2	1.1	34.5	64.3	3.6	0.52
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	870	1.7	5.3	39.7	53.3	3.4	0.68
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	934	0.6	4.3	42.8	52.2	3.5	0.61
4. Exams reflected what was taught	867	0.3	2.9	37.1	59.6	3.6	0.57
5. Grading was fair and consistent	935	1.2	2.9	35.5	60.4	3.6	0.61
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	934	0.5	2.2	37.3	60.0	3.6	0.57
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	924	1.0	5.6	39.4	54.0	3.5	0.65
8. Graded assignments included comments	904	1.3	11.1	37.9	49.7	3.4	0.73
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	939	2.0	4.9	35.3	57.8	3.5	0.69
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	937	0.4	1.8	27.6	70.1	3.7	0.53
11. Was available for consultation	901	0.2	1.7	37.4	60.7	3.6	0.54
12. Satisfact answered class questions	932	0.8	1.8	33.2	64.3	3.6	0.57
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	933	1.4	6.9	33.7	58.1	3.5	0.69
14. Encouraged class participation	932	0.6	3.3	34.7	61.4	3.6	0.59
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	922	0.7	4.4	39.3	55.6	3.5	0.62
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	918	0.8	5.4	42.2	51.6	3.4	0.63
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	895	0.9	9.1	41.6	48.5	3.4	0.69
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	932	0.6	2.0	39.4	57.9	3.5	0.57
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	930	2.4	9.6	38.2	49.9	3.4	0.75
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	938	1.1	4.8	28.6	65.6	3.6	0.63
21. Overall quality of teaching	936	1.9	6.2	21.9	70.0	3.6	0.69

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Agriculture

DEPARTMENT = Family Studies

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	125	0.8	8.0	43.2	48.0	3.4	0.67
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	150	6.7	4.0	38.0	51.3	3.3	0.84
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	138	1.4	3.6	37.0	58.0	3.5	0.64
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	103	1.0	3.9	41.7	53.4	3.5	0.62
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	97	2.1	4.1	40.2	53.6	3.5	0.68
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	33	3.0	3.0	36.4	57.6	3.5	0.71
28. Guided student report preparation	33	3.0	3.0	42.4	51.5	3.4	0.71
29. Students free to express opinions	34	2.9	2.9	23.5	70.6	3.6	0.70
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	35	2.9	0.0	25.7	71.4	3.7	0.64
31. Developed abil to conduct research	31	3.2	3.2	38.7	54.8	3.5	0.72
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	24	4.2	0.0	29.2	66.7	3.6	0.72
33. Amount of work appropriate	23	4.3	0.0	30.4	65.2	3.6	0.73
34. Instructor explained expectations	24	4.2	0.0	25.0	70.8	3.6	0.71
35. Instructor helped with my problems	23	4.3	0.0	34.8	60.9	3.5	0.73
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	22	4.5	0.0	36.4	59.1	3.5	0.74

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 1800  
 Number of forms scanned = 960  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 946  
 Percent completed = 52.6 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Agriculture DEPARTMENT = Forestry

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	9	5.6	A	73	46.2
Sophomore	16	10.0	B	53	33.5
Junior	41	25.6	C	23	14.6
Senior	62	38.8	D	4	2.5
Graduate	30	18.8	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	1	0.6	I	0	0.0
Other	1	0.6	Pass or Audit	5	3.2

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	30	18.9
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	44	27.7
Required by my major	110	68.8	3 hours	34	21.4
Other (e.g. elective)	50	31.3	4 - 5 hours	33	20.8
			6 - 7 hours	12	7.5
			8 or more hours	6	3.8

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	176	0.0	1.1	47.2	51.7	3.5	0.52
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	145	4.1	15.9	49.7	30.3	3.1	0.79
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	173	0.6	5.8	49.7	43.9	3.4	0.62
4. Exams reflected what was taught	153	0.0	2.6	46.4	51.0	3.5	0.55
5. Grading was fair and consistent	173	0.6	3.5	44.5	51.4	3.5	0.60
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	174	0.0	2.3	47.1	50.6	3.5	0.55
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	175	0.0	5.7	46.3	48.0	3.4	0.60
8. Graded assignments included comments	173	0.6	6.9	47.4	45.1	3.4	0.64

## SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	176	0.0	2.8	46.0	51.1	3.5	0.56
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	176	0.0	0.0	20.5	79.5	3.8	0.40
11. Was available for consultation	171	0.0	1.2	42.7	56.1	3.5	0.52
12. Satisfact answered class questions	176	0.0	0.0	42.0	58.0	3.6	0.50
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	176	0.0	4.0	38.6	57.4	3.5	0.57
14. Encouraged class participation	175	0.0	2.3	43.4	54.3	3.5	0.54

## SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	137	0.0	7.3	63.5	29.2	3.2	0.57
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	171	0.0	4.1	48.0	48.0	3.4	0.57
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	162	0.0	4.9	56.8	38.3	3.3	0.57
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	175	0.0	1.1	40.6	58.3	3.6	0.52
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	170	0.0	10.0	41.8	48.2	3.4	0.66

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	174	0.6	5.7	31.6	62.1	3.6	0.63
21. Overall quality of teaching	174	0.0	2.3	30.5	67.2	3.6	0.52

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Agriculture DEPARTMENT = Forestry

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	11	0.0	0.0	63.6	36.4	3.4	0.50		
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	13	0.0	0.0	53.8	46.2	3.5	0.52		
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	13	0.0	7.7	53.8	38.5	3.3	0.63		
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	11	0.0	0.0	72.7	27.3	3.3	0.47		
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	.		
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	13	0.0	0.0	61.5	38.5	3.4	0.51		
28. Guided student report preparation	14	0.0	0.0	78.6	21.4	3.2	0.43		
29. Students free to express opinions	13	0.0	0.0	30.8	69.2	3.7	0.48		
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	14	7.1	0.0	42.9	50.0	3.4	0.84		
31. Developed abil to conduct research	12	0.0	0.0	58.3	41.7	3.4	0.51		
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	36	0.0	11.1	52.8	36.1	3.3	0.65		
33. Amount of work appropriate	38	5.3	15.8	52.6	26.3	3.0	0.81		
34. Instructor explained expectations	39	0.0	2.6	64.1	33.3	3.3	0.52		
35. Instructor helped with my problems	38	0.0	5.3	57.9	36.8	3.3	0.57		
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	34	0.0	2.9	52.9	44.1	3.4	0.56		

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS									
37. Question 37	107	0.9	7.5	25.2	47.7	18.7	3.8	0.88	
38. Question 38	94	3.2	13.8	16.0	59.6	7.4	3.5	0.94	
39. Question 39	87	2.3	4.6	36.8	36.8	19.5	3.7	0.92	
40. Question 40	94	1.1	5.3	22.3	57.4	13.8	3.8	0.79	
41. Question 41	75	1.3	4.0	16.0	57.3	21.3	3.9	0.81	
42. Question 42	75	0.0	2.7	24.0	45.3	28.0	4.0	0.80	
43. Question 43	49	8.2	8.2	40.8	38.8	4.1	3.2	0.96	
44. Question 44	49	10.2	12.2	40.8	32.7	4.1	3.1	1.02	
45. Question 45	50	12.0	20.0	42.0	22.0	4.0	2.9	1.03	
46. Question 46	49	4.1	18.4	44.9	30.6	2.0	3.1	0.86	
47. Question 47	50	0.0	4.0	20.0	72.0	4.0	3.8	0.59	
48. Question 48	50	2.0	2.0	28.0	64.0	4.0	3.7	0.69	
49. Question 49	39	7.7	0.0	30.8	59.0	2.6	3.5	0.88	

Number of forms preslugged = at least 208  
 Number of forms scanned = 178  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 177  
 Percent completed = 85.1 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Agriculture DEPARTMENT = ZAgriculture GENERAL and CEX

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	27	14.8	A	122	67.4
Sophomore	44	24.2	B	47	26.0
Junior	49	26.9	C	5	2.8
Senior	60	33.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	2	1.1	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	7	3.9

  

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	83	45.4
Studies Program	12	6.7	2 hours	56	30.6
Required by my major	138	76.7	3 hours	27	14.8
Other (e.g. elective)	30	16.7	4 - 5 hours	16	8.7
			6 - 7 hours	1	0.5
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	197	0.0	2.0	45.7	52.3	3.5	0.54
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	140	5.7	12.9	42.9	38.6	3.1	0.85
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	193	0.5	5.2	48.7	45.6	3.4	0.61
4. Exams reflected what was taught	174	0.0	3.4	43.1	53.4	3.5	0.57
5. Grading was fair and consistent	195	0.5	4.6	39.0	55.9	3.5	0.61
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	197	0.0	3.6	44.2	52.3	3.5	0.57
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	190	3.2	3.2	42.1	51.6	3.4	0.71
8. Graded assignments included comments	191	1.6	5.8	37.2	55.5	3.5	0.68
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	195	1.0	6.2	40.0	52.8	3.4	0.66
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	197	0.0	0.5	31.5	68.0	3.7	0.48
11. Was available for consultation	188	0.0	1.6	37.2	61.2	3.6	0.52
12. Satisfact answered class questions	197	0.0	1.0	36.5	62.4	3.6	0.51
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	194	1.5	5.7	32.5	60.3	3.5	0.68
14. Encouraged class participation	197	0.0	1.0	30.5	68.5	3.7	0.49
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	189	0.0	2.6	50.8	46.6	3.4	0.55
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	191	0.5	6.3	48.2	45.0	3.4	0.63
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	187	0.5	11.2	48.1	40.1	3.3	0.68
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	195	1.0	3.1	42.6	53.3	3.5	0.61
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	193	0.5	14.0	37.8	47.7	3.3	0.73
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	193	3.1	8.8	36.3	51.8	3.4	0.77
21. Overall quality of teaching	192	2.1	4.2	27.6	66.1	3.6	0.67

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Agriculture

DEPARTMENT = ZAgriculture GENERAL and CEX

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	31	3.2	3.2	58.1	35.5	3.3	0.68
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	33	0.0	3.0	45.5	51.5	3.5	0.57
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	34	2.9	2.9	44.1	50.0	3.4	0.70
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	26	0.0	3.8	53.8	42.3	3.4	0.57
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	25	0.0	8.0	52.0	40.0	3.3	0.63
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	24	0.0	4.2	25.0	70.8	3.7	0.56
28. Guided student report preparation	24	0.0	4.2	37.5	58.3	3.5	0.59
29. Students free to express opinions	24	0.0	0.0	29.2	70.8	3.7	0.46
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	24	0.0	4.2	29.2	66.7	3.6	0.58
31. Developed abil to conduct research	24	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	3.6	0.50
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	14	0.0	0.0	35.7	64.3	3.6	0.50
33. Amount of work appropriate	14	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	0.51
34. Instructor explained expectations	14	0.0	0.0	35.7	64.3	3.6	0.50
35. Instructor helped with my problems	14	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	0.51
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	13	0.0	0.0	30.8	69.2	3.7	0.48
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS							
44. Question 44	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 276

Number of forms scanned = 197

Number of forms with at least one student response = 197

Percent completed = 71.4 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Agriculture DEPARTMENT = Landscape Architecture

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	1	1.0	A	47	45.2
Sophomore	31	29.8	B	47	45.2
Junior	19	18.3	C	10	9.6
Senior	52	50.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	1	1.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	2	1.9
Studies Program	3	2.9	2 hours	4	3.9
Required by my major	101	97.1	3 hours	5	4.9
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	16	15.5
			6 - 7 hours	13	12.6
			8 or more hours	63	61.2

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	103	0.0	6.8	52.4	40.8	3.3	0.60
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	68	1.5	11.8	51.5	35.3	3.2	0.70
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	103	1.9	3.9	53.4	40.8	3.3	0.65
4. Exams reflected what was taught	57	1.8	3.5	54.4	40.4	3.3	0.64
5. Grading was fair and consistent	104	7.7	24.0	45.2	23.1	2.8	0.87
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	105	1.0	4.8	60.0	34.3	3.3	0.60
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	105	9.5	36.2	38.1	16.2	2.6	0.87
8. Graded assignments included comments	104	2.9	15.4	45.2	36.5	3.2	0.79
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	105	3.8	18.1	47.6	30.5	3.0	0.80
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	105	0.0	5.7	35.2	59.0	3.5	0.61
11. Was available for consultation	100	1.0	5.0	50.0	44.0	3.4	0.63
12. Satisfact answered class questions	103	3.9	15.5	42.7	37.9	3.1	0.82
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	104	1.9	16.3	45.2	36.5	3.2	0.76
14. Encouraged class participation	103	1.9	11.7	38.8	47.6	3.3	0.76
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	99	0.0	11.1	51.5	37.4	3.3	0.65
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	105	0.0	6.7	47.6	45.7	3.4	0.61
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	104	0.0	7.7	46.2	46.2	3.4	0.63
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	104	0.0	3.8	42.3	53.8	3.5	0.57
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	104	1.0	8.7	47.1	43.3	3.3	0.67
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	105	1.0	11.4	41.0	46.7	3.3	0.72
21. Overall quality of teaching	105	7.6	21.9	27.6	42.9	3.1	0.98

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Agriculture

DEPARTMENT = Landscape Architecture

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY								
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY								
27. Feedback on oral presentations	5	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	2.6	.	
28. Guided student report preparation	4	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	2.8	.	
29. Students free to express opinions	4	0.0	25.0	25.0	50.0	3.3	.	
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	4	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.	
31. Developed abil to conduct research	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.	
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY								
32. Clarified lecture material	5	20.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	2.4	.	
33. Amount of work appropriate	7	0.0	14.3	71.4	14.3	3.0	.	
34. Instructor explained expectations	7	14.3	14.3	71.4	0.0	2.6	.	
35. Instructor helped with my problems	6	16.7	50.0	16.7	16.7	2.3	.	
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	4	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 121

Number of forms scanned = 105

Number of forms with at least one student response = 105

Percent completed = 86.8 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Agriculture

DEPARTMENT = Merchandising, Apparel &amp; Textil

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	34	8.8	A	228	59.5
Sophomore	58	14.9	B	144	37.6
Junior	92	23.7	C	8	2.1
Senior	185	47.7	D	1	0.3
Graduate	17	4.4	E/Fail	1	0.3
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	2	0.5	Pass or Audit	1	0.3

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	154	39.7
Studies Program	58	15.1	2 hours	146	37.6
Required by my major	284	73.8	3 hours	61	15.7
Other (e.g. elective)	43	11.2	4 - 5 hours	21	5.4
			6 - 7 hours	3	0.8
			8 or more hours	3	0.8

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	431	0.2	2.8	41.5	55.5	3.5	0.57
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	376	1.9	5.3	46.3	46.5	3.4	0.67
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	430	0.0	4.7	45.8	49.5	3.4	0.58
4. Exams reflected what was taught	368	1.1	8.7	43.2	47.0	3.4	0.69
5. Grading was fair and consistent	433	1.4	6.7	38.1	53.8	3.4	0.68
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	432	0.0	3.7	41.0	55.3	3.5	0.57
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	427	1.2	4.9	40.0	53.9	3.5	0.65
8. Graded assignments included comments	418	2.9	19.1	38.5	39.5	3.1	0.83

## SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	434	2.8	8.3	38.2	50.7	3.4	0.75
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	432	1.4	4.2	36.8	57.6	3.5	0.65
11. Was available for consultation	414	1.0	2.7	44.2	52.2	3.5	0.60
12. Satisfact answered class questions	432	0.7	7.2	41.4	50.7	3.4	0.66
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	431	4.2	12.3	35.7	47.8	3.3	0.83
14. Encouraged class participation	430	1.6	7.9	38.6	51.9	3.4	0.71

## SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	399	1.0	9.5	45.9	43.6	3.3	0.69
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	416	0.7	10.6	47.8	40.9	3.3	0.68
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	400	0.8	14.8	46.8	37.8	3.2	0.71
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	428	0.9	5.1	45.3	48.6	3.4	0.63
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	422	2.6	14.5	43.1	39.8	3.2	0.78

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	432	1.6	10.4	38.2	49.8	3.4	0.73
21. Overall quality of teaching	431	4.2	10.9	30.2	54.8	3.4	0.84

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Agriculture

DEPARTMENT = Merchandising, Apparel &amp; Textil

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	59	8.5	11.9	52.5	27.1	3.0	0.86
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	73	1.4	8.2	61.6	28.8	3.2	0.63
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	72	5.6	6.9	50.0	37.5	3.2	0.80
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	78	1.3	5.1	35.9	57.7	3.5	0.66
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	56	3.6	7.1	44.6	44.6	3.3	0.76

## SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY

27. Feedback on oral presentations	19	5.3	10.5	57.9	26.3	3.1	0.78
28. Guided student report preparation	19	5.3	10.5	47.4	36.8	3.2	0.83
29. Students free to express opinions	21	4.8	4.8	52.4	38.1	3.2	0.77
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	20	5.0	5.0	50.0	40.0	3.3	0.79
31. Developed abil to conduct research	16	6.3	0.0	56.3	37.5	3.3	0.77

## SECTION H - LABORATORY &amp; DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY

32. Clarified lecture material	12	8.3	8.3	66.7	16.7	2.9	0.79
33. Amount of work appropriate	13	15.4	0.0	69.2	15.4	2.8	0.90
34. Instructor explained expectations	12	16.7	8.3	50.0	25.0	2.8	1.03
35. Instructor helped with my problems	11	18.2	9.1	54.5	18.2	2.7	1.01
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	11	18.2	9.1	54.5	18.2	2.7	1.01

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	.
38. Question 38	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	.
39. Question 39	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	.
40. Question 40	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 568

Number of forms scanned = 436

Number of forms with at least one student response = 434

Percent completed = 76.4 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Agriculture DEPARTMENT = Nutrition and Food Sciences

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	38	4.3	A	596	67.4
Sophomore	169	19.0	B	256	29.0
Junior	219	24.6	C	27	3.1
Senior	428	48.1	D	0	0.0
Graduate	28	3.1	E/Fail	1	0.1
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	8	0.9	Pass or Audit	4	0.5

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	413	46.4
Studies Program	43	4.9	2 hours	283	31.8
Required by my major	744	84.1	3 hours	151	16.9
Other (e.g. elective)	98	11.1	4 - 5 hours	33	3.7
			6 - 7 hours	7	0.8
			8 or more hours	4	0.4

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	926	1.8	6.2	42.9	49.1	3.4	0.69
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	840	4.5	8.3	48.7	38.5	3.2	0.78
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	886	2.5	8.5	47.2	41.9	3.3	0.72
4. Exams reflected what was taught	878	2.5	6.2	44.5	46.8	3.4	0.71
5. Grading was fair and consistent	927	2.4	4.6	45.0	48.0	3.4	0.69
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	899	1.7	3.0	48.3	47.1	3.4	0.63
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	916	2.5	9.8	43.8	43.9	3.3	0.74
8. Graded assignments included comments	871	4.0	14.0	45.2	36.7	3.1	0.80
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	933	5.7	15.5	34.5	44.3	3.2	0.89
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	935	1.4	2.4	36.7	59.6	3.5	0.62
11. Was available for consultation	857	2.0	6.0	45.7	46.3	3.4	0.69
12. Satisfact answered class questions	926	1.7	6.9	41.8	49.6	3.4	0.69
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	930	5.9	14.7	36.0	43.3	3.2	0.89
14. Encouraged class participation	928	2.3	8.1	43.8	45.9	3.3	0.72
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	811	2.3	11.0	52.9	33.8	3.2	0.71
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	895	2.5	11.1	50.7	35.8	3.2	0.73
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	876	2.5	12.6	50.8	34.1	3.2	0.74
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	931	1.4	4.9	50.4	43.3	3.4	0.64
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	923	4.9	15.6	41.9	37.6	3.1	0.84
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	931	2.7	11.7	39.2	46.4	3.3	0.78
21. Overall quality of teaching	926	6.9	13.3	29.4	50.4	3.2	0.93

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Agriculture

DEPARTMENT = Nutrition and Food Sciences

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	39	2.6	5.1	59.0	33.3	3.2	0.67
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	39	2.6	7.7	56.4	33.3	3.2	0.70
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	38	2.6	5.3	57.9	34.2	3.2	0.68
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	30	6.7	20.0	50.0	23.3	2.9	0.84
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	29	3.4	10.3	62.1	24.1	3.1	0.70
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	33	12.1	12.1	45.5	30.3	2.9	0.97
28. Guided student report preparation	32	15.6	6.3	43.8	34.4	3.0	1.03
29. Students free to express opinions	32	9.4	9.4	50.0	31.3	3.0	0.90
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	32	12.5	9.4	46.9	31.3	3.0	0.97
31. Developed abil to conduct research	32	9.4	9.4	46.9	34.4	3.1	0.91
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	69	1.4	8.7	42.0	47.8	3.4	0.71
33. Amount of work appropriate	69	2.9	1.4	37.7	58.0	3.5	0.68
34. Instructor explained expectations	68	1.5	7.4	38.2	52.9	3.4	0.70
35. Instructor helped with my problems	68	2.9	5.9	36.8	54.4	3.4	0.74
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	68	2.9	5.9	36.8	54.4	3.4	0.74

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 1347

Number of forms scanned = 949

Number of forms with at least one student response = 939

Percent completed = 69.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Agriculture DEPARTMENT = Plant and Soil Science

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	27	9.3	A	101	35.1
Sophomore	58	20.1	B	126	43.8
Junior	51	17.6	C	41	14.2
Senior	102	35.3	D	10	3.5
Graduate	45	15.6	E/Fail	1	0.3
Professional	2	0.7	I	0	0.0
Other	4	1.4	Pass or Audit	9	3.1

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	74	25.6
Studies Program	23	8.0	2 hours	83	28.7
Required by my major	176	61.3	3 hours	57	19.7
Other (e.g. elective)	88	30.7	4 - 5 hours	49	17.0
			6 - 7 hours	14	4.8
			8 or more hours	12	4.2

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	309	1.0	0.6	51.8	46.6	3.4	0.56
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	264	4.2	16.3	48.5	31.1	3.1	0.80
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	291	2.4	10.7	51.2	35.7	3.2	0.72
4. Exams reflected what was taught	292	2.1	7.2	51.4	39.4	3.3	0.69
5. Grading was fair and consistent	309	1.3	6.5	49.2	43.0	3.3	0.66
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	300	2.0	4.3	48.7	45.0	3.4	0.66
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	300	2.7	3.0	50.7	43.7	3.4	0.67
8. Graded assignments included comments	288	4.9	18.4	45.5	31.3	3.0	0.83

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	310	1.3	13.2	46.5	39.0	3.2	0.72
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	308	0.6	1.3	36.0	62.0	3.6	0.55
11. Was available for consultation	292	1.0	3.4	49.3	46.2	3.4	0.61
12. Satisfact answered class questions	310	0.6	4.5	49.7	45.2	3.4	0.61
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	307	5.9	14.7	42.0	37.5	3.1	0.86
14. Encouraged class participation	308	1.3	13.3	47.7	37.7	3.2	0.72

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	258	1.9	11.2	61.6	25.2	3.1	0.66
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	293	1.4	11.3	56.3	31.1	3.2	0.67
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	280	2.1	17.5	51.1	29.3	3.1	0.74
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	307	1.3	3.3	55.4	40.1	3.3	0.61
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	305	5.9	19.7	44.9	29.5	3.0	0.85

	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	307	3.9	13.7	42.0	40.4	3.2	0.81
21. Overall quality of teaching	307	3.9	11.1	36.5	48.5	3.3	0.82

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Agriculture

DEPARTMENT = Plant and Soil Science

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	27	3.7	18.5	70.4	7.4	2.8	0.62
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	28	0.0	28.6	60.7	10.7	2.8	0.61
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	27	3.7	25.9	55.6	14.8	2.8	0.74
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	15	0.0	33.3	53.3	13.3	2.8	0.68
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	15	6.7	33.3	53.3	6.7	2.6	0.74
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	11	0.0	0.0	81.8	18.2	3.2	0.40
28. Guided student report preparation	9	0.0	0.0	88.9	11.1	3.1	.
29. Students free to express opinions	12	0.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	3.2	0.39
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	12	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	0.45
31. Developed abil to conduct research	11	0.0	9.1	81.8	9.1	3.0	0.45
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	85	0.0	3.5	49.4	47.1	3.4	0.57
33. Amount of work appropriate	87	0.0	8.0	50.6	41.4	3.3	0.62
34. Instructor explained expectations	87	0.0	9.2	50.6	40.2	3.3	0.63
35. Instructor helped with my problems	87	2.3	8.0	48.3	41.4	3.3	0.71
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	82	0.0	4.9	57.3	37.8	3.3	0.57

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 438

Number of forms scanned = 312

Number of forms with at least one student response = 311

Percent completed = 71.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Agriculture DEPARTMENT = Plant Pathology

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	43	60.6
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	22	31.0
Junior	2	2.9	C	5	7.0
Senior	16	22.9	D	0	0.0
Graduate	52	74.3	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	1.4

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	5	7.2
Studies Program	6	8.5	2 hours	14	20.3
Required by my major	52	73.2	3 hours	25	36.2
Other (e.g. elective)	13	18.3	4 - 5 hours	15	21.7
			6 - 7 hours	3	4.3
			8 or more hours	7	10.1

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	69	0.0	0.0	40.6	59.4	3.6	0.49
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	56	1.8	5.4	41.1	51.8	3.4	0.68
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	72	0.0	4.2	36.1	59.7	3.6	0.58
4. Exams reflected what was taught	62	0.0	4.8	37.1	58.1	3.5	0.59
5. Grading was fair and consistent	70	0.0	2.9	44.3	52.9	3.5	0.56
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	70	0.0	1.4	41.4	57.1	3.6	0.53
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	69	0.0	0.0	44.9	55.1	3.6	0.50
8. Graded assignments included comments	67	0.0	3.0	35.8	61.2	3.6	0.55
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	73	0.0	5.5	32.9	61.6	3.6	0.60
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	73	0.0	0.0	24.7	75.3	3.8	0.43
11. Was available for consultation	70	0.0	1.4	30.0	68.6	3.7	0.50
12. Satisfact answered class questions	73	0.0	1.4	32.9	65.8	3.6	0.51
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	72	1.4	9.7	31.9	56.9	3.4	0.73
14. Encouraged class participation	73	0.0	5.5	26.0	68.5	3.6	0.59
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	71	0.0	2.8	57.7	39.4	3.4	0.54
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	73	0.0	6.8	47.9	45.2	3.4	0.62
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	69	0.0	5.8	49.3	44.9	3.4	0.60
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	72	0.0	0.0	43.1	56.9	3.6	0.50
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	72	2.8	6.9	48.6	41.7	3.3	0.72
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	73	0.0	1.4	39.7	58.9	3.6	0.52
21. Overall quality of teaching	72	0.0	4.2	23.6	72.2	3.7	0.55

