

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = HEALTH SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Communication Disorders
 COURSE = PT 904 210L1 HEALTH PROMOTION AND DISEASE PREVENTION
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = English, Mary

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	12	100.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	12	100.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	2	16.7	2 hours	2	16.7
Required by my major	10	83.3	3 hours	1	8.3
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	3	25.0
			6 - 7 hours	3	25.0
			8 or more hours	3	25.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	0	0.0	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	8	66.7	Windows Xp/2000	6	50.0
2	1	8.3	Windows Vista	2	16.7
3	1	8.3	Window 7	2	16.7
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	2	16.7
5	1	8.3	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	1	8.3	Other	0	0.0

N	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	12	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	0.49
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	12	0.0	25.0	41.7	33.3	3.1	0.79
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	12	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	3.6	0.51
4. Exams reflected what was taught	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	12	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	3.8	0.39
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	12	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	0.49
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	12	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	0.49
8. Graded assignments included comments	12	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	0.45

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	3.8	0.40
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	12	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	0.45
11. Was available for consultation	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	3.7	0.47
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	3.8	0.40

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	11	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	3.7	0.47
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	12	0.0	8.3	25.0	66.7	3.6	0.67
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	12	0.0	8.3	33.3	58.3	3.5	0.67
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	12	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	3.6	0.51
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	12	0.0	25.0	16.7	58.3	3.3	0.89

N	Poor		Excellent		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	12	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	0.45
21. Overall quality of teaching	12	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	3.8	0.39

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = HEALTH SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Communication Disorders
 COURSE = PT 904 210L1 HEALTH PROMOTION AND DISEASE PREVENTION
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = English, Mary

Page 2

		%	%	%	%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	12	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	0.45
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	12	8.3	0.0	16.7	75.0	3.6	0.90
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	7	0.0	14.3	14.3	71.4	3.6	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	12	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	3.6	0.51
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	12	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	3.6	0.51
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	10	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.53
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	12	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	0.49

Number of enrolled = 31
 Number of responses = 12
 Percent completed = 38.7 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = HEALTH SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Clinical Sciences
 COURSE = CNU 503 210L1 NUTRITION FOR HP
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Boosalis, Maria

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	28	96.6
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	1	3.4
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	24	82.8	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	5	17.2	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	7	24.1
Studies Program	1	3.4	2 hours	7	24.1
Required by my major	23	79.3	3 hours	6	20.7
Other (e.g. elective)	5	17.2	4 - 5 hours	8	27.6
			6 - 7 hours	1	3.4
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	7	24.1	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	2	6.9	Windows Xp/2000	5	17.2
2	5	17.2	Windows Vista	5	17.2
3	1	3.4	Window 7	12	41.4
4	1	3.4	MAC OS	7	24.1
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	13	44.8	Other	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	29	0.0	3.4	79.3	17.2	3.1	0.44
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	28	0.0	3.6	89.3	7.1	3.0	0.33
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	29	0.0	10.3	72.4	17.2	3.1	0.53
4. Exams reflected what was taught	29	0.0	0.0	65.5	34.5	3.3	0.48
5. Grading was fair and consistent	29	0.0	0.0	75.9	24.1	3.2	0.44
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	28	3.6	3.6	75.0	17.9	3.1	0.60
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	29	0.0	0.0	69.0	31.0	3.3	0.47
8. Graded assignments included comments	16	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	2.8	0.45
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	29	3.4	3.4	82.8	10.3	3.0	0.53
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	29	0.0	0.0	75.9	24.1	3.2	0.44
11. Was available for consultation	20	0.0	10.0	70.0	20.0	3.1	0.55
12. Satisfact answered class questions	21	0.0	0.0	90.5	9.5	3.1	0.30
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	26	0.0	7.7	76.9	15.4	3.1	0.48
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	23	0.0	13.0	82.6	4.3	2.9	0.42
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	27	0.0	3.7	92.6	3.7	3.0	0.28
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	27	0.0	3.7	88.9	7.4	3.0	0.34
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	28	0.0	0.0	92.9	7.1	3.1	0.26
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	28	0.0	25.0	64.3	10.7	2.9	0.59
	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	28	3.6	25.0	67.9	3.6	2.7	0.60
21. Overall quality of teaching	28	3.6	10.7	78.6	7.1	2.9	0.57

