

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 120 001L1 SUSTAINABLE PLANET GLY OF NAT RESOURCES

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Bowersox, John R.

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	10	45.5	A	9	40.9
Sophomore	4	18.2	B	9	40.9
Junior	7	31.8	C	4	18.2
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	4.5	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	10	45.5
Studies Program	15	68.2	2 hours	5	22.7
Required by my major	1	4.5	3 hours	5	22.7
Other (e.g. elective)	6	27.3	4 - 5 hours	1	4.5
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	1	4.5

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	26	0.0	3.8	50.0	46.2	0.58	3.4	3.4	0.0
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	26	3.8	26.9	46.2	23.1	0.82	2.9	3.2	-0.3
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	26	3.8	19.2	46.2	30.8	0.82	3.0	3.3	-0.3
4. Exams reflected what was taught	25	0.0	12.0	32.0	56.0	0.71	3.4	3.3	0.1
5. Grading was fair and consistent	26	0.0	0.0	53.8	46.2	0.51	3.5	3.3	0.2
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	26	0.0	15.4	50.0	34.6	0.69	3.2	3.4	-0.2
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	25	4.0	0.0	56.0	40.0	0.69	3.3	3.4	-0.1
8. Graded assignments included comments	22	4.5	31.8	45.5	18.2	0.81	2.8	3.1	-0.3

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	25	0.0	8.0	48.0	44.0	0.64	3.4	3.3	0.1
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	26	0.0	3.8	30.8	65.4	0.57	3.6	3.6	0.0
11. Was available for consultation	25	0.0	4.0	48.0	48.0	0.58	3.4	3.5	-0.1
12. Satisfact answered class questions	25	0.0	0.0	36.0	64.0	0.49	3.6	3.4	0.2
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	25	4.0	16.0	40.0	40.0	0.85	3.2	3.1	0.1
14. Encouraged class participation	23	0.0	0.0	60.9	39.1	0.50	3.4	3.3	0.1

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	19	0.0	15.8	63.2	21.1	0.62	3.1	3.2	-0.1
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	22	0.0	4.5	63.6	31.8	0.55	3.3	3.2	0.1
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	19	0.0	21.1	52.6	26.3	0.71	3.1	3.1	0.0
18. Gained undrstdnd of concepts & prin.	24	0.0	8.3	41.7	50.0	0.65	3.4	3.3	0.1
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	25	4.0	20.0	44.0	32.0	0.84	3.0	2.9	0.1

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	25	0.0	8.0	56.0	36.0	0.61	3.3	3.2	0.1
21. Overall quality of teaching	25	4.0	12.0	16.0	68.0	0.87	3.5	3.3	0.2

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 120 001L1 SUSTAINABLE PLANET GLY OF NAT RESOURCES

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Bowersox, John R.

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Coll		
		SD	D	A	SA		Mean	Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	11	0.0	27.3	63.6	9.1	0.60	2.8	3.1	-0.3
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	13	0.0	15.4	53.8	30.8	0.69	3.2	3.1	0.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	11	0.0	36.4	45.5	18.2	0.75	2.8	3.1	-0.3
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	3	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	.	2.7	3.2	-0.5
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	3	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	.	2.7	3.2	-0.5
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	.	2.5	3.3	-0.8
28. Guided student report preparation	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	.	2.5	3.3	-0.8
29. Students free to express opinions	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	.	2.5	3.5	-1.0
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	.	2.5	3.4	-0.9
31. Developed abil to conduct research	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	.	2.5	3.3	-0.8
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.2	-1.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.2	-1.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.3	-1.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.2	-1.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.3	-1.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 155

Number of forms scanned = 28

Number of forms with at least one student response = 26

Percent completed = 16.8 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 160 001A2 GEOLOGY FOR ELEMENTARY TEACHERS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Bowersox, John R.

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	7	70.0
Sophomore	3	27.3	B	3	30.0
Junior	4	36.4	C	0	0.0
Senior	4	36.4	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	4	36.4
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	4	36.4
Required by my major	11	100.0	3 hours	2	18.2
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	1	9.1
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS									
1. Outlined course material and grading	11	0.0	27.3	27.3	45.5	0.87	3.2	3.4	-0.2
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	11	9.1	9.1	63.6	18.2	0.83	2.9	3.2	-0.3
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	11	9.1	9.1	63.6	18.2	0.83	2.9	3.3	-0.4
4. Exams reflected what was taught	11	0.0	9.1	54.5	36.4	0.65	3.3	3.3	0.0
5. Grading was fair and consistent	11	0.0	9.1	54.5	36.4	0.65	3.3	3.3	0.0
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	11	0.0	9.1	45.5	45.5	0.67	3.4	3.4	0.0
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	11	0.0	18.2	54.5	27.3	0.70	3.1	3.4	-0.3
8. Graded assignments included comments	11	0.0	45.5	45.5	9.1	0.67	2.6	3.1	-0.5

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	11	9.1	27.3	36.4	27.3	0.98	2.8	3.3	-0.5
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	11	0.0	0.0	72.7	27.3	0.47	3.3	3.6	-0.3
11. Was available for consultation	9	11.1	0.0	66.7	22.2	.	3.0	3.5	-0.5
12. Satisfact answered class questions	11	0.0	18.2	63.6	18.2	0.63	3.0	3.4	-0.4
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	11	9.1	45.5	27.3	18.2	0.93	2.5	3.1	-0.6
14. Encouraged class participation	11	0.0	36.4	45.5	18.2	0.75	2.8	3.3	-0.5

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	11	0.0	36.4	54.5	9.1	0.65	2.7	3.2	-0.5
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	11	0.0	36.4	45.5	18.2	0.75	2.8	3.2	-0.4
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	11	0.0	27.3	63.6	9.1	0.60	2.8	3.1	-0.3
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	11	0.0	9.1	63.6	27.3	0.60	3.2	3.3	-0.1
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	11	0.0	36.4	45.5	18.2	0.75	2.8	2.9	-0.1

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS									
20. Overall value of the course	11	0.0	18.2	72.7	9.1	0.54	2.9	3.2	-0.3
21. Overall quality of teaching	11	9.1	27.3	45.5	18.2	0.90	2.7	3.3	-0.6

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 160 001A2 GEOLOGY FOR ELEMENTARY TEACHERS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Bowersox, John R.

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.1	0.4
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.1	0.4
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.1	0.4
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
28. Guided student report preparation	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
29. Students free to express opinions	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.5	0.0
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.4	0.1
31. Developed abil to conduct research	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
33. Amount of work appropriate	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
34. Instructor explained expectations	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
35. Instructor helped with my problems	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 18

Number of forms scanned = 11

Number of forms with at least one student response = 11

Percent completed = 61.1 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 160 004L1 GEOLOGY FOR ELEMENTARY TEACHERS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Bowersox, John R.