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Agriculture

DEPARTMENT = Plant Pathology

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	12	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.52
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	11	0.0	0.0	63.6	36.4	3.4	0.50
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	10	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	3.2	0.42
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	10	0.0	50.0	40.0	10.0	2.6	0.70
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	10	0.0	50.0	30.0	20.0	2.7	0.82
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	3.2	.
28. Guided student report preparation	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
29. Students free to express opinions	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
31. Developed abil to conduct research	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	33	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	3.5	0.51
33. Amount of work appropriate	33	0.0	3.0	39.4	57.6	3.5	0.56
34. Instructor explained expectations	33	0.0	0.0	48.5	51.5	3.5	0.51
35. Instructor helped with my problems	33	0.0	0.0	39.4	60.6	3.6	0.50
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	30	0.0	0.0	36.7	63.3	3.6	0.49
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS							
38. Question 38	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 92

Number of forms scanned = 73

Number of forms with at least one student response = 73

Percent completed = 79.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Agriculture DEPARTMENT = Z. Misc. Human Env Sciences

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	26	11.0	A	218	92.8
Sophomore	20	8.4	B	15	6.4
Junior	66	27.8	C	0	0.0
Senior	110	46.4	D	1	0.4
Graduate	15	6.3	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	0.4

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	176	74.3
Studies Program	4	1.7	2 hours	39	16.5
Required by my major	224	94.9	3 hours	12	5.1
Other (e.g. elective)	8	3.4	4 - 5 hours	5	2.1
			6 - 7 hours	2	0.8
			8 or more hours	3	1.3

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	254	0.0	0.0	39.8	60.2	3.6	0.49
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	89	6.7	14.6	42.7	36.0	3.1	0.88
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	244	2.9	6.1	56.1	34.8	3.2	0.69
4. Exams reflected what was taught	118	0.8	0.0	53.4	45.8	3.4	0.55
5. Grading was fair and consistent	254	0.4	0.8	40.9	57.9	3.6	0.54
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	254	0.8	1.6	42.1	55.5	3.5	0.57
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	253	0.4	1.6	44.3	53.8	3.5	0.55
8. Graded assignments included comments	233	3.4	12.9	45.5	38.2	3.2	0.79
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	254	2.0	5.9	48.4	43.7	3.3	0.68
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	254	1.2	0.8	40.2	57.9	3.5	0.58
11. Was available for consultation	227	0.9	0.4	49.3	49.3	3.5	0.56
12. Satisfact answered class questions	252	1.2	1.6	43.3	54.0	3.5	0.60
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	253	6.3	15.0	42.3	36.4	3.1	0.87
14. Encouraged class participation	254	0.8	0.4	46.1	52.8	3.5	0.55
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	244	2.5	6.6	52.9	38.1	3.3	0.69
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	245	2.4	11.8	49.8	35.9	3.2	0.74
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	238	3.4	18.1	46.6	31.9	3.1	0.79
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	251	2.4	6.0	48.6	43.0	3.3	0.70
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	242	8.3	24.4	36.4	31.0	2.9	0.94
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	252	10.7	15.9	41.7	31.7	2.9	0.95
21. Overall quality of teaching	252	1.6	6.3	39.7	52.4	3.4	0.68

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Agriculture

DEPARTMENT = Z. Misc. Human Env Sciences

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	13	0.0	30.8	38.5	30.8	3.0	0.82
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	14	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	3.3	0.47
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	13	0.0	0.0	69.2	30.8	3.3	0.48
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	9	0.0	22.2	33.3	44.4	3.2	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	9	0.0	0.0	77.8	22.2	3.2	.
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	6	0.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	3.2	.
28. Guided student report preparation	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	.
29. Students free to express opinions	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	8	0.0	12.5	50.0	37.5	3.3	.
31. Developed abil to conduct research	7	0.0	57.1	14.3	28.6	2.7	.
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
33. Amount of work appropriate	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	3.8	.
34. Instructor explained expectations	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
35. Instructor helped with my problems	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 317

Number of forms scanned = 255

Number of forms with at least one student response = 254

Percent completed = 80.1 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = ZMisc. Arts and Sciences

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	25	6.3	A	254	65.5
Sophomore	108	27.4	B	107	27.6
Junior	93	23.6	C	18	4.6
Senior	116	29.4	D	1	0.3
Graduate	47	11.9	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	5	1.3	Pass or Audit	8	2.1

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	106	27.0
Studies Program	86	22.2	2 hours	104	26.5
Required by my major	73	18.8	3 hours	94	24.0
Other (e.g. elective)	229	59.0	4 - 5 hours	63	16.1
			6 - 7 hours	16	4.1
			8 or more hours	9	2.3

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	415	0.2	4.3	37.8	57.6	3.5	0.59
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	384	2.1	7.3	39.1	51.6	3.4	0.72
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	409	0.7	6.1	43.3	49.9	3.4	0.64
4. Exams reflected what was taught	327	1.5	4.9	38.5	55.0	3.5	0.66
5. Grading was fair and consistent	408	1.0	5.9	42.6	50.5	3.4	0.65
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	414	1.2	5.1	39.6	54.1	3.5	0.65
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	411	6.8	13.6	35.5	44.0	3.2	0.91
8. Graded assignments included comments	405	2.7	9.1	34.3	53.8	3.4	0.77
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	416	2.6	6.5	40.1	50.7	3.4	0.73
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	417	0.2	2.6	26.9	70.3	3.7	0.54
11. Was available for consultation	393	0.5	3.3	35.9	60.3	3.6	0.59
12. Satisfact answered class questions	416	1.7	4.1	35.6	58.7	3.5	0.66
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	417	3.4	7.7	32.6	56.4	3.4	0.77
14. Encouraged class participation	414	1.2	1.7	30.2	66.9	3.6	0.58
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	403	0.5	3.0	36.0	60.5	3.6	0.58
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	403	1.5	7.7	36.0	54.8	3.4	0.70
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	376	2.9	10.4	40.7	46.0	3.3	0.77
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	413	1.0	4.6	37.0	57.4	3.5	0.63
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	412	2.9	10.2	32.5	54.4	3.4	0.79

	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	416	2.4	7.7	32.5	57.5	3.4	0.74
21. Overall quality of teaching	414	2.9	8.7	26.3	62.1	3.5	0.77

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences

DEPARTMENT = ZMisc. Arts and Sciences

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item			Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY						
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	42	0.0	9.5	57.1	33.3	3.2 0.62
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	83	1.2	4.8	49.4	44.6	3.4 0.64
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	85	0.0	4.7	50.6	44.7	3.4 0.58
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	77	1.3	2.6	39.0	57.1	3.5 0.62
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	54	0.0	7.4	40.7	51.9	3.4 0.63
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY						
27. Feedback on oral presentations	41	2.4	12.2	48.8	36.6	3.2 0.75
28. Guided student report preparation	47	2.1	12.8	46.8	38.3	3.2 0.75
29. Students free to express opinions	52	0.0	7.7	21.2	71.2	3.6 0.63
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	53	1.9	3.8	30.2	64.2	3.6 0.67
31. Developed abil to conduct research	47	2.1	10.6	40.4	46.8	3.3 0.75
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY						
32. Clarified lecture material	8	0.0	12.5	37.5	50.0	3.4 .
33. Amount of work appropriate	8	0.0	12.5	37.5	50.0	3.4 .
34. Instructor explained expectations	9	0.0	22.2	33.3	44.4	3.2 .
35. Instructor helped with my problems	9	11.1	0.0	33.3	55.6	3.3 .
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	7	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	3.4 .

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 517

Number of forms scanned = 424

Number of forms with at least one student response = 417

Percent completed = 80.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	19	24.4	A	50	63.3
Sophomore	36	46.2	B	3	3.8
Junior	9	11.5	C	1	1.3
Senior	11	14.1	D	0	0.0
Graduate	3	3.8	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	25	31.6

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	41	52.6
Studies Program	1	1.3	2 hours	14	17.9
Required by my major	2	2.5	3 hours	13	16.7
Other (e.g. elective)	76	96.2	4 - 5 hours	7	9.0
			6 - 7 hours	1	1.3
			8 or more hours	2	2.6

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	82	0.0	0.0	23.2	76.8	3.8	0.42
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	71	0.0	0.0	32.4	67.6	3.7	0.47
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	73	0.0	0.0	31.5	68.5	3.7	0.47
4. Exams reflected what was taught	72	0.0	0.0	20.8	79.2	3.8	0.41
5. Grading was fair and consistent	77	0.0	1.3	20.8	77.9	3.8	0.46
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	74	0.0	0.0	21.6	78.4	3.8	0.41
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	74	0.0	0.0	21.6	78.4	3.8	0.41
8. Graded assignments included comments	72	0.0	0.0	20.8	79.2	3.8	0.41
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	77	0.0	1.3	19.5	79.2	3.8	0.45
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	78	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	3.8	0.36
11. Was available for consultation	79	0.0	0.0	19.0	81.0	3.8	0.39
12. Satisfact answered class questions	78	0.0	0.0	19.2	80.8	3.8	0.40
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	79	0.0	0.0	20.3	79.7	3.8	0.40
14. Encouraged class participation	79	0.0	0.0	16.5	83.5	3.8	0.37
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	80	0.0	1.3	22.5	76.3	3.8	0.46
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	79	0.0	3.8	22.8	73.4	3.7	0.54
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	79	0.0	2.5	19.0	78.5	3.8	0.49
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	80	0.0	1.3	18.8	80.0	3.8	0.44
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	76	0.0	3.9	21.1	75.0	3.7	0.54

	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	80	0.0	0.0	17.5	82.5	3.8	0.38
21. Overall quality of teaching	80	0.0	1.3	11.3	87.5	3.9	0.38

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences

DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY								
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.	
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	4	25.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	3.0	.	
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY								
27. Feedback on oral presentations	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.	
28. Guided student report preparation	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.	
29. Students free to express opinions	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.	
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.	
31. Developed abil to conduct research	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.	
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY								
32. Clarified lecture material	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	3.8	.	
33. Amount of work appropriate	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	3.8	.	
34. Instructor explained expectations	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	3.8	.	
35. Instructor helped with my problems	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	3.8	.	
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	3.8	.	
	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 101

Number of forms scanned = 87

Number of forms with at least one student response = 84

Percent completed = 83.2 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = American Military Studies

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	20	35.1	A	46	80.7
Sophomore	16	28.1	B	10	17.5
Junior	10	17.5	C	0	0.0
Senior	11	19.3	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	1.8

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	30	52.6
Studies Program	1	1.8	2 hours	18	31.6
Required by my major	7	12.7	3 hours	4	7.0
Other (e.g. elective)	47	85.5	4 - 5 hours	3	5.3
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	2	3.5

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	59	0.0	1.7	30.5	67.8	3.7	0.51
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	57	1.8	12.3	42.1	43.9	3.3	0.75
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	59	0.0	1.7	40.7	57.6	3.6	0.53
4. Exams reflected what was taught	59	0.0	0.0	25.4	74.6	3.7	0.44
5. Grading was fair and consistent	59	0.0	0.0	25.4	74.6	3.7	0.44
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	59	0.0	1.7	23.7	74.6	3.7	0.49
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	59	0.0	1.7	18.6	79.7	3.8	0.46
8. Graded assignments included comments	57	0.0	8.8	31.6	59.6	3.5	0.66
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	59	0.0	3.4	15.3	81.4	3.8	0.49
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	59	0.0	0.0	8.5	91.5	3.9	0.28
11. Was available for consultation	59	0.0	0.0	23.7	76.3	3.8	0.43
12. Satisfact answered class questions	59	0.0	0.0	18.6	81.4	3.8	0.39
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	59	0.0	1.7	22.0	76.3	3.7	0.48
14. Encouraged class participation	59	0.0	1.7	23.7	74.6	3.7	0.49
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	52	1.9	1.9	36.5	59.6	3.5	0.64
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	57	0.0	1.8	36.8	61.4	3.6	0.53
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	57	0.0	0.0	35.1	64.9	3.6	0.48
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	57	0.0	1.8	26.3	71.9	3.7	0.50
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	56	0.0	1.8	33.9	64.3	3.6	0.52
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	59	0.0	3.4	18.6	78.0	3.7	0.51
21. Overall quality of teaching	59	0.0	5.1	10.2	84.7	3.8	0.52

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences

DEPARTMENT = American Military Studies

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY								
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY								
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY								
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 70

Number of forms scanned = 63

Number of forms with at least one student response = 59

Percent completed = 84.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	341	24.5	A	676	49.3
Sophomore	515	37.0	B	564	41.1
Junior	250	17.9	C	107	7.8
Senior	234	16.8	D	7	0.5
Graduate	45	3.2	E/Fail	3	0.2
Professional	2	0.1	I	0	0.0
Other	6	0.4	Pass or Audit	15	1.1

  

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	511	36.9
Studies Program	753	54.5	2 hours	462	33.3
Required by my major	309	22.4	3 hours	265	19.1
Other (e.g. elective)	320	23.2	4 - 5 hours	96	6.9
			6 - 7 hours	28	2.0
			8 or more hours	24	1.7

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	1,491	0.3	1.7	48.0	50.1	3.5	0.55
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	1,451	2.5	8.4	48.5	40.6	3.3	0.72
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	1,475	1.4	6.2	51.0	41.4	3.3	0.65
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1,418	0.4	3.0	48.2	48.3	3.4	0.58
5. Grading was fair and consistent	1,478	0.4	2.3	44.5	52.8	3.5	0.57
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	1,478	0.5	2.6	47.3	49.6	3.5	0.57
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	1,471	1.6	8.7	45.7	44.0	3.3	0.70
8. Graded assignments included comments	1,381	2.6	13.4	44.9	39.1	3.2	0.77
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	1,489	1.4	7.2	46.9	44.5	3.3	0.67
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	1,491	0.5	1.8	35.6	62.1	3.6	0.55
11. Was available for consultation	1,424	0.3	1.4	48.7	49.6	3.5	0.54
12. Satisfact answered class questions	1,488	0.6	2.6	45.1	51.7	3.5	0.58
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	1,483	3.4	12.5	44.2	39.8	3.2	0.79
14. Encouraged class participation	1,479	1.0	6.8	45.6	46.6	3.4	0.66
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	1,457	0.7	3.5	48.5	47.3	3.4	0.60
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	1,440	1.5	9.4	52.6	36.5	3.2	0.68
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	1,356	2.1	17.5	49.8	30.7	3.1	0.75
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	1,479	0.7	2.8	53.6	42.9	3.4	0.58
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	1,464	4.2	22.5	41.3	32.0	3.0	0.84

	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	1,479	2.0	10.2	45.8	42.0	3.3	0.72
21. Overall quality of teaching	1,479	2.0	7.5	34.7	55.8	3.4	0.72

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Anthropology

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	453	2.2	15.9	57.8	24.1	3.0	0.70
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	553	1.4	8.9	62.6	27.1	3.2	0.63
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	512	1.4	7.0	63.5	28.1	3.2	0.61
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	540	1.1	2.6	48.0	48.3	3.4	0.60
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	331	1.8	4.2	58.3	35.6	3.3	0.63
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	122	1.6	2.5	51.6	44.3	3.4	0.62
28. Guided student report preparation	118	0.0	4.2	44.9	50.8	3.5	0.58
29. Students free to express opinions	134	0.7	0.7	42.5	56.0	3.5	0.56
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	131	0.0	3.1	40.5	56.5	3.5	0.56
31. Developed abil to conduct research	123	0.0	6.5	44.7	48.8	3.4	0.61
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	247	2.0	8.1	50.2	39.7	3.3	0.70
33. Amount of work appropriate	245	0.8	1.6	58.0	39.6	3.4	0.56
34. Instructor explained expectations	247	2.4	8.1	49.8	39.7	3.3	0.71
35. Instructor helped with my problems	232	2.2	10.3	54.3	33.2	3.2	0.70
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	130	2.3	4.6	47.7	45.4	3.4	0.68

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 2255

Number of forms scanned = 1515

Number of forms with at least one student response = 1497

Percent completed = 66.4 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Biological Sciences

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	387	13.2	A	1,173	40.7
Sophomore	1,010	34.5	B	1,138	39.5
Junior	599	20.5	C	335	11.6
Senior	815	27.8	D	36	1.2
Graduate	68	2.3	E/Fail	9	0.3
Professional	2	0.1	I	0	0.0
Other	46	1.6	Pass or Audit	191	6.6

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	719	24.7
Studies Program	331	11.4	2 hours	838	28.8
Required by my major	2,054	70.8	3 hours	732	25.2
Other (e.g. elective)	515	17.8	4 - 5 hours	432	14.9
			6 - 7 hours	127	4.4
			8 or more hours	58	2.0

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	3,142	0.8	3.1	51.8	44.3	3.4	0.59
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	2,797	3.8	8.7	50.6	36.9	3.2	0.75
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	2,818	3.2	9.8	53.5	33.5	3.2	0.73
4. Exams reflected what was taught	2,891	4.0	11.6	51.0	33.4	3.1	0.77
5. Grading was fair and consistent	3,035	2.0	7.0	51.5	39.5	3.3	0.68
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	2,766	2.3	5.1	52.1	40.5	3.3	0.67
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	2,914	1.8	6.2	51.0	41.0	3.3	0.67
8. Graded assignments included comments	2,409	7.4	25.5	40.1	27.0	2.9	0.90

## SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	3,120	3.9	10.8	44.2	41.1	3.2	0.79
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	3,143	0.9	2.1	37.2	59.8	3.6	0.59
11. Was available for consultation	2,853	0.9	3.5	51.2	44.4	3.4	0.60
12. Satisfact answered class questions	3,133	1.4	4.8	45.5	48.3	3.4	0.65
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	3,114	5.6	16.1	42.9	35.3	3.1	0.86
14. Encouraged class participation	3,097	3.1	12.6	44.9	39.4	3.2	0.78

## SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	2,494	2.9	15.5	53.8	27.8	3.1	0.74
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	2,982	2.6	10.5	57.2	29.6	3.1	0.70
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	2,853	3.3	15.8	53.1	27.8	3.1	0.75
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	3,084	1.9	5.3	55.8	37.0	3.3	0.65
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	3,043	6.7	24.7	42.5	26.2	2.9	0.87

	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	3,143	5.1	14.6	45.3	35.0	3.1	0.83
21. Overall quality of teaching	3,125	4.7	11.3	34.2	49.7	3.3	0.85

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences

DEPARTMENT = Biological Sciences

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Disagree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	407	1.7	7.4	57.5	33.4	3.2	0.65
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	396	2.8	10.1	57.6	29.5	3.1	0.70
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	276	4.0	13.4	53.6	29.0	3.1	0.76
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	169	3.0	16.0	46.2	34.9	3.1	0.78
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	163	2.5	11.0	50.3	36.2	3.2	0.73
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	188	2.7	9.0	29.8	58.5	3.4	0.77
28. Guided student report preparation	193	2.6	7.3	32.1	58.0	3.5	0.74
29. Students free to express opinions	208	1.0	2.9	29.8	66.3	3.6	0.59
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	207	2.4	3.9	30.9	62.8	3.5	0.69
31. Developed abil to conduct research	188	3.2	11.2	39.9	45.7	3.3	0.79
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	841	4.0	11.8	47.6	36.6	3.2	0.79
33. Amount of work appropriate	859	6.2	7.5	51.3	35.0	3.2	0.81
34. Instructor explained expectations	855	2.2	8.3	47.4	42.1	3.3	0.71
35. Instructor helped with my problems	833	2.8	11.8	46.6	38.9	3.2	0.76
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	665	2.3	4.2	52.6	40.9	3.3	0.66

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	.
40. Question 40	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	.
41. Question 41	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	.
44. Question 44	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 5285

Number of forms scanned = 3346

Number of forms with at least one student response = 3218

Percent completed = 60.9 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Chemistry

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	1,785	47.9	A	1,764	48.0
Sophomore	1,030	27.7	B	1,320	35.9
Junior	412	11.1	C	497	13.5
Senior	346	9.3	D	64	1.7
Graduate	112	3.0	E/Fail	18	0.5
Professional	3	0.1	I	0	0.0
Other	35	0.9	Pass or Audit	14	0.4

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	178	4.8
Studies Program	278	7.5	2 hours	689	18.6
Required by my major	3,141	85.2	3 hours	1,178	31.8
Other (e.g. elective)	269	7.3	4 - 5 hours	1,019	27.5
			6 - 7 hours	441	11.9
			8 or more hours	196	5.3

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	4,169	1.4	5.4	56.7	36.5	3.3	0.63
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	4,146	3.0	9.4	56.8	30.9	3.2	0.71
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	4,187	2.2	8.2	60.9	28.6	3.2	0.66
4. Exams reflected what was taught	3,601	3.7	11.8	56.9	27.5	3.1	0.73
5. Grading was fair and consistent	4,208	3.6	11.3	53.8	31.3	3.1	0.74
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	4,182	1.5	5.1	60.6	32.8	3.2	0.61
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	4,138	2.0	8.2	53.0	36.8	3.2	0.69
8. Graded assignments included comments	3,789	8.6	23.5	43.1	24.8	2.8	0.90

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	4,016	5.2	14.9	49.1	30.8	3.1	0.81
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	4,057	1.1	3.5	48.0	47.4	3.4	0.61
11. Was available for consultation	3,855	1.6	5.0	56.0	37.4	3.3	0.63
12. Satisfact answered class questions	3,923	3.9	12.4	47.7	36.0	3.2	0.78
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	3,931	9.5	25.0	42.7	22.8	2.8	0.90
14. Encouraged class participation	3,854	4.0	12.6	50.8	32.6	3.1	0.77

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	3,297	3.3	16.3	60.1	20.3	3.0	0.70
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	4,121	2.4	8.2	60.5	29.0	3.2	0.66
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	4,136	2.4	8.8	60.3	28.5	3.2	0.67
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	4,179	2.4	7.3	60.1	30.2	3.2	0.66
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	4,095	11.5	33.3	39.7	15.6	2.6	0.88

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	4,275	5.0	17.8	51.2	26.0	3.0	0.80
21. Overall quality of teaching	4,255	8.5	18.2	37.8	35.6	3.0	0.94

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Chemistry

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1,039	2.7	7.7	65.4	24.2	3.1	0.64
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1,009	3.1	16.6	61.0	19.4	3.0	0.69
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	808	3.2	15.0	59.5	22.3	3.0	0.71
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	420	11.0	26.2	45.0	17.9	2.7	0.89
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	445	4.9	19.1	52.8	23.1	2.9	0.79
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	194	5.2	12.9	54.1	27.8	3.0	0.78
28. Guided student report preparation	202	2.5	14.4	55.4	27.7	3.1	0.72
29. Students free to express opinions	220	1.8	5.0	60.5	32.7	3.2	0.63
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	229	1.7	15.3	55.0	27.9	3.1	0.70
31. Developed abil to conduct research	228	3.9	13.6	56.6	25.9	3.0	0.74
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	1,973	3.5	13.4	57.4	25.7	3.1	0.73
33. Amount of work appropriate	2,013	7.4	15.3	55.4	22.0	2.9	0.81
34. Instructor explained expectations	1,941	3.5	12.6	53.7	30.2	3.1	0.74
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1,912	3.6	14.2	52.7	29.5	3.1	0.76
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1,972	1.6	8.1	56.7	33.6	3.2	0.66

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	4.7	.
38. Question 38	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	66.7	4.3	.
39. Question 39	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
40. Question 40	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
41. Question 41	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
42. Question 42	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
43. Question 43	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
44. Question 44	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
45. Question 45	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
46. Question 46	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	4.7	.
47. Question 47	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
48. Question 48	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	4.0	.
49. Question 49	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 6171  
 Number of forms scanned = 4410  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 4337  
 Percent completed = 70.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = English

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	14	0.8	A	757	46.5
Sophomore	293	17.8	B	728	44.7
Junior	585	35.5	C	119	7.3
Senior	654	39.7	D	7	0.4
Graduate	79	4.8	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	3	0.2	I	0	0.0
Other	21	1.3	Pass or Audit	16	1.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	240	14.6
Studies Program	418	25.5	2 hours	477	29.1
Required by my major	919	56.1	3 hours	494	30.1
Other (e.g. elective)	301	18.4	4 - 5 hours	314	19.1
			6 - 7 hours	69	4.2
			8 or more hours	46	2.8

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	1,732	0.6	2.0	40.9	56.5	3.5	0.57
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	1,588	1.1	5.5	42.1	51.3	3.4	0.65
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	1,715	0.8	4.1	44.9	50.2	3.4	0.61
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1,432	1.5	5.6	40.1	52.8	3.4	0.67
5. Grading was fair and consistent	1,703	2.2	7.2	40.9	49.7	3.4	0.71
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	1,725	1.1	4.5	43.0	51.5	3.4	0.63
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	1,713	0.8	6.2	48.0	45.0	3.4	0.64
8. Graded assignments included comments	1,704	2.1	6.5	39.5	51.9	3.4	0.70

## SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	1,731	1.9	6.9	39.3	51.8	3.4	0.70
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	1,734	0.4	1.4	27.7	70.5	3.7	0.52
11. Was available for consultation	1,642	0.4	1.5	40.0	58.1	3.6	0.55
12. Satisfact answered class questions	1,729	1.3	3.9	37.2	57.6	3.5	0.64
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	1,724	4.4	10.6	36.2	48.8	3.3	0.83
14. Encouraged class participation	1,730	1.3	3.9	32.5	62.4	3.6	0.63

## SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	1,595	1.0	6.0	49.5	43.4	3.4	0.64
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	1,649	1.5	5.9	46.9	45.7	3.4	0.66
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	1,458	1.9	14.3	45.9	37.9	3.2	0.75
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	1,686	1.5	3.4	48.0	47.1	3.4	0.63
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	1,694	4.7	17.1	37.9	40.3	3.1	0.86

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	1,725	2.7	10.3	39.6	47.4	3.3	0.77
21. Overall quality of teaching	1,722	3.3	8.2	27.4	61.1	3.5	0.78

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = English

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	145	6.2	19.3	46.2	28.3	3.0	0.85
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	242	6.2	15.3	50.4	28.1	3.0	0.83
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	262	4.6	5.3	47.3	42.7	3.3	0.77
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	150	3.3	7.3	49.3	40.0	3.3	0.74
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	109	4.6	5.5	47.7	42.2	3.3	0.77
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	66	1.5	7.6	59.1	31.8	3.2	0.64
28. Guided student report preparation	71	1.4	8.5	50.7	39.4	3.3	0.68
29. Students free to express opinions	78	2.6	0.0	41.0	56.4	3.5	0.64
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	76	3.9	1.3	36.8	57.9	3.5	0.72
31. Developed abil to conduct research	73	1.4	2.7	49.3	46.6	3.4	0.62
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	33	18.2	3.0	51.5	27.3	2.9	1.02
33. Amount of work appropriate	31	3.2	3.2	58.1	35.5	3.3	0.68
34. Instructor explained expectations	31	16.1	6.5	48.4	29.0	2.9	1.01
35. Instructor helped with my problems	31	16.1	9.7	41.9	32.3	2.9	1.04
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	20	5.0	0.0	55.0	40.0	3.3	0.73

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
38. Question 38	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
39. Question 39	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
40. Question 40	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
41. Question 41	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
42. Question 42	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
43. Question 43	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
44. Question 44	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
45. Question 45	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
46. Question 46	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
47. Question 47	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
48. Question 48	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
49. Question 49	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 2270  
 Number of forms scanned = 1838  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 1741  
 Percent completed = 76.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Geography

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	328	31.5	A	384	37.4
Sophomore	269	25.9	B	435	42.4
Junior	174	16.7	C	175	17.0
Senior	222	21.3	D	14	1.4
Graduate	36	3.5	E/Fail	3	0.3
Professional	2	0.2	I	0	0.0
Other	9	0.9	Pass or Audit	16	1.6

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	320	30.9
Studies Program	506	49.5	2 hours	333	32.1
Required by my major	257	25.1	3 hours	245	23.6
Other (e.g. elective)	260	25.4	4 - 5 hours	92	8.9
			6 - 7 hours	23	2.2
			8 or more hours	23	2.2

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	1,129	1.2	3.0	55.3	40.6	3.4	0.60
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	1,070	6.0	16.6	50.2	27.2	3.0	0.82
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	1,097	3.6	14.1	54.7	27.6	3.1	0.75
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1,057	2.9	10.6	52.4	34.1	3.2	0.73
5. Grading was fair and consistent	1,129	2.3	8.9	51.6	37.2	3.2	0.70
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	1,112	1.3	5.5	56.7	36.5	3.3	0.62
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	1,119	4.0	11.0	50.3	34.7	3.2	0.77
8. Graded assignments included comments	1,057	6.0	23.6	43.5	27.0	2.9	0.86

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	1,131	3.9	13.7	48.8	33.6	3.1	0.78
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	1,134	1.4	1.8	40.8	56.0	3.5	0.61
11. Was available for consultation	1,068	1.3	3.6	54.2	40.9	3.3	0.61
12. Satisfact answered class questions	1,132	2.3	6.2	50.5	41.0	3.3	0.69
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	1,125	7.6	18.8	40.5	33.0	3.0	0.91
14. Encouraged class participation	1,127	3.5	12.9	47.8	35.8	3.2	0.77

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	1,027	1.9	10.4	58.0	29.7	3.2	0.67
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	1,079	3.2	16.7	53.4	26.7	3.0	0.75
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	1,010	4.2	25.2	48.1	22.5	2.9	0.79
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	1,118	2.6	7.4	57.0	33.0	3.2	0.68
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	1,117	9.8	25.3	39.3	25.5	2.8	0.93

	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	1,129	6.3	18.1	44.4	31.3	3.0	0.86
21. Overall quality of teaching	1,125	5.8	14.1	38.0	42.0	3.2	0.88

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	307	4.6	25.7	56.7	13.0	2.8	0.72
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	387	3.1	16.5	62.3	18.1	3.0	0.69
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	363	3.9	16.0	59.8	20.4	3.0	0.72
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	318	2.2	9.1	58.5	30.2	3.2	0.67
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	194	3.1	14.9	57.2	24.7	3.0	0.72
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	77	2.6	13.0	41.6	42.9	3.2	0.78
28. Guided student report preparation	81	1.2	8.6	53.1	37.0	3.3	0.67
29. Students free to express opinions	94	2.1	7.4	43.6	46.8	3.4	0.71
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	94	2.1	9.6	51.1	37.2	3.2	0.71
31. Developed abil to conduct research	85	2.4	9.4	50.6	37.6	3.2	0.72
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	47	2.1	8.5	61.7	27.7	3.1	0.66
33. Amount of work appropriate	48	2.1	8.3	60.4	29.2	3.2	0.66
34. Instructor explained expectations	47	4.3	8.5	61.7	25.5	3.1	0.72
35. Instructor helped with my problems	46	4.3	10.9	56.5	28.3	3.1	0.76
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	45	8.9	11.1	53.3	26.7	3.0	0.87

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 1784  
 Number of forms scanned = 1168  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 1137  
 Percent completed = 63.7 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences

DEPARTMENT = Earth &amp; Environmental Sciences

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	192	24.6	A	400	52.0
Sophomore	209	26.8	B	277	36.0
Junior	179	22.9	C	78	10.1
Senior	160	20.5	D	2	0.3
Graduate	33	4.2	E/Fail	4	0.5
Professional	4	0.5	I	0	0.0
Other	4	0.5	Pass or Audit	8	1.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	334	43.0
Studies Program	271	35.2	2 hours	222	28.6
Required by my major	358	46.5	3 hours	138	17.8
Other (e.g. elective)	141	18.3	4 - 5 hours	60	7.7
			6 - 7 hours	10	1.3
			8 or more hours	12	1.5

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
<b>SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS</b>							
1. Outlined course material and grading	858	0.8	4.0	46.9	48.4	3.4	0.61
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	698	10.9	18.3	43.3	27.5	2.9	0.94
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	841	2.6	10.3	49.7	37.3	3.2	0.73
4. Exams reflected what was taught	829	2.9	6.3	43.9	46.9	3.3	0.73
5. Grading was fair and consistent	849	1.4	5.2	43.8	49.6	3.4	0.66
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	850	1.2	3.5	48.7	46.6	3.4	0.62
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	842	1.4	5.8	46.4	46.3	3.4	0.66
8. Graded assignments included comments	792	5.7	20.2	39.4	34.7	3.0	0.88
<b>SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS</b>							
9. Presented material effectively	853	2.6	7.5	42.4	47.5	3.3	0.73
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	856	0.7	2.6	36.6	60.2	3.6	0.58
11. Was available for consultation	800	1.1	3.4	47.0	48.5	3.4	0.62
12. Satisfact answered class questions	851	1.5	6.0	42.7	49.8	3.4	0.67
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	847	5.7	14.4	39.7	40.3	3.1	0.87
14. Encouraged class participation	850	2.1	8.5	46.5	42.9	3.3	0.71
<b>SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES</b>							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	735	3.5	14.8	51.4	30.2	3.1	0.77
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	824	2.7	12.1	51.2	34.0	3.2	0.74
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	795	2.3	15.0	50.3	32.5	3.1	0.74
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	844	2.1	6.0	50.6	41.2	3.3	0.68
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	837	7.2	21.3	41.7	29.9	2.9	0.89
<b>SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS</b>							
20. Overall value of the course	850	3.6	13.4	40.1	42.8	3.2	0.81
21. Overall quality of teaching	849	4.2	8.8	30.9	56.1	3.4	0.82

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences

DEPARTMENT = Earth &amp; Environmental Sciences

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Strongly		Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	245	4.1	9.4	54.7	31.8	3.1	0.75
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	247	5.7	10.5	51.4	32.4	3.1	0.80
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	221	5.0	12.7	49.8	32.6	3.1	0.80
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	127	3.9	12.6	50.4	33.1	3.1	0.78
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	123	4.9	8.1	54.5	32.5	3.1	0.76
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	68	2.9	8.8	55.9	32.4	3.2	0.71
28. Guided student report preparation	65	3.1	9.2	55.4	32.3	3.2	0.72
29. Students free to express opinions	70	1.4	4.3	55.7	38.6	3.3	0.63
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	69	1.4	4.3	56.5	37.7	3.3	0.63
31. Developed abil to conduct research	60	1.7	8.3	55.0	35.0	3.2	0.67
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	178	2.2	6.2	54.5	37.1	3.3	0.67
33. Amount of work appropriate	179	1.1	2.8	54.7	41.3	3.4	0.60
34. Instructor explained expectations	177	2.3	2.8	52.5	42.4	3.4	0.65
35. Instructor helped with my problems	174	2.9	3.4	50.0	43.7	3.3	0.69
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	170	1.8	1.8	52.9	43.5	3.4	0.62

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	.
38. Question 38	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	.
39. Question 39	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	.
41. Question 41	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 1738

Number of forms scanned = 883

Number of forms with at least one student response = 865

Percent completed = 49.8 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = History

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	342	23.4	A	581	40.4
Sophomore	244	16.7	B	635	44.1
Junior	319	21.8	C	177	12.3
Senior	410	28.1	D	19	1.3
Graduate	131	9.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	1	0.1	I	1	0.1
Other	13	0.9	Pass or Audit	26	1.8

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	294	20.2
Studies Program	379	26.2	2 hours	418	28.7
Required by my major	680	47.1	3 hours	402	27.6
Other (e.g. elective)	386	26.7	4 - 5 hours	230	15.8
			6 - 7 hours	73	5.0
			8 or more hours	40	2.7

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	1,642	0.6	1.1	40.2	58.1	3.6	0.55
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	1,590	1.9	6.7	41.4	49.9	3.4	0.70
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	1,624	1.4	5.6	45.1	47.9	3.4	0.66
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1,505	0.6	3.0	40.4	56.0	3.5	0.59
5. Grading was fair and consistent	1,631	2.1	6.7	38.9	52.2	3.4	0.71
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	1,623	0.9	3.9	43.9	51.4	3.5	0.61
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	1,609	1.1	4.8	43.7	50.4	3.4	0.64
8. Graded assignments included comments	1,594	1.1	6.2	41.2	51.6	3.4	0.66

## SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	1,637	1.3	6.2	38.2	54.2	3.5	0.67
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	1,642	0.2	0.5	21.0	78.3	3.8	0.44
11. Was available for consultation	1,543	0.3	2.1	40.3	57.3	3.5	0.56
12. Satisfact answered class questions	1,624	0.7	2.1	36.9	60.3	3.6	0.57
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	1,636	2.4	8.5	34.1	55.0	3.4	0.75
14. Encouraged class participation	1,610	2.3	10.2	34.9	52.5	3.4	0.76

## SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	1,498	1.1	6.7	49.9	42.3	3.3	0.65
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	1,565	1.0	6.2	46.2	46.6	3.4	0.65
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	1,421	2.1	13.0	45.3	39.5	3.2	0.75
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	1,607	0.7	3.0	44.7	51.6	3.5	0.59
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	1,612	3.0	13.6	38.0	45.3	3.3	0.80

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	1,635	1.2	8.2	35.4	55.3	3.4	0.69
21. Overall quality of teaching	1,631	1.1	6.6	25.1	67.2	3.6	0.66

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = History

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	221	3.6	13.1	55.7	27.6	3.1	0.74
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	342	2.3	12.9	58.8	26.0	3.1	0.69
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	353	2.8	6.5	55.2	35.4	3.2	0.69
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	190	2.1	6.3	53.7	37.9	3.3	0.67
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	158	1.9	8.9	53.2	36.1	3.2	0.69

SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY

27. Feedback on oral presentations	172	1.2	8.1	41.3	49.4	3.4	0.69
28. Guided student report preparation	172	0.0	9.3	40.7	50.0	3.4	0.66
29. Students free to express opinions	190	0.0	2.6	32.6	64.7	3.6	0.54
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	190	0.5	3.7	32.6	63.2	3.6	0.59
31. Developed abil to conduct research	178	1.1	9.0	37.1	52.8	3.4	0.70

SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY

32. Clarified lecture material	109	0.9	6.4	46.8	45.9	3.4	0.65
33. Amount of work appropriate	109	2.8	10.1	50.5	36.7	3.2	0.73
34. Instructor explained expectations	109	2.8	8.3	49.5	39.4	3.3	0.73
35. Instructor helped with my problems	105	2.9	11.4	47.6	38.1	3.2	0.76
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	74	4.1	8.1	50.0	37.8	3.2	0.76

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
41. Question 41	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	.
44. Question 44	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 2720

Number of forms scanned = 1680

Number of forms with at least one student response = 1652

Percent completed = 60.7 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Mathematics

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	2,007	59.4	A	1,123	33.8
Sophomore	647	19.1	B	1,197	36.1
Junior	321	9.5	C	625	18.8
Senior	249	7.4	D	155	4.7
Graduate	145	4.3	E/Fail	25	0.8
Professional	1	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	10	0.3	Pass or Audit	193	5.8

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	517	15.4
Studies Program	1,011	30.5	2 hours	944	28.1
Required by my major	1,942	58.7	3 hours	934	27.8
Other (e.g. elective)	358	10.8	4 - 5 hours	659	19.6
			6 - 7 hours	201	6.0
			8 or more hours	107	3.2

	%	%	%	%	Mean	SD
	Strongly	Disagree	Agree	Strongly		
N	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

## SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	3,589	1.5	4.8	56.6	37.1	3.3	0.63
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	3,510	12.6	20.8	45.2	21.3	2.8	0.93
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	3,589	3.8	9.5	53.3	33.4	3.2	0.75
4. Exams reflected what was taught	3,542	4.9	9.7	50.3	35.1	3.2	0.79
5. Grading was fair and consistent	3,592	2.6	7.6	52.9	36.9	3.2	0.70
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	3,587	1.1	3.8	55.8	39.3	3.3	0.60
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	3,566	1.4	4.2	48.5	45.8	3.4	0.64
8. Graded assignments included comments	3,379	9.2	23.9	42.9	24.0	2.8	0.90

## SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	3,609	5.2	13.4	47.4	34.1	3.1	0.82
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	3,608	1.4	2.8	42.4	53.5	3.5	0.62
11. Was available for consultation	3,408	1.2	3.2	53.0	42.6	3.4	0.61
12. Satisfact answered class questions	3,597	3.2	9.5	47.1	40.3	3.2	0.75
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	3,530	10.6	25.9	39.9	23.5	2.8	0.93
14. Encouraged class participation	3,567	4.1	16.0	48.8	31.0	3.1	0.79

## SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	2,675	5.0	22.7	52.6	19.7	2.9	0.78
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	3,505	3.5	12.0	55.9	28.6	3.1	0.73
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	3,552	3.5	8.5	55.6	32.4	3.2	0.72
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	3,549	3.9	9.0	57.1	30.1	3.1	0.73
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	3,420	14.4	35.9	34.4	15.3	2.5	0.92

	%	%	%	%	Mean	SD
N	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

## SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	3,596	7.5	17.9	46.2	28.3	3.0	0.87
21. Overall quality of teaching	3,592	7.2	15.9	36.3	40.6	3.1	0.92

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Mathematics

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	606	6.6	17.8	57.8	17.8	2.9	0.78
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	704	7.0	24.1	53.3	15.6	2.8	0.79
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	477	6.5	14.7	57.4	21.4	2.9	0.79
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	305	10.2	21.6	45.6	22.6	2.8	0.90
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	305	8.9	16.1	52.8	22.3	2.9	0.85
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	194	4.1	8.8	57.7	29.4	3.1	0.73
28. Guided student report preparation	176	4.0	11.9	52.8	31.3	3.1	0.76
29. Students free to express opinions	188	2.1	7.4	56.4	34.0	3.2	0.67
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	189	3.2	11.6	51.3	33.9	3.2	0.75
31. Developed abil to conduct research	169	5.3	15.4	53.3	26.0	3.0	0.79
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	353	3.1	8.2	43.6	45.0	3.3	0.75
33. Amount of work appropriate	355	2.0	5.9	51.0	41.1	3.3	0.67
34. Instructor explained expectations	351	3.1	9.4	45.9	41.6	3.3	0.75
35. Instructor helped with my problems	349	3.7	10.3	42.7	43.3	3.3	0.79
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	208	3.4	7.7	51.4	37.5	3.2	0.73

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 6893

Number of forms scanned = 5654

Number of forms with at least one student response = 3634

Percent completed = 52.7 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Modern and Classical Languages

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	368	19.7	A	910	49.8
Sophomore	482	25.8	B	634	34.7
Junior	397	21.3	C	205	11.2
Senior	453	24.3	D	32	1.8
Graduate	134	7.2	E/Fail	2	0.1
Professional	6	0.3	I	2	0.1
Other	26	1.4	Pass or Audit	41	2.2

  

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	337	18.2
Studies Program	374	20.3	2 hours	519	28.1
Required by my major	787	42.8	3 hours	478	25.8
Other (e.g. elective)	679	36.9	4 - 5 hours	346	18.7
			6 - 7 hours	101	5.5
			8 or more hours	69	3.7

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	2,021	0.3	1.9	40.8	57.0	3.5	0.55
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	1,992	1.9	4.0	37.6	56.5	3.5	0.67
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	1,994	0.9	4.6	41.9	52.7	3.5	0.63
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1,955	0.6	3.6	36.0	59.8	3.6	0.60
5. Grading was fair and consistent	2,007	0.9	4.0	37.0	58.0	3.5	0.62
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	1,997	0.8	3.4	40.4	55.4	3.5	0.60
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	2,001	1.0	6.2	36.4	56.3	3.5	0.66
8. Graded assignments included comments	1,918	1.9	9.1	38.9	50.1	3.4	0.73

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	2,027	1.0	6.0	37.9	55.1	3.5	0.65
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	2,032	0.2	0.3	21.0	78.5	3.8	0.44
11. Was available for consultation	1,917	0.3	2.2	35.6	62.0	3.6	0.55
12. Satisfact answered class questions	2,025	0.6	3.4	34.3	61.7	3.6	0.59
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	2,014	1.8	8.6	33.2	56.4	3.4	0.73
14. Encouraged class participation	2,018	0.6	2.8	29.0	67.5	3.6	0.57

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	1,692	0.9	6.6	45.8	46.7	3.4	0.65
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	1,807	1.0	8.1	46.5	44.3	3.3	0.67
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	1,640	1.5	14.4	43.6	40.5	3.2	0.74
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	1,961	1.0	3.1	43.2	52.8	3.5	0.61
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	1,959	2.8	12.3	37.9	47.0	3.3	0.79

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	2,018	1.0	6.7	34.9	57.3	3.5	0.67
21. Overall quality of teaching	2,012	1.2	6.2	24.8	67.8	3.6	0.66

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences

DEPARTMENT = Modern and Classical Languages

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY								
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	225	3.6	24.4	44.9	27.1	3.0	0.81	
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	340	2.6	11.5	53.5	32.4	3.2	0.72	
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	339	1.5	5.6	49.3	43.7	3.4	0.66	
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	286	0.3	2.8	43.7	53.1	3.5	0.57	
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	180	1.1	8.9	46.1	43.9	3.3	0.68	
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY								
27. Feedback on oral presentations	102	1.0	3.9	46.1	49.0	3.4	0.62	
28. Guided student report preparation	100	0.0	6.0	45.0	49.0	3.4	0.61	
29. Students free to express opinions	107	0.0	0.0	37.4	62.6	3.6	0.49	
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	105	0.0	4.8	34.3	61.0	3.6	0.59	
31. Developed abil to conduct research	95	3.2	7.4	37.9	51.6	3.4	0.76	
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY								
32. Clarified lecture material	54	1.9	5.6	38.9	53.7	3.4	0.69	
33. Amount of work appropriate	56	0.0	3.6	44.6	51.8	3.5	0.57	
34. Instructor explained expectations	56	1.8	3.6	44.6	50.0	3.4	0.66	
35. Instructor helped with my problems	56	1.8	3.6	41.1	53.6	3.5	0.66	
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	54	1.9	1.9	42.6	53.7	3.5	0.64	
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
		% answering item						
	N	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	Mean	SD
37. Question 37	345	1.2	3.8	34.8	55.4	4.9	3.6	0.70
38. Question 38	346	1.2	3.5	33.5	55.2	6.6	3.6	0.71
39. Question 39	344	0.9	7.0	32.6	53.5	6.1	3.6	0.75
40. Question 40	346	1.2	2.3	22.3	66.2	8.1	3.8	0.67
41. Question 41	339	2.1	5.3	28.3	56.6	7.7	3.6	0.79

Number of forms preslugged = at least 3030

Number of forms scanned = 2051

Number of forms with at least one student response = 2035

Percent completed = 67.2 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Philosophy

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	433	28.3	A	564	37.4
Sophomore	499	32.6	B	653	43.3
Junior	273	17.8	C	233	15.5
Senior	293	19.1	D	35	2.3
Graduate	30	2.0	E/Fail	8	0.5
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	4	0.3	Pass or Audit	15	1.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	486	32.1
Studies Program	758	50.2	2 hours	514	33.9
Required by my major	305	20.2	3 hours	329	21.7
Other (e.g. elective)	448	29.6	4 - 5 hours	154	10.2
			6 - 7 hours	24	1.6
			8 or more hours	9	0.6

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	1,760	0.9	3.9	50.0	45.2	3.4	0.61
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	1,748	3.8	12.5	44.9	38.8	3.2	0.79
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	1,721	1.7	11.4	49.1	37.8	3.2	0.71
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1,661	1.8	5.7	45.6	47.0	3.4	0.68
5. Grading was fair and consistent	1,749	3.3	8.1	43.9	44.8	3.3	0.75
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	1,739	1.3	4.7	48.7	45.3	3.4	0.64
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	1,741	2.6	6.3	46.6	44.6	3.3	0.71
8. Graded assignments included comments	1,694	3.5	15.4	43.6	37.4	3.1	0.81

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	1,763	3.5	12.3	39.3	44.9	3.3	0.80
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	1,759	1.0	3.5	34.6	60.9	3.6	0.62
11. Was available for consultation	1,637	1.2	4.3	45.1	49.4	3.4	0.63
12. Satisfact answered class questions	1,753	2.2	8.3	41.8	47.7	3.4	0.72
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	1,750	7.4	18.5	36.5	37.5	3.0	0.93
14. Encouraged class participation	1,744	1.9	9.1	42.9	46.2	3.3	0.72

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	1,632	1.4	10.0	48.5	40.1	3.3	0.70
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	1,731	2.1	11.3	47.5	39.1	3.2	0.73
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	1,684	2.5	16.4	46.0	35.1	3.1	0.77
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	1,745	2.6	8.5	49.3	39.6	3.3	0.72
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	1,735	11.4	25.5	33.7	29.5	2.8	0.99

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	1,746	7.3	14.8	42.2	35.7	3.1	0.89
21. Overall quality of teaching	1,739	5.2	12.5	30.9	51.4	3.3	0.88

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Philosophy

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	453	3.8	18.8	54.1	23.4	3.0	0.76
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	557	5.9	17.8	53.0	23.3	2.9	0.80
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	494	5.1	13.6	51.4	30.0	3.1	0.80
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	258	3.9	17.8	51.2	27.1	3.0	0.78
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	236	3.4	16.1	49.6	30.9	3.1	0.78
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	111	3.6	12.6	55.0	28.8	3.1	0.75
28. Guided student report preparation	107	3.7	11.2	55.1	29.9	3.1	0.74
29. Students free to express opinions	125	2.4	4.0	48.0	45.6	3.4	0.68
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	124	3.2	7.3	54.0	35.5	3.2	0.72
31. Developed abil to conduct research	109	6.4	17.4	50.5	25.7	3.0	0.83
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	261	5.7	7.3	46.4	40.6	3.2	0.81
33. Amount of work appropriate	261	2.7	5.0	51.3	41.0	3.3	0.69
34. Instructor explained expectations	261	5.0	8.4	49.8	36.8	3.2	0.79
35. Instructor helped with my problems	256	7.0	16.4	43.0	33.6	3.0	0.89
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	138	3.6	8.7	54.3	33.3	3.2	0.73

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 3224

Number of forms scanned = 1769

Number of forms with at least one student response = 1768

Percent completed = 54.8 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Political Science

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	316	25.3	A	537	43.6
Sophomore	290	23.2	B	529	42.9
Junior	283	22.7	C	143	11.6
Senior	298	23.9	D	6	0.5
Graduate	56	4.5	E/Fail	1	0.1
Professional	0	0.0	I	1	0.1
Other	6	0.5	Pass or Audit	15	1.2

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	324	26.1
Studies Program	243	19.7	2 hours	382	30.8
Required by my major	691	56.0	3 hours	292	23.5
Other (e.g. elective)	301	24.4	4 - 5 hours	167	13.4
			6 - 7 hours	51	4.1
			8 or more hours	26	2.1

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	1,333	0.7	3.7	39.0	56.6	3.5	0.60
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	1,322	3.6	10.4	44.2	41.8	3.2	0.78
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	1,281	2.4	9.6	47.9	40.0	3.3	0.73
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1,323	2.4	5.4	35.1	57.0	3.5	0.71
5. Grading was fair and consistent	1,326	2.3	5.2	38.5	54.0	3.4	0.70
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	1,283	1.9	5.6	41.5	51.0	3.4	0.69
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	1,324	3.2	7.0	40.8	48.9	3.4	0.75
8. Graded assignments included comments	1,193	5.4	17.6	37.7	39.2	3.1	0.88