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = HEALTH SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Clinical Sciences
 COURSE = CNU 503 210L1 NUTRITION FOR HP
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Boosalis, Maria

Page 2

		%		%	%	%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD	
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY								
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	29	0.0	10.3	79.3	10.3	3.0	0.46	
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	28	0.0	10.7	78.6	10.7	3.0	0.47	
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	15	6.7	0.0	93.3	0.0	2.9	0.52	
25. Able to interact with other stu.	14	7.1	7.1	85.7	0.0	2.8	0.58	
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	17	5.9	0.0	82.4	11.8	3.0	0.61	
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	28	0.0	10.7	78.6	10.7	3.0	0.47	
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	20	5.0	15.0	75.0	5.0	2.8	0.62	
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	26	0.0	11.5	80.8	7.7	3.0	0.45	

Number of enrolled = 48
 Number of responses = 29
 Percent completed = 60.4 %

```
*****
* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
* of the class is absent or does not respond. *
*****
```

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = HEALTH SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Clinical Sciences
 COURSE = CNU 503 211L1 NUTRITION FOR HP
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Boosalis, Maria

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	8	80.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	2	20.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	9	90.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	1	10.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	4	40.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	4	40.0
Required by my major	9	90.0	3 hours	2	20.0
Other (e.g. elective)	1	10.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	2	20.0	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	1	10.0	Windows Xp/2000	2	20.0
2	1	10.0	Windows Vista	2	20.0
3	1	10.0	Window 7	3	30.0
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	3	30.0
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	5	50.0	Other	0	0.0

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	10	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	0.52
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	10	20.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	2.8	1.03
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	10	10.0	0.0	60.0	30.0	3.1	0.88
4. Exams reflected what was taught	10	0.0	0.0	70.0	30.0	3.3	0.48
5. Grading was fair and consistent	10	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	0.52
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	10	0.0	0.0	70.0	30.0	3.3	0.48
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	10	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	0.52
8. Graded assignments included comments	7	0.0	28.6	42.9	28.6	3.0	.
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	10	0.0	0.0	70.0	30.0	3.3	0.48
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	10	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	0.52
11. Was available for consultation	9	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	10	0.0	10.0	60.0	30.0	3.2	0.63
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	9	0.0	0.0	77.8	22.2	3.2	.
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	9	0.0	0.0	77.8	22.2	3.2	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	10	10.0	0.0	60.0	30.0	3.1	0.88
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	10	10.0	0.0	70.0	20.0	3.0	0.82
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	10	10.0	0.0	60.0	30.0	3.1	0.88
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	10	10.0	10.0	50.0	30.0	3.0	0.94
	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	10	10.0	0.0	70.0	20.0	3.0	0.82
21. Overall quality of teaching	10	0.0	10.0	70.0	20.0	3.1	0.57

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = HEALTH SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Clinical Sciences
 COURSE = CNU 503 211L1 NUTRITION FOR HP
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Boosalis, Maria

Page 2

	N	% Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	10	0.0	0.0	70.0	30.0	3.3	0.48
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	10	0.0	0.0	70.0	30.0	3.3	0.48
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	6	0.0	16.7	66.7	16.7	3.0	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	7	0.0	14.3	71.4	14.3	3.0	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	10	0.0	10.0	60.0	30.0	3.2	0.63
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	9	0.0	22.2	44.4	33.3	3.1	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	9	11.1	11.1	44.4	33.3	3.0	.