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	16	88.9
Sophomore	2	11.1	B	1	5.6
Junior	13	72.2	C	1	5.6
Senior	3	16.7	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	11	61.1
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	5	27.8
Required by my major	18	100.0	3 hours	1	5.6
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	1	5.6
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	18	5.6	5.6	77.8	11.1	0.64	2.9	3.4	-0.5
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	18	27.8	50.0	22.2	0.0	0.73	1.9	3.2	-1.3
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	18	5.6	33.3	55.6	5.6	0.70	2.6	3.3	-0.7
4. Exams reflected what was taught	18	5.6	5.6	83.3	5.6	0.58	2.9	3.3	-0.4
5. Grading was fair and consistent	18	5.6	0.0	77.8	16.7	0.64	3.1	3.3	-0.2
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	18	5.6	16.7	72.2	5.6	0.65	2.8	3.4	-0.6
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	18	5.6	44.4	44.4	5.6	0.71	2.5	3.4	-0.9
8. Graded assignments included comments	16	12.5	50.0	37.5	0.0	0.68	2.3	3.1	-0.8

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	18	22.2	27.8	44.4	5.6	0.91	2.3	3.3	-1.0
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	18	5.6	0.0	77.8	16.7	0.64	3.1	3.6	-0.5
11. Was available for consultation	13	7.7	7.7	69.2	15.4	0.76	2.9	3.5	-0.6
12. Satisfact answered class questions	18	5.6	16.7	61.1	16.7	0.76	2.9	3.4	-0.5
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	18	22.2	50.0	27.8	0.0	0.73	2.1	3.1	-1.0
14. Encouraged class participation	18	16.7	44.4	38.9	0.0	0.73	2.2	3.3	-1.1

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	15	13.3	46.7	40.0	0.0	0.70	2.3	3.2	-0.9
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	16	12.5	43.8	43.8	0.0	0.70	2.3	3.2	-0.9
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	15	6.7	60.0	33.3	0.0	0.59	2.3	3.1	-0.8
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	18	5.6	11.1	77.8	5.6	0.62	2.8	3.3	-0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	18	22.2	55.6	22.2	0.0	0.69	2.0	2.9	-0.9

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	18	11.1	44.4	44.4	0.0	0.69	2.3	3.2	-0.9
21. Overall quality of teaching	18	22.2	61.1	16.7	0.0	0.64	1.9	3.3	-1.4

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 160 004L1 GEOLOGY FOR ELEMENTARY TEACHERS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Bowersox, John R.

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.1	-1.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.1	-1.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 23

Number of forms scanned = 18

Number of forms with at least one student response = 18

Percent completed = 78.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 230 002A1 FUNDAMENTALS GEOLOGY I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Bowersox, John R.

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	1	6.7	A	1	6.7
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	11	73.3
Junior	6	40.0	C	3	20.0
Senior	8	53.3	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	6.7
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	6	40.0
Required by my major	15	100.0	3 hours	5	33.3
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	1	6.7
			6 - 7 hours	1	6.7
			8 or more hours	1	6.7

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	15	0.0	6.7	53.3	40.0	0.62	3.3	3.4	-0.1
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	15	0.0	6.7	73.3	20.0	0.52	3.1	3.2	-0.1
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	15	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	0.52	3.5	3.3	0.2
4. Exams reflected what was taught	13	0.0	7.7	61.5	30.8	0.60	3.2	3.3	-0.1
5. Grading was fair and consistent	15	0.0	6.7	60.0	33.3	0.59	3.3	3.3	0.0
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	15	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.51	3.4	3.4	0.0
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	15	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	0.41	3.2	3.4	-0.2
8. Graded assignments included comments	15	0.0	13.3	40.0	46.7	0.72	3.3	3.1	0.2

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	15	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.49	3.3	3.3	0.0
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	15	0.0	6.7	33.3	60.0	0.64	3.5	3.6	-0.1
11. Was available for consultation	14	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	0.51	3.4	3.5	-0.1
12. Satisfact answered class questions	15	0.0	0.0	53.3	46.7	0.52	3.5	3.4	0.1
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	15	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.51	3.6	3.1	0.5
14. Encouraged class participation	15	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	0.52	3.5	3.3	0.2

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	15	0.0	6.7	46.7	46.7	0.63	3.4	3.2	0.2
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	15	0.0	6.7	53.3	40.0	0.62	3.3	3.2	0.1
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	15	0.0	0.0	53.3	46.7	0.52	3.5	3.1	0.4
18. Gained undrstdn of concepts & prin.	15	0.0	0.0	53.3	46.7	0.52	3.5	3.3	0.2
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	15	0.0	20.0	46.7	33.3	0.74	3.1	2.9	0.2

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	14	0.0	7.1	50.0	42.9	0.63	3.4	3.2	0.2
21. Overall quality of teaching	15	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.49	3.7	3.3	0.4

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 230 002A1 FUNDAMENTALS GEOLOGY I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Bowersox, John R.

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
33. Amount of work appropriate	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
34. Instructor explained expectations	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
35. Instructor helped with my problems	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 18

Number of forms scanned = 15

Number of forms with at least one student response = 15

Percent completed = 83.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 570 001S1 SEM IN GEOLOGICAL SCI:TEACHING GEOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Bowersox, John R.

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	4	100.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	5	100.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	4	100.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	3	75.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	1	25.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	.	3.6	3.4	0.2
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
5. Grading was fair and consistent	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
8. Graded assignments included comments	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.1	0.7

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.6	0.2
11. Was available for consultation	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.5	0.3
12. Satisfact answered class questions	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.1	0.7
14. Encouraged class participation	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	2.9	1.1

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
21. Overall quality of teaching	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 570 001S1 SEM IN GEOLOGICAL SCI:TEACHING GEOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Bowersox, John R.

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
28. Guided student report preparation	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
29. Students free to express opinions	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
31. Developed abil to conduct research	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 6

Number of forms scanned = 5

Number of forms with at least one student response = 5

Percent completed = 83.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 450G001L1 SEDIMENTARY GEOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ettensohn, Frank R

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	2	28.6
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	3	42.9
Junior	2	25.0	C	2	28.6
Senior	5	62.5	D	0	0.0
Graduate	1	12.5	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	2	25.0
Required by my major	8	100.0	3 hours	1	12.5
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	5	62.5
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS									
1. Outlined course material and grading	8	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	2.5	3.4	-0.9	
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	8	12.5	75.0	12.5	0.0	2.0	3.2	-1.2	
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	8	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	3.3	-0.3	
4. Exams reflected what was taught	8	37.5	62.5	0.0	0.0	1.6	3.3	-1.7	
5. Grading was fair and consistent	8	25.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	2.0	3.3	-1.3	
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	8	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	3.4	-0.4	
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	8	25.0	62.5	12.5	0.0	1.9	3.4	-1.5	
8. Graded assignments included comments	8	12.5	50.0	37.5	0.0	2.3	3.1	-0.8	

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	8	0.0	37.5	50.0	12.5	2.8	3.3	-0.5
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	8	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	3.6	-0.1
11. Was available for consultation	7	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	3.5	-0.5
12. Satisfact answered class questions	8	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	2.8	3.4	-0.6
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	8	25.0	62.5	12.5	0.0	1.9	3.1	-1.2
14. Encouraged class participation	8	0.0	0.0	87.5	12.5	3.1	3.3	-0.2

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	5	60.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	1.8	3.2	-1.4
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	8	12.5	12.5	50.0	25.0	2.9	3.2	-0.3
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	8	0.0	12.5	75.0	12.5	3.0	3.1	-0.1
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	7	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	2.7	3.3	-0.6
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	7	28.6	42.9	28.6	0.0	2.0	2.9	-0.9

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS									
20. Overall value of the course	8	0.0	50.0	25.0	25.0	2.8	3.2	-0.4	
21. Overall quality of teaching	8	37.5	25.0	25.0	12.5	2.1	3.3	-1.2	

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 450G001L1 SEDIMENTARY GEOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ettensohn, Frank R

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	3	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	.	2.3	3.2	-0.9
33. Amount of work appropriate	3	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	3	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	.	2.3	3.3	-1.0
35. Instructor helped with my problems	3	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	.	2.3	3.2	-0.9
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 10

Number of forms scanned = 8

Number of forms with at least one student response = 8

Percent completed = 80.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 110 002L1 ENDANGERED PLANET INTR TO ENVRMNTL GEOL

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Fryar, Alan E

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	50	63.3	A	33	41.8
Sophomore	13	16.5	B	34	43.0
Junior	11	13.9	C	11	13.9
Senior	5	6.3	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	1.3

