

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2008 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = AFS 111 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Hedge, Jesse

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	8	88.9	A	8	88.9
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	1	11.1
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	1	11.1	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	4	44.4
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	5	55.6
Required by my major	1	11.1	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	8	88.9	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		SD	D	A	SA			Mean	Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	10	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.32	3.9	3.4	0.5
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	10	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.32	3.9	3.2	0.7
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	10	0.0	0.0	30.0	70.0	0.48	3.7	3.3	0.4
4. Exams reflected what was taught	10	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.00	4.0	3.4	0.6
5. Grading was fair and consistent	10	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.32	3.9	3.4	0.5
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	10	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.42	3.8	3.4	0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	10	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.42	3.8	3.4	0.4
8. Graded assignments included comments	10	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.32	3.9	3.1	0.8

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	10	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.32	3.9	3.3	0.6
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	10	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.32	3.9	3.6	0.3
11. Was available for consultation	10	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.32	3.9	3.5	0.4
12. Satisfact answered class questions	10	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.32	3.9	3.4	0.5
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	10	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.32	3.9	3.2	0.7
14. Encouraged class participation	10	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.32	3.9	3.4	0.5

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	10	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.52	3.6	3.3	0.3
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	10	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.42	3.8	3.4	0.4
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	10	0.0	0.0	30.0	70.0	0.48	3.7	3.0	0.7

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		P	F	G	E			Mean	Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	10	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.00	4.0	3.2	0.8
21. Overall quality of teaching	10	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.32	3.9	3.4	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2008 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = AFS 411 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES IVA

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Hedge, Jesse

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	1	100.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	1	100.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	1	100.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	1	100.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				COLL		
		SD	D	A	SA	SD	Mean	Mean Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
4. Exams reflected what was taught	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
5. Grading was fair and consistent	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
8. Graded assignments included comments	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.1	0.7

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.6	0.2
11. Was available for consultation	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.5	0.3
12. Satisfact answered class questions	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
14. Encouraged class participation	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.4	0.4

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.0	0.8

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				COLL		
		P	F	G	E	SD	Mean	Mean Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
21. Overall quality of teaching	6	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	.	3.8	3.4	0.4

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	COLL	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2008 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = AFS 111 002L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = O'Dell, Kelvin B

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	8	100.0	A	7	87.5
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	12.5

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	12.5
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	4	50.0
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	2	25.0
Other (e.g. elective)	8	100.0	4 - 5 hours	1	12.5
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		SD	D	A	SA			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	15	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.46	3.7	3.4	0.3
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	15	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.41	3.8	3.2	0.6
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	15	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.46	3.7	3.3	0.4
4. Exams reflected what was taught	15	0.0	0.0	13.3	86.7	0.35	3.9	3.4	0.5
5. Grading was fair and consistent	15	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.41	3.8	3.4	0.4
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	15	0.0	0.0	13.3	86.7	0.35	3.9	3.4	0.5
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.38	3.8	3.4	0.4
8. Graded assignments included comments	14	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.47	3.7	3.1	0.6

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	15	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.46	3.7	3.3	0.4
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	15	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.46	3.7	3.6	0.1
11. Was available for consultation	15	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.46	3.7	3.5	0.2
12. Satisfact answered class questions	15	0.0	0.0	13.3	86.7	0.35	3.9	3.4	0.5
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	15	0.0	0.0	13.3	86.7	0.35	3.9	3.2	0.7
14. Encouraged class participation	15	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.41	3.8	3.4	0.4

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	15	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.46	3.7	3.2	0.5
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	15	0.0	0.0	13.3	86.7	0.35	3.9	3.3	0.6
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	15	0.0	0.0	13.3	86.7	0.35	3.9	3.2	0.7
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	15	0.0	0.0	13.3	86.7	0.35	3.9	3.4	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	14	0.0	0.0	21.4	78.6	0.43	3.8	3.0	0.8

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		P	F	G	E			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	15	0.0	0.0	13.3	86.7	0.35	3.9	3.2	0.7
21. Overall quality of teaching	15	0.0	0.0	13.3	86.7	0.35	3.9	3.4	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	COLL		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.1	0.4
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
28. Guided student report preparation	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
29. Students free to express opinions	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.4	0.1
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
31. Developed abil to conduct research	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
33. Amount of work appropriate	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
34. Instructor explained expectations	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2008 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = AFS 311 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES III