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	1,334	3.2	8.8	34.1	53.9	3.4	0.78
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	1,333	0.8	2.2	27.4	69.7	3.7	0.56
11. Was available for consultation	1,237	1.0	3.3	39.7	56.0	3.5	0.61
12. Satisfact answered class questions	1,331	1.7	5.6	33.4	59.4	3.5	0.68
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	1,327	4.7	9.3	33.7	52.3	3.3	0.83
14. Encouraged class participation	1,328	1.9	5.6	36.8	55.7	3.5	0.69

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	1,255	1.4	6.4	48.7	43.5	3.3	0.66
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	1,285	1.6	8.4	46.4	43.6	3.3	0.70
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	1,201	2.0	14.8	46.0	37.1	3.2	0.75
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	1,321	1.7	3.8	43.0	51.6	3.4	0.65
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	1,308	4.4	12.7	39.3	43.6	3.2	0.83

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	1,326	3.3	8.9	32.3	55.5	3.4	0.79
21. Overall quality of teaching	1,326	4.4	10.1	22.3	63.1	3.4	0.85

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Political Science

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	180	2.8	13.3	48.9	35.0	3.2	0.76
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	231	3.0	7.4	55.4	34.2	3.2	0.70
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	205	2.0	10.7	51.7	35.6	3.2	0.71
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	132	3.8	11.4	40.9	43.9	3.3	0.80
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	113	1.8	10.6	43.4	44.2	3.3	0.73
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	63	3.2	6.3	36.5	54.0	3.4	0.75
28. Guided student report preparation	64	4.7	1.6	34.4	59.4	3.5	0.76
29. Students free to express opinions	72	4.2	1.4	23.6	70.8	3.6	0.72
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	71	4.2	4.2	28.2	63.4	3.5	0.77
31. Developed abil to conduct research	64	4.7	4.7	37.5	53.1	3.4	0.79
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	40	5.0	2.5	27.5	65.0	3.5	0.78
33. Amount of work appropriate	41	2.4	4.9	26.8	65.9	3.6	0.71
34. Instructor explained expectations	39	5.1	0.0	28.2	66.7	3.6	0.75
35. Instructor helped with my problems	36	5.6	0.0	25.0	69.4	3.6	0.77
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	34	2.9	0.0	26.5	70.6	3.6	0.65

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 2104

Number of forms scanned = 1363

Number of forms with at least one student response = 1341

Percent completed = 63.7 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Psychology

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	1,445	38.7	A	1,684	45.7
Sophomore	725	19.4	B	1,345	36.5
Junior	649	17.4	C	392	10.6
Senior	731	19.6	D	35	0.9
Graduate	175	4.7	E/Fail	5	0.1
Professional	1	0.0	I	1	0.0
Other	8	0.2	Pass or Audit	225	6.1

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1,408	38.0
Studies Program	1,054	28.7	2 hours	1,074	29.0
Required by my major	2,087	56.7	3 hours	729	19.7
Other (e.g. elective)	537	14.6	4 - 5 hours	373	10.1
			6 - 7 hours	83	2.2
			8 or more hours	39	1.1

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	3,996	0.7	1.3	41.2	56.9	3.5	0.56
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	3,480	4.5	11.1	45.3	39.1	3.2	0.80
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	3,532	1.8	6.4	50.0	41.8	3.3	0.67
4. Exams reflected what was taught	3,695	2.0	5.8	39.8	52.3	3.4	0.69
5. Grading was fair and consistent	3,973	1.1	4.1	38.7	56.1	3.5	0.63
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	3,701	0.8	2.4	41.8	55.1	3.5	0.59
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	3,915	1.3	4.0	38.7	56.0	3.5	0.64
8. Graded assignments included comments	3,321	5.1	18.5	38.3	38.1	3.1	0.87
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	4,002	2.1	5.6	35.7	56.6	3.5	0.70
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	4,003	0.6	1.3	30.4	67.7	3.7	0.54
11. Was available for consultation	3,779	0.4	1.5	39.4	58.6	3.6	0.55
12. Satisfact answered class questions	3,983	1.0	3.5	36.0	59.6	3.5	0.61
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	3,967	3.5	10.9	35.7	49.9	3.3	0.80
14. Encouraged class participation	3,963	1.4	6.0	37.9	54.8	3.5	0.67
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	3,531	1.1	6.7	51.8	40.4	3.3	0.64
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	3,856	1.2	7.3	48.7	42.8	3.3	0.66
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	3,693	1.7	12.3	48.0	38.0	3.2	0.72
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	3,956	1.0	2.6	45.0	51.4	3.5	0.60
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	3,909	4.5	18.1	39.4	38.0	3.1	0.85

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	3,970	2.5	8.0	36.0	53.5	3.4	0.74
21. Overall quality of teaching	3,959	3.3	6.9	25.8	63.9	3.5	0.77

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Psychology

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1,178	1.0	5.0	55.0	39.0	3.3	0.62
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1,220	1.6	5.3	55.1	38.0	3.3	0.64
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	1,058	2.5	7.0	50.1	40.5	3.3	0.70
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	708	1.8	10.0	48.2	40.0	3.3	0.71
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	704	1.6	7.2	49.7	41.5	3.3	0.67
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	398	2.0	4.3	45.7	48.0	3.4	0.67
28. Guided student report preparation	386	1.3	4.7	41.2	52.8	3.5	0.65
29. Students free to express opinions	435	0.7	3.2	39.3	56.8	3.5	0.60
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	433	1.4	3.9	38.8	55.9	3.5	0.64
31. Developed abil to conduct research	408	1.7	8.8	41.7	47.8	3.4	0.71
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	1,369	1.9	5.3	40.0	52.7	3.4	0.68
33. Amount of work appropriate	1,368	1.1	2.5	43.3	53.1	3.5	0.60
34. Instructor explained expectations	1,365	1.0	3.7	40.4	54.8	3.5	0.62
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1,326	1.4	6.3	43.1	49.2	3.4	0.67
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1,003	1.2	2.5	44.7	51.6	3.5	0.61

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
38. Question 38	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
39. Question 39	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
40. Question 40	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
41. Question 41	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
42. Question 42	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
43. Question 43	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
44. Question 44	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
45. Question 45	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
46. Question 46	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
47. Question 47	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
48. Question 48	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
49. Question 49	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 5992  
 Number of forms scanned = 4085  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 4043  
 Percent completed = 67.5 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Sociology

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	714	41.0	A	682	39.4
Sophomore	307	17.6	B	734	42.4
Junior	259	14.9	C	277	16.0
Senior	394	22.6	D	23	1.3
Graduate	66	3.8	E/Fail	4	0.2
Professional	1	0.1	I	0	0.0
Other	1	0.1	Pass or Audit	12	0.7

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	596	34.5
Studies Program	688	39.7	2 hours	549	31.7
Required by my major	558	32.2	3 hours	348	20.1
Other (e.g. elective)	486	28.1	4 - 5 hours	180	10.4
			6 - 7 hours	37	2.1
			8 or more hours	20	1.2

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	1,908	1.3	3.5	49.4	45.9	3.4	0.62
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	1,884	3.4	12.8	50.1	33.7	3.1	0.76
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	1,865	2.7	11.1	53.4	32.8	3.2	0.72
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1,838	4.7	10.8	47.9	36.6	3.2	0.80
5. Grading was fair and consistent	1,905	2.8	7.3	46.1	43.8	3.3	0.73
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	1,851	1.5	5.6	52.4	40.6	3.3	0.65
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	1,893	1.3	5.3	49.8	43.5	3.4	0.64
8. Graded assignments included comments	1,755	6.7	18.6	43.4	31.3	3.0	0.88

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	1,903	5.6	12.8	46.0	35.7	3.1	0.83
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	1,908	1.1	3.8	39.7	55.4	3.5	0.63
11. Was available for consultation	1,771	0.8	4.0	51.3	43.9	3.4	0.61
12. Satisfact answered class questions	1,897	2.6	6.0	47.2	44.1	3.3	0.71
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	1,891	8.6	18.5	38.7	34.2	3.0	0.93
14. Encouraged class participation	1,897	4.2	11.4	40.0	44.4	3.2	0.81

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	1,839	1.7	8.0	51.7	38.6	3.3	0.68
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	1,865	3.5	13.8	51.7	31.0	3.1	0.76
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	1,766	4.0	21.4	47.5	27.1	3.0	0.80
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	1,893	3.4	7.5	52.4	36.8	3.2	0.73
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	1,885	8.9	24.4	40.1	26.7	2.8	0.92

	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	1,902	6.1	16.5	44.7	32.7	3.0	0.86
21. Overall quality of teaching	1,896	6.9	15.3	34.8	43.0	3.1	0.92

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Sociology

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	480	3.5	16.5	62.1	17.9	2.9	0.70
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	548	4.6	12.0	60.6	22.8	3.0	0.73
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	480	5.4	11.5	57.1	26.0	3.0	0.77
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	338	1.8	11.5	58.6	28.1	3.1	0.67
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	298	2.0	14.4	59.1	24.5	3.1	0.68
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	216	4.2	10.6	53.2	31.9	3.1	0.76
28. Guided student report preparation	216	2.3	7.4	55.1	35.2	3.2	0.68
29. Students free to express opinions	233	1.3	4.7	45.9	48.1	3.4	0.64
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	227	1.3	7.9	49.8	41.0	3.3	0.67
31. Developed abil to conduct research	211	1.9	14.7	52.1	31.3	3.1	0.72
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	274	2.9	6.9	48.2	42.0	3.3	0.72
33. Amount of work appropriate	273	0.4	6.2	51.6	41.8	3.3	0.61
34. Instructor explained expectations	270	1.1	7.8	50.4	40.7	3.3	0.66
35. Instructor helped with my problems	262	3.4	4.6	50.4	41.6	3.3	0.71
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	206	4.9	5.8	52.9	36.4	3.2	0.76

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
38. Question 38	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
39. Question 39	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 3010  
 Number of forms scanned = 2761  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 1923  
 Percent completed = 63.9 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Hispanic Studies

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	439	23.1	A	1,014	54.3
Sophomore	450	23.7	B	641	34.4
Junior	446	23.5	C	160	8.6
Senior	459	24.2	D	27	1.4
Graduate	89	4.7	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	3	0.2	I	0	0.0
Other	11	0.6	Pass or Audit	24	1.3

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	389	20.6
Studies Program	265	14.2	2 hours	539	28.6
Required by my major	1,111	59.6	3 hours	488	25.9
Other (e.g. elective)	488	26.2	4 - 5 hours	338	17.9
			6 - 7 hours	84	4.5
			8 or more hours	46	2.4

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	2,168	0.9	2.5	44.5	52.2	3.5	0.59
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	2,143	1.9	5.9	44.1	48.2	3.4	0.69
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	2,164	1.9	7.5	47.2	43.3	3.3	0.69
4. Exams reflected what was taught	2,097	1.6	6.8	45.0	46.6	3.4	0.68
5. Grading was fair and consistent	2,158	1.9	5.8	41.7	50.6	3.4	0.69
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	2,160	1.3	4.7	47.0	47.1	3.4	0.64
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	2,150	0.8	5.0	44.6	49.6	3.4	0.63
8. Graded assignments included comments	2,130	1.5	7.3	42.5	48.7	3.4	0.69
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	2,161	1.0	6.1	40.4	52.4	3.4	0.66
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	2,163	0.3	0.7	28.5	70.4	3.7	0.50
11. Was available for consultation	2,048	0.5	2.6	41.2	55.7	3.5	0.58
12. Satisfact answered class questions	2,164	1.0	4.3	37.6	57.2	3.5	0.63
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	2,139	2.7	10.9	39.3	47.2	3.3	0.77
14. Encouraged class participation	2,158	0.5	1.5	30.2	67.9	3.7	0.53
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	1,861	0.8	6.8	52.9	39.4	3.3	0.63
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	1,921	1.0	9.6	51.5	37.8	3.3	0.67
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	1,752	1.8	15.2	48.3	34.6	3.2	0.74
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	2,088	0.9	4.1	53.5	41.5	3.4	0.61
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	2,048	4.0	17.4	41.3	37.4	3.1	0.83

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	2,136	2.0	11.6	41.6	44.8	3.3	0.75
21. Overall quality of teaching	2,130	1.8	7.5	28.5	62.2	3.5	0.71

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences

DEPARTMENT = Hispanic Studies

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	194	4.1	18.0	51.0	26.8	3.0	0.79
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	238	2.9	11.8	58.8	26.5	3.1	0.70
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	262	2.3	5.3	53.8	38.5	3.3	0.67
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	225	2.2	4.0	55.1	38.7	3.3	0.65
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	170	2.9	7.1	56.5	33.5	3.2	0.70

## SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY

27. Feedback on oral presentations	139	0.0	3.6	51.8	44.6	3.4	0.56
28. Guided student report preparation	142	0.0	4.9	47.9	47.2	3.4	0.59
29. Students free to express opinions	144	0.0	2.8	47.9	49.3	3.5	0.55
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	142	0.0	3.5	52.1	44.4	3.4	0.56
31. Developed abil to conduct research	124	0.0	5.6	50.8	43.5	3.4	0.59

## SECTION H - LABORATORY &amp; DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY

32. Clarified lecture material	276	1.4	6.9	52.2	39.5	3.3	0.66
33. Amount of work appropriate	282	1.8	5.3	50.4	42.6	3.3	0.66
34. Instructor explained expectations	274	0.7	6.9	50.0	42.3	3.3	0.64
35. Instructor helped with my problems	270	1.5	8.9	49.6	40.0	3.3	0.69
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	211	1.4	4.7	56.4	37.4	3.3	0.63

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
44. Question 44	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 2847

Number of forms scanned = 2235

Number of forms with at least one student response = 2179

Percent completed = 76.5 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Business and Economics DEPARTMENT = Accounting

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	7	0.6	A	425	35.2
Sophomore	377	31.0	B	521	43.1
Junior	366	30.1	C	231	19.1
Senior	378	31.1	D	25	2.1
Graduate	72	5.9	E/Fail	2	0.2
Professional	2	0.2	I	0	0.0
Other	15	1.2	Pass or Audit	4	0.3

  

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	141	11.6
Studies Program	23	1.9	2 hours	292	24.0
Required by my major	1,099	90.5	3 hours	392	32.2
Other (e.g. elective)	92	7.6	4 - 5 hours	281	23.1
			6 - 7 hours	76	6.2
			8 or more hours	36	3.0

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	1,300	0.9	1.6	46.7	50.8	3.5	0.58
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	1,269	3.4	8.7	48.0	39.9	3.2	0.75
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	1,296	1.9	7.7	49.0	41.4	3.3	0.69
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1,297	2.9	10.8	44.3	42.1	3.3	0.76
5. Grading was fair and consistent	1,296	1.4	6.6	47.5	44.5	3.4	0.67
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	1,292	1.1	4.3	52.9	41.7	3.4	0.62
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	1,292	0.8	1.7	41.5	56.0	3.5	0.57
8. Graded assignments included comments	1,191	6.0	27.2	41.4	25.4	2.9	0.87

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	1,300	3.4	11.9	42.7	42.0	3.2	0.79
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	1,299	0.5	1.8	30.3	67.4	3.6	0.54
11. Was available for consultation	1,211	1.0	3.3	47.6	48.1	3.4	0.61
12. Satisfact answered class questions	1,297	1.1	5.0	45.2	48.7	3.4	0.64
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	1,289	7.6	21.2	38.6	32.6	3.0	0.92
14. Encouraged class participation	1,292	1.4	6.8	46.6	45.2	3.4	0.67

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	1,000	3.0	19.3	56.1	21.6	3.0	0.73
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	1,273	1.7	6.9	56.0	35.3	3.2	0.66
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	1,263	1.8	8.0	55.4	34.8	3.2	0.67
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	1,287	1.8	5.4	51.5	41.3	3.3	0.66
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	1,270	10.0	28.1	39.4	22.4	2.7	0.92

	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	1,290	2.6	14.1	44.5	38.8	3.2	0.77
21. Overall quality of teaching	1,288	3.3	12.0	35.5	49.1	3.3	0.81

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Business and Economics DEPARTMENT = Accounting

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	50	6.0	20.0	54.0	20.0	2.9	0.80
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	60	5.0	15.0	58.3	21.7	3.0	0.76
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	48	10.4	14.6	58.3	16.7	2.8	0.84
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	35	0.0	11.4	68.6	20.0	3.1	0.56
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	38	2.6	15.8	60.5	21.1	3.0	0.70
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	29	6.9	6.9	41.4	44.8	3.2	0.87
28. Guided student report preparation	24	4.2	8.3	50.0	37.5	3.2	0.78
29. Students free to express opinions	25	0.0	4.0	48.0	48.0	3.4	0.58
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	27	3.7	11.1	37.0	48.1	3.3	0.82
31. Developed abil to conduct research	24	8.3	8.3	50.0	33.3	3.1	0.88
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	20	0.0	15.0	45.0	40.0	3.3	0.72
33. Amount of work appropriate	21	0.0	9.5	52.4	38.1	3.3	0.64
34. Instructor explained expectations	20	10.0	10.0	40.0	40.0	3.1	0.97
35. Instructor helped with my problems	20	5.0	15.0	40.0	40.0	3.2	0.88
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	19	5.3	15.8	42.1	36.8	3.1	0.88

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 2051

Number of forms scanned = 1300

Number of forms with at least one student response = 1300

Percent completed = 63.4 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Business and Economics DEPARTMENT = Decision Science

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	209	60.9
Sophomore	1	0.3	B	124	36.2
Junior	52	15.1	C	10	2.9
Senior	239	69.5	D	0	0.0
Graduate	34	9.9	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	10	2.9	I	0	0.0
Other	8	2.3	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	114	33.3
Studies Program	22	6.4	2 hours	111	32.5
Required by my major	270	78.9	3 hours	50	14.6
Other (e.g. elective)	50	14.6	4 - 5 hours	25	7.3
			6 - 7 hours	10	2.9
			8 or more hours	32	9.4

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	369	0.5	0.0	50.7	48.8	3.5	0.53
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	351	5.7	11.7	54.1	28.5	3.1	0.79
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	361	0.8	5.8	53.5	39.9	3.3	0.62
4. Exams reflected what was taught	366	1.1	7.9	47.8	43.2	3.3	0.67
5. Grading was fair and consistent	367	0.0	2.5	46.9	50.7	3.5	0.55
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	363	0.6	3.0	49.6	46.8	3.4	0.58
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	367	1.4	8.4	40.9	49.3	3.4	0.70
8. Graded assignments included comments	358	1.4	13.1	48.9	36.6	3.2	0.72

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	369	1.4	11.1	45.0	42.5	3.3	0.71
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	369	0.3	2.2	39.0	58.5	3.6	0.55
11. Was available for consultation	350	0.0	0.6	46.0	53.4	3.5	0.51
12. Satisfact answered class questions	368	0.8	5.7	42.1	51.4	3.4	0.64
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	366	5.5	21.3	37.2	36.1	3.0	0.89
14. Encouraged class participation	368	0.3	1.4	38.6	59.8	3.6	0.54

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	306	2.0	13.4	52.3	32.4	3.2	0.72
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	360	1.1	6.4	53.3	39.2	3.3	0.64
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	357	1.1	7.6	54.3	37.0	3.3	0.65
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	364	0.8	4.1	52.7	42.3	3.4	0.60
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	362	7.5	24.9	38.4	29.3	2.9	0.91

	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	368	1.9	14.9	44.8	38.3	3.2	0.76
21. Overall quality of teaching	367	1.9	12.0	35.4	50.7	3.3	0.76

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Business and Economics DEPARTMENT = Decision Science

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				Mean	SD	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY								
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	30	0.0	6.7	76.7	16.7	3.1	0.48	
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	32	0.0	15.6	65.6	18.8	3.0	0.59	
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	33	0.0	9.1	60.6	30.3	3.2	0.60	
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	29	3.4	27.6	55.2	13.8	2.8	0.73	
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	26	7.7	7.7	73.1	11.5	2.9	0.71	
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY								
27. Feedback on oral presentations	28	0.0	3.6	42.9	53.6	3.5	0.58	
28. Guided student report preparation	29	0.0	3.4	41.4	55.2	3.5	0.57	
29. Students free to express opinions	30	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	0.48	
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	28	0.0	3.6	42.9	53.6	3.5	0.58	
31. Developed abil to conduct research	30	3.3	16.7	36.7	43.3	3.2	0.85	
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY								
32. Clarified lecture material	18	0.0	22.2	50.0	27.8	3.1	0.73	
33. Amount of work appropriate	17	0.0	11.8	58.8	29.4	3.2	0.64	
34. Instructor explained expectations	17	0.0	5.9	70.6	23.5	3.2	0.53	
35. Instructor helped with my problems	17	0.0	0.0	76.5	23.5	3.2	0.44	
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	16	0.0	12.5	75.0	12.5	3.0	0.52	
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	81.8	18.2	4.2	0.40
38. Question 38	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.0	3.8	0.40
39. Question 39	10	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	0.00
40. Question 40	10	0.0	0.0	20.0	70.0	10.0	3.9	0.57
41. Question 41	10	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.0	3.9	0.32
42. Question 42	10	0.0	0.0	20.0	70.0	10.0	3.9	0.57

Number of forms preslugged = at least 541

Number of forms scanned = 372

Number of forms with at least one student response = 371

Percent completed = 68.6 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Business and Economics DEPARTMENT = Economics

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	64	4.3	A	606	40.9
Sophomore	479	32.0	B	661	44.6
Junior	418	27.9	C	198	13.4
Senior	404	27.0	D	12	0.8
Graduate	112	7.5	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	4	0.3	I	0	0.0
Other	17	1.1	Pass or Audit	6	0.4

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	351	23.6
Studies Program	87	5.9	2 hours	518	34.9
Required by my major	1,239	83.6	3 hours	362	24.4
Other (e.g. elective)	156	10.5	4 - 5 hours	183	12.3
			6 - 7 hours	47	3.2
			8 or more hours	25	1.7

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	1,621	0.6	3.1	54.5	41.8	3.4	0.58
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	1,596	4.8	14.7	48.2	32.3	3.1	0.81
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	1,612	1.1	7.4	51.0	40.5	3.3	0.65
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1,601	1.1	7.1	49.5	42.3	3.3	0.65
5. Grading was fair and consistent	1,621	0.9	5.1	47.8	46.2	3.4	0.63
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	1,618	1.0	4.3	50.2	44.4	3.4	0.62
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	1,615	0.6	3.0	46.3	50.1	3.5	0.59
8. Graded assignments included comments	1,491	3.6	23.2	45.3	27.8	3.0	0.81

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	1,621	3.1	11.5	47.3	38.1	3.2	0.76
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	1,621	0.4	3.3	38.4	57.9	3.5	0.58
11. Was available for consultation	1,509	0.3	2.7	52.4	44.7	3.4	0.56
12. Satisfact answered class questions	1,613	1.5	7.4	47.9	43.1	3.3	0.68
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	1,606	6.2	19.4	42.1	32.4	3.0	0.87
14. Encouraged class participation	1,610	1.8	12.1	50.9	35.2	3.2	0.71

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	1,354	2.2	15.6	55.2	27.0	3.1	0.71
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	1,582	1.9	8.7	53.9	35.5	3.2	0.68
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	1,562	1.8	9.1	56.6	32.5	3.2	0.67
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	1,591	1.6	5.5	55.5	37.3	3.3	0.64
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	1,570	8.3	25.5	40.9	25.3	2.8	0.90

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	1,620	3.3	13.1	47.2	36.4	3.2	0.77
21. Overall quality of teaching	1,616	3.3	14.0	36.4	46.3	3.3	0.82

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Business and Economics DEPARTMENT = Economics

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	112	6.3	10.7	58.9	24.1	3.0	0.78
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	127	5.5	11.8	62.2	20.5	3.0	0.74
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	114	4.4	11.4	61.4	22.8	3.0	0.72
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	78	3.8	15.4	60.3	20.5	3.0	0.72
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	70	1.4	15.7	62.9	20.0	3.0	0.65
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	61	3.3	9.8	52.5	34.4	3.2	0.74
28. Guided student report preparation	63	4.8	3.2	60.3	31.7	3.2	0.72
29. Students free to express opinions	69	1.4	1.4	49.3	47.8	3.4	0.61
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	68	2.9	1.5	54.4	41.2	3.3	0.66
31. Developed abil to conduct research	63	3.2	6.3	60.3	30.2	3.2	0.68
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	46	2.2	6.5	54.3	37.0	3.3	0.68
33. Amount of work appropriate	45	2.2	6.7	55.6	35.6	3.2	0.68
34. Instructor explained expectations	43	2.3	7.0	48.8	41.9	3.3	0.71
35. Instructor helped with my problems	43	2.3	9.3	55.8	32.6	3.2	0.70
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	41	0.0	9.8	56.1	34.1	3.2	0.62

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 2973

Number of forms scanned = 1630

Number of forms with at least one student response = 1630

Percent completed = 54.8 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Business and Economics DEPARTMENT = Finance

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	252	54.7
Sophomore	2	0.4	B	171	37.1
Junior	120	25.8	C	34	7.4
Senior	327	70.2	D	2	0.4
Graduate	16	3.4	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	0.2	Pass or Audit	2	0.4

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	117	25.2
Studies Program	1	0.2	2 hours	157	33.8
Required by my major	422	91.1	3 hours	91	19.6
Other (e.g. elective)	40	8.6	4 - 5 hours	66	14.2
			6 - 7 hours	20	4.3
			8 or more hours	14	3.0

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	487	0.2	0.8	50.1	48.9	3.5	0.53
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	470	6.0	15.5	48.5	30.0	3.0	0.83
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	476	1.3	7.1	46.8	44.7	3.4	0.67
4. Exams reflected what was taught	478	0.8	4.2	44.4	50.6	3.4	0.62
5. Grading was fair and consistent	485	0.6	4.1	44.1	51.1	3.5	0.61
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	482	0.6	2.9	49.0	47.5	3.4	0.58
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	485	0.4	0.6	40.4	58.6	3.6	0.53
8. Graded assignments included comments	470	1.9	16.6	45.3	36.2	3.2	0.76
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	487	3.3	10.1	42.7	43.9	3.3	0.77
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	487	0.4	0.8	32.9	65.9	3.6	0.52
11. Was available for consultation	457	0.9	2.8	48.4	47.9	3.4	0.60
12. Satisfact answered class questions	486	0.8	5.6	45.7	47.9	3.4	0.63
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	482	5.0	11.8	44.6	38.6	3.2	0.82
14. Encouraged class participation	483	2.1	8.1	50.7	39.1	3.3	0.70
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	394	1.8	15.0	51.3	32.0	3.1	0.72
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	479	1.3	4.4	47.6	46.8	3.4	0.64
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	480	1.0	4.2	50.2	44.6	3.4	0.62
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	481	1.9	3.5	47.0	47.6	3.4	0.65
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	472	4.4	15.9	44.1	35.6	3.1	0.83
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	487	2.5	7.2	41.9	48.5	3.4	0.72
21. Overall quality of teaching	487	3.9	8.8	37.0	50.3	3.3	0.80

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Business and Economics DEPARTMENT = Finance

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	6	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	9	44.4	0.0	11.1	44.4	2.6	.
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	3.6	.
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	5	0.0	20.0	20.0	60.0	3.4	.
28. Guided student report preparation	7	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	3.4	.
29. Students free to express opinions	9	0.0	11.1	22.2	66.7	3.6	.
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	9	0.0	11.1	33.3	55.6	3.4	.
31. Developed abil to conduct research	9	0.0	11.1	33.3	55.6	3.4	.
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
33. Amount of work appropriate	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
34. Instructor explained expectations	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
35. Instructor helped with my problems	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 734

Number of forms scanned = 487

Number of forms with at least one student response = 487

Percent completed = 66.3 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Business and Economics DEPARTMENT = MBA

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	58	90.6
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	6	9.4
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	65	100.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	2	3.1
Studies Program	5	7.9	2 hours	3	4.7
Required by my major	55	87.3	3 hours	6	9.4
Other (e.g. elective)	3	4.8	4 - 5 hours	8	12.5
			6 - 7 hours	12	18.8
			8 or more hours	33	51.6

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	69	0.0	7.2	59.4	33.3	3.3	0.59
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	18	0.0	5.6	61.1	33.3	3.3	0.57
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	70	0.0	2.9	61.4	35.7	3.3	0.53
4. Exams reflected what was taught	20	0.0	5.0	60.0	35.0	3.3	0.57
5. Grading was fair and consistent	41	0.0	2.4	75.6	22.0	3.2	0.46
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	64	1.6	1.6	71.9	25.0	3.2	0.54
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	32	0.0	18.8	53.1	28.1	3.1	0.69
8. Graded assignments included comments	32	0.0	6.3	65.6	28.1	3.2	0.55

## SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	70	0.0	7.1	45.7	47.1	3.4	0.62
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	71	0.0	0.0	42.3	57.7	3.6	0.50
11. Was available for consultation	68	0.0	0.0	45.6	54.4	3.5	0.50
12. Satisfact answered class questions	71	0.0	0.0	56.3	43.7	3.4	0.50
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	71	0.0	5.6	47.9	46.5	3.4	0.60
14. Encouraged class participation	71	0.0	4.2	40.8	54.9	3.5	0.58

## SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	61	0.0	1.6	52.5	45.9	3.4	0.53
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	70	0.0	2.9	61.4	35.7	3.3	0.53
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	70	0.0	4.3	60.0	35.7	3.3	0.55
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	71	0.0	7.0	56.3	36.6	3.3	0.60
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	70	1.4	15.7	60.0	22.9	3.0	0.67

	N	% Poor (1)	% Fair (2)	% Good (3)	% Excellent (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	70	2.9	4.3	50.0	42.9	3.3	0.70
21. Overall quality of teaching	69	1.4	8.7	31.9	58.0	3.5	0.72

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Business and Economics DEPARTMENT = MBA

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.

SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY

27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY

32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	68	1.5	2.9	19.1	58.8	17.6	3.9	0.78
38. Question 38	68	1.5	1.5	17.6	35.3	44.1	4.2	0.89
39. Question 39	65	3.1	12.3	32.3	41.5	10.8	3.4	0.95
40. Question 40	68	1.5	0.0	8.8	26.5	63.2	4.5	0.78
41. Question 41	68	1.5	0.0	22.1	47.1	29.4	4.0	0.81
42. Question 42	68	1.5	0.0	22.1	36.8	39.7	4.1	0.86
43. Question 43	68	1.5	0.0	17.6	45.6	35.3	4.1	0.81
44. Question 44	68	1.5	0.0	13.2	20.6	64.7	4.5	0.84
45. Question 45	68	1.5	7.4	29.4	45.6	16.2	3.7	0.89
46. Question 46	68	1.5	0.0	19.1	41.2	38.2	4.1	0.83
47. Question 47	66	1.5	9.1	30.3	42.4	16.7	3.6	0.92
48. Question 48	67	1.5	1.5	26.9	47.8	22.4	3.9	0.83
49. Question 49	67	4.5	6.0	53.7	26.9	9.0	3.3	0.89

Number of forms preslugged = at least 74

Number of forms scanned = 71

Number of forms with at least one student response = 71

Percent completed = 95.9 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Business and Economics DEPARTMENT = Management

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	659	73.3
Sophomore	18	2.0	B	223	24.8
Junior	260	28.7	C	11	1.2
Senior	530	58.5	D	2	0.2
Graduate	85	9.4	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	4	0.4	I	0	0.0
Other	9	1.0	Pass or Audit	4	0.4

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	387	43.0
Studies Program	18	2.0	2 hours	301	33.4
Required by my major	812	90.1	3 hours	139	15.4
Other (e.g. elective)	71	7.9	4 - 5 hours	45	5.0
			6 - 7 hours	13	1.4
			8 or more hours	16	1.8

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	961	0.4	2.2	42.8	54.6	3.5	0.56
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	834	3.6	11.3	44.5	40.6	3.2	0.78
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	877	1.9	7.9	49.3	40.9	3.3	0.69
4. Exams reflected what was taught	953	1.0	5.6	40.5	52.9	3.5	0.65
5. Grading was fair and consistent	954	1.0	2.0	39.6	57.3	3.5	0.59
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	914	1.0	3.0	45.3	50.8	3.5	0.61
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	943	1.4	3.7	37.1	57.8	3.5	0.64
8. Graded assignments included comments	813	4.1	16.7	40.5	38.7	3.1	0.84

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	963	3.6	9.8	38.1	48.5	3.3	0.79
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	963	0.5	2.1	32.0	65.4	3.6	0.55
11. Was available for consultation	876	0.7	1.9	43.8	53.5	3.5	0.57
12. Satisfact answered class questions	962	1.5	4.4	39.2	55.0	3.5	0.65
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	962	4.6	12.4	38.1	44.9	3.2	0.84
14. Encouraged class participation	959	0.9	5.4	38.7	55.0	3.5	0.64

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	900	0.9	6.4	49.3	43.3	3.4	0.64
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	941	1.1	6.3	45.2	47.5	3.4	0.65
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	926	1.4	8.7	45.0	44.8	3.3	0.69
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	954	0.5	3.4	45.1	51.0	3.5	0.59
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	951	5.0	15.0	39.0	40.9	3.2	0.86

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	959	2.6	9.3	38.5	49.6	3.4	0.76
21. Overall quality of teaching	958	3.4	9.9	31.6	55.0	3.4	0.80

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	25	0.0	8.0	44.0	48.0	3.4	0.65
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	30	16.7	6.7	33.3	43.3	3.0	1.10
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	25	0.0	4.0	40.0	56.0	3.5	0.59
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	24	0.0	4.2	41.7	54.2	3.5	0.59
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	22	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	3.5	0.51
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	27	0.0	3.7	18.5	77.8	3.7	0.53
28. Guided student report preparation	31	0.0	0.0	22.6	77.4	3.8	0.43
29. Students free to express opinions	34	0.0	0.0	29.4	70.6	3.7	0.46
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	34	0.0	0.0	26.5	73.5	3.7	0.45
31. Developed abil to conduct research	34	0.0	2.9	38.2	58.8	3.6	0.56
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	16	0.0	0.0	31.3	68.8	3.7	0.48
33. Amount of work appropriate	15	0.0	6.7	26.7	66.7	3.6	0.63
34. Instructor explained expectations	15	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	0.49
35. Instructor helped with my problems	15	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	3.7	0.46
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	15	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	3.7	0.46
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS							
41. Question 41	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 1554  
 Number of forms scanned = 964  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 964  
 Percent completed = 62.0 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Business and Economics DEPARTMENT = Marketing

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	1	0.2	A	397	66.9
Sophomore	22	3.6	B	167	28.2
Junior	193	31.6	C	22	3.7
Senior	384	63.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	7	1.1	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	3	0.5	Pass or Audit	7	1.2

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	242	40.3
Studies Program	12	2.0	2 hours	215	35.8
Required by my major	542	89.7	3 hours	101	16.8
Other (e.g. elective)	50	8.3	4 - 5 hours	33	5.5
			6 - 7 hours	4	0.7
			8 or more hours	5	0.8

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	683	0.6	1.5	32.7	65.3	3.6	0.55
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	621	4.3	10.5	40.9	44.3	3.3	0.81
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	636	0.9	4.4	39.5	55.2	3.5	0.63
4. Exams reflected what was taught	678	0.9	2.5	28.9	67.7	3.6	0.58
5. Grading was fair and consistent	678	0.6	1.5	29.1	68.9	3.7	0.54
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	647	0.6	1.5	34.9	62.9	3.6	0.56
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	677	0.3	1.2	26.9	71.6	3.7	0.50
8. Graded assignments included comments	605	2.3	10.1	34.5	53.1	3.4	0.76
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	683	1.3	3.1	31.5	64.1	3.6	0.62
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	684	0.4	0.4	22.5	76.6	3.8	0.47
11. Was available for consultation	645	0.3	0.6	34.7	64.3	3.6	0.51
12. Satisfact answered class questions	671	0.4	1.6	31.0	66.9	3.6	0.54
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	681	1.9	5.9	34.7	57.6	3.5	0.69
14. Encouraged class participation	676	1.6	6.4	29.9	62.1	3.5	0.69
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	622	1.3	6.6	46.0	46.1	3.4	0.67
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	669	0.9	4.2	40.8	54.1	3.5	0.62
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	652	1.1	5.5	43.4	50.0	3.4	0.65
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	676	0.4	2.1	39.3	58.1	3.6	0.56
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	673	3.1	11.7	37.0	48.1	3.3	0.80
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	676	1.6	4.1	30.3	63.9	3.6	0.65
21. Overall quality of teaching	676	1.3	2.8	22.6	73.2	3.7	0.60

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Business and Economics DEPARTMENT = Marketing

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	14	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	0.51
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	17	11.8	5.9	41.2	41.2	3.1	0.99
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	14	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	3.3	0.47
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	12	0.0	8.3	41.7	50.0	3.4	0.67
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	12	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	3.6	0.51
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	14	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	0.51
28. Guided student report preparation	18	0.0	0.0	38.9	61.1	3.6	0.50
29. Students free to express opinions	20	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	0.44
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	20	0.0	0.0	35.0	65.0	3.7	0.49
31. Developed abil to conduct research	19	0.0	0.0	47.4	52.6	3.5	0.51
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	13	0.0	0.0	61.5	38.5	3.4	0.51
33. Amount of work appropriate	13	0.0	0.0	46.2	53.8	3.5	0.52
34. Instructor explained expectations	13	0.0	0.0	53.8	46.2	3.5	0.52
35. Instructor helped with my problems	14	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.52
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	13	0.0	0.0	38.5	61.5	3.6	0.51

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 1231

Number of forms scanned = 685

Number of forms with at least one student response = 685

Percent completed = 55.6 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Communications DEPARTMENT = Communication/Graduate

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	52	78.8
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	13	19.7
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	1	1.4	D	0	0.0
Graduate	65	94.2	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	2	2.9	I	0	0.0
Other	1	1.4	Pass or Audit	1	1.5

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	3	4.3	2 hours	14	20.3
Required by my major	23	33.3	3 hours	20	29.0
Other (e.g. elective)	43	62.3	4 - 5 hours	24	34.8
			6 - 7 hours	7	10.1
			8 or more hours	4	5.8

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	77	0.0	2.6	26.0	71.4	3.7	0.52
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	74	0.0	4.1	31.1	64.9	3.6	0.57
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	77	0.0	5.2	32.5	62.3	3.6	0.59
4. Exams reflected what was taught	40	0.0	2.5	25.0	72.5	3.7	0.52
5. Grading was fair and consistent	75	1.3	4.0	34.7	60.0	3.5	0.64
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	76	0.0	7.9	36.8	55.3	3.5	0.64
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	75	1.3	1.3	26.7	70.7	3.7	0.58
8. Graded assignments included comments	74	1.4	6.8	24.3	67.6	3.6	0.68
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	77	1.3	6.5	29.9	62.3	3.5	0.68
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	77	0.0	0.0	15.6	84.4	3.8	0.37
11. Was available for consultation	72	1.4	1.4	29.2	68.1	3.6	0.59
12. Satisfact answered class questions	77	1.3	1.3	23.4	74.0	3.7	0.56
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	77	1.3	1.3	29.9	67.5	3.6	0.58
14. Encouraged class participation	77	1.3	1.3	19.5	77.9	3.7	0.55
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	74	0.0	4.1	29.7	66.2	3.6	0.57
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	76	1.3	2.6	27.6	68.4	3.6	0.61
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	76	1.3	5.3	30.3	63.2	3.6	0.66
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	76	1.3	1.3	25.0	72.4	3.7	0.57
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	76	1.3	3.9	32.9	61.8	3.6	0.64
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	76	3.9	1.3	18.4	76.3	3.7	0.70
21. Overall quality of teaching	75	2.7	2.7	21.3	73.3	3.7	0.67

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Communications

DEPARTMENT = Communication/Graduate

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	29	0.0	3.4	34.5	62.1	3.6	0.57
28. Guided student report preparation	47	4.3	2.1	42.6	51.1	3.4	0.74
29. Students free to express opinions	55	3.6	3.6	21.8	70.9	3.6	0.74
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	55	0.0	5.5	21.8	72.7	3.7	0.58
31. Developed abil to conduct research	52	0.0	3.8	40.4	55.8	3.5	0.58
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 86

Number of forms scanned = 81

Number of forms with at least one student response = 77

Percent completed = 89.5 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Communications DEPARTMENT = Communication

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	490	27.7	A	897	51.5
Sophomore	528	29.8	B	716	41.1
Junior	317	17.9	C	112	6.4
Senior	433	24.5	D	9	0.5
Graduate	1	0.1	E/Fail	1	0.1
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	0.1	Pass or Audit	8	0.5

  

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	672	38.3
Studies Program	446	25.7	2 hours	562	32.0
Required by my major	951	54.7	3 hours	375	21.4
Other (e.g. elective)	341	19.6	4 - 5 hours	106	6.0
			6 - 7 hours	31	1.8
			8 or more hours	8	0.5

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	2,128	0.9	1.7	43.4	54.0	3.5	0.58
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	2,069	3.4	7.3	43.7	45.6	3.3	0.75
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	2,108	2.4	6.8	48.1	42.6	3.3	0.70
4. Exams reflected what was taught	2,088	2.1	6.4	43.5	48.0	3.4	0.70
5. Grading was fair and consistent	2,126	2.5	8.8	41.1	47.6	3.3	0.74
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	2,122	1.5	6.4	44.9	47.3	3.4	0.67
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	2,121	2.1	8.6	43.0	46.3	3.3	0.72
8. Graded assignments included comments	2,078	2.8	11.1	41.0	45.0	3.3	0.77

## SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	2,127	1.8	6.6	36.8	54.8	3.4	0.70
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	2,126	1.2	1.8	33.7	63.3	3.6	0.59
11. Was available for consultation	1,996	1.4	4.0	41.3	53.3	3.5	0.64
12. Satisfact answered class questions	2,113	2.0	4.1	38.9	55.0	3.5	0.67
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	2,113	3.9	10.5	36.3	49.3	3.3	0.81
14. Encouraged class participation	2,112	1.8	5.0	38.1	55.1	3.5	0.68

## SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	2,044	1.4	6.7	47.9	44.0	3.3	0.66
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	2,069	1.6	8.4	46.9	43.2	3.3	0.69
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	2,005	2.3	12.1	45.8	39.8	3.2	0.75
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	2,102	1.5	4.0	46.7	47.9	3.4	0.64
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	2,081	5.4	17.6	38.0	39.0	3.1	0.88

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	2,094	3.0	10.0	35.8	51.3	3.4	0.78
21. Overall quality of teaching	2,085	3.4	7.6	25.3	63.7	3.5	0.78

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Communications DEPARTMENT = Communication

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	371	6.5	14.3	46.1	33.2	3.1	0.86
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	440	3.0	8.9	50.7	37.5	3.2	0.73
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	432	4.2	10.2	45.4	40.3	3.2	0.79
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	279	3.6	9.3	45.2	41.9	3.3	0.77
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	268	3.4	9.0	44.4	43.3	3.3	0.76
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	150	3.3	6.7	46.7	43.3	3.3	0.74
28. Guided student report preparation	145	2.1	4.8	49.7	43.4	3.3	0.67
29. Students free to express opinions	152	2.0	3.3	46.7	48.0	3.4	0.65
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	149	3.4	4.7	44.3	47.7	3.4	0.73
31. Developed abil to conduct research	138	2.2	3.6	50.7	43.5	3.4	0.66
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	104	4.8	1.9	52.9	40.4	3.3	0.73
33. Amount of work appropriate	99	3.0	4.0	48.5	44.4	3.3	0.70
34. Instructor explained expectations	98	2.0	3.1	48.0	46.9	3.4	0.65
35. Instructor helped with my problems	100	4.0	3.0	52.0	41.0	3.3	0.72
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	95	3.2	5.3	49.5	42.1	3.3	0.72

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	3.0	.
38. Question 38	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
39. Question 39	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
40. Question 40	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
41. Question 41	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
42. Question 42	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
43. Question 43	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
44. Question 44	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
45. Question 45	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
46. Question 46	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
47. Question 47	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
48. Question 48	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
49. Question 49	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 2666  
 Number of forms scanned = 2188  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 2139  
 Percent completed = 80.2 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Communications DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	207	69.9
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	83	28.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	4	1.4
Senior	50	16.7	D	0	0.0
Graduate	246	82.3	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	1	0.3	I	0	0.0
Other	2	0.7	Pass or Audit	2	0.7

  

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	6	2.0
Studies Program	6	2.0	2 hours	62	21.0
Required by my major	207	69.9	3 hours	88	29.8
Other (e.g. elective)	83	28.0	4 - 5 hours	88	29.8
			6 - 7 hours	32	10.8
			8 or more hours	19	6.4

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	318	0.3	1.9	39.0	58.8	3.6	0.55
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	293	2.4	8.9	50.5	38.2	3.2	0.71
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	316	1.3	2.8	51.6	44.3	3.4	0.61
4. Exams reflected what was taught	268	0.7	5.6	55.2	38.4	3.3	0.61
5. Grading was fair and consistent	315	0.6	1.9	48.6	48.9	3.5	0.57
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	315	1.9	7.3	42.9	47.9	3.4	0.70
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	313	2.2	9.3	38.0	50.5	3.4	0.74
8. Graded assignments included comments	299	1.7	9.0	49.2	40.1	3.3	0.70
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	318	1.3	9.1	44.3	45.3	3.3	0.69
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	318	0.0	0.3	23.9	75.8	3.8	0.44
11. Was available for consultation	279	0.0	2.9	43.0	54.1	3.5	0.56
12. Satisfact answered class questions	315	0.6	2.9	45.7	50.8	3.5	0.59
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	314	2.5	10.5	41.4	45.5	3.3	0.76
14. Encouraged class participation	315	0.6	4.1	39.4	55.9	3.5	0.61
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	251	0.0	4.4	59.8	35.9	3.3	0.55
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	303	0.0	4.3	54.8	40.9	3.4	0.56
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	291	1.4	8.6	57.0	33.0	3.2	0.65
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	315	0.0	1.3	48.3	50.5	3.5	0.53
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	312	2.6	18.6	43.3	35.6	3.1	0.80

	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	316	1.3	9.2	40.2	49.4	3.4	0.70
21. Overall quality of teaching	315	1.3	10.5	31.4	56.8	3.4	0.73

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Communications

DEPARTMENT = Library and Information Science

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	8	0.0	12.5	12.5	75.0	3.6	.
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	14	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	0.51
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	13	0.0	0.0	46.2	53.8	3.5	0.52
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	6	0.0	16.7	0.0	83.3	3.7	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	3.8	.
28. Guided student report preparation	5	0.0	20.0	0.0	80.0	3.6	.
29. Students free to express opinions	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	3.9	.
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
31. Developed abil to conduct research	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	.
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
33. Amount of work appropriate	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
34. Instructor explained expectations	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
35. Instructor helped with my problems	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS							

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 346

Number of forms scanned = 318

Number of forms with at least one student response = 318

Percent completed = 91.9 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Communications DEPARTMENT = School of Journalism & Telecom

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	42	4.2	A	489	49.7
Sophomore	117	11.8	B	433	44.0
Junior	280	28.1	C	47	4.8
Senior	550	55.3	D	4	0.4
Graduate	3	0.3	E/Fail	2	0.2
Professional	1	0.1	I	0	0.0
Other	2	0.2	Pass or Audit	8	0.8

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	198	20.0
Studies Program	12	1.2	2 hours	316	31.9
Required by my major	802	80.8	3 hours	256	25.8
Other (e.g. elective)	179	18.0	4 - 5 hours	150	15.1
			6 - 7 hours	38	3.8
			8 or more hours	34	3.4

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	1,089	0.3	1.9	43.5	54.3	3.5	0.55
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	1,001	3.8	9.5	42.3	44.5	3.3	0.79
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	1,079	0.8	4.5	43.4	51.3	3.5	0.62
4. Exams reflected what was taught	988	0.9	4.9	44.9	49.3	3.4	0.63
5. Grading was fair and consistent	1,070	2.0	6.3	42.8	49.0	3.4	0.69
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	1,083	1.4	5.0	45.6	48.0	3.4	0.65
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	1,081	1.7	5.9	45.4	47.0	3.4	0.67
8. Graded assignments included comments	1,066	1.4	6.8	43.2	48.6	3.4	0.68

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	1,086	1.1	7.6	41.2	50.1	3.4	0.68
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	1,087	0.3	0.8	28.0	70.9	3.7	0.50
11. Was available for consultation	1,029	0.7	2.4	41.0	55.9	3.5	0.58
12. Satisfact answered class questions	1,085	0.3	3.6	40.5	55.7	3.5	0.58
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	1,077	2.8	8.3	36.8	52.2	3.4	0.75
14. Encouraged class participation	1,078	0.6	5.8	38.7	55.0	3.5	0.63

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	994	1.4	7.3	48.0	43.3	3.3	0.67
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	1,046	0.9	5.3	46.7	47.2	3.4	0.63
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	1,009	1.1	6.8	47.3	44.8	3.4	0.66
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	1,075	0.8	2.3	42.0	54.8	3.5	0.59
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	1,055	3.5	11.0	39.2	46.3	3.3	0.80

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	1,077	2.2	7.0	35.6	55.2	3.4	0.72
21. Overall quality of teaching	1,067	1.4	8.1	29.8	60.7	3.5	0.70

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Communications

DEPARTMENT = School of Journalism &amp; Telecom

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	30	0.0	13.3	50.0	36.7	3.2	0.68
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	35	0.0	5.7	45.7	48.6	3.4	0.61
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	35	5.7	0.0	42.9	51.4	3.4	0.77
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	28	0.0	0.0	60.7	39.3	3.4	0.50
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	24	0.0	4.2	54.2	41.7	3.4	0.58
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	21	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	0.51
28. Guided student report preparation	22	0.0	0.0	59.1	40.9	3.4	0.50
29. Students free to express opinions	23	0.0	0.0	52.2	47.8	3.5	0.51
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	24	0.0	0.0	54.2	45.8	3.5	0.51
31. Developed abil to conduct research	23	0.0	0.0	52.2	47.8	3.5	0.51
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	51	0.0	3.9	39.2	56.9	3.5	0.58
33. Amount of work appropriate	49	2.0	6.1	42.9	49.0	3.4	0.70
34. Instructor explained expectations	50	0.0	2.0	48.0	50.0	3.5	0.54
35. Instructor helped with my problems	50	0.0	2.0	60.0	38.0	3.4	0.53
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	40	0.0	5.0	50.0	45.0	3.4	0.59

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 1341

Number of forms scanned = 1107

Number of forms with at least one student response = 1093

Percent completed = 81.5 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Design DEPARTMENT = Architecture

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	143	22.3	A	325	52.3
Sophomore	100	15.6	B	225	36.2
Junior	113	17.7	C	65	10.5
Senior	238	37.2	D	5	0.8
Graduate	37	5.8	E/Fail	1	0.2
Professional	5	0.8	I	0	0.0
Other	4	0.6	Pass or Audit	1	0.2

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	26	4.1
Studies Program	12	1.9	2 hours	59	9.3
Required by my major	576	91.9	3 hours	89	14.0
Other (e.g. elective)	39	6.2	4 - 5 hours	129	20.3
			6 - 7 hours	71	11.1
			8 or more hours	263	41.3

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	680	3.2	13.4	57.4	26.0	3.1	0.72
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	432	5.6	10.6	51.2	32.6	3.1	0.80
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	640	2.7	10.9	57.8	28.6	3.1	0.70
4. Exams reflected what was taught	423	5.9	12.1	57.0	25.1	3.0	0.78
5. Grading was fair and consistent	603	6.5	17.9	53.7	21.9	2.9	0.81
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	654	4.3	11.9	59.3	24.5	3.0	0.73
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	527	6.6	18.6	51.0	23.7	2.9	0.83
8. Graded assignments included comments	586	10.4	20.5	43.9	25.3	2.8	0.92

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	682	5.3	17.0	51.6	26.1	3.0	0.80
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	684	0.4	3.7	37.1	58.8	3.5	0.59
11. Was available for consultation	655	2.0	7.2	51.0	39.8	3.3	0.68
12. Satisfact answered class questions	673	3.0	12.3	52.6	32.1	3.1	0.74
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	677	4.1	15.7	46.7	33.5	3.1	0.81
14. Encouraged class participation	658	4.1	13.4	45.0	37.5	3.2	0.81