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	31	39.7
Studies Program	49	63.6	2 hours	25	32.1
Required by my major	9	11.7	3 hours	16	20.5
Other (e.g. elective)	19	24.7	4 - 5 hours	6	7.7
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	88	0.0	1.1	51.1	47.7	0.52	3.5	3.4	0.1
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	85	3.5	16.5	55.3	24.7	0.75	3.0	3.2	-0.2
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	88	0.0	2.3	51.1	46.6	0.54	3.4	3.3	0.1
4. Exams reflected what was taught	87	0.0	0.0	40.2	59.8	0.49	3.6	3.3	0.3
5. Grading was fair and consistent	87	0.0	3.4	40.2	56.3	0.57	3.5	3.3	0.2
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	88	0.0	0.0	47.7	52.3	0.50	3.5	3.4	0.1
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	88	0.0	2.3	52.3	45.5	0.54	3.4	3.4	0.0
8. Graded assignments included comments	86	1.2	22.1	50.0	26.7	0.74	3.0	3.1	-0.1

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	88	0.0	2.3	40.9	56.8	0.54	3.5	3.3	0.2
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	88	0.0	0.0	30.7	69.3	0.46	3.7	3.6	0.1
11. Was available for consultation	87	0.0	1.1	50.6	48.3	0.52	3.5	3.5	0.0
12. Satisfact answered class questions	88	0.0	2.3	40.9	56.8	0.54	3.5	3.4	0.1
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	88	1.1	4.5	50.0	44.3	0.63	3.4	3.1	0.3
14. Encouraged class participation	88	1.1	2.3	54.5	42.0	0.59	3.4	3.3	0.1

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	82	1.2	12.2	58.5	28.0	0.66	3.1	3.2	-0.1
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	84	0.0	7.1	59.5	33.3	0.58	3.3	3.2	0.1
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	82	0.0	15.9	51.2	32.9	0.68	3.2	3.1	0.1
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	88	0.0	3.4	53.4	43.2	0.56	3.4	3.3	0.1
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	86	2.3	9.3	53.5	34.9	0.70	3.2	2.9	0.3

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	87	0.0	6.9	33.3	59.8	0.63	3.5	3.2	0.3
21. Overall quality of teaching	87	0.0	1.1	26.4	72.4	0.48	3.7	3.3	0.4

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 110 002L1 ENDANGERED PLANET INTR TO ENVRMNTL GEOL

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Fryar, Alan E

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	38	0.0	5.3	50.0	44.7	0.59	3.4	3.1	0.3
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	38	0.0	5.3	55.3	39.5	0.58	3.3	3.1	0.2
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	38	0.0	5.3	47.4	47.4	0.60	3.4	3.1	0.3
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	21	0.0	9.5	57.1	33.3	0.62	3.2	3.2	0.0
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	19	0.0	10.5	47.4	42.1	0.67	3.3	3.2	0.1
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
28. Guided student report preparation	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
29. Students free to express opinions	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	.	3.3	3.5	-0.2
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	.	3.3	3.4	-0.1
31. Developed abil to conduct research	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	.	3.4	3.3	0.1
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	.	3.4	3.2	0.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	8	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	.	3.4	3.2	0.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	.	3.4	3.3	0.1
35. Instructor helped with my problems	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	.	3.4	3.2	0.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS  
 \*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 193  
 Number of forms scanned = 88  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 88  
 Percent completed = 45.6 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 480 002L1 ADV TOPS GLY: ENVIRONMENTAL GEOHYDRO

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Fryar, Alan E

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	5	33.3
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	8	53.3
Junior	4	28.6	C	2	13.3
Senior	10	71.4	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	2	13.3
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	7	46.7
Required by my major	10	66.7	3 hours	5	33.3
Other (e.g. elective)	5	33.3	4 - 5 hours	1	6.7
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	15	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.49	3.7	3.4	0.3
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	15	0.0	20.0	66.7	13.3	0.59	2.9	3.2	-0.3
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	15	6.7	6.7	53.3	33.3	0.83	3.1	3.3	-0.2
4. Exams reflected what was taught	15	0.0	0.0	73.3	26.7	0.46	3.3	3.3	0.0
5. Grading was fair and consistent	15	0.0	13.3	46.7	40.0	0.70	3.3	3.3	0.0
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	15	0.0	6.7	60.0	33.3	0.59	3.3	3.4	-0.1
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	15	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.49	3.3	3.4	-0.1
8. Graded assignments included comments	15	0.0	13.3	53.3	33.3	0.68	3.2	3.1	0.1

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	15	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.51	3.4	3.3	0.1
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	15	0.0	0.0	6.7	93.3	0.26	3.9	3.6	0.3
11. Was available for consultation	15	0.0	6.7	33.3	60.0	0.64	3.5	3.5	0.0
12. Satisfact answered class questions	15	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.49	3.7	3.4	0.3
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	15	0.0	13.3	13.3	73.3	0.74	3.6	3.1	0.5
14. Encouraged class participation	15	0.0	0.0	53.3	46.7	0.52	3.5	3.3	0.2

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	14	7.1	7.1	64.3	21.4	0.78	3.0	3.2	-0.2
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	15	0.0	13.3	66.7	20.0	0.59	3.1	3.2	-0.1
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	15	0.0	6.7	73.3	20.0	0.52	3.1	3.1	0.0
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	15	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	0.52	3.5	3.3	0.2
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	15	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	0.77	3.2	2.9	0.3

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	15	0.0	0.0	73.3	26.7	0.46	3.3	3.2	0.1
21. Overall quality of teaching	15	0.0	6.7	33.3	60.0	0.64	3.5	3.3	0.2

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 480 002L1 ADV TOPS GLY: ENVIRONMENTAL GEOHYDRO

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Fryar, Alan E

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 20

Number of forms scanned = 15

Number of forms with at least one student response = 15

Percent completed = 75.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = GLY 130 401L1 DINOSAURS & DISASTERS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Greb, Stephen F

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	28	32.6	A	40	46.5
Sophomore	24	27.9	B	33	38.4
Junior	15	17.4	C	8	9.3
Senior	17	19.8	D	1	1.2
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	1	1.2	I	0	0.0
Other	1	1.2	Pass or Audit	4	4.7

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	55	63.2
Studies Program	45	51.7	2 hours	20	23.0
Required by my major	14	16.1	3 hours	7	8.0
Other (e.g. elective)	28	32.2	4 - 5 hours	3	3.4
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	2	2.3

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	104	0.0	1.9	43.3	54.8	0.54	3.5	3.4	0.1
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	77	2.6	10.4	40.3	46.8	0.77	3.3	3.2	0.1
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	104	1.0	14.4	45.2	39.4	0.73	3.2	3.3	-0.1
4. Exams reflected what was taught	104	1.0	1.0	37.5	60.6	0.57	3.6	3.3	0.3
5. Grading was fair and consistent	102	0.0	2.9	40.2	56.9	0.56	3.5	3.3	0.2
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	103	0.0	1.9	45.6	52.4	0.54	3.5	3.4	0.1
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	102	0.0	4.9	40.2	54.9	0.59	3.5	3.4	0.1
8. Graded assignments included comments	97	0.0	18.6	38.1	43.3	0.75	3.2	3.1	0.1

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	104	1.0	4.8	40.4	53.8	0.64	3.5	3.3	0.2
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	104	0.0	1.0	28.8	70.2	0.48	3.7	3.6	0.1
11. Was available for consultation	99	1.0	3.0	44.4	51.5	0.61	3.5	3.5	0.0
12. Satisfact answered class questions	104	1.0	1.0	45.2	52.9	0.57	3.5	3.4	0.1
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	102	3.9	9.8	36.3	50.0	0.81	3.3	3.1	0.2
14. Encouraged class participation	103	2.9	13.6	37.9	45.6	0.80	3.3	3.3	0.0