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = O'Dell, Kelvin B

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	11	84.6
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	2	15.4
Junior	11	91.7	C	0	0.0
Senior	1	8.3	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	8	61.5
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	4	30.8
Required by my major	2	16.7	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	10	83.3	4 - 5 hours	1	7.7
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		SD	D	A	SA			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	13	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.44	3.8	3.4	0.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	13	0.0	0.0	46.2	53.8	0.52	3.5	3.2	0.3
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	13	0.0	7.7	30.8	61.5	0.66	3.5	3.3	0.2
4. Exams reflected what was taught	13	0.0	0.0	38.5	61.5	0.51	3.6	3.4	0.2
5. Grading was fair and consistent	13	0.0	0.0	38.5	61.5	0.51	3.6	3.4	0.2
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	13	0.0	0.0	46.2	53.8	0.52	3.5	3.4	0.1
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	13	0.0	0.0	38.5	61.5	0.51	3.6	3.4	0.2
8. Graded assignments included comments	13	0.0	7.7	38.5	53.8	0.66	3.5	3.1	0.4

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	13	0.0	0.0	38.5	61.5	0.51	3.6	3.3	0.3
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	13	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.44	3.8	3.6	0.2
11. Was available for consultation	13	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.44	3.8	3.5	0.3
12. Satisfact answered class questions	13	0.0	0.0	30.8	69.2	0.48	3.7	3.4	0.3
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	13	0.0	7.7	38.5	53.8	0.66	3.5	3.2	0.3
14. Encouraged class participation	13	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.44	3.8	3.4	0.4

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	13	7.7	0.0	30.8	61.5	0.88	3.5	3.2	0.3
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	12	8.3	0.0	33.3	58.3	0.90	3.4	3.3	0.1
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	12	8.3	0.0	33.3	58.3	0.90	3.4	3.2	0.2
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	13	0.0	0.0	38.5	61.5	0.51	3.6	3.4	0.2
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	13	7.7	0.0	30.8	61.5	0.88	3.5	3.0	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		P	F	G	E			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	13	7.7	0.0	30.8	61.5	0.88	3.5	3.2	0.3
21. Overall quality of teaching	13	0.0	7.7	7.7	84.6	0.60	3.8	3.4	0.4

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	COLL		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	-3.1	
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	-3.1	
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	-3.2	
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	-3.2	
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	-3.2	
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	-3.4	
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	-3.2	
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	-3.2	
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	-3.2	
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2008 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = AFS 112 001S1 LEADERSHIP LABORATORY I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steven

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	8	100.0	A	1	12.5
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	7	87.5

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	5	62.5
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	2	25.0
Required by my major	1	12.5	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	7	87.5	4 - 5 hours	1	12.5
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				COLL		
		SD	D	A	SA	SD	Mean	Mean Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	9	0.0	11.1	22.2	66.7	3.6	3.4	0.2
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	3.2	0.1
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	6	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	3.3	0.2
4. Exams reflected what was taught	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	3.6	3.4	0.2
5. Grading was fair and consistent	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	3.4	0.4
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	3.4	0.2
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	3.4	0.4
8. Graded assignments included comments	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	3.6	3.1	0.5

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	3.3	0.1
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	3.6	0.1
11. Was available for consultation	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	3.5	0.2
12. Satisfact answered class questions	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	3.4	0.3
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	3.2	0.2
14. Encouraged class participation	8	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	3.4	0.1

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	3.2	0.5
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	3.3	0.3
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	3.2	0.5
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	3.8	3.4	0.4
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	3.0	0.6

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				COLL		
		P	F	G	E	SD	Mean	Mean Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	3.2	0.6
21. Overall quality of teaching	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	3.4	0.4

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	-3.1	
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	-3.1	
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	-3.2	
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	-3.2	
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	-3.2	
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	-3.4	
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	-3.2	
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	-3.2	
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	-3.2	
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2008 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = AFS 112 001S2 LEADERSHIP LABORATORY I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steven

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	9	100.0	A	6	60.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	4	40.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	11.1
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	4	44.4
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	2	22.2
Other (e.g. elective)	9	100.0	4 - 5 hours	1	11.1
			6 - 7 hours	1	11.1
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		SD	D	A	SA			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	15	0.0	0.0	13.3	86.7	0.35	3.9	3.4	0.5
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	12	0.0	8.3	8.3	83.3	0.62	3.8	3.2	0.6
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	13	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.44	3.8	3.3	0.5
4. Exams reflected what was taught	12	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.39	3.8	3.4	0.4
5. Grading was fair and consistent	12	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.39	3.8	3.4	0.4
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	12	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.39	3.8	3.4	0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	10	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.42	3.8	3.4	0.4
8. Graded assignments included comments	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.47	3.7	3.1	0.6

