

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	101	79.5
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	25	19.7
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	109	85.8	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	18	14.2	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	0.8

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	31	24.4
Studies Program	5	3.9	2 hours	30	23.6
Required by my major	115	90.6	3 hours	26	20.5
Other (e.g. elective)	7	5.5	4 - 5 hours	28	22.0
			6 - 7 hours	9	7.1
			8 or more hours	3	2.4

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	16	12.7	Not Applicable	1	0.8
1	18	14.3	Windows Xp/2000	27	21.8
2	14	11.1	Windows Vista	32	25.8
3	5	4.0	Window 7	36	29.0
4	1	0.8	MAC OS	27	21.8
5	1	0.8	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	71	56.3	Other	1	0.8

N	%		%		Mean	SD
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	127	0.0	1.6	70.1	28.3	3.3	0.48
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	109	5.5	6.4	70.6	17.4	3.0	0.68
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	118	2.5	8.5	65.3	23.7	3.1	0.65
4. Exams reflected what was taught	107	1.9	0.9	68.2	29.0	3.2	0.56
5. Grading was fair and consistent	125	1.6	0.8	64.8	32.8	3.3	0.57
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	115	2.6	3.5	67.8	26.1	3.2	0.61
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	122	1.6	7.4	61.5	29.5	3.2	0.63
8. Graded assignments included comments	83	3.6	24.1	50.6	21.7	2.9	0.77

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	124	2.4	2.4	71.8	23.4	3.2	0.58
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	124	0.8	0.0	71.0	28.2	3.3	0.50
11. Was available for consultation	103	2.9	4.9	63.1	29.1	3.2	0.65
12. Satisfact answered class questions	118	1.7	1.7	72.0	24.6	3.2	0.54
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	121	1.7	3.3	70.2	24.8	3.2	0.56

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	113	1.8	5.3	69.9	23.0	3.1	0.58
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	122	3.3	3.3	69.7	23.8	3.1	0.62
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	121	3.3	2.5	72.7	21.5	3.1	0.60
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	124	3.2	0.8	73.4	22.6	3.2	0.58
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	122	4.1	21.3	52.5	22.1	2.9	0.77

N	%		%		Mean	SD
	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	125	4.0	13.6	65.6	16.8	3.0	0.68
21. Overall quality of teaching	126	2.4	5.6	69.0	23.0	3.1	0.61

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = HEALTH SCIENCES

Page 2

		% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		% Strongly Agree			
	N	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	Mean	SD		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY									
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	119	0.8	4.2	71.4	23.5	3.2	0.53		
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	118	1.7	4.2	71.2	22.9	3.2	0.56		
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	74	4.1	4.1	70.3	21.6	3.1	0.64		
25. Able to interact with other stu.	90	2.2	1.1	72.2	24.4	3.2	0.56		
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	78	5.1	1.3	69.2	24.4	3.1	0.67		
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	104	1.9	6.7	70.2	21.2	3.1	0.59		
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	94	1.1	7.4	68.1	23.4	3.1	0.58		
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	106	3.8	8.5	66.0	21.7	3.1	0.67		

Number of enrolled = at least 256

Number of responses = 127

Percent completed = 49.6 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = PUBLIC HEALTH

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	3	60.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	2	40.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	1	20.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	3	60.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	20.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	5	100.0	4 - 5 hours	2	40.0
			6 - 7 hours	2	40.0
			8 or more hours	1	20.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	3	60.0	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	1	20.0	Windows Xp/2000	1	20.0
2	1	20.0	Windows Vista	2	40.0
3	0	0.0	Window 7	1	20.0
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	1	20.0
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	5	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	3.2	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	3.6	.
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	3.2	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	3.6	.
11. Was available for consultation	4	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	4	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	3.2	.
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	4	0.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	3.5	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	5	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	3.2	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	4	0.0	50.0	25.0	25.0	2.8	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	3.2	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	.
	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	5	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	3.0	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	5	0.0	40.0	40.0	20.0	2.8	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = PUBLIC HEALTH

Page 2

		%	%	%	%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	5	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	2.4	.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	5	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	2.8	.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	5	20.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	2.4	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	5	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	5	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	5	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	2.8	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	4	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	2.8	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	5	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.