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	88	2.3	11.4	45.5	40.9	0.75	3.3	3.2	0.1
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	94	3.2	13.8	45.7	37.2	0.78	3.2	3.2	0.0
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	89	2.2	16.9	40.4	40.4	0.80	3.2	3.1	0.1
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	102	1.0	4.9	51.0	43.1	0.63	3.4	3.3	0.1
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	102	5.9	16.7	37.3	40.2	0.89	3.1	2.9	0.2

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	102	2.9	10.8	35.3	51.0	0.79	3.3	3.2	0.1
21. Overall quality of teaching	103	1.0	7.8	31.1	60.2	0.68	3.5	3.3	0.2

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = GLY 130 401L1 DINOSAURS & DISASTERS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Greb, Stephen F

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% (1) (2) (3) (4)				SD	Coll			
		SD	D	A	SA		Mean	Mean	Diff	
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY										
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	52	0.0	9.6	59.6	30.8	0.61	3.2	3.1	0.1	
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	52	1.9	17.3	48.1	32.7	0.76	3.1	3.1	0.0	
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	53	1.9	15.1	49.1	34.0	0.74	3.2	3.1	0.1	
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	25	0.0	20.0	52.0	28.0	0.70	3.1	3.2	-0.1	
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	24	0.0	16.7	58.3	25.0	0.65	3.1	3.2	-0.1	
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY										
27. Feedback on oral presentations	12	0.0	16.7	66.7	16.7	0.60	3.0	3.3	-0.3	
28. Guided student report preparation	11	0.0	18.2	63.6	18.2	0.63	3.0	3.3	-0.3	
29. Students free to express opinions	10	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	0.42	3.2	3.5	-0.3	
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	10	0.0	10.0	70.0	20.0	0.57	3.1	3.4	-0.3	
31. Developed abil to conduct research	10	0.0	10.0	70.0	20.0	0.57	3.1	3.3	-0.2	
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY										
32. Clarified lecture material	9	0.0	0.0	77.8	22.2	.	3.2	3.2	0.0	
33. Amount of work appropriate	9	0.0	0.0	77.8	22.2	.	3.2	3.2	0.0	
34. Instructor explained expectations	8	0.0	0.0	87.5	12.5	.	3.1	3.3	-0.2	
35. Instructor helped with my problems	8	0.0	0.0	87.5	12.5	.	3.1	3.2	-0.1	
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	8	0.0	0.0	87.5	12.5	.	3.1	3.3	-0.2	

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS								
37. Question 37	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	.
38. Question 38	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	.
39. Question 39	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	.
41. Question 41	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	.

Number of forms preslugged = 299  
 Number of forms scanned = 112  
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 106  
 Percent completed = 35.5 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = GLY 150 401L1 EARTHQUAKES & VOLCANOES

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Henke, Kevin R

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	10	27.8	A	20	57.1
Sophomore	13	36.1	B	9	25.7
Junior	7	19.4	C	6	17.1
Senior	6	16.7	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University Studies Program	26	72.2	1 hour or less	15	40.5
			2 hours	15	40.5
Required by my major	2	5.6	3 hours	6	16.2
Other (e.g. elective)	8	22.2	4 - 5 hours	1	2.7
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	43	0.0	4.7	46.5	48.8	0.59	3.4	3.4	0.0
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	42	14.3	11.9	47.6	26.2	0.98	2.9	3.2	-0.3
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	43	0.0	7.0	55.8	37.2	0.60	3.3	3.3	0.0
4. Exams reflected what was taught	43	0.0	2.3	51.2	46.5	0.55	3.4	3.3	0.1
5. Grading was fair and consistent	43	2.3	2.3	44.2	51.2	0.67	3.4	3.3	0.1
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	43	0.0	0.0	41.9	58.1	0.50	3.6	3.4	0.2
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	43	0.0	0.0	32.6	67.4	0.47	3.7	3.4	0.3
8. Graded assignments included comments	43	0.0	9.3	44.2	46.5	0.66	3.4	3.1	0.3

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	43	0.0	11.6	44.2	44.2	0.68	3.3	3.3	0.0
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	43	0.0	2.3	32.6	65.1	0.54	3.6	3.6	0.0
11. Was available for consultation	38	0.0	2.6	68.4	28.9	0.50	3.3	3.5	-0.2
12. Satisfact answered class questions	41	0.0	0.0	56.1	43.9	0.50	3.4	3.4	0.0
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	42	2.4	14.3	47.6	35.7	0.76	3.2	3.1	0.1
14. Encouraged class participation	43	0.0	14.0	65.1	20.9	0.59	3.1	3.3	-0.2

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	36	5.6	16.7	47.2	30.6	0.84	3.0	3.2	-0.2
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	39	2.6	10.3	51.3	35.9	0.73	3.2	3.2	0.0
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	40	2.5	12.5	47.5	37.5	0.76	3.2	3.1	0.1
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	42	4.8	7.1	35.7	52.4	0.82	3.4	3.3	0.1
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	42	11.9	11.9	47.6	28.6	0.95	2.9	2.9	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	43	4.7	16.3	37.2	41.9	0.87	3.2	3.2	0.0
21. Overall quality of teaching	43	2.3	4.7	32.6	60.5	0.70	3.5	3.3	0.2

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time  
 COURSE = GLY 150 401L1 EARTHQUAKES & VOLCANOES

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Henke, Kevin R

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	22	0.0	13.6	50.0	36.4	0.69	3.2	3.1	0.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	22	0.0	18.2	36.4	45.5	0.77	3.3	3.1	0.2
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	20	0.0	10.0	50.0	40.0	0.66	3.3	3.1	0.2
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	13	0.0	23.1	23.1	53.8	0.85	3.3	3.2	0.1
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	11	0.0	9.1	45.5	45.5	0.67	3.4	3.2	0.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	4	0.0	25.0	50.0	25.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
28. Guided student report preparation	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
29. Students free to express opinions	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.5	-0.2
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.4	0.3
31. Developed abil to conduct research	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.2	0.1
33. Amount of work appropriate	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
34. Instructor explained expectations	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
35. Instructor helped with my problems	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.2	0.1
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 141

Number of forms scanned = 44

Number of forms with at least one student response = 43

Percent completed = 30.5 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 461 001L2 IGNEOUS/METAMORPH PETROL

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Moecher, David P

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	5	55.6
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	3	33.3
Junior	2	22.2	C	1	11.1
Senior	7	77.8	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	3	33.3
Required by my major	9	100.0	3 hours	2	22.2
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	3	33.3
			6 - 7 hours	1	11.1
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS									
1. Outlined course material and grading	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.4	0.3
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	9	0.0	11.1	77.8	11.1	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	9	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
4. Exams reflected what was taught	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
5. Grading was fair and consistent	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	9	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.3	.	3.0	3.4	-0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	9	0.0	11.1	66.7	22.2	.	3.1	3.4	-0.3
8. Graded assignments included comments	9	0.0	11.1	44.4	44.4	.	3.3	3.1	0.2

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.6	0.3
11. Was available for consultation	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.5	0.4
12. Satisfact answered class questions	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.1	0.6
14. Encouraged class participation	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	.	3.6	3.3	0.3

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	.	3.2	3.2	0.0
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	.	3.4	3.2	0.2
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	9	0.0	0.0	77.8	22.2	.	3.2	3.1	0.1
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	.	3.6	3.3	0.3
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	.	3.4	2.9	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS									
20. Overall value of the course	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
21. Overall quality of teaching	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 461 001L2 IGNEOUS/METAMORPH PETROL