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	607	2.3	10.5	58.8	28.3	3.1	0.68
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	657	1.7	9.6	52.8	35.9	3.2	0.69
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	644	1.7	14.3	53.6	30.4	3.1	0.71
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	679	2.2	6.5	53.9	37.4	3.3	0.68
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	660	5.0	20.9	46.4	27.7	3.0	0.83

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	682	4.8	16.4	43.3	35.5	3.1	0.84
21. Overall quality of teaching	681	6.3	18.2	36.3	39.2	3.1	0.91

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Design DEPARTMENT = Architecture

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	26	7.7	26.9	38.5	26.9	2.8	0.92
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	37	0.0	18.9	54.1	27.0	3.1	0.68
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	30	3.3	23.3	43.3	30.0	3.0	0.83
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	19	0.0	10.5	63.2	26.3	3.2	0.60
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	19	0.0	21.1	47.4	31.6	3.1	0.74
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	58	5.2	3.4	43.1	48.3	3.3	0.78
28. Guided student report preparation	63	3.2	11.1	42.9	42.9	3.3	0.78
29. Students free to express opinions	67	1.5	7.5	26.9	64.2	3.5	0.70
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	66	1.5	3.0	47.0	48.5	3.4	0.63
31. Developed abil to conduct research	64	0.0	7.8	51.6	40.6	3.3	0.62
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	53	1.9	9.4	41.5	47.2	3.3	0.73
33. Amount of work appropriate	59	13.6	11.9	52.5	22.0	2.8	0.93
34. Instructor explained expectations	58	3.4	12.1	55.2	29.3	3.1	0.74
35. Instructor helped with my problems	56	0.0	16.1	48.2	35.7	3.2	0.70
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	24	0.0	8.3	50.0	41.7	3.3	0.64

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 917

Number of forms scanned = 700

Number of forms with at least one student response = 685

Percent completed = 74.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Design DEPARTMENT = Historic Preservation

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	30	61.2
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	18	36.7
Junior	0	0.0	C	1	2.0
Senior	2	4.1	D	0	0.0
Graduate	47	95.9	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	6.1
Studies Program	1	2.0	2 hours	10	20.4
Required by my major	45	91.8	3 hours	7	14.3
Other (e.g. elective)	3	6.1	4 - 5 hours	19	38.8
			6 - 7 hours	5	10.2
			8 or more hours	5	10.2

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	56	0.0	16.1	41.1	42.9	3.3	0.73
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	49	2.0	10.2	65.3	22.4	3.1	0.64
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	56	3.6	17.9	51.8	26.8	3.0	0.77
4. Exams reflected what was taught	16	0.0	12.5	43.8	43.8	3.3	0.70
5. Grading was fair and consistent	53	3.8	18.9	47.2	30.2	3.0	0.81
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	51	3.9	9.8	49.0	37.3	3.2	0.78
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	52	11.5	26.9	25.0	36.5	2.9	1.05
8. Graded assignments included comments	52	0.0	15.4	42.3	42.3	3.3	0.72
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	57	1.8	29.8	49.1	19.3	2.9	0.74
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	57	1.8	3.5	36.8	57.9	3.5	0.66
11. Was available for consultation	55	0.0	7.3	49.1	43.6	3.4	0.62
12. Satisfact answered class questions	57	3.5	12.3	47.4	36.8	3.2	0.78
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	56	3.6	16.1	46.4	33.9	3.1	0.80
14. Encouraged class participation	57	1.8	1.8	49.1	47.4	3.4	0.63
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	39	0.0	2.6	69.2	28.2	3.3	0.50
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	54	0.0	14.8	46.3	38.9	3.2	0.70
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	53	1.9	24.5	54.7	18.9	2.9	0.71
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	56	1.8	12.5	51.8	33.9	3.2	0.72
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	52	5.8	26.9	55.8	11.5	2.7	0.74
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	59	6.8	20.3	35.6	37.3	3.0	0.93
21. Overall quality of teaching	59	8.5	27.1	27.1	37.3	2.9	1.00

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Design

DEPARTMENT = Historic Preservation

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY								
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	3	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.	
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.	
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.	
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY								
27. Feedback on oral presentations	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.	
28. Guided student report preparation	8	0.0	25.0	37.5	37.5	3.1	.	
29. Students free to express opinions	12	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	3.9	0.29	
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	10	10.0	20.0	20.0	50.0	3.1	1.10	
31. Developed abil to conduct research	12	0.0	8.3	50.0	41.7	3.3	0.65	
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY								
32. Clarified lecture material	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	.	
33. Amount of work appropriate	2	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	2.0	.	
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
35. Instructor helped with my problems	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.	
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 66

Number of forms scanned = 59

Number of forms with at least one student response = 59

Percent completed = 89.4 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Design

DEPARTMENT = School of Interior Design

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	29	15.2	A	60	32.3
Sophomore	31	16.2	B	93	50.0
Junior	77	40.3	C	30	16.1
Senior	49	25.7	D	3	1.6
Graduate	1	0.5	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	4	2.1	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	16	8.4
Studies Program	5	2.6	2 hours	21	11.0
Required by my major	184	96.8	3 hours	26	13.6
Other (e.g. elective)	1	0.5	4 - 5 hours	35	18.3
			6 - 7 hours	19	9.9
			8 or more hours	74	38.7

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	205	2.0	8.8	60.0	29.3	3.2	0.66
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	127	9.4	21.3	43.3	26.0	2.9	0.91
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	198	2.5	13.1	56.6	27.8	3.1	0.71
4. Exams reflected what was taught	149	5.4	14.1	48.3	32.2	3.1	0.82
5. Grading was fair and consistent	205	9.3	22.9	39.0	28.8	2.9	0.94
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	203	6.4	13.8	49.8	30.0	3.0	0.83
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	200	15.0	27.0	42.5	15.5	2.6	0.93
8. Graded assignments included comments	198	4.5	16.7	55.6	23.2	3.0	0.76

## SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	206	5.8	18.4	40.8	35.0	3.0	0.88
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	206	3.4	10.7	30.6	55.3	3.4	0.81
11. Was available for consultation	196	1.5	7.7	55.1	35.7	3.3	0.66
12. Satisfact answered class questions	206	4.9	14.1	44.2	36.9	3.1	0.83
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	206	5.8	14.1	42.7	37.4	3.1	0.86
14. Encouraged class participation	204	1.0	8.8	49.0	41.2	3.3	0.67

## SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	179	2.2	5.0	59.2	33.5	3.2	0.65
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	196	1.0	9.7	48.5	40.8	3.3	0.68
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	190	1.6	12.1	43.7	42.6	3.3	0.73
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	202	0.5	7.9	50.0	41.6	3.3	0.64
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	201	5.0	20.9	42.3	31.8	3.0	0.85

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	204	4.4	19.6	31.4	44.6	3.2	0.89
21. Overall quality of teaching	204	9.3	20.1	27.0	43.6	3.0	1.01

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Design

DEPARTMENT = School of Interior Design

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY								
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.	
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	4	0.0	25.0	25.0	50.0	3.3	.	
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	3	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.3	3.0	.	
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	2.5	.	
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY								
27. Feedback on oral presentations	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.	
28. Guided student report preparation	3	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.3	3.0	.	
29. Students free to express opinions	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.	
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.	
31. Developed abil to conduct research	3	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.3	3.0	.	
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY								
32. Clarified lecture material	6	16.7	0.0	66.7	16.7	2.8	.	
33. Amount of work appropriate	7	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	2.7	.	
34. Instructor explained expectations	6	16.7	33.3	33.3	16.7	2.5	.	
35. Instructor helped with my problems	6	16.7	16.7	33.3	33.3	2.8	.	
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	6	16.7	0.0	50.0	33.3	3.0	.	
	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 240

Number of forms scanned = 206

Number of forms with at least one student response = 206

Percent completed = 85.8 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Education DEPARTMENT = Curriculum and Instruction

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	533	79.6
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	130	19.4
Junior	12	1.8	C	5	0.7
Senior	494	73.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	163	24.1	E/Fail	2	0.3
Professional	2	0.3	I	0	0.0
Other	6	0.9	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	152	22.5
Studies Program	11	1.6	2 hours	244	36.1
Required by my major	616	91.4	3 hours	147	21.8
Other (e.g. elective)	47	7.0	4 - 5 hours	80	11.9
			6 - 7 hours	26	3.9
			8 or more hours	26	3.9

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	702	0.6	2.6	32.2	64.7	3.6	0.57
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	564	8.2	14.2	38.1	39.5	3.1	0.93
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	695	1.2	5.5	43.0	50.4	3.4	0.65
4. Exams reflected what was taught	447	0.4	2.9	41.6	55.0	3.5	0.58
5. Grading was fair and consistent	689	2.0	5.8	36.3	55.9	3.5	0.70
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	696	1.7	5.5	38.5	54.3	3.5	0.68
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	684	2.0	5.6	37.1	55.3	3.5	0.69
8. Graded assignments included comments	680	0.7	4.1	35.6	59.6	3.5	0.61

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	698	2.7	6.9	32.5	57.9	3.5	0.74
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	700	0.9	2.3	23.6	73.3	3.7	0.56
11. Was available for consultation	664	0.6	1.4	34.3	63.7	3.6	0.55
12. Satisfact answered class questions	696	1.1	5.0	32.3	61.5	3.5	0.65
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	698	1.9	8.9	33.1	56.2	3.4	0.73
14. Encouraged class participation	699	0.4	2.1	28.2	69.2	3.7	0.54

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	660	0.3	5.8	40.2	53.8	3.5	0.62
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	684	0.6	5.4	38.0	56.0	3.5	0.63
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	669	0.7	6.9	38.1	54.3	3.5	0.66
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	698	0.4	4.7	37.4	57.4	3.5	0.61
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	694	2.2	13.0	35.3	49.6	3.3	0.78

	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	697	1.9	8.2	34.7	55.2	3.4	0.72
21. Overall quality of teaching	696	2.7	8.2	24.7	64.4	3.5	0.76

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Education

DEPARTMENT = Curriculum and Instruction

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	10	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	3.6	0.52
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	10	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.53
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	3.8	.
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	.
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	12	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	0.45
28. Guided student report preparation	13	0.0	7.7	23.1	69.2	3.6	0.65
29. Students free to express opinions	15	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	3.8	0.41
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	15	0.0	0.0	13.3	86.7	3.9	0.35
31. Developed abil to conduct research	12	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	0.45
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
33. Amount of work appropriate	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
34. Instructor explained expectations	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
35. Instructor helped with my problems	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

## SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 775

Number of forms scanned = 710

Number of forms with at least one student response = 703

Percent completed = 90.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Education DEPARTMENT = Education Leadership Studies

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	1	1.4	A	63	82.9
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	13	17.1
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	69	94.5	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	3	4.1	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

  

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	1.4
Studies Program	6	8.3	2 hours	9	12.3
Required by my major	63	87.5	3 hours	21	28.8
Other (e.g. elective)	3	4.2	4 - 5 hours	18	24.7
			6 - 7 hours	12	16.4
			8 or more hours	12	16.4

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
<b>SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS</b>							
1. Outlined course material and grading	81	0.0	3.7	23.5	72.8	3.7	0.54
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	77	0.0	0.0	33.8	66.2	3.7	0.48
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	80	0.0	1.3	33.8	65.0	3.6	0.51
4. Exams reflected what was taught	51	0.0	2.0	23.5	74.5	3.7	0.49
5. Grading was fair and consistent	76	1.3	6.6	25.0	67.1	3.6	0.68
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	80	0.0	7.5	28.8	63.8	3.6	0.63
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	81	3.7	16.0	22.2	58.0	3.3	0.88
8. Graded assignments included comments	79	2.5	2.5	26.6	68.4	3.6	0.67
<b>SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS</b>							
9. Presented material effectively	81	0.0	3.7	30.9	65.4	3.6	0.56
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	81	0.0	0.0	18.5	81.5	3.8	0.39
11. Was available for consultation	76	0.0	0.0	27.6	72.4	3.7	0.45
12. Satisfact answered class questions	81	0.0	4.9	18.5	76.5	3.7	0.55
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	81	0.0	3.7	23.5	72.8	3.7	0.54
14. Encouraged class participation	80	0.0	0.0	11.3	88.8	3.9	0.32
<b>SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES</b>							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	80	0.0	0.0	30.0	70.0	3.7	0.46
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	81	0.0	2.5	27.2	70.4	3.7	0.52
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	80	0.0	2.5	32.5	65.0	3.6	0.54
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	81	0.0	1.2	27.2	71.6	3.7	0.49
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	81	0.0	4.9	29.6	65.4	3.6	0.58
<b>SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS</b>							
20. Overall value of the course	80	1.3	2.5	21.3	75.0	3.7	0.58
21. Overall quality of teaching	80	1.3	2.5	20.0	76.3	3.7	0.58

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Education

DEPARTMENT = Education Leadership Studies

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY								
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	10	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.53	
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	12	8.3	0.0	25.0	66.7	3.5	0.90	
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	12	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	3.8	0.39	
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	.	
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.	
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY								
27. Feedback on oral presentations	22	0.0	0.0	22.7	77.3	3.8	0.43	
28. Guided student report preparation	21	0.0	0.0	19.0	81.0	3.8	0.40	
29. Students free to express opinions	21	0.0	0.0	4.8	95.2	4.0	0.22	
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	21	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	0.36	
31. Developed abil to conduct research	19	0.0	0.0	26.3	73.7	3.7	0.45	
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY								
32. Clarified lecture material	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.	
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.	
	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 93

Number of forms scanned = 83

Number of forms with at least one student response = 81

Percent completed = 87.1 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Education

DEPARTMENT = Educational and Counseling Psych.

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	2	0.4	A	405	79.3
Sophomore	118	22.6	B	95	18.6
Junior	88	16.9	C	7	1.4
Senior	36	6.9	D	0	0.0
Graduate	275	52.7	E/Fail	2	0.4
Professional	1	0.2	I	1	0.2
Other	2	0.4	Pass or Audit	1	0.2

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	124	24.2
Studies Program	11	2.1	2 hours	158	30.9
Required by my major	414	80.2	3 hours	104	20.3
Other (e.g. elective)	91	17.6	4 - 5 hours	67	13.1
			6 - 7 hours	22	4.3
			8 or more hours	37	7.2

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	548	0.2	3.3	33.2	63.3	3.6	0.56
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	512	1.6	8.4	41.4	48.6	3.4	0.70
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	539	0.6	7.1	39.1	53.2	3.5	0.65
4. Exams reflected what was taught	388	0.5	8.0	37.4	54.1	3.5	0.66
5. Grading was fair and consistent	537	0.2	4.3	38.2	57.4	3.5	0.59
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	543	1.1	5.7	38.7	54.5	3.5	0.66
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	516	3.5	11.2	33.9	51.4	3.3	0.81
8. Graded assignments included comments	512	2.0	7.2	35.2	55.7	3.4	0.71

## SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	548	1.1	8.9	33.6	56.4	3.5	0.70
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	548	0.2	1.6	26.1	72.1	3.7	0.50
11. Was available for consultation	520	0.2	2.9	39.0	57.9	3.5	0.56
12. Satisfact answered class questions	546	0.5	4.8	28.2	66.5	3.6	0.61
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	544	1.5	7.4	33.1	58.1	3.5	0.70
14. Encouraged class participation	547	0.2	2.4	27.1	70.4	3.7	0.53

## SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	520	0.2	3.7	39.6	56.5	3.5	0.58
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	536	0.4	5.2	38.2	56.2	3.5	0.61
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	527	0.2	6.8	42.7	50.3	3.4	0.63
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	543	0.2	2.6	33.9	63.4	3.6	0.55
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	542	1.3	11.4	37.5	49.8	3.4	0.73

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	546	1.5	7.9	27.5	63.2	3.5	0.70
21. Overall quality of teaching	541	2.6	6.5	25.1	65.8	3.5	0.73

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Education

DEPARTMENT = Educational and Counseling Psych.

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	23	0.0	0.0	43.5	56.5	3.6	0.51
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	26	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.51
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	23	0.0	0.0	43.5	56.5	3.6	0.51
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	15	0.0	0.0	53.3	46.7	3.5	0.52
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	16	0.0	0.0	56.3	43.8	3.4	0.51

## SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY

27. Feedback on oral presentations	48	4.2	10.4	39.6	45.8	3.3	0.82
28. Guided student report preparation	48	2.1	6.3	45.8	45.8	3.4	0.70
29. Students free to express opinions	60	0.0	3.3	20.0	76.7	3.7	0.52
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	59	0.0	0.0	30.5	69.5	3.7	0.46
31. Developed abil to conduct research	42	0.0	19.0	45.2	35.7	3.2	0.73

## SECTION H - LABORATORY &amp; DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY

32. Clarified lecture material	19	0.0	5.3	36.8	57.9	3.5	0.61
33. Amount of work appropriate	20	5.0	5.0	45.0	45.0	3.3	0.80
34. Instructor explained expectations	19	0.0	5.3	42.1	52.6	3.5	0.61
35. Instructor helped with my problems	19	0.0	5.3	36.8	57.9	3.5	0.61
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	15	0.0	0.0	53.3	46.7	3.5	0.52

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	12	25.0	33.3	41.7	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.83
38. Question 38	12	50.0	41.7	8.3	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.67
39. Question 39	11	9.1	72.7	18.2	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.54
40. Question 40	12	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.49
41. Question 41	12	0.0	0.0	33.3	58.3	8.3	3.8	0.62
42. Question 42	11	0.0	9.1	27.3	54.5	9.1	3.6	0.81
43. Question 43	12	0.0	8.3	41.7	41.7	8.3	3.5	0.80

Number of forms preslugged = at least 729

Number of forms scanned = 555

Number of forms with at least one student response = 549

Percent completed = 75.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Education DEPARTMENT = ZMisc. -Education

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	25	92.6
Sophomore	17	63.0	B	1	3.7
Junior	4	14.8	C	0	0.0
Senior	6	22.2	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	3.7

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	18	69.2
Studies Program	1	3.8	2 hours	5	19.2
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	2	7.7
Other (e.g. elective)	25	96.2	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	1	3.8

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	39	2.6	2.6	56.4	38.5	3.3	0.66
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	35	5.7	2.9	54.3	37.1	3.2	0.77
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	39	2.6	5.1	59.0	33.3	3.2	0.67
4. Exams reflected what was taught	39	2.6	5.1	51.3	41.0	3.3	0.69
5. Grading was fair and consistent	39	0.0	0.0	61.5	38.5	3.4	0.49
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	39	0.0	0.0	46.2	53.8	3.5	0.51
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	39	0.0	5.1	43.6	51.3	3.5	0.60
8. Graded assignments included comments	39	2.6	5.1	51.3	41.0	3.3	0.69

## SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	40	0.0	7.5	47.5	45.0	3.4	0.63
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	40	0.0	5.0	47.5	47.5	3.4	0.59
11. Was available for consultation	40	0.0	2.5	50.0	47.5	3.5	0.55
12. Satisfact answered class questions	40	0.0	10.0	42.5	47.5	3.4	0.67
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	40	5.0	2.5	47.5	45.0	3.3	0.76
14. Encouraged class participation	40	2.5	2.5	52.5	42.5	3.4	0.66

## SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	40	0.0	10.0	45.0	45.0	3.4	0.66
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	40	0.0	5.0	55.0	40.0	3.4	0.58
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	40	0.0	7.5	47.5	45.0	3.4	0.63
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	40	0.0	7.5	50.0	42.5	3.4	0.62
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	40	0.0	15.0	42.5	42.5	3.3	0.72

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	40	0.0	2.5	47.5	50.0	3.5	0.55
21. Overall quality of teaching	40	0.0	5.0	42.5	52.5	3.5	0.60

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Education

DEPARTMENT = ZMisc. -Education

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	8	0.0	12.5	50.0	37.5	3.3	.
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	8	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	3.4	.
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	8	0.0	12.5	50.0	37.5	3.3	.
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	3.3	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	7	0.0	14.3	57.1	28.6	3.1	.
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
28. Guided student report preparation	6	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	3.2	.
29. Students free to express opinions	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	6	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	3.2	.
31. Developed abil to conduct research	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	6	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	3.2	.
33. Amount of work appropriate	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
34. Instructor explained expectations	6	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	3.2	.
35. Instructor helped with my problems	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	6	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	3.2	.

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 56  
 Number of forms scanned = 42  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 40  
 Percent completed = 71.4 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Education

DEPARTMENT = Educational Policy Studies &amp; Eval

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	35	9.2	A	284	75.5
Sophomore	20	5.2	B	78	20.7
Junior	77	20.2	C	10	2.7
Senior	44	11.5	D	0	0.0
Graduate	201	52.6	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	2	0.5	I	0	0.0
Other	3	0.8	Pass or Audit	4	1.1

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	86	22.8
Studies Program	41	11.0	2 hours	121	32.1
Required by my major	250	67.2	3 hours	96	25.5
Other (e.g. elective)	81	21.8	4 - 5 hours	49	13.0
			6 - 7 hours	14	3.7
			8 or more hours	11	2.9

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	412	0.2	2.4	39.3	58.0	3.6	0.56
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	360	8.1	13.3	42.2	36.4	3.1	0.90
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	407	0.7	5.2	43.0	51.1	3.4	0.63
4. Exams reflected what was taught	277	0.4	2.2	42.2	55.2	3.5	0.56
5. Grading was fair and consistent	393	0.5	2.3	36.6	60.6	3.6	0.57
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	398	0.5	4.0	41.7	53.8	3.5	0.60
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	388	3.9	11.9	37.4	46.9	3.3	0.82
8. Graded assignments included comments	383	2.6	8.1	38.6	50.7	3.4	0.74

## SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	413	1.5	4.6	38.3	55.7	3.5	0.66
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	414	0.5	1.2	27.5	70.8	3.7	0.52
11. Was available for consultation	386	0.8	3.4	36.3	59.6	3.5	0.60
12. Satisfact answered class questions	413	0.7	2.7	32.0	64.6	3.6	0.58
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	405	1.5	9.6	35.8	53.1	3.4	0.72
14. Encouraged class participation	406	0.2	2.2	29.6	68.0	3.7	0.54

## SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	371	0.3	5.4	39.9	54.4	3.5	0.61
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	405	0.2	3.7	42.0	54.1	3.5	0.58
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	390	1.0	7.4	46.4	45.1	3.4	0.66
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	410	0.7	2.2	42.2	54.9	3.5	0.58
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	400	2.5	14.5	36.3	46.8	3.3	0.80

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	413	1.5	8.2	33.4	56.9	3.5	0.71
21. Overall quality of teaching	413	1.7	4.8	23.5	70.0	3.6	0.66

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	36	0.0	5.6	75.0	19.4	3.1	0.49
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	44	2.3	6.8	56.8	34.1	3.2	0.68
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	45	2.2	15.6	46.7	35.6	3.2	0.77
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	24	4.2	12.5	45.8	37.5	3.2	0.82
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	25	4.0	8.0	44.0	44.0	3.3	0.79

SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY

27. Feedback on oral presentations	28	7.1	3.6	46.4	42.9	3.3	0.84
28. Guided student report preparation	30	3.3	10.0	40.0	46.7	3.3	0.79
29. Students free to express opinions	43	2.3	0.0	32.6	65.1	3.6	0.62
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	41	4.9	4.9	41.5	48.8	3.3	0.79
31. Developed abil to conduct research	35	5.7	14.3	45.7	34.3	3.1	0.85

SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY

32. Clarified lecture material	52	5.8	1.9	34.6	57.7	3.4	0.80
33. Amount of work appropriate	52	0.0	1.9	44.2	53.8	3.5	0.54
34. Instructor explained expectations	53	3.8	1.9	39.6	54.7	3.5	0.72
35. Instructor helped with my problems	52	7.7	1.9	34.6	55.8	3.4	0.87
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	51	2.0	3.9	45.1	49.0	3.4	0.67

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 473  
 Number of forms scanned = 424  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 415  
 Percent completed = 87.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Education

DEPARTMENT = Kinesiology and Health Promotion

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	183	13.3	A	1,022	74.7
Sophomore	183	13.3	B	260	19.0
Junior	276	20.0	C	51	3.7
Senior	584	42.3	D	3	0.2
Graduate	113	8.2	E/Fail	1	0.1
Professional	25	1.8	I	0	0.0
Other	17	1.2	Pass or Audit	32	2.3

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	840	61.4
Studies Program	17	1.2	2 hours	292	21.3
Required by my major	699	50.8	3 hours	156	11.4
Other (e.g. elective)	659	47.9	4 - 5 hours	54	3.9
			6 - 7 hours	13	1.0
			8 or more hours	13	1.0

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	1,476	0.5	1.3	37.2	61.0	3.6	0.55
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	958	4.5	7.7	41.3	46.5	3.3	0.80
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	1,280	1.2	5.1	44.0	49.8	3.4	0.65
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1,386	1.1	4.1	38.7	56.1	3.5	0.63
5. Grading was fair and consistent	1,465	0.6	2.7	34.5	62.1	3.6	0.58
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	1,380	0.7	3.0	37.5	58.8	3.5	0.59
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	1,387	0.8	4.4	36.7	58.1	3.5	0.62
8. Graded assignments included comments	1,302	1.6	9.1	39.3	49.9	3.4	0.72

## SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	1,474	1.2	5.2	34.9	58.8	3.5	0.65
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	1,481	0.8	2.1	27.8	69.3	3.7	0.56
11. Was available for consultation	1,360	0.5	2.5	36.8	60.2	3.6	0.57
12. Satisfact answered class questions	1,464	0.8	1.7	34.6	63.0	3.6	0.57
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	1,471	2.0	7.3	35.0	55.7	3.4	0.72
14. Encouraged class participation	1,468	1.3	2.2	31.6	64.9	3.6	0.60

## SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	1,238	0.9	7.5	44.9	46.7	3.4	0.66
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	1,263	1.2	9.7	41.8	47.3	3.4	0.70
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	1,227	1.5	11.7	40.7	46.0	3.3	0.74
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	1,426	0.8	3.2	41.4	54.6	3.5	0.60
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	1,383	2.5	11.9	41.0	44.6	3.3	0.77