Number of enrolled = 15
 Number of responses = 10
 Percent completed = 66.7 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = HEALTH SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Clinical Sciences
 COURSE = PAS 640 210L2 SURVEY OF GERIATRIC MED
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Blankenship, Clint

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	17	81.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	4	19.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	21	100.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	4.8
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	2	9.5
Required by my major	21	100.0	3 hours	7	33.3
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	9	42.9
			6 - 7 hours	2	9.5
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	2	9.5	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	1	4.8	Windows Xp/2000	3	15.0
2	2	9.5	Windows Vista	7	35.0
3	1	4.8	Window 7	5	25.0
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	5	25.0
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	15	71.4	Other	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	21	0.0	0.0	81.0	19.0	3.2	0.40
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	20	10.0	5.0	75.0	10.0	2.9	0.75
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	17	0.0	0.0	88.2	11.8	3.1	0.33
4. Exams reflected what was taught	21	4.8	0.0	76.2	19.0	3.1	0.62
5. Grading was fair and consistent	21	4.8	0.0	76.2	19.0	3.1	0.62
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	17	5.9	5.9	76.5	11.8	2.9	0.66
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	21	4.8	9.5	71.4	14.3	3.0	0.67
8. Graded assignments included comments	14	0.0	28.6	64.3	7.1	2.8	0.58
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	21	4.8	0.0	76.2	19.0	3.1	0.62
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	21	0.0	0.0	85.7	14.3	3.1	0.36
11. Was available for consultation	17	5.9	0.0	76.5	17.6	3.1	0.66
12. Satisfact answered class questions	21	4.8	0.0	85.7	9.5	3.0	0.55
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	21	4.8	4.8	81.0	9.5	3.0	0.59
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	20	5.0	10.0	75.0	10.0	2.9	0.64
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	21	4.8	0.0	81.0	14.3	3.0	0.59
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	21	4.8	0.0	81.0	14.3	3.0	0.59
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	21	4.8	0.0	81.0	14.3	3.0	0.59
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	21	4.8	23.8	61.9	9.5	2.8	0.70
	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	21	4.8	4.8	81.0	9.5	3.0	0.59
21. Overall quality of teaching	21	4.8	0.0	85.7	9.5	3.0	0.55

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = HEALTH SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Clinical Sciences
 COURSE = PAS 640 210L2 SURVEY OF GERIATRIC MED
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Blankenship, Clint

Page 2

		%		%	%	%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD	
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY								
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	20	0.0	0.0	85.0	15.0	3.2	0.37	
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	20	0.0	0.0	85.0	15.0	3.2	0.37	
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	11	9.1	0.0	72.7	18.2	3.0	0.77	
25. Able to interact with other stu.	14	0.0	0.0	85.7	14.3	3.1	0.36	
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	12	0.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	3.2	0.39	
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	15	6.7	6.7	66.7	20.0	3.0	0.76	
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	16	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	0.45	
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	17	5.9	5.9	76.5	11.8	2.9	0.66	

Number of enrolled = 42
 Number of responses = 21
 Percent completed = 50.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = HEALTH SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Clinical Sciences
 COURSE = PAS 640 211L2 SURVEY OF GERIATRIC MED
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Blankenship, Clint

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	2	28.6
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	5	71.4
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	7	100.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	14.3
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	5	71.4
Required by my major	7	100.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	1	14.3
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	1	14.3	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	0	0.0	Windows Xp/2000	2	28.6
2	1	14.3	Windows Vista	2	28.6
3	0	0.0	Window 7	2	28.6
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	1	14.3
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	5	71.4	Other	0	0.0

N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	6	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	6	0.0	16.7	33.3	50.0	3.3	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	6	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.3	3.0	.

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
11. Was available for consultation	7	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	3.4	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	7	0.0	14.3	42.9	42.9	3.3	.

N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	7	0.0	14.3	57.1	28.6	3.1	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = HEALTH SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Clinical Sciences
 COURSE = PAS 640 211L2 SURVEY OF GERIATRIC MED
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Blankenship, Clint

Page 2

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	5	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	3.0	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	5	20.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	2.8	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	6	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	3.2	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.