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Moecher, David P

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Coll		
		SD	D	A	SA		Mean	Mean	Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	1.0	3.1	-2.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	4	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	.	3.3	3.2	0.1
33. Amount of work appropriate	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
34. Instructor explained expectations	4	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	4	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	.	2.8	3.2	-0.4
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	4	0.0	25.0	50.0	25.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 9

Number of forms scanned = 9

Number of forms with at least one student response = 9

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 110 401L1 ENDANGERED PLANET INTR TO ENVRMNTL GEOL

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ohara, Kieran D

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	12	41.4	A	8	29.6
Sophomore	10	34.5	B	16	59.3
Junior	5	17.2	C	3	11.1
Senior	2	6.9	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	14	50.0
Studies Program	22	78.6	2 hours	6	21.4
Required by my major	2	7.1	3 hours	5	17.9
Other (e.g. elective)	4	14.3	4 - 5 hours	1	3.6
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	2	7.1

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	31	3.2	3.2	58.1	35.5	0.68	3.3	3.4	-0.1
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	31	3.2	12.9	58.1	25.8	0.73	3.1	3.2	-0.1
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	30	3.3	10.0	56.7	30.0	0.73	3.1	3.3	-0.2
4. Exams reflected what was taught	31	0.0	9.7	58.1	32.3	0.62	3.2	3.3	-0.1
5. Grading was fair and consistent	31	0.0	3.2	54.8	41.9	0.56	3.4	3.3	0.1
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	30	0.0	3.3	63.3	33.3	0.53	3.3	3.4	-0.1
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	31	0.0	12.9	58.1	29.0	0.64	3.2	3.4	-0.2
8. Graded assignments included comments	31	3.2	25.8	41.9	29.0	0.84	3.0	3.1	-0.1

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	31	3.2	16.1	54.8	25.8	0.75	3.0	3.3	-0.3
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	31	0.0	0.0	51.6	48.4	0.51	3.5	3.6	-0.1
11. Was available for consultation	30	0.0	3.3	66.7	30.0	0.52	3.3	3.5	-0.2
12. Satisfact answered class questions	31	0.0	3.2	61.3	35.5	0.54	3.3	3.4	-0.1
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	31	6.5	16.1	58.1	19.4	0.79	2.9	3.1	-0.2
14. Encouraged class participation	31	3.2	29.0	45.2	22.6	0.81	2.9	3.3	-0.4

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	28	0.0	17.9	53.6	28.6	0.69	3.1	3.2	-0.1
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	29	0.0	24.1	44.8	31.0	0.75	3.1	3.2	-0.1
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	29	0.0	27.6	48.3	24.1	0.73	3.0	3.1	-0.1
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	30	3.3	6.7	60.0	30.0	0.70	3.2	3.3	-0.1
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	31	3.2	19.4	58.1	19.4	0.73	2.9	2.9	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	29	3.4	10.3	55.2	31.0	0.74	3.1	3.2	-0.1
21. Overall quality of teaching	28	7.1	7.1	64.3	21.4	0.77	3.0	3.3	-0.3

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 110 401L1 ENDANGERED PLANET INTR TO ENVRMNTL GEOL

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ohara, Kieran D

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	14	7.1	7.1	64.3	21.4	0.78	3.0	3.1	-0.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	13	7.7	15.4	61.5	15.4	0.80	2.8	3.1	-0.3
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	12	8.3	8.3	58.3	25.0	0.85	3.0	3.1	-0.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	10	10.0	10.0	70.0	10.0	0.79	2.8	3.2	-0.4
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	10	10.0	0.0	70.0	20.0	0.82	3.0	3.2	-0.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	.	3.2	3.3	-0.1
28. Guided student report preparation	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	.	3.2	3.3	-0.1
29. Students free to express opinions	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	.	3.2	3.5	-0.3
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	.	3.2	3.4	-0.2
31. Developed abil to conduct research	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	.	3.2	3.3	-0.1
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	.	3.4	3.2	0.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	.	3.2	3.2	0.0
34. Instructor explained expectations	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	.	3.2	3.3	-0.1
35. Instructor helped with my problems	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	.	3.4	3.2	0.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	.	3.2	3.3	-0.1

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 88

Number of forms scanned = 33

Number of forms with at least one student response = 31

Percent completed = 35.2 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 110 001L1 ENDANGERED PLANET INTR TO ENVRMNTL GEOL

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ratajeski, Kent

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	41	34.5	A	51	42.9
Sophomore	53	44.5	B	45	37.8
Junior	12	10.1	C	19	16.0
Senior	13	10.9	D	1	0.8
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	2	1.7
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	0.8

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	44	37.0
Studies Program	88	74.6	2 hours	43	36.1
Required by my major	7	5.9	3 hours	19	16.0
Other (e.g. elective)	23	19.5	4 - 5 hours	8	6.7
			6 - 7 hours	5	4.2
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	132	3.0	3.8	48.5	44.7	0.70	3.3	3.4	-0.1
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	99	34.3	22.2	34.3	9.1	1.01	2.2	3.2	-1.0
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	132	6.8	18.9	47.7	26.5	0.85	2.9	3.3	-0.4
4. Exams reflected what was taught	133	11.3	11.3	43.6	33.8	0.95	3.0	3.3	-0.3
5. Grading was fair and consistent	132	4.5	7.6	47.7	40.2	0.78	3.2	3.3	-0.1
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	133	6.0	4.5	56.4	33.1	0.77	3.2	3.4	-0.2
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	128	3.1	3.9	45.3	47.7	0.71	3.4	3.4	0.0
8. Graded assignments included comments	101	22.8	37.6	24.8	14.9	0.99	2.3	3.1	-0.8

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	133	6.8	10.5	35.3	47.4	0.90	3.2	3.3	-0.1
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	134	2.2	5.2	35.1	57.5	0.70	3.5	3.6	-0.1
11. Was available for consultation	125	4.0	6.4	39.2	50.4	0.78	3.4	3.5	-0.1
12. Satisfact answered class questions	132	4.5	12.1	36.4	47.0	0.84	3.3	3.4	-0.1
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	132	15.9	15.9	34.1	34.1	1.06	2.9	3.1	-0.2
14. Encouraged class participation	133	5.3	3.8	45.1	45.9	0.78	3.3	3.3	0.0

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	115	9.6	13.9	50.4	26.1	0.89	2.9	3.2	-0.3
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	131	9.9	16.8	49.6	23.7	0.89	2.9	3.2	-0.3
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	121	10.7	19.0	46.3	24.0	0.92	2.8	3.1	-0.3
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	132	7.6	12.1	43.9	36.4	0.89	3.1	3.3	-0.2
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	129	18.6	26.4	32.6	22.5	1.04	2.6	2.9	-0.3

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	133	12.8	17.3	33.1	36.8	1.03	2.9	3.2	-0.3
21. Overall quality of teaching	132	10.6	13.6	26.5	49.2	1.02	3.1	3.3	-0.2

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 110 001L1 ENDANGERED PLANET INTR TO ENVRMNTL GEOL

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ratajeski, Kent

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	68	11.8	11.8	52.9	23.5	0.91	2.9	3.1	-0.2
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	69	14.5	8.7	53.6	23.2	0.94	2.9	3.1	-0.2
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	56	14.3	17.9	51.8	16.1	0.91	2.7	3.1	-0.4
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	28	14.3	10.7	42.9	32.1	1.02	2.9	3.2	-0.3
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	28	17.9	3.6	53.6	25.0	1.01	2.9	3.2	-0.3
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	10	10.0	0.0	60.0	30.0	0.88	3.1	3.3	-0.2
28. Guided student report preparation	9	22.2	0.0	55.6	22.2	.	2.8	3.3	-0.5
29. Students free to express opinions	10	10.0	10.0	70.0	10.0	0.79	2.8	3.5	-0.7
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	10	10.0	0.0	70.0	20.0	0.82	3.0	3.4	-0.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	9	11.1	0.0	55.6	33.3	.	3.1	3.3	-0.2
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	8	12.5	0.0	75.0	12.5	.	2.9	3.2	-0.3
33. Amount of work appropriate	8	12.5	12.5	62.5	12.5	.	2.8	3.2	-0.4
34. Instructor explained expectations	8	25.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	.	2.8	3.3	-0.5
35. Instructor helped with my problems	7	14.3	0.0	71.4	14.3	.	2.9	3.2	-0.3
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	8	25.0	0.0	62.5	12.5	.	2.6	3.3	-0.7