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	1,468	1.1	7.3	32.9	58.7	3.5	0.68
21. Overall quality of teaching	1,460	1.4	5.8	26.2	66.7	3.6	0.66

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Education

DEPARTMENT = Kinesiology and Health Promotion

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	67	3.0	4.5	52.2	40.3	3.3	0.70
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	74	0.0	5.4	45.9	48.6	3.4	0.60
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	66	0.0	6.1	50.0	43.9	3.4	0.60
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	50	4.0	6.0	38.0	52.0	3.4	0.78
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	50	2.0	4.0	46.0	48.0	3.4	0.67
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	58	0.0	6.9	39.7	53.4	3.5	0.63
28. Guided student report preparation	56	0.0	7.1	50.0	42.9	3.4	0.62
29. Students free to express opinions	59	0.0	1.7	44.1	54.2	3.5	0.54
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	60	0.0	6.7	40.0	53.3	3.5	0.62
31. Developed abil to conduct research	58	0.0	10.3	48.3	41.4	3.3	0.65
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	99	0.0	5.1	44.4	50.5	3.5	0.59
33. Amount of work appropriate	103	0.0	2.9	47.6	49.5	3.5	0.56
34. Instructor explained expectations	101	0.0	5.0	41.6	53.5	3.5	0.59
35. Instructor helped with my problems	100	0.0	4.0	50.0	46.0	3.4	0.57
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	100	0.0	4.0	45.0	51.0	3.5	0.58

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	.
38. Question 38	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	.
39. Question 39	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
40. Question 40	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	.
41. Question 41	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	.
42. Question 42	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	.
43. Question 43	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	.
44. Question 44	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	.
45. Question 45	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
46. Question 46	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	.
47. Question 47	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	.
48. Question 48	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
49. Question 49	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 2048

Number of forms scanned = 1513

Number of forms with at least one student response = 1488

Percent completed = 72.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Education DEPARTMENT = Special Education & Rehabilita

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	4	1.0	A	292	75.8
Sophomore	43	11.1	B	76	19.7
Junior	72	18.6	C	6	1.6
Senior	134	34.5	D	0	0.0
Graduate	129	33.2	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	3	0.8	I	2	0.5
Other	3	0.8	Pass or Audit	9	2.3

  

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	66	17.0
Studies Program	6	1.6	2 hours	103	26.5
Required by my major	348	89.9	3 hours	88	22.7
Other (e.g. elective)	33	8.5	4 - 5 hours	65	16.8
			6 - 7 hours	23	5.9
			8 or more hours	43	11.1

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
<b>SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS</b>							
1. Outlined course material and grading	412	0.0	1.2	30.1	68.7	3.7	0.49
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	344	2.6	6.4	39.0	52.0	3.4	0.73
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	408	0.2	2.7	37.7	59.3	3.6	0.56
4. Exams reflected what was taught	332	0.0	3.9	33.4	62.7	3.6	0.57
5. Grading was fair and consistent	405	0.2	3.0	32.6	64.2	3.6	0.56
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	411	1.0	3.6	31.9	63.5	3.6	0.61
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	403	1.5	3.2	33.7	61.5	3.6	0.63
8. Graded assignments included comments	402	0.7	3.5	31.8	63.9	3.6	0.60
<b>SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS</b>							
9. Presented material effectively	412	0.2	3.6	30.8	65.3	3.6	0.57
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	412	0.0	0.5	19.2	80.3	3.8	0.41
11. Was available for consultation	393	0.3	3.1	26.0	70.7	3.7	0.55
12. Satisfact answered class questions	411	0.5	1.5	28.0	70.1	3.7	0.53
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	406	1.0	3.7	29.8	65.5	3.6	0.61
14. Encouraged class participation	410	0.5	1.0	28.0	70.5	3.7	0.51
<b>SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES</b>							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	393	0.3	2.0	36.4	61.3	3.6	0.55
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	405	0.2	2.5	35.6	61.7	3.6	0.55
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	390	0.3	2.8	41.5	55.4	3.5	0.57
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	410	0.2	0.7	32.0	67.1	3.7	0.50
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	404	0.7	5.2	35.1	58.9	3.5	0.63
<b>SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS</b>							
20. Overall value of the course	409	0.2	4.9	28.4	66.5	3.6	0.59
21. Overall quality of teaching	408	0.5	3.9	23.3	72.3	3.7	0.57

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Education

DEPARTMENT = Special Education &amp; Rehabilita

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	22	0.0	0.0	31.8	68.2	3.7	0.48
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	25	0.0	4.0	16.0	80.0	3.8	0.52
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	24	0.0	4.2	25.0	70.8	3.7	0.56
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	19	0.0	0.0	26.3	73.7	3.7	0.45
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	20	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	3.8	0.41
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	23	0.0	0.0	17.4	82.6	3.8	0.39
28. Guided student report preparation	23	0.0	0.0	17.4	82.6	3.8	0.39
29. Students free to express opinions	25	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	3.8	0.41
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	24	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	3.9	0.34
31. Developed abil to conduct research	23	0.0	4.3	17.4	78.3	3.7	0.54
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	13	0.0	7.7	15.4	76.9	3.7	0.63
33. Amount of work appropriate	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	3.8	0.38
34. Instructor explained expectations	14	0.0	0.0	21.4	78.6	3.8	0.43
35. Instructor helped with my problems	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	3.8	0.38
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	3.8	0.38

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	25	0.0	4.0	4.0	44.0	48.0	4.4	0.76
38. Question 38	25	0.0	0.0	12.0	32.0	56.0	4.4	0.71
39. Question 39	25	4.0	0.0	16.0	36.0	44.0	4.2	0.99
40. Question 40	25	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.0	88.0	4.9	0.33
41. Question 41	25	0.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	4.2	0.76
42. Question 42	25	0.0	4.0	4.0	12.0	80.0	4.7	0.75
43. Question 43	25	0.0	4.0	8.0	52.0	36.0	4.2	0.76
44. Question 44	24	4.2	0.0	12.5	41.7	41.7	4.2	0.96
45. Question 45	24	0.0	0.0	4.2	12.5	83.3	4.8	0.51
46. Question 46	24	0.0	0.0	4.2	29.2	66.7	4.6	0.58
47. Question 47	22	0.0	9.1	13.6	22.7	54.5	4.2	1.02
48. Question 48	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
49. Question 49	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 505

Number of forms scanned = 413

Number of forms with at least one student response = 413

Percent completed = 81.8 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Engineering DEPARTMENT = Civil Engineering

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	67	9.1	A	383	52.3
Sophomore	70	9.5	B	290	39.6
Junior	120	16.4	C	50	6.8
Senior	358	48.8	D	4	0.5
Graduate	118	16.1	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	5	0.7

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	152	20.7
Studies Program	14	1.9	2 hours	170	23.1
Required by my major	595	81.1	3 hours	194	26.4
Other (e.g. elective)	125	17.0	4 - 5 hours	137	18.6
			6 - 7 hours	49	6.7
			8 or more hours	34	4.6

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	797	1.4	4.1	53.2	41.3	3.3	0.63
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	612	8.5	15.2	50.7	25.7	2.9	0.86
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	787	3.0	8.4	55.1	33.4	3.2	0.71
4. Exams reflected what was taught	707	2.1	8.2	55.9	33.8	3.2	0.68
5. Grading was fair and consistent	791	1.9	5.6	54.0	38.6	3.3	0.66
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	791	1.8	6.8	55.4	36.0	3.3	0.66
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	791	2.9	7.0	54.2	35.9	3.2	0.70
8. Graded assignments included comments	775	4.5	22.8	48.1	24.5	2.9	0.81

## SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	797	5.8	11.2	49.6	33.5	3.1	0.82
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	800	0.8	2.9	41.1	55.3	3.5	0.59
11. Was available for consultation	738	3.8	5.7	53.8	36.7	3.2	0.72
12. Satisfact answered class questions	795	2.4	5.8	54.1	37.7	3.3	0.68
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	797	7.2	15.4	47.2	30.2	3.0	0.86
14. Encouraged class participation	788	2.3	12.6	48.9	36.3	3.2	0.74

## SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	632	2.8	14.2	59.8	23.1	3.0	0.70
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	784	2.8	9.4	55.7	32.0	3.2	0.71
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	777	2.6	9.3	55.5	32.7	3.2	0.70
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	791	2.5	4.6	57.1	35.8	3.3	0.66
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	787	6.6	21.2	47.0	25.2	2.9	0.85

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	791	5.6	14.2	43.5	36.8	3.1	0.85
21. Overall quality of teaching	791	6.7	13.1	38.4	41.7	3.2	0.89

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	48	2.1	18.8	54.2	25.0	3.0	0.73
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	42	2.4	14.3	59.5	23.8	3.0	0.70
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	41	4.9	12.2	56.1	26.8	3.0	0.77
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	35	2.9	17.1	54.3	25.7	3.0	0.75
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	33	3.0	21.2	45.5	30.3	3.0	0.81
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	44	4.5	6.8	65.9	22.7	3.1	0.70
28. Guided student report preparation	50	6.0	10.0	64.0	20.0	3.0	0.74
29. Students free to express opinions	51	2.0	3.9	62.7	31.4	3.2	0.62
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	50	4.0	12.0	58.0	26.0	3.1	0.74
31. Developed abil to conduct research	51	3.9	11.8	64.7	19.6	3.0	0.69
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	67	1.5	6.0	61.2	31.3	3.2	0.62
33. Amount of work appropriate	69	2.9	4.3	59.4	33.3	3.2	0.67
34. Instructor explained expectations	67	1.5	4.5	56.7	37.3	3.3	0.63
35. Instructor helped with my problems	65	1.5	3.1	60.0	35.4	3.3	0.61
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	64	1.6	3.1	56.3	39.1	3.3	0.62

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	618	1.1	3.2	8.7	52.3	34.6	4.2	0.80
38. Question 38	631	1.3	5.7	8.2	53.1	31.7	4.1	0.86
39. Question 39	630	1.4	4.3	8.7	50.8	34.8	4.1	0.85
40. Question 40	626	1.3	3.2	7.8	53.2	34.5	4.2	0.80
41. Question 41	625	2.6	3.8	9.3	49.1	35.2	4.1	0.90
42. Question 42	627	1.8	3.7	8.9	54.4	31.3	4.1	0.84
43. Question 43	620	2.6	3.2	10.3	51.8	32.1	4.1	0.88
44. Question 44	565	1.2	3.2	9.0	52.6	34.0	4.1	0.81
45. Question 45	554	1.6	5.1	9.0	51.8	32.5	4.1	0.87
46. Question 46	463	1.9	3.2	7.3	54.6	32.8	4.1	0.83
47. Question 47	373	2.4	3.2	8.8	52.8	32.7	4.1	0.87
48. Question 48	291	3.1	4.5	10.3	49.1	33.0	4.0	0.94
49. Question 49	237	2.5	3.0	8.4	52.3	33.8	4.1	0.87

Number of forms preslugged = at least 1022  
 Number of forms scanned = 829  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 801  
 Percent completed = 78.4 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Engineering DEPARTMENT = Computer Science

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	204	18.5	A	657	60.3
Sophomore	284	25.8	B	334	30.6
Junior	259	23.5	C	76	7.0
Senior	206	18.7	D	13	1.2
Graduate	142	12.9	E/Fail	3	0.3
Professional	2	0.2	I	0	0.0
Other	4	0.4	Pass or Audit	7	0.6

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	371	34.0
Studies Program	76	7.0	2 hours	213	19.5
Required by my major	736	67.3	3 hours	224	20.6
Other (e.g. elective)	281	25.7	4 - 5 hours	149	13.7
			6 - 7 hours	72	6.6
			8 or more hours	61	5.6

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	1,190	0.8	2.9	52.3	44.0	3.4	0.59
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	1,051	16.6	21.4	38.9	23.1	2.7	1.00
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	1,154	2.3	8.5	51.1	38.1	3.3	0.70
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1,145	0.6	5.9	51.6	41.9	3.3	0.62
5. Grading was fair and consistent	1,185	1.7	4.9	48.4	45.1	3.4	0.66
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	1,184	1.3	3.9	52.4	42.4	3.4	0.62
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	1,178	1.4	6.9	48.2	43.5	3.3	0.67
8. Graded assignments included comments	1,122	3.6	18.4	45.5	32.6	3.1	0.80

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	1,184	2.4	11.1	48.8	37.7	3.2	0.73
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	1,188	0.5	2.2	38.9	58.4	3.6	0.57
11. Was available for consultation	1,104	1.0	2.4	51.5	45.0	3.4	0.59
12. Satisfact answered class questions	1,179	1.5	5.2	49.9	43.4	3.4	0.65
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	1,177	5.4	18.4	42.2	34.0	3.0	0.86
14. Encouraged class participation	1,165	2.1	10.9	49.2	37.9	3.2	0.72

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	909	2.4	15.7	54.0	27.8	3.1	0.73
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	1,147	1.7	8.2	54.8	35.2	3.2	0.67
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	1,146	1.6	8.6	53.4	36.4	3.2	0.67
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	1,175	1.4	4.0	55.3	39.3	3.3	0.62
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	1,161	6.5	23.3	41.6	28.7	2.9	0.88

	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	1,177	3.4	13.6	45.5	37.5	3.2	0.79
21. Overall quality of teaching	1,174	4.3	12.4	37.8	45.5	3.2	0.83

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	80	1.3	5.0	70.0	23.8	3.2	0.56
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	92	5.4	10.9	60.9	22.8	3.0	0.75
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	72	5.6	8.3	62.5	23.6	3.0	0.74
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	56	5.4	16.1	57.1	21.4	2.9	0.77
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	54	5.6	11.1	63.0	20.4	3.0	0.74
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	51	2.0	5.9	62.7	29.4	3.2	0.63
28. Guided student report preparation	48	0.0	4.2	68.8	27.1	3.2	0.52
29. Students free to express opinions	58	3.4	3.4	56.9	36.2	3.3	0.69
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	57	3.5	5.3	70.2	21.1	3.1	0.63
31. Developed abil to conduct research	57	7.0	12.3	57.9	22.8	3.0	0.80
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	230	3.0	10.4	48.3	38.3	3.2	0.75
33. Amount of work appropriate	239	1.7	5.9	54.0	38.5	3.3	0.65
34. Instructor explained expectations	239	3.8	13.8	50.2	32.2	3.1	0.78
35. Instructor helped with my problems	239	2.1	12.6	50.6	34.7	3.2	0.73
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	231	1.3	5.2	57.6	35.9	3.3	0.62

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	507	5.9	2.4	8.7	44.2	38.9	4.1	1.05
38. Question 38	491	5.9	3.3	10.0	42.6	38.3	4.0	1.07
39. Question 39	509	5.7	3.7	10.4	40.9	39.3	4.0	1.08
40. Question 40	507	6.1	5.7	10.7	41.0	36.5	4.0	1.12
41. Question 41	263	8.4	2.7	12.5	36.9	39.5	4.0	1.18
42. Question 42	196	2.6	1.5	8.7	35.7	51.5	4.3	0.89
43. Question 43	88	0.0	2.3	14.8	38.6	44.3	4.3	0.79
44. Question 44	27	0.0	0.0	11.1	37.0	51.9	4.4	0.69
45. Question 45	25	0.0	0.0	12.0	40.0	48.0	4.4	0.70
46. Question 46	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	.
47. Question 47	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	.
48. Question 48	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	.
49. Question 49	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 2048  
 Number of forms scanned = 1428  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 1205  
 Percent completed = 58.8 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Engineering

DEPARTMENT = Chemical &amp; Materials Engineeri

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	37	11.2	A	173	53.2
Sophomore	40	12.1	B	122	37.5
Junior	94	28.5	C	28	8.6
Senior	121	36.7	D	0	0.0
Graduate	37	11.2	E/Fail	1	0.3
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	0.3	Pass or Audit	1	0.3

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	72	21.8
Studies Program	5	1.5	2 hours	51	15.5
Required by my major	300	91.5	3 hours	65	19.7
Other (e.g. elective)	23	7.0	4 - 5 hours	68	20.6
			6 - 7 hours	36	10.9
			8 or more hours	38	11.5

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	347	0.3	3.5	53.6	42.7	3.4	0.57
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	275	11.6	14.5	46.9	26.9	2.9	0.93
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	340	1.2	6.5	52.9	39.4	3.3	0.64
4. Exams reflected what was taught	263	1.5	9.1	54.4	35.0	3.2	0.67
5. Grading was fair and consistent	341	1.5	7.0	56.0	35.5	3.3	0.65
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	344	0.6	4.9	58.1	36.3	3.3	0.59
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	343	2.3	13.1	51.0	33.5	3.2	0.73
8. Graded assignments included comments	338	2.7	18.6	53.0	25.7	3.0	0.74

## SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	345	2.9	9.3	52.8	35.1	3.2	0.72
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	346	0.6	2.3	41.6	55.5	3.5	0.58
11. Was available for consultation	342	0.6	3.2	49.1	47.1	3.4	0.59
12. Satisfact answered class questions	345	1.4	4.3	52.8	41.4	3.3	0.63
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	341	4.7	11.1	49.3	34.9	3.1	0.79
14. Encouraged class participation	347	1.2	5.2	55.9	37.8	3.3	0.62

## SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	243	3.3	9.9	59.7	27.2	3.1	0.70
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	335	0.6	3.9	56.7	38.8	3.3	0.58
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	330	0.3	4.5	56.1	39.1	3.3	0.58
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	343	0.6	4.1	58.3	37.0	3.3	0.58
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	333	5.4	18.6	52.6	23.4	2.9	0.80

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	342	2.0	11.4	50.6	36.0	3.2	0.72
21. Overall quality of teaching	342	2.0	11.1	41.5	45.3	3.3	0.75

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Engineering

DEPARTMENT = Chemical &amp; Materials Engineeri

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	11	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	3.5	0.52
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	11	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	3.5	0.52
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	3.6	.
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	5	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	3.2	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	3.6	.

## SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY

27. Feedback on oral presentations	17	11.8	17.6	35.3	35.3	2.9	1.03
28. Guided student report preparation	18	0.0	5.6	61.1	33.3	3.3	0.57
29. Students free to express opinions	17	0.0	5.9	52.9	41.2	3.4	0.61
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	16	0.0	0.0	56.3	43.8	3.4	0.51
31. Developed abil to conduct research	16	0.0	6.3	43.8	50.0	3.4	0.63

## SECTION H - LABORATORY &amp; DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY

32. Clarified lecture material	18	0.0	5.6	44.4	50.0	3.4	0.62
33. Amount of work appropriate	18	0.0	11.1	44.4	44.4	3.3	0.69
34. Instructor explained expectations	18	0.0	16.7	27.8	55.6	3.4	0.78
35. Instructor helped with my problems	18	0.0	5.6	50.0	44.4	3.4	0.61
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	18	0.0	16.7	33.3	50.0	3.3	0.77

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	303	0.7	0.3	11.6	48.8	38.6	4.2	0.72
38. Question 38	303	0.0	1.3	16.2	45.5	37.0	4.2	0.74
39. Question 39	296	0.3	1.4	16.2	45.3	36.8	4.2	0.77
40. Question 40	298	0.7	1.7	17.1	45.0	35.6	4.1	0.80
41. Question 41	285	0.7	8.4	18.9	41.8	30.2	3.9	0.94
42. Question 42	254	0.0	2.4	21.7	43.7	32.3	4.1	0.80
43. Question 43	216	0.5	1.9	16.2	48.1	33.3	4.1	0.77
44. Question 44	186	0.0	2.2	17.7	46.2	33.9	4.1	0.77
45. Question 45	131	0.0	6.1	20.6	42.0	31.3	4.0	0.88
46. Question 46	71	0.0	5.6	18.3	40.8	35.2	4.1	0.88
47. Question 47	57	0.0	8.8	15.8	47.4	28.1	3.9	0.89
48. Question 48	50	0.0	14.0	20.0	42.0	24.0	3.8	0.98
49. Question 49	37	0.0	10.8	24.3	45.9	18.9	3.7	0.90

Number of forms preslugged = at least 406

Number of forms scanned = 361

Number of forms with at least one student response = 347

Percent completed = 85.5 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Engineering DEPARTMENT = Electrical Engineering

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	21	3.0	A	407	58.1
Sophomore	52	7.3	B	201	28.7
Junior	197	27.8	C	55	7.8
Senior	237	33.5	D	3	0.4
Graduate	197	27.8	E/Fail	3	0.4
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	4	0.6	Pass or Audit	32	4.6

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	85	12.1
Studies Program	20	2.9	2 hours	138	19.6
Required by my major	578	82.6	3 hours	183	26.0
Other (e.g. elective)	102	14.6	4 - 5 hours	160	22.7
			6 - 7 hours	73	10.4
			8 or more hours	66	9.4

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	752	0.7	2.5	49.1	47.7	3.4	0.58
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	647	8.7	14.5	43.9	32.9	3.0	0.91
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	749	1.9	7.2	47.0	43.9	3.3	0.69
4. Exams reflected what was taught	692	1.2	4.2	45.1	49.6	3.4	0.63
5. Grading was fair and consistent	750	1.3	5.6	44.9	48.1	3.4	0.66
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	750	1.5	4.3	45.3	48.9	3.4	0.65
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	748	3.3	8.3	46.7	41.7	3.3	0.75
8. Graded assignments included comments	733	6.5	22.0	43.5	28.0	2.9	0.87
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	745	2.8	11.9	43.1	42.1	3.2	0.77
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	751	0.9	1.9	32.1	65.1	3.6	0.58
11. Was available for consultation	674	1.3	4.3	43.2	51.2	3.4	0.64
12. Satisfact answered class questions	745	1.5	5.2	44.2	49.1	3.4	0.66
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	745	5.1	16.8	39.9	38.3	3.1	0.86
14. Encouraged class participation	739	3.7	11.5	42.5	42.4	3.2	0.79
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	511	3.7	9.0	51.1	36.2	3.2	0.75
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	741	1.2	7.4	49.3	42.1	3.3	0.66
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	740	1.5	6.1	49.9	42.6	3.3	0.66
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	748	1.3	5.9	47.5	45.3	3.4	0.66
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	732	6.0	19.4	41.1	33.5	3.0	0.88
	N	% (1)	% (2)	% (3)	% (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	750	3.2	14.4	36.8	45.6	3.2	0.82
21. Overall quality of teaching	748	2.8	12.4	33.3	51.5	3.3	0.80

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
<b>SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY</b>							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	63	0.0	7.9	38.1	54.0	3.5	0.64
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	68	2.9	2.9	50.0	44.1	3.4	0.69
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	62	0.0	8.1	32.3	59.7	3.5	0.65
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	47	0.0	14.9	29.8	55.3	3.4	0.74
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	46	0.0	8.7	39.1	52.2	3.4	0.65

<b>SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY</b>							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	45	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	3.6	0.50
28. Guided student report preparation	42	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	0.50
29. Students free to express opinions	47	0.0	2.1	38.3	59.6	3.6	0.54
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	45	2.2	0.0	48.9	48.9	3.4	0.62
31. Developed abil to conduct research	39	0.0	7.7	38.5	53.8	3.5	0.64

<b>SECTION H - LABORATORY &amp; DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY</b>							
32. Clarified lecture material	95	0.0	3.2	41.1	55.8	3.5	0.56
33. Amount of work appropriate	94	1.1	3.2	50.0	45.7	3.4	0.61
34. Instructor explained expectations	95	1.1	1.1	45.3	52.6	3.5	0.58
35. Instructor helped with my problems	90	0.0	3.3	36.7	60.0	3.6	0.56
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	88	0.0	5.7	33.0	61.4	3.6	0.60

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
<b>SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS</b>								
37. Question 37	497	1.0	1.8	16.9	43.9	36.4	4.1	0.83
38. Question 38	492	1.4	5.5	17.5	42.3	33.3	4.0	0.93
39. Question 39	491	1.4	5.5	22.2	38.5	32.4	3.9	0.95
40. Question 40	419	2.9	6.7	21.2	34.4	34.8	3.9	1.04
41. Question 41	365	3.3	7.4	25.5	37.5	26.3	3.8	1.03
42. Question 42	218	4.1	10.6	25.7	39.4	20.2	3.6	1.05
43. Question 43	179	3.9	15.1	29.6	36.3	15.1	3.4	1.04
44. Question 44	105	9.5	16.2	24.8	32.4	17.1	3.3	1.21
45. Question 45	36	0.0	22.2	5.6	41.7	30.6	3.8	1.12
46. Question 46	16	0.0	12.5	25.0	37.5	25.0	3.8	1.00
47. Question 47	14	0.0	14.3	14.3	42.9	28.6	3.9	1.03
48. Question 48	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
49. Question 49	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 1082  
 Number of forms scanned = 813  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 768  
 Percent completed = 71.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Engineering

DEPARTMENT = ZMisc. - Engineering

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	97	86.6	A	53	46.9
Sophomore	4	3.6	B	32	28.3
Junior	1	0.9	C	6	5.3
Senior	1	0.9	D	3	2.7
Graduate	9	8.0	E/Fail	2	1.8
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	17	15.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	46	40.7
Studies Program	1	0.9	2 hours	18	15.9
Required by my major	100	90.9	3 hours	16	14.2
Other (e.g. elective)	9	8.2	4 - 5 hours	17	15.0
			6 - 7 hours	14	12.4
			8 or more hours	2	1.8

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	118	0.0	2.5	62.7	34.7	3.3	0.52
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	118	14.4	16.1	54.2	15.3	2.7	0.90
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	121	2.5	13.2	63.6	20.7	3.0	0.66
4. Exams reflected what was taught	93	1.1	8.6	67.7	22.6	3.1	0.59
5. Grading was fair and consistent	119	2.5	21.0	55.5	21.0	2.9	0.72
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	118	0.0	6.8	66.9	26.3	3.2	0.54
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	119	0.8	14.3	52.1	32.8	3.2	0.69
8. Graded assignments included comments	117	0.9	15.4	60.7	23.1	3.1	0.65
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	120	3.3	5.8	60.0	30.8	3.2	0.69
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	119	0.0	0.8	54.6	44.5	3.4	0.51
11. Was available for consultation	112	0.0	3.6	54.5	42.0	3.4	0.56
12. Satisfact answered class questions	120	0.8	5.0	59.2	35.0	3.3	0.60
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	120	2.5	10.8	54.2	32.5	3.2	0.71
14. Encouraged class participation	118	2.5	6.8	61.0	29.7	3.2	0.66
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	112	2.7	13.4	63.4	20.5	3.0	0.67
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	120	0.8	12.5	60.8	25.8	3.1	0.64
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	117	0.0	7.7	64.1	28.2	3.2	0.57
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	119	0.8	3.4	67.2	28.6	3.2	0.55
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	118	4.2	16.9	54.2	24.6	3.0	0.77
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	120	3.3	13.3	46.7	36.7	3.2	0.78
21. Overall quality of teaching	120	2.5	14.2	38.3	45.0	3.3	0.79

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
<b>SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY</b>							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	12	0.0	8.3	66.7	25.0	3.2	0.58
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	14	0.0	7.1	71.4	21.4	3.1	0.53
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	13	0.0	23.1	46.2	30.8	3.1	0.76
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	8	0.0	12.5	75.0	12.5	3.0	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	8	0.0	0.0	87.5	12.5	3.1	.
<b>SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY</b>							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	3.2	.
28. Guided student report preparation	5	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	2.8	.
29. Students free to express opinions	5	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	5	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	2.8	.
31. Developed abil to conduct research	3	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	2.7	.
<b>SECTION H - LABORATORY &amp; DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY</b>							
32. Clarified lecture material	4	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	2.8	.
33. Amount of work appropriate	4	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
34. Instructor explained expectations	4	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	2.8	.
35. Instructor helped with my problems	3	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	3	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
% answering item							
	N	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	Mean SD
<b>SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS</b>							
37. Question 37	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	66.7	22.2	4.1 .
38. Question 38	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	44.4	44.4	4.3 .
39. Question 39	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	22.2	44.4	4.1 .
40. Question 40	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	55.6	33.3	4.2 .
41. Question 41	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	33.3	44.4	4.2 .
42. Question 42	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	44.4	22.2	3.9 .