Number of enrolled = at least 10

Number of responses = 5

Percent completed = 50.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = AGRICULTURE

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	1	2.9	A	18	52.9
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	12	35.3
Junior	7	20.6	C	0	0.0
Senior	24	70.6	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	2	5.9	Pass or Audit	4	11.8

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	2.9
Required by my major	22	64.7	3 hours	6	17.6
Other (e.g. elective)	12	35.3	4 - 5 hours	9	26.5
			6 - 7 hours	7	20.6
			8 or more hours	11	32.4

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	15	45.5	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	8	24.2	Windows Xp/2000	5	14.7
2	3	9.1	Windows Vista	10	29.4
3	1	3.0	Window 7	11	32.4
4	3	9.1	MAC OS	8	23.5
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	3	9.1	Other	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	34	0.0	8.8	52.9	38.2	3.3	0.63
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	33	0.0	6.1	42.4	51.5	3.5	0.62
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	34	5.9	8.8	44.1	41.2	3.2	0.84
4. Exams reflected what was taught	25	4.0	12.0	60.0	24.0	3.0	0.73
5. Grading was fair and consistent	32	3.1	6.3	53.1	37.5	3.3	0.72
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	33	3.0	9.1	51.5	36.4	3.2	0.74
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	31	3.2	3.2	67.7	25.8	3.2	0.64
8. Graded assignments included comments	30	10.0	23.3	46.7	20.0	2.8	0.90
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	30	0.0	13.3	66.7	20.0	3.1	0.58
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	27	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	0.51
11. Was available for consultation	23	8.7	0.0	60.9	30.4	3.1	0.81
12. Satisfact answered class questions	22	4.5	13.6	45.5	36.4	3.1	0.83
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	24	0.0	4.2	41.7	54.2	3.5	0.59
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	29	0.0	0.0	62.1	37.9	3.4	0.49
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	33	0.0	9.1	54.5	36.4	3.3	0.63
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	30	0.0	3.3	66.7	30.0	3.3	0.52
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	32	0.0	0.0	59.4	40.6	3.4	0.50
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	33	6.1	6.1	51.5	36.4	3.2	0.81
	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	34	2.9	17.6	44.1	35.3	3.1	0.81
21. Overall quality of teaching	34	5.9	20.6	41.2	32.4	3.0	0.89

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = AGRICULTURE

Page 2

		% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD
	N	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	33	6.1	9.1	63.6	21.2	3.0	0.75
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	34	5.9	14.7	58.8	20.6	2.9	0.78
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	24	4.2	4.2	66.7	25.0	3.1	0.68
25. Able to interact with other stu.	30	6.7	3.3	60.0	30.0	3.1	0.78
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	34	0.0	8.8	61.8	29.4	3.2	0.59
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	28	3.6	7.1	75.0	14.3	3.0	0.61
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	20	5.0	25.0	55.0	15.0	2.8	0.77
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	33	0.0	9.1	63.6	27.3	3.2	0.58

Number of enrolled = at least 94

Number of responses = 34

Percent completed = 36.2 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	14	6.1	A	87	37.7
Sophomore	49	21.2	B	99	42.9
Junior	59	25.5	C	33	14.3
Senior	91	39.4	D	2	0.9
Graduate	3	1.3	E/Fail	1	0.4
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	15	6.5	Pass or Audit	9	3.9

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	7	3.0
Studies Program	49	21.2	2 hours	18	7.8
Required by my major	115	49.8	3 hours	35	15.2
Other (e.g. elective)	67	29.0	4 - 5 hours	62	26.8
			6 - 7 hours	37	16.0
			8 or more hours	72	31.2

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	142	61.5	Not Applicable	1	0.4
1	38	16.5	Windows Xp/2000	29	12.7
2	22	9.5	Windows Vista	49	21.5
3	15	6.5	Window 7	75	32.9
4	5	2.2	MAC OS	73	32.0
5	3	1.3	Linux	1	0.4
more than 5	6	2.6	Other	0	0.0