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 297

Number of forms scanned = 139

Number of forms with at least one student response = 135

Percent completed = 45.5 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 220 001L1 PRIN OF PHYSICAL GEOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ratajeski, Kent

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	11	61.1
Sophomore	3	15.8	B	6	33.3
Junior	11	57.9	C	1	5.6
Senior	4	21.1	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	5.3	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	11	61.1
Studies Program	1	5.3	2 hours	1	5.6
Required by my major	17	89.5	3 hours	5	27.8
Other (e.g. elective)	1	5.3	4 - 5 hours	1	5.6
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	20	0.0	15.0	35.0	50.0	0.75	3.4	3.4	0.0
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	16	50.0	25.0	6.3	18.8	1.18	1.9	3.2	-1.3
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	20	10.0	15.0	45.0	30.0	0.94	3.0	3.3	-0.3
4. Exams reflected what was taught	20	5.0	10.0	45.0	40.0	0.83	3.2	3.3	-0.1
5. Grading was fair and consistent	20	5.0	5.0	50.0	40.0	0.79	3.3	3.3	0.0
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	20	0.0	10.0	45.0	45.0	0.67	3.4	3.4	0.0
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	20	10.0	20.0	30.0	40.0	1.03	3.0	3.4	-0.4
8. Graded assignments included comments	20	35.0	30.0	10.0	25.0	1.21	2.3	3.1	-0.8

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	20	5.0	15.0	45.0	35.0	0.85	3.1	3.3	-0.2
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	20	5.0	0.0	40.0	55.0	0.76	3.5	3.6	-0.1
11. Was available for consultation	17	0.0	23.5	52.9	23.5	0.71	3.0	3.5	-0.5
12. Satisfact answered class questions	20	0.0	10.0	60.0	30.0	0.62	3.2	3.4	-0.2
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	20	5.0	35.0	25.0	35.0	0.97	2.9	3.1	-0.2
14. Encouraged class participation	20	0.0	30.0	40.0	30.0	0.79	3.0	3.3	-0.3

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	20	0.0	35.0	35.0	30.0	0.83	3.0	3.2	-0.2
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	20	5.0	30.0	30.0	35.0	0.94	3.0	3.2	-0.2
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	20	0.0	45.0	25.0	30.0	0.88	2.9	3.1	-0.2
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	20	5.0	20.0	40.0	35.0	0.89	3.1	3.3	-0.2
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	20	5.0	45.0	20.0	30.0	0.97	2.8	2.9	-0.1

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	20	5.0	30.0	30.0	35.0	0.94	3.0	3.2	-0.2
21. Overall quality of teaching	20	5.0	15.0	30.0	50.0	0.91	3.3	3.3	0.0

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 220 001L1 PRIN OF PHYSICAL GEOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ratajeski, Kent

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	.	2.5	3.1	-0.6
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	.	2.5	3.1	-0.6
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	.	2.5	3.1	-0.6
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	1.0	3.3	-2.3
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.3	-1.3
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.5	-1.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.4	-1.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.3	-1.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.2	-1.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.2	-1.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	1100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	1.0	3.3	-2.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	1.0	3.2	-2.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.3	-1.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 30

Number of forms scanned = 21

Number of forms with at least one student response = 20

Percent completed = 66.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 220 002L1 PRIN OF PHYSICAL GEOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ratajeski, Kent

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	1	7.7	A	10	76.9
Sophomore	5	38.5	B	2	15.4
Junior	3	23.1	C	1	7.7
Senior	4	30.8	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	23.1
Studies Program	1	8.3	2 hours	5	38.5
Required by my major	11	91.7	3 hours	4	30.8
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	1	7.7
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	14	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.52	3.5	3.4	0.1
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	13	7.7	15.4	38.5	38.5	0.95	3.1	3.2	-0.1
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	14	0.0	14.3	42.9	42.9	0.73	3.3	3.3	0.0
4. Exams reflected what was taught	14	0.0	7.1	42.9	50.0	0.65	3.4	3.3	0.1
5. Grading was fair and consistent	14	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.51	3.6	3.3	0.3
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	14	0.0	0.0	35.7	64.3	0.50	3.6	3.4	0.2
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	14	0.0	7.1	57.1	35.7	0.61	3.3	3.4	-0.1
8. Graded assignments included comments	12	8.3	16.7	25.0	50.0	1.03	3.2	3.1	0.1

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	14	0.0	7.1	50.0	42.9	0.63	3.4	3.3	0.1
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	14	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.47	3.7	3.6	0.1
11. Was available for consultation	14	0.0	7.1	42.9	50.0	0.65	3.4	3.5	-0.1
12. Satisfact answered class questions	14	0.0	7.1	35.7	57.1	0.65	3.5	3.4	0.1
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	14	7.1	7.1	42.9	42.9	0.89	3.2	3.1	0.1
14. Encouraged class participation	14	0.0	7.1	35.7	57.1	0.65	3.5	3.3	0.2

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	13	0.0	7.7	53.8	38.5	0.63	3.3	3.2	0.1
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	14	0.0	7.1	57.1	35.7	0.61	3.3	3.2	0.1
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	14	0.0	14.3	50.0	35.7	0.70	3.2	3.1	0.1
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	14	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	0.51	3.4	3.3	0.1
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	14	7.1	7.1	50.0	35.7	0.86	3.1	2.9	0.2

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	14	0.0	21.4	35.7	42.9	0.80	3.2	3.2	0.0
21. Overall quality of teaching	14	0.0	21.4	28.6	50.0	0.83	3.3	3.3	0.0

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 220 002L1 PRIN OF PHYSICAL GEOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ratajeski, Kent

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.1	0.4
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.5	-0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.4	-0.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 30

Number of forms scanned = 14

Number of forms with at least one student response = 14

Percent completed = 46.7 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 220 003L1 PRIN OF PHYSICAL GEOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ratajeski, Kent

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	7	28.0	A	15	60.0
Sophomore	11	44.0	B	9	36.0
Junior	4	16.0	C	1	4.0
Senior	3	12.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	11	44.0
Studies Program	2	8.0	2 hours	8	32.0
Required by my major	18	72.0	3 hours	5	20.0
Other (e.g. elective)	5	20.0	4 - 5 hours	1	4.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	25	0.0	4.0	36.0	60.0	0.58	3.6	3.4	0.2
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	22	4.5	18.2	36.4	40.9	0.89	3.1	3.2	-0.1
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	25	0.0	16.0	40.0	44.0	0.74	3.3	3.3	0.0
4. Exams reflected what was taught	25	0.0	12.0	40.0	48.0	0.70	3.4	3.3	0.1
5. Grading was fair and consistent	25	0.0	4.0	32.0	64.0	0.58	3.6	3.3	0.3
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	24	0.0	4.2	33.3	62.5	0.58	3.6	3.4	0.2
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	23	0.0	8.7	52.2	39.1	0.63	3.3	3.4	-0.1
8. Graded assignments included comments	21	4.8	47.6	23.8	23.8	0.91	2.7	3.1	-0.4