Number of forms preslugged = at least 186  
 Number of forms scanned = 131  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 123  
 Percent completed = 66.1 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Engineering DEPARTMENT = Engineering Mechanics

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	69	6.0	A	534	47.0
Sophomore	102	8.9	B	429	37.8
Junior	273	23.9	C	143	12.6
Senior	619	54.1	D	27	2.4
Graduate	75	6.6	E/Fail	3	0.3
Professional	1	0.1	I	0	0.0
Other	5	0.4	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	112	9.8
Studies Program	15	1.3	2 hours	197	17.3
Required by my major	1,037	91.0	3 hours	297	26.0
Other (e.g. elective)	88	7.7	4 - 5 hours	289	25.3
			6 - 7 hours	143	12.5
			8 or more hours	103	9.0

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	1,231	0.8	2.8	56.1	40.3	3.4	0.58
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	1,170	5.8	14.9	49.5	29.8	3.0	0.82
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	1,230	1.7	7.0	52.3	39.0	3.3	0.67
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1,126	2.4	6.3	51.2	40.1	3.3	0.69
5. Grading was fair and consistent	1,230	2.8	9.6	47.5	40.2	3.3	0.74
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	1,230	1.9	6.8	52.5	38.8	3.3	0.67
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	1,227	6.1	10.0	49.7	34.1	3.1	0.82
8. Graded assignments included comments	1,192	5.5	21.5	48.0	25.1	2.9	0.82

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	1,235	4.5	13.1	48.8	33.6	3.1	0.80
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	1,233	0.4	2.3	36.9	60.4	3.6	0.56
11. Was available for consultation	1,155	3.2	5.4	47.9	43.5	3.3	0.72
12. Satisfact answered class questions	1,225	1.8	5.6	49.6	42.9	3.3	0.67
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	1,214	5.6	16.1	48.7	29.7	3.0	0.83
14. Encouraged class participation	1,214	3.4	13.3	51.0	32.4	3.1	0.76

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	901	2.7	13.9	57.5	26.0	3.1	0.71
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	1,214	1.3	5.2	56.0	37.5	3.3	0.63
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	1,219	1.3	4.9	55.9	37.9	3.3	0.62
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	1,219	1.5	5.5	55.1	37.9	3.3	0.64
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	1,196	6.7	22.3	47.6	23.4	2.9	0.84

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	1,215	3.7	12.5	46.9	36.9	3.2	0.78
21. Overall quality of teaching	1,214	5.7	11.8	39.5	43.0	3.2	0.86

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Engineering DEPARTMENT = Engineering Mechanics

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	63	3.2	7.9	65.1	23.8	3.1	0.67
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	59	3.4	10.2	57.6	28.8	3.1	0.72
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	43	0.0	20.9	58.1	20.9	3.0	0.65
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	33	12.1	18.2	39.4	30.3	2.9	0.99
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	35	5.7	14.3	48.6	31.4	3.1	0.84
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	33	6.1	15.2	42.4	36.4	3.1	0.88
28. Guided student report preparation	32	0.0	15.6	56.3	28.1	3.1	0.66
29. Students free to express opinions	37	0.0	8.1	67.6	24.3	3.2	0.55
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	37	2.7	5.4	54.1	37.8	3.3	0.69
31. Developed abil to conduct research	35	5.7	11.4	57.1	25.7	3.0	0.79
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	96	1.0	11.5	68.8	18.8	3.1	0.59
33. Amount of work appropriate	95	8.4	13.7	65.3	12.6	2.8	0.76
34. Instructor explained expectations	95	1.1	11.6	67.4	20.0	3.1	0.60
35. Instructor helped with my problems	94	0.0	8.5	66.0	25.5	3.2	0.56
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	94	2.1	9.6	69.1	19.1	3.1	0.61

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	926	0.9	3.0	15.6	50.1	30.5	4.1	0.81
38. Question 38	927	0.5	2.4	16.7	45.2	35.2	4.1	0.81
39. Question 39	927	0.4	2.9	19.3	42.0	35.4	4.1	0.83
40. Question 40	925	0.9	3.8	16.0	47.0	32.3	4.1	0.84
41. Question 41	891	0.9	4.4	16.9	47.5	30.3	4.0	0.85
42. Question 42	675	1.0	4.1	18.1	44.1	32.6	4.0	0.88
43. Question 43	612	0.8	3.3	19.4	45.8	30.7	4.0	0.84
44. Question 44	530	1.5	3.6	16.0	42.5	36.4	4.1	0.89
45. Question 45	355	1.4	5.1	18.9	45.4	29.3	4.0	0.90
46. Question 46	249	1.6	3.6	21.7	38.2	34.9	4.0	0.93
47. Question 47	149	0.0	4.0	23.5	40.9	31.5	4.0	0.85
48. Question 48	150	0.0	0.7	22.7	48.0	28.7	4.0	0.74
49. Question 49	121	0.0	1.7	18.2	44.6	35.5	4.1	0.77

Number of forms preslugged = at least 1620  
 Number of forms scanned = 1283  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 1242  
 Percent completed = 76.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Engineering DEPARTMENT = Mining Engineering

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	22	11.8	A	143	76.9
Sophomore	15	8.1	B	41	22.0
Junior	56	30.1	C	2	1.1
Senior	76	40.9	D	0	0.0
Graduate	17	9.1	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	54	29.0
Studies Program	3	1.6	2 hours	50	26.9
Required by my major	177	95.7	3 hours	55	29.6
Other (e.g. elective)	5	2.7	4 - 5 hours	18	9.7
			6 - 7 hours	7	3.8
			8 or more hours	2	1.1

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	209	1.4	1.0	45.0	52.6	3.5	0.60
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	148	3.4	6.1	40.5	50.0	3.4	0.75
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	206	1.9	5.8	36.4	55.8	3.5	0.70
4. Exams reflected what was taught	186	2.7	3.8	42.5	51.1	3.4	0.69
5. Grading was fair and consistent	206	1.5	2.4	42.2	53.9	3.5	0.62
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	209	1.0	1.4	43.5	54.1	3.5	0.58
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	204	1.0	2.9	40.7	55.4	3.5	0.61
8. Graded assignments included comments	205	1.5	8.3	38.5	51.7	3.4	0.71

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	209	1.9	5.3	38.8	54.1	3.4	0.69
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	208	1.0	0.5	34.1	64.4	3.6	0.55
11. Was available for consultation	202	1.0	4.5	38.6	55.9	3.5	0.63
12. Satisfact answered class questions	209	1.0	3.8	36.8	58.4	3.5	0.62
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	208	2.4	11.1	31.7	54.8	3.4	0.78
14. Encouraged class participation	207	1.4	3.9	36.7	58.0	3.5	0.65

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	188	1.6	4.3	43.6	50.5	3.4	0.65
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	202	1.0	3.5	42.6	53.0	3.5	0.62
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	202	1.0	2.5	42.6	54.0	3.5	0.60
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	204	1.0	2.9	40.7	55.4	3.5	0.61
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	204	2.9	9.3	38.7	49.0	3.3	0.77

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	209	2.9	7.2	32.1	57.9	3.4	0.75
21. Overall quality of teaching	208	4.8	5.8	26.0	63.5	3.5	0.81

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Engineering

DEPARTMENT = Mining Engineering

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly		% Strongly		Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	29	0.0	0.0	55.2	44.8	3.4	0.51
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	27	0.0	3.7	51.9	44.4	3.4	0.57
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	26	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.51
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	24	4.2	4.2	37.5	54.2	3.4	0.78
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	23	0.0	4.3	43.5	52.2	3.5	0.59
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	17	0.0	5.9	17.6	76.5	3.7	0.59
28. Guided student report preparation	16	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	0.50
29. Students free to express opinions	16	0.0	6.3	56.3	37.5	3.3	0.60
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	17	0.0	5.9	35.3	58.8	3.5	0.62
31. Developed abil to conduct research	17	0.0	5.9	41.2	52.9	3.5	0.62
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	45	0.0	4.4	46.7	48.9	3.4	0.59
33. Amount of work appropriate	44	2.3	2.3	50.0	45.5	3.4	0.65
34. Instructor explained expectations	44	2.3	4.5	50.0	43.2	3.3	0.68
35. Instructor helped with my problems	43	2.3	2.3	37.2	58.1	3.5	0.67
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	44	2.3	11.4	45.5	40.9	3.3	0.75

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	174	1.7	2.9	14.9	33.9	46.6	4.2	0.92
38. Question 38	174	1.7	2.3	14.9	30.5	50.6	4.3	0.92
39. Question 39	173	2.3	2.9	16.2	33.5	45.1	4.2	0.96
40. Question 40	131	1.5	2.3	16.0	24.4	55.7	4.3	0.93
41. Question 41	124	2.4	4.0	10.5	25.8	57.3	4.3	0.98
42. Question 42	90	3.3	2.2	12.2	16.7	65.6	4.4	1.01
43. Question 43	42	2.4	0.0	16.7	11.9	69.0	4.5	0.94
44. Question 44	42	2.4	4.8	16.7	9.5	66.7	4.3	1.07
45. Question 45	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	11.1	77.8	4.7	.
46. Question 46	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.3	4.0	.
47. Question 47	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	.
48. Question 48	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	.
49. Question 49	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 240

Number of forms scanned = 210

Number of forms with at least one student response = 210

Percent completed = 87.5 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Graduate School DEPARTMENT = Diplomacy & International Commerce

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	51	79.7
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	13	20.3
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	63	100.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

  

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	9	13.8
Studies Program	10	16.1	2 hours	17	26.2
Required by my major	45	72.6	3 hours	10	15.4
Other (e.g. elective)	7	11.3	4 - 5 hours	17	26.2
			6 - 7 hours	4	6.2
			8 or more hours	8	12.3

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
<b>SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS</b>							
1. Outlined course material and grading	70	1.4	10.0	58.6	30.0	3.2	0.66
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	65	6.2	12.3	50.8	30.8	3.1	0.83
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	67	3.0	16.4	53.7	26.9	3.0	0.75
4. Exams reflected what was taught	49	4.1	14.3	59.2	22.4	3.0	0.74
5. Grading was fair and consistent	65	9.2	13.8	53.8	23.1	2.9	0.86
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	67	6.0	16.4	50.7	26.9	3.0	0.83
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	60	5.0	5.0	41.7	48.3	3.3	0.80
8. Graded assignments included comments	64	9.4	14.1	51.6	25.0	2.9	0.88
<b>SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS</b>							
9. Presented material effectively	70	2.9	21.4	50.0	25.7	3.0	0.77
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	70	0.0	1.4	34.3	64.3	3.6	0.52
11. Was available for consultation	65	1.5	3.1	43.1	52.3	3.5	0.64
12. Satisfact answered class questions	69	0.0	13.0	50.7	36.2	3.2	0.67
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	68	4.4	7.4	52.9	35.3	3.2	0.76
14. Encouraged class participation	70	2.9	8.6	41.4	47.1	3.3	0.76
<b>SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES</b>							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	58	0.0	8.6	63.8	27.6	3.2	0.58
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	68	2.9	14.7	48.5	33.8	3.1	0.77
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	63	4.8	17.5	52.4	25.4	3.0	0.79
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	67	1.5	16.4	44.8	37.3	3.2	0.76
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	68	5.9	19.1	44.1	30.9	3.0	0.86
<b>SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS</b>							
20. Overall value of the course	70	11.4	25.7	37.1	25.7	2.8	0.97
21. Overall quality of teaching	68	2.9	26.5	39.7	30.9	3.0	0.84

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	5	20.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	2.2	.
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	6	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	2.3	.
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	5	20.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	2.4	.
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	5	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	2.4	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	4	25.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	2.5	.
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	18	0.0	16.7	61.1	22.2	3.1	0.64
28. Guided student report preparation	18	5.6	16.7	55.6	22.2	2.9	0.80
29. Students free to express opinions	17	0.0	5.9	47.1	47.1	3.4	0.62
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	18	0.0	0.0	61.1	38.9	3.4	0.50
31. Developed abil to conduct research	15	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	3.0	0.65
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	5	20.0	40.0	20.0	20.0	2.4	.
33. Amount of work appropriate	4	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	2.8	.
34. Instructor explained expectations	4	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	2.8	.
35. Instructor helped with my problems	4	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	2.8	.
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	4	25.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	2.3	.

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 91

Number of forms scanned = 79

Number of forms with at least one student response = 70

Percent completed = 76.9 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Graduate School DEPARTMENT = Graduate School

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	27	69.2
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	12	30.8
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	41	97.6	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	1	2.4	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	2.4
Studies Program	2	4.8	2 hours	3	7.3
Required by my major	22	52.4	3 hours	13	31.7
Other (e.g. elective)	18	42.9	4 - 5 hours	9	22.0
			6 - 7 hours	5	12.2
			8 or more hours	10	24.4

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	49	0.0	0.0	20.4	79.6	3.8	0.41
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	47	0.0	0.0	38.3	61.7	3.6	0.49
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	49	0.0	2.0	26.5	71.4	3.7	0.51
4. Exams reflected what was taught	45	0.0	0.0	35.6	64.4	3.6	0.48
5. Grading was fair and consistent	48	0.0	2.1	27.1	70.8	3.7	0.51
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	49	0.0	2.0	34.7	63.3	3.6	0.53
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	49	0.0	4.1	18.4	77.6	3.7	0.53
8. Graded assignments included comments	48	0.0	4.2	33.3	62.5	3.6	0.58
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	49	0.0	2.0	36.7	61.2	3.6	0.54
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	49	0.0	0.0	8.2	91.8	3.9	0.28
11. Was available for consultation	49	0.0	0.0	24.5	75.5	3.8	0.43
12. Satisfact answered class questions	49	0.0	2.0	32.7	65.3	3.6	0.53
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	49	0.0	0.0	30.6	69.4	3.7	0.47
14. Encouraged class participation	49	0.0	2.0	38.8	59.2	3.6	0.54
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	43	0.0	7.0	48.8	44.2	3.4	0.62
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	48	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	3.6	0.50
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	47	0.0	0.0	38.3	61.7	3.6	0.49
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	49	0.0	0.0	24.5	75.5	3.8	0.43
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	48	0.0	0.0	35.4	64.6	3.6	0.48
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	48	0.0	2.1	33.3	64.6	3.6	0.53
21. Overall quality of teaching	48	0.0	2.1	29.2	68.8	3.7	0.52

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY								
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY								
27. Feedback on oral presentations	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	3.8	.	
28. Guided student report preparation	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.	
29. Students free to express opinions	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	3.9	.	
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	3.9	.	
31. Developed abil to conduct research	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	.	
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY								
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	
% answering item								
	N	-----					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = at least 54  
 Number of forms scanned = 54  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 49  
 Percent completed = 90.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Graduate School DEPARTMENT = Health Administration

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	71	67.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	32	30.2
Junior	0	0.0	C	3	2.8
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	105	96.3	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	4	3.7	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	37	34.3
Studies Program	3	2.8	2 hours	44	40.7
Required by my major	96	88.1	3 hours	20	18.5
Other (e.g. elective)	10	9.2	4 - 5 hours	7	6.5
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	127	0.0	2.4	35.4	62.2	3.6	0.54
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	86	4.7	18.6	46.5	30.2	3.0	0.83
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	120	0.0	4.2	52.5	43.3	3.4	0.57
4. Exams reflected what was taught	116	0.0	5.2	39.7	55.2	3.5	0.60
5. Grading was fair and consistent	127	0.8	3.9	37.8	57.5	3.5	0.62
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	119	0.0	5.9	37.0	57.1	3.5	0.61
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	126	0.0	2.4	31.0	66.7	3.6	0.53
8. Graded assignments included comments	122	0.8	11.5	40.2	47.5	3.3	0.71

## SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	126	0.8	2.4	39.7	57.1	3.5	0.59
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	127	0.0	0.8	20.5	78.7	3.8	0.43
11. Was available for consultation	114	0.0	7.0	39.5	53.5	3.5	0.63
12. Satisfact answered class questions	126	0.0	0.8	29.4	69.8	3.7	0.48
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	127	0.8	7.1	37.0	55.1	3.5	0.66
14. Encouraged class participation	126	0.0	4.0	29.4	66.7	3.6	0.56

## SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	117	0.0	2.6	51.3	46.2	3.4	0.55
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	125	0.8	2.4	40.8	56.0	3.5	0.59
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	125	0.8	2.4	45.6	51.2	3.5	0.59
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	127	0.8	1.6	37.8	59.8	3.6	0.57
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	126	1.6	15.1	46.0	37.3	3.2	0.75

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	124	0.8	4.8	33.9	60.5	3.5	0.63
21. Overall quality of teaching	124	0.0	3.2	29.0	67.7	3.6	0.54

## UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA

Page 2

Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = Graduate School

DEPARTMENT = Health Administration

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY							
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY							
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS							
37. Question 37	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
47. Question 47	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0

Number of forms preslugged = at least 133

Number of forms scanned = 127

Number of forms with at least one student response = 127

Percent completed = 95.5 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Graduate School DEPARTMENT = Public Administration

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	90	62.5
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	51	35.4
Junior	0	0.0	C	2	1.4
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	140	96.6	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	5	3.4	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	0.7

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	20	13.8
Studies Program	4	2.8	2 hours	45	31.0
Required by my major	112	77.8	3 hours	38	26.2
Other (e.g. elective)	28	19.4	4 - 5 hours	21	14.5
			6 - 7 hours	15	10.3
			8 or more hours	6	4.1

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	159	0.0	1.3	32.1	66.7	3.7	0.50
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	151	2.6	9.3	49.0	39.1	3.2	0.73
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	158	0.0	8.2	49.4	42.4	3.3	0.63
4. Exams reflected what was taught	138	0.0	2.2	41.3	56.5	3.5	0.54
5. Grading was fair and consistent	156	0.0	1.9	40.4	57.7	3.6	0.54
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	158	0.6	3.2	40.5	55.7	3.5	0.59
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	158	0.6	0.0	38.0	61.4	3.6	0.53
8. Graded assignments included comments	153	0.0	5.9	44.4	49.7	3.4	0.61
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	157	0.6	6.4	48.4	44.6	3.4	0.63
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	158	0.0	0.0	22.8	77.2	3.8	0.42
11. Was available for consultation	147	0.0	1.4	38.1	60.5	3.6	0.52
12. Satisfact answered class questions	157	0.0	0.6	33.8	65.6	3.6	0.49
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	157	1.3	5.7	39.5	53.5	3.5	0.66
14. Encouraged class participation	158	0.0	3.2	31.0	65.8	3.6	0.55
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	144	0.0	3.5	54.2	42.4	3.4	0.56
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	156	0.0	3.8	44.9	51.3	3.5	0.57
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	155	0.0	3.2	47.1	49.7	3.5	0.56
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	157	0.0	2.5	43.9	53.5	3.5	0.55
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	155	1.9	14.2	46.5	37.4	3.2	0.75
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	159	0.0	5.0	37.7	57.2	3.5	0.59
21. Overall quality of teaching	159	0.0	5.7	28.3	66.0	3.6	0.60

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Graduate School DEPARTMENT = Public Administration

Page 2

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	10	0.0	10.0	70.0	20.0	3.1	0.57
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	10	0.0	10.0	70.0	20.0	3.1	0.57
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	8	0.0	12.5	62.5	25.0	3.1	.
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	9	0.0	0.0	77.8	22.2	3.2	.

## SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY

27. Feedback on oral presentations	7	0.0	14.3	42.9	42.9	3.3	.
28. Guided student report preparation	8	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
29. Students free to express opinions	8	0.0	12.5	37.5	50.0	3.4	.
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
31. Developed abil to conduct research	7	0.0	28.6	42.9	28.6	3.0	.

## SECTION H - LABORATORY &amp; DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY

32. Clarified lecture material	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
33. Amount of work appropriate	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	3.6	.
34. Instructor explained expectations	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	.
35. Instructor helped with my problems	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	.
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	4	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	4.0	.
38. Question 38	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	4.0	.
39. Question 39	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	4.0	.
40. Question 40	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	4.0	.
41. Question 41	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	4.0	.
42. Question 42	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	4.0	.
43. Question 43	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	4.0	.
44. Question 44	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	4.0	.
45. Question 45	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	4.0	.
46. Question 46	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	4.0	.
47. Question 47	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	4.0	.
48. Question 48	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	4.0	.
49. Question 49	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	4.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 181

Number of forms scanned = 161

Number of forms with at least one student response = 159

Percent completed = 87.8 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Social Work DEPARTMENT = Social Work

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	23	2.2	A	730	71.2
Sophomore	44	4.2	B	274	26.7
Junior	102	9.8	C	18	1.8
Senior	180	17.3	D	0	0.0
Graduate	688	66.2	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	1	0.1
Other	3	0.3	Pass or Audit	3	0.3

  

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	170	16.5
Studies Program	38	3.8	2 hours	217	21.1
Required by my major	857	84.7	3 hours	239	23.2
Other (e.g. elective)	117	11.6	4 - 5 hours	205	19.9
			6 - 7 hours	63	6.1
			8 or more hours	135	13.1

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	1,139	2.1	2.3	34.2	61.4	3.5	0.65
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	995	5.9	9.3	39.0	45.7	3.2	0.85
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	1,122	1.9	5.3	42.6	50.3	3.4	0.68
4. Exams reflected what was taught	875	1.3	3.8	39.9	55.1	3.5	0.63
5. Grading was fair and consistent	1,132	1.9	5.3	36.0	56.8	3.5	0.69
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	1,136	1.9	3.4	39.2	55.5	3.5	0.66
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	1,140	2.3	4.2	36.1	57.5	3.5	0.69
8. Graded assignments included comments	1,120	2.1	8.2	33.1	56.6	3.4	0.73

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	1,134	3.6	7.8	36.1	52.5	3.4	0.78
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	1,135	1.4	1.5	27.9	69.2	3.6	0.59
11. Was available for consultation	1,060	1.6	1.9	38.0	58.5	3.5	0.62
12. Satisfact answered class questions	1,136	2.4	4.2	33.5	59.9	3.5	0.69
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	1,139	3.5	9.0	32.7	54.7	3.4	0.79
14. Encouraged class participation	1,134	1.7	3.2	32.3	62.9	3.6	0.64

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	1,103	1.5	3.4	44.2	51.0	3.4	0.63
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	1,134	1.9	5.7	39.3	53.0	3.4	0.69
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	1,129	2.0	8.1	40.8	49.1	3.4	0.72
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	1,138	1.9	3.7	40.7	53.7	3.5	0.66
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	1,131	3.9	12.4	37.4	46.3	3.3	0.82

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	1,125	3.8	8.3	31.8	56.1	3.4	0.80
21. Overall quality of teaching	1,117	3.9	8.5	26.0	61.6	3.5	0.81

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY							
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	87	1.1	1.1	48.3	49.4	3.5	0.59
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	95	0.0	2.1	47.4	50.5	3.5	0.54
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	92	0.0	3.3	42.4	54.3	3.5	0.56
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	82	0.0	6.1	39.0	54.9	3.5	0.61
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	76	1.3	0.0	51.3	47.4	3.4	0.57

SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY

27. Feedback on oral presentations	91	0.0	4.4	28.6	67.0	3.6	0.57
28. Guided student report preparation	94	1.1	4.3	30.9	63.8	3.6	0.63
29. Students free to express opinions	95	1.1	1.1	26.3	71.6	3.7	0.55
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	94	0.0	4.3	29.8	66.0	3.6	0.57
31. Developed abil to conduct research	83	0.0	2.4	32.5	65.1	3.6	0.53

SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY

32. Clarified lecture material	41	0.0	0.0	56.1	43.9	3.4	0.50
33. Amount of work appropriate	38	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.51
34. Instructor explained expectations	38	2.6	0.0	47.4	50.0	3.4	0.65
35. Instructor helped with my problems	39	2.6	2.6	43.6	51.3	3.4	0.68
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	32	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.51

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	4.0	.
41. Question 41	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	.
42. Question 42	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
43. Question 43	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
44. Question 44	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	5.0	.
45. Question 45	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	3.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = at least 1402  
 Number of forms scanned = 1239  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 1145  
 Percent completed = 81.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110