Number of enrolled = 12
 Number of responses = 7
 Percent completed = 58.3 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = HEALTH SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Clinical Sciences
 COURSE = PAS 680 210S1 SEM IN PA STUDIES II
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Dawson, Pangela

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	16	84.2
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	2	10.5
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	19	100.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	5.3

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	16	84.2
Studies Program	2	10.5	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	17	89.5	3 hours	1	5.3
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	2	10.5
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	0	0.0	Not Applicable	1	5.6
1	4	21.1	Windows Xp/2000	4	22.2
2	1	5.3	Windows Vista	5	27.8
3	0	0.0	Window 7	4	22.2
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	3	16.7
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	14	73.7	Other	1	5.6

N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	19	0.0	5.3	68.4	26.3	3.2	0.54
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	4	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	18	5.6	27.8	44.4	22.2	2.8	0.86
4. Exams reflected what was taught	8	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	3.4	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	17	0.0	0.0	64.7	35.3	3.4	0.49
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	18	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	0.49
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	15	0.0	26.7	46.7	26.7	3.0	0.76
8. Graded assignments included comments	11	9.1	36.4	27.3	27.3	2.7	1.01

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	18	0.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	3.2	0.38
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	17	0.0	0.0	76.5	23.5	3.2	0.44
11. Was available for consultation	18	5.6	0.0	66.7	27.8	3.2	0.71
12. Satisfact answered class questions	19	0.0	5.3	68.4	26.3	3.2	0.54
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	18	0.0	5.6	72.2	22.2	3.2	0.51

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	17	0.0	0.0	76.5	23.5	3.2	0.44
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	17	0.0	11.8	64.7	23.5	3.1	0.60
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	16	0.0	6.3	68.8	25.0	3.2	0.54
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	17	0.0	5.9	70.6	23.5	3.2	0.53
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	15	0.0	20.0	53.3	26.7	3.1	0.70

N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	19	5.3	21.1	63.2	10.5	2.8	0.71
21. Overall quality of teaching	19	0.0	10.5	57.9	31.6	3.2	0.63

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = HEALTH SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Clinical Sciences
 COURSE = PAS 680 210S1 SEM IN PA STUDIES II
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Dawson, Pangelia

Page 2

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	14	0.0	14.3	64.3	21.4	3.1	0.62
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	15	0.0	13.3	66.7	20.0	3.1	0.59
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	11	0.0	0.0	63.6	36.4	3.4	0.50
25. Able to interact with other stu.	14	7.1	0.0	64.3	28.6	3.1	0.77
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	8	12.5	0.0	62.5	25.0	3.0	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	14	0.0	7.1	78.6	14.3	3.1	0.47
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	12	0.0	8.3	75.0	16.7	3.1	0.51
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	15	6.7	20.0	53.3	20.0	2.9	0.83

Number of enrolled = 54
 Number of responses = 19
 Percent completed = 35.2 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = HEALTH SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Clinical Sciences
 COURSE = PAS 640 210L1 SURVEY OF GERIATRIC MED
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Gairola, Gerry

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	13	61.9
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	8	38.1
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	21	100.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	4.8
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	5	23.8
Required by my major	20	95.2	3 hours	7	33.3
Other (e.g. elective)	1	4.8	4 - 5 hours	5	23.8
			6 - 7 hours	3	14.3
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	3	15.0	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	0	0.0	Windows Xp/2000	2	10.0
2	2	10.0	Windows Vista	7	35.0
3	1	5.0	Window 7	6	30.0
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	5	25.0
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	14	70.0	Other	0	0.0

N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	21	0.0	0.0	76.2	23.8	3.2	0.44
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	20	10.0	5.0	70.0	15.0	2.9	0.79
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	17	5.9	11.8	70.6	11.8	2.9	0.70
4. Exams reflected what was taught	21	4.8	4.8	76.2	14.3	3.0	0.63
5. Grading was fair and consistent	21	4.8	4.8	76.2	14.3	3.0	0.63
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	17	5.9	5.9	76.5	11.8	2.9	0.66
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	21	4.8	9.5	76.2	9.5	2.9	0.62
8. Graded assignments included comments	11	9.1	27.3	63.6	0.0	2.5	0.69

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	20	5.0	10.0	75.0	10.0	2.9	0.64
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	20	5.0	0.0	80.0	15.0	3.1	0.60
11. Was available for consultation	16	6.3	6.3	68.8	18.8	3.0	0.73
12. Satisfact answered class questions	21	4.8	0.0	81.0	14.3	3.0	0.59
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	21	4.8	0.0	85.7	9.5	3.0	0.55