N	%		%		Mean	SD
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	230	1.7	5.7	43.5	49.1	3.4	0.68
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	223	2.2	9.4	42.2	46.2	3.3	0.74
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	226	4.0	7.1	45.6	43.4	3.3	0.77
4. Exams reflected what was taught	227	7.0	10.6	44.5	37.9	3.1	0.87
5. Grading was fair and consistent	230	3.9	8.7	45.7	41.7	3.3	0.77
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	225	3.1	11.6	46.2	39.1	3.2	0.77
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	226	6.6	9.7	37.6	46.0	3.2	0.88
8. Graded assignments included comments	190	13.7	19.5	34.7	32.1	2.9	1.02

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	218	6.0	16.1	40.8	37.2	3.1	0.88
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	207	1.0	2.4	42.5	54.1	3.5	0.60
11. Was available for consultation	189	4.2	5.3	45.5	45.0	3.3	0.76
12. Satisfact answered class questions	154	5.2	9.1	42.9	42.9	3.2	0.82
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	128	3.9	12.5	36.7	46.9	3.3	0.83

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	172	7.0	14.0	51.2	27.9	3.0	0.84
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	224	5.8	11.6	51.3	31.3	3.1	0.81
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	201	6.5	15.4	53.7	24.4	3.0	0.81
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	226	5.3	6.2	52.7	35.8	3.2	0.77
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	223	9.9	23.8	37.2	29.1	2.9	0.95

N	%		%		Mean	SD
	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	230	10.0	20.9	35.7	33.5	2.9	0.97
21. Overall quality of teaching	230	9.6	19.6	32.6	38.3	3.0	0.98

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES

Page 2

		% Strongly Disagree		% Disagree		% Agree		% Strongly Agree		Mean	SD
	N	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY											
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	229	6.6	11.4	46.3	35.8	3.1	0.85				
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	228	3.5	6.6	51.3	38.6	3.3	0.73				
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	159	3.1	14.5	53.5	28.9	3.1	0.75				
25. Able to interact with other stu.	172	13.4	23.8	38.4	24.4	2.7	0.98				
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	170	13.5	18.8	41.2	26.5	2.8	0.98				
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	222	5.4	11.7	41.4	41.4	3.2	0.85				
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	129	10.9	18.6	39.5	31.0	2.9	0.96				
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	220	5.5	8.6	49.5	36.4	3.2	0.80				

Number of enrolled = at least 787

Number of responses = 231

Percent completed = 29.4 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	72	78.3
Sophomore	3	3.2	B	16	17.4
Junior	5	5.4	C	3	3.3
Senior	31	33.3	D	0	0.0
Graduate	41	44.1	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	2	2.2	I	0	0.0
Other	11	11.8	Pass or Audit	1	1.1

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	3.3
Studies Program	4	4.4	2 hours	9	9.8
Required by my major	65	71.4	3 hours	15	16.3
Other (e.g. elective)	22	24.2	4 - 5 hours	31	33.7
			6 - 7 hours	15	16.3
			8 or more hours	19	20.7

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	23	24.7	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	9	9.7	Windows Xp/2000	9	10.1
2	12	12.9	Windows Vista	27	30.3
3	7	7.5	Window 7	34	38.2
4	5	5.4	MAC OS	16	18.0
5	8	8.6	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	29	31.2	Other	3	3.4

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	92	0.0	1.1	42.4	56.5	3.6	0.52
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	88	1.1	9.1	37.5	52.3	3.4	0.71
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	91	1.1	1.1	41.8	56.0	3.5	0.58
4. Exams reflected what was taught	75	2.7	0.0	44.0	53.3	3.5	0.64
5. Grading was fair and consistent	90	1.1	0.0	46.7	52.2	3.5	0.57
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	92	0.0	2.2	44.6	53.3	3.5	0.54
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	87	1.1	8.0	40.2	50.6	3.4	0.69
8. Graded assignments included comments	78	2.6	6.4	50.0	41.0	3.3	0.70
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	84	1.2	2.4	50.0	46.4	3.4	0.61
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	81	0.0	1.2	44.4	54.3	3.5	0.53
11. Was available for consultation	65	1.5	4.6	40.0	53.8	3.5	0.66
12. Satisfact answered class questions	58	1.7	0.0	48.3	50.0	3.5	0.60
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	66	0.0	1.5	48.5	50.0	3.5	0.53
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	78	0.0	5.1	65.4	29.5	3.2	0.54
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	92	0.0	1.1	63.0	35.9	3.3	0.50
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	87	0.0	1.1	65.5	33.3	3.3	0.49
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	92	0.0	0.0	54.3	45.7	3.5	0.50
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	92	1.1	7.6	48.9	42.4	3.3	0.66
	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	91	2.2	4.4	48.4	45.1	3.4	0.68
21. Overall quality of teaching	90	2.2	2.2	44.4	51.1	3.4	0.66