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.3	0.6
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.6	0.3
11. Was available for consultation	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.5	0.4
12. Satisfact answered class questions	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.4	0.5
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.38	3.8	3.2	0.6
14. Encouraged class participation	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.4	0.5

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	14	0.0	0.0	21.4	78.6	0.43	3.8	3.2	0.6
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.3	0.6
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.2	0.7
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.4	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.38	3.8	3.0	0.8

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		P	F	G	E			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.2	0.7
21. Overall quality of teaching	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.4	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	COLL	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.1	-0.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	3	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	.	2.7	3.1	-0.4
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	4	25.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	.	2.8	3.2	-0.4
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
28. Guided student report preparation	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
29. Students free to express opinions	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.4	0.1
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
31. Developed abil to conduct research	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
34. Instructor explained expectations	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2008 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = AFS 211 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES II

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steven

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	1	12.5	A	5	62.5
Sophomore	7	87.5	B	2	25.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	1	12.5
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	5	62.5
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	2	25.0
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	8	100.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	1	12.5
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	COLL		
		SD	D	A	SA		Mean	Mean	Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
4. Exams reflected what was taught	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
5. Grading was fair and consistent	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
8. Graded assignments included comments	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
12. Satisfact answered class questions	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
14. Encouraged class participation	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.0	1.0

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				SD	COLL		
		P	F	G	E		Mean	Mean	Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
21. Overall quality of teaching	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	COLL		
		SD (1)	D (2)	A (3)	SA (4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.1	0.4
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2008 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = AFS 212 001S2 LEADERSHIP LABORATORY II

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steven

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	0	0.0
Sophomore	7	100.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	7	100.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	42.9
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	4	57.1
Other (e.g. elective)	7	100.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				COLL		
		SD	D	A	SA	SD	Mean	Mean Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
4. Exams reflected what was taught	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
5. Grading was fair and consistent	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
8. Graded assignments included comments	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.6	0.3
11. Was available for consultation	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.5	0.4
12. Satisfact answered class questions	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.2	0.7
14. Encouraged class participation	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.0	1.0

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				COLL		
		P	F	G	E	SD	Mean	Mean Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.2	0.7
21. Overall quality of teaching	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.4	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	COLL	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
33. Amount of work appropriate	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
34. Instructor explained expectations	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
35. Instructor helped with my problems	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2008 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = AFS 312 001A2 LEADERSHIP LAB IIIA

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steven

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	4	36.4
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	9	90.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	1	10.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	7	63.6

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	9.1
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	9.1
Required by my major	1	10.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	9	90.0	4 - 5 hours	7	63.6
			6 - 7 hours	1	9.1
			8 or more hours	1	9.1

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		SD	D	A	SA			Mean	Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	12	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.45	3.8	3.4	0.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	.	3.8	3.3	0.5
4. Exams reflected what was taught	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	.	3.7	3.4	0.3
5. Grading was fair and consistent	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.47	3.7	3.4	0.3
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.4	0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
8. Graded assignments included comments	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.1	0.7

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	12	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.45	3.8	3.3	0.5
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	12	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.45	3.8	3.6	0.2
11. Was available for consultation	12	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.45	3.8	3.5	0.3
12. Satisfact answered class questions	12	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.45	3.8	3.4	0.4
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.47	3.7	3.2	0.5
14. Encouraged class participation	12	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.45	3.8	3.4	0.4

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	13	7.7	0.0	15.4	76.9	0.87	3.6	3.2	0.4
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	13	7.7	0.0	23.1	69.2	0.88	3.5	3.3	0.2
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	13	7.7	0.0	23.1	69.2	0.88	3.5	3.2	0.3
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	13	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.44	3.8	3.4	0.4
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	12	8.3	0.0	25.0	66.7	0.90	3.5	3.0	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		P	F	G	E			Mean	Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	13	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.44	3.8	3.2	0.6
21. Overall quality of teaching	13	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.28	3.9	3.4	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	-3.1	
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	-3.1	
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	-3.2	
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	-3.2	
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	-3.2	
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	-3.4	
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	-3.2	
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	-3.2	
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	-3.2	
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*