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	25	0.0	0.0	36.0	64.0	0.49	3.6	3.3	0.3
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	25	0.0	4.0	28.0	68.0	0.57	3.6	3.6	0.0
11. Was available for consultation	23	0.0	0.0	47.8	52.2	0.51	3.5	3.5	0.0
12. Satisfact answered class questions	25	0.0	4.0	32.0	64.0	0.58	3.6	3.4	0.2
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	24	0.0	12.5	29.2	58.3	0.72	3.5	3.1	0.4
14. Encouraged class participation	25	0.0	12.0	32.0	56.0	0.71	3.4	3.3	0.1

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	18	0.0	27.8	38.9	33.3	0.80	3.1	3.2	-0.1
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	25	0.0	12.0	40.0	48.0	0.70	3.4	3.2	0.2
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	25	0.0	12.0	48.0	40.0	0.68	3.3	3.1	0.2
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	25	0.0	8.0	40.0	52.0	0.65	3.4	3.3	0.1
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	24	0.0	20.8	33.3	45.8	0.79	3.3	2.9	0.4

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	25	0.0	4.0	52.0	44.0	0.58	3.4	3.2	0.2
21. Overall quality of teaching	25	0.0	8.0	28.0	64.0	0.65	3.6	3.3	0.3

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 220 003L1 PRIN OF PHYSICAL GEOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ratajeski, Kent

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Coll		
		SD	D	A	SA		Mean	Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.1	0.4
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.1	0.4
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.1	0.4
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.5	-0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.4	-0.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
33. Amount of work appropriate	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
34. Instructor explained expectations	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
35. Instructor helped with my problems	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 29

Number of forms scanned = 25

Number of forms with at least one student response = 25

Percent completed = 86.2 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 220 005L1 PRIN OF PHYSICAL GEOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ratajeski, Kent

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	4	40.0	A	6	60.0
Sophomore	5	50.0	B	2	20.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	2	20.0
Senior	1	10.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	4	40.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	5	50.0
Required by my major	10	100.0	3 hours	1	10.0
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	11	0.0	0.0	54.5	45.5	0.52	3.5	3.4	0.1
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	10	10.0	20.0	70.0	0.0	0.70	2.6	3.2	-0.6
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	11	0.0	0.0	81.8	18.2	0.40	3.2	3.3	-0.1
4. Exams reflected what was taught	11	0.0	0.0	81.8	18.2	0.40	3.2	3.3	-0.1
5. Grading was fair and consistent	11	0.0	0.0	81.8	18.2	0.40	3.2	3.3	-0.1
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	11	0.0	0.0	72.7	27.3	0.47	3.3	3.4	-0.1
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	11	0.0	0.0	72.7	27.3	0.47	3.3	3.4	-0.1
8. Graded assignments included comments	11	18.2	27.3	45.5	9.1	0.93	2.5	3.1	-0.6

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	11	0.0	0.0	63.6	36.4	0.50	3.4	3.3	0.1
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	11	0.0	9.1	54.5	36.4	0.65	3.3	3.6	-0.3
11. Was available for consultation	10	0.0	0.0	70.0	30.0	0.48	3.3	3.5	-0.2
12. Satisfact answered class questions	11	0.0	18.2	54.5	27.3	0.70	3.1	3.4	-0.3
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	11	0.0	18.2	63.6	18.2	0.63	3.0	3.1	-0.1
14. Encouraged class participation	11	0.0	9.1	63.6	27.3	0.60	3.2	3.3	-0.1

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	9	0.0	22.2	77.8	0.0	.	2.8	3.2	-0.4
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	11	0.0	9.1	81.8	9.1	0.45	3.0	3.2	-0.2
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	11	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.0	0.47	2.7	3.1	-0.4
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	11	0.0	0.0	63.6	36.4	0.50	3.4	3.3	0.1
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	11	0.0	18.2	72.7	9.1	0.54	2.9	2.9	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	11	0.0	9.1	63.6	27.3	0.60	3.2	3.2	0.0
21. Overall quality of teaching	11	0.0	0.0	72.7	27.3	0.47	3.3	3.3	0.0

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 220 005L1 PRIN OF PHYSICAL GEOLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ratajeski, Kent

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.5	-0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.4	-0.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 24

Number of forms scanned = 11

Number of forms with at least one student response = 11

Percent completed = 45.8 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 230 001A2 FUNDAMENTALS GEOLOGY I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ratajeski, Kent

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	7	50.0
Sophomore	6	42.9	B	5	35.7
Junior	3	21.4	C	1	7.1
Senior	4	28.6	D	0	0.0
Graduate	1	7.1	E/Fail	1	7.1
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	21.4
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	3	21.4
Required by my major	14	100.0	3 hours	5	35.7
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	3	21.4

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	14	0.0	14.3	71.4	14.3	0.55	3.0	3.4	-0.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	14	14.3	28.6	57.1	0.0	0.76	2.4	3.2	-0.8
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	14	7.1	21.4	71.4	0.0	0.63	2.6	3.3	-0.7
4. Exams reflected what was taught	14	7.1	28.6	57.1	7.1	0.74	2.6	3.3	-0.7
5. Grading was fair and consistent	14	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	0.47	2.7	3.3	-0.6
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	14	0.0	14.3	57.1	28.6	0.66	3.1	3.4	-0.3
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	14	7.1	7.1	78.6	7.1	0.66	2.9	3.4	-0.5
8. Graded assignments included comments	14	14.3	21.4	64.3	0.0	0.76	2.5	3.1	-0.6

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	14	21.4	14.3	64.3	0.0	0.85	2.4	3.3	-0.9
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	14	0.0	28.6	57.1	14.3	0.66	2.9	3.6	-0.7
11. Was available for consultation	13	0.0	7.7	76.9	15.4	0.49	3.1	3.5	-0.4
12. Satisfact answered class questions	14	14.3	28.6	50.0	7.1	0.85	2.5	3.4	-0.9
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	14	28.6	14.3	57.1	0.0	0.91	2.3	3.1	-0.8
14. Encouraged class participation	14	7.1	0.0	78.6	14.3	0.68	3.0	3.3	-0.3

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	14	7.1	35.7	57.1	0.0	0.65	2.5	3.2	-0.7
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	14	0.0	35.7	57.1	7.1	0.61	2.7	3.2	-0.5
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	14	0.0	28.6	64.3	7.1	0.58	2.8	3.1	-0.3
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	14	7.1	21.4	71.4	0.0	0.63	2.6	3.3	-0.7
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	14	14.3	28.6	50.0	7.1	0.85	2.5	2.9	-0.4

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	14	14.3	14.3	64.3	7.1	0.84	2.6	3.2	-0.6
21. Overall quality of teaching	14	21.4	28.6	50.0	0.0	0.83	2.3	3.3	-1.0

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 230 001A2 FUNDAMENTALS GEOLOGY I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ratajeski, Kent

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Coll		
		SD	D	A	SA		Mean	Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	.	2.5	3.3	-0.8
35. Instructor helped with my problems	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 15

Number of forms scanned = 14

Number of forms with at least one student response = 14

Percent completed = 93.3 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 570 002S1 SEM IN GEOLOGICAL SCI:CURREN TOPS GLY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ratajeski, Kent