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION

Page 2

		%	%	%	%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	90	3.3	1.1	52.2	43.3	3.4	0.68
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	90	3.3	0.0	51.1	45.6	3.4	0.67
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	60	0.0	6.7	58.3	35.0	3.3	0.58
25. Able to interact with other stu.	79	2.5	7.6	53.2	36.7	3.2	0.70
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	79	3.8	6.3	50.6	39.2	3.3	0.74
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	85	1.2	2.4	50.6	45.9	3.4	0.60
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	54	3.7	9.3	42.6	44.4	3.3	0.79
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	89	2.2	1.1	47.2	49.4	3.4	0.64

Number of enrolled = at least 282

Number of responses = 93

Percent completed = 33.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = FINE ARTS

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	2	8.3	A	13	54.2
Sophomore	5	20.8	B	10	41.7
Junior	6	25.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	10	41.7	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	4.2	Pass or Audit	1	4.2

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	12.5
Studies Program	17	70.8	2 hours	6	25.0
Required by my major	1	4.2	3 hours	6	25.0
Other (e.g. elective)	6	25.0	4 - 5 hours	5	20.8
			6 - 7 hours	1	4.2
			8 or more hours	3	12.5

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	17	73.9	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	2	8.7	Windows Xp/2000	1	5.0
2	3	13.0	Windows Vista	3	15.0
3	0	0.0	Window 7	10	50.0
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	6	30.0
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	1	4.3	Other	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		% Strongly Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS									
1. Outlined course material and grading	24	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	3.4	0.49		
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	17	17.6	0.0	41.2	41.2	3.1	1.09		
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	24	4.2	12.5	50.0	33.3	3.1	0.80		
4. Exams reflected what was taught	23	4.3	13.0	39.1	43.5	3.2	0.85		
5. Grading was fair and consistent	24	0.0	12.5	54.2	33.3	3.2	0.66		
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	24	0.0	4.2	62.5	33.3	3.3	0.55		
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	22	4.5	18.2	50.0	27.3	3.0	0.82		
8. Graded assignments included comments	20	5.0	25.0	45.0	25.0	2.9	0.85		
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS									
9. Presented material effectively	20	0.0	5.0	70.0	25.0	3.2	0.52		
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	17	0.0	0.0	70.6	29.4	3.3	0.47		
11. Was available for consultation	18	5.6	16.7	38.9	38.9	3.1	0.90		
12. Satisfact answered class questions	12	0.0	0.0	58.3	41.7	3.4	0.51		
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.		
14. Encouraged class participation	12	0.0	0.0	58.3	41.7	3.4	0.51		
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES									
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	17	5.9	5.9	64.7	23.5	3.1	0.75		
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	21	19.0	0.0	61.9	19.0	2.8	0.98		
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	19	15.8	5.3	52.6	26.3	2.9	0.99		
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	24	4.2	4.2	62.5	29.2	3.2	0.70		
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	24	16.7	25.0	41.7	16.7	2.6	0.97		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS									
20. Overall value of the course	24	8.3	25.0	41.7	25.0	2.8	0.92		
21. Overall quality of teaching	24	8.3	16.7	54.2	20.8	2.9	0.85		

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = FINE ARTS

Page 2

		% Strongly		% Agree		Mean	SD
	N	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	23	4.3	0.0	78.3	17.4	3.1	0.60
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	24	0.0	12.5	75.0	12.5	3.0	0.51
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	15	0.0	13.3	73.3	13.3	3.0	0.53
25. Able to interact with other stu.	17	23.5	23.5	47.1	5.9	2.4	0.93
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	12	16.7	16.7	50.0	16.7	2.7	0.98
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	17	5.9	5.9	52.9	35.3	3.2	0.81
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	12	16.7	0.0	58.3	25.0	2.9	1.00
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	24	4.2	8.3	66.7	20.8	3.0	0.69

Number of enrolled = at least 66

Number of responses = 24

Percent completed = 36.4 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html