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	9	90.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	1	10.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	7	70.0	E/Fail	1	10.0
Professional	2	20.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	30.0
Studies Program	1	10.0	2 hours	7	70.0
Required by my major	6	60.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	3	30.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	10	0.0	10.0	20.0	70.0	0.70	3.6	3.4	0.2
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
4. Exams reflected what was taught	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
5. Grading was fair and consistent	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.4	0.3
8. Graded assignments included comments	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	7	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	.	3.4	3.3	0.1
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	7	0.0	14.3	42.9	42.9	.	3.3	3.6	-0.3
11. Was available for consultation	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.5	0.2
12. Satisfact answered class questions	8	0.0	12.5	37.5	50.0	.	3.4	3.4	0.0
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	7	0.0	28.6	28.6	42.9	.	3.1	3.1	0.0
14. Encouraged class participation	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	.	3.3	3.2	0.1
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	9	0.0	11.1	66.7	22.2	.	3.1	3.2	-0.1
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	6	0.0	33.3	50.0	16.7	.	2.8	3.1	-0.3
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	8	0.0	12.5	62.5	25.0	.	3.1	3.3	-0.2
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	8	0.0	25.0	62.5	12.5	.	2.9	2.9	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	10	0.0	30.0	20.0	50.0	0.92	3.2	3.2	0.0
21. Overall quality of teaching	9	22.2	11.1	33.3	33.3	.	2.8	3.3	-0.5

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 570 002S1 SEM IN GEOLOGICAL SCI:CURREN TOPS GLY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ratajeski, Kent

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		SD	D	A	SA		Mean	Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	8	0.0	12.5	50.0	37.5	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
28. Guided student report preparation	9	0.0	22.2	44.4	33.3	.	3.1	3.3	-0.2
29. Students free to express opinions	10	0.0	0.0	30.0	70.0	0.48	3.7	3.5	0.2
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	10	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.53	3.5	3.4	0.1
31. Developed abil to conduct research	5	0.0	40.0	0.0	60.0	.	3.2	3.3	-0.1
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 10

Number of forms scanned = 10

Number of forms with at least one student response = 10

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 625 001L1 TOP APP GEOPHY & ENGR GLY:INTRO GRAV MAG

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ravat, Dhananjay

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	1	50.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	1	50.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	2	100.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	50.0
Required by my major	2	100.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	1	50.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
4. Exams reflected what was taught	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
5. Grading was fair and consistent	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	.	3.0	3.4	-0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.4	0.1
8. Graded assignments included comments	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	.	3.0	3.5	-0.5
12. Satisfact answered class questions	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.4	0.1
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	.	2.5	3.1	-0.6
14. Encouraged class participation	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	.	2.5	3.2	-0.7
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
18. Gained undrstdn of concepts & prin.	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	.	2.5	2.9	-0.4

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
21. Overall quality of teaching	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 625 001L1 TOP APP GEOPHY & ENGR GLY:INTRO GRAV MAG

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ravat, Dhananjay

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 3

Number of forms scanned = 2

Number of forms with at least one student response = 2

Percent completed = 66.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 480 001L1 ADV TOPS GLY :ORGANIC PETROLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Rimmer, S M

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	0	0.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	2	100.0
Junior	1	50.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	1	50.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University Studies Program	0	0.0	1 hour or less	0	0.0
			2 hours	1	50.0
Required by my major	1	50.0	3 hours	0	0.0
			4 - 5 hours	1	50.0
Other (e.g. elective)	1	50.0	6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	3.4	-0.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	3.2	-0.2
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	3.3	-0.3
4. Exams reflected what was taught	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	3.3	-0.3
5. Grading was fair and consistent	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	3.3	-0.3
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	3.4	-0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	3.4	-0.4
8. Graded assignments included comments	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	3.1	-0.1

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	3.3	0.2
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	3.6	-0.1
11. Was available for consultation	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	3.5	0.0
12. Satisfact answered class questions	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	3.4	0.1
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	2.5	3.1	-0.6
14. Encouraged class participation	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	3.3	-0.3

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	3.2	-0.2
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	3.2	-0.2
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	3.1	-0.1
18. Gained undrstdn of concepts & prin.	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	3.3	-0.3
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	2	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	2.0	2.9	-0.9

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	3.0	3.2	-0.2
21. Overall quality of teaching	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	3.3	0.7

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 480 001L1 ADV TOPS GLY :ORGANIC PETROLOGY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Rimmer, S M

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 2

Number of forms scanned = 2

Number of forms with at least one student response = 2

Percent completed = 100.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 645 001L1 TOPS IN PETROLOGY AND GEOCHEMISTRY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Rimmer, S M

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	2	50.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	2	50.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	4	100.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	1	25.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	1	25.0	3 hours	1	25.0
Other (e.g. elective)	2	50.0	4 - 5 hours	2	50.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	1	25.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
4. Exams reflected what was taught	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
5. Grading was fair and consistent	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
8. Graded assignments included comments	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
12. Satisfact answered class questions	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
14. Encouraged class participation	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	2.9	0.9

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
21. Overall quality of teaching	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 645 001L1 TOPS IN PETROLOGY AND GEOCHEMISTRY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Rimmer, S M

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 7

Number of forms scanned = 6

Number of forms with at least one student response = 6

Percent completed = 85.7 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 530 001L1 LOW TEMPERATURE GEOCHEM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Rowe, Harold D

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	6	75.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	2	25.0
Junior	1	12.5	C	0	0.0
Senior	3	37.5	D	0	0.0
Graduate	3	37.5	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	1	12.5	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	12.5
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	3	37.5	3 hours	6	75.0
Other (e.g. elective)	5	62.5	4 - 5 hours	1	12.5
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	.	3.6	3.2	0.4
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
4. Exams reflected what was taught	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
5. Grading was fair and consistent	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	9	0.0	11.1	33.3	55.6	.	3.4	3.4	0.0
8. Graded assignments included comments	9	0.0	22.2	44.4	33.3	.	3.1	3.1	0.0

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.6	0.3
11. Was available for consultation	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.5	0.2
12. Satisfact answered class questions	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	.	3.6	3.1	0.5
14. Encouraged class participation	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.3	0.6

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	8	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.1	0.6
18. Gained undrstdn of concepts & prin.	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	.	3.6	2.9	0.7

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
21. Overall quality of teaching	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.3	0.6

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 530 001L1 LOW TEMPERATURE GEOCHEM

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Rowe, Harold D

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 10

Number of forms scanned = 9

Number of forms with at least one student response = 9

Percent completed = 90.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 550 001L1 FUNDAMENTAL GEOPHYSICS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Woolery, Edward W

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	3	33.3
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	5	55.6
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	9	100.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	11.1

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	2	25.0	3 hours	2	22.2
Other (e.g. elective)	6	75.0	4 - 5 hours	7	77.8
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.2	0.7
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
4. Exams reflected what was taught	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
5. Grading was fair and consistent	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	.	3.6	3.4	0.2
8. Graded assignments included comments	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	9	0.0	11.1	33.3	55.6	.	3.4	3.3	0.1
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.6	0.3
11. Was available for consultation	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
12. Satisfact answered class questions	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	9	0.0	22.2	44.4	33.3	.	3.1	3.1	0.0
14. Encouraged class participation	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	.	3.6	3.3	0.3

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	.	3.6	3.2	0.4
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.1	0.7
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.3	0.4
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	9	0.0	44.4	33.3	22.2	.	2.8	2.9	-0.1

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% Coll				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	9	0.0	11.1	11.1	77.8	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
21. Overall quality of teaching	9	0.0	11.1	11.1	77.8	.	3.7	3.3	0.4

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA Page 2  
 Fall 2007 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Earth & Environmental Sciences  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = GLY 550 001L1 FUNDAMENTAL GEOPHYSICS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Woolery, Edward W

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 10

Number of forms scanned = 10

Number of forms with at least one student response = 9

Percent completed = 90.0 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to your copy of "STUDENT RATINGS OF TEACHING - INTERPRETATION GUIDELINES AND OBSERVATIONS." If a copy is not attached, see your department chairperson. An electronic copy is also available at UK web page [www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html](http://www.uky.edu/IR/dsi/tce/tce7016.html). For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 7-4110.