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	18	5.6	5.6	66.7	22.2	3.1	0.73
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	20	10.0	0.0	65.0	25.0	3.1	0.83
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	20	10.0	0.0	75.0	15.0	3.0	0.76
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	21	9.5	0.0	71.4	19.0	3.0	0.77
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	21	14.3	19.0	52.4	14.3	2.7	0.91

N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	20	5.0	15.0	70.0	10.0	2.9	0.67
21. Overall quality of teaching	21	4.8	4.8	81.0	9.5	3.0	0.59

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = HEALTH SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Clinical Sciences
 COURSE = PAS 640 210L1 SURVEY OF GERIATRIC MED
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Gairola, Gerry

Page 2

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	19	5.3	0.0	84.2	10.5	3.0	0.58
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	19	5.3	0.0	84.2	10.5	3.0	0.58
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	13	7.7	0.0	76.9	15.4	3.0	0.71
25. Able to interact with other stu.	14	0.0	0.0	85.7	14.3	3.1	0.36
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	11	0.0	0.0	72.7	27.3	3.3	0.47
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	13	7.7	0.0	76.9	15.4	3.0	0.71
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	14	0.0	0.0	78.6	21.4	3.2	0.43
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	14	7.1	0.0	78.6	14.3	3.0	0.68

Number of enrolled = 42
 Number of responses = 21
 Percent completed = 50.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = HEALTH SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Clinical Sciences
 COURSE = PAS 640 211L1 SURVEY OF GERIATRIC MED
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Gairola, Gerry

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	5	62.5
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	3	37.5
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	8	100.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	12.5
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	5	62.5
Required by my major	8	100.0	3 hours	2	25.0
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	1	12.5	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	2	25.0	Windows Xp/2000	3	37.5
2	1	12.5	Windows Vista	2	25.0
3	0	0.0	Window 7	2	25.0
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	1	12.5
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	4	50.0	Other	0	0.0

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	8	0.0	12.5	62.5	25.0	3.1	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	8	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	3.4	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	8	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	3.4	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	7	0.0	14.3	71.4	14.3	3.0	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	8	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	3.4	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	6	16.7	16.7	50.0	16.7	2.7	.
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
11. Was available for consultation	8	0.0	12.5	62.5	25.0	3.1	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	8	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	3.4	.
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	8	0.0	25.0	50.0	25.0	3.0	.
	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	8	0.0	12.5	75.0	12.5	3.0	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = HEALTH SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Clinical Sciences
 COURSE = PAS 640 211L1 SURVEY OF GERIATRIC MED
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Gairola, Gerry

Page 2

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	6	0.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	3.2	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	3.3	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	6	16.7	0.0	66.7	16.7	2.8	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	7	0.0	14.3	57.1	28.6	3.1	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	7	0.0	14.3	71.4	14.3	3.0	.

Number of enrolled = 12
 Number of responses = 8
 Percent completed = 66.7 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = PUBLIC HEALTH
 DEPARTMENT = Dept Of Gerontology
 COURSE = GRN 731 010L1 ELDER MISTREATMENT
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Teaster, Pamela

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	3	60.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	2	40.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	1	20.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	3	60.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	20.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	5	100.0	4 - 5 hours	2	40.0
			6 - 7 hours	2	40.0
			8 or more hours	1	20.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	3	60.0	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	1	20.0	Windows Xp/2000	1	20.0
2	1	20.0	Windows Vista	2	40.0
3	0	0.0	Window 7	1	20.0
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	1	20.0
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	5	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	3.2	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	3.6	.
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	3.2	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	3.6	.
11. Was available for consultation	4	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	4	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	3.2	.
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	4	0.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	3.5	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	5	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	3.2	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	4	0.0	50.0	25.0	25.0	2.8	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	3.2	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	.

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	5	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	3.0	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	5	0.0	40.0	40.0	20.0	2.8	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = PUBLIC HEALTH
 DEPARTMENT = Dept Of Gerontology
 COURSE = GRN 731 010L1 ELDER MISTREATMENT
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Teaster, Pamela

Page 2

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Strongly Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	5	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	2.4	.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	5	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	2.8	.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	5	20.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	2.4	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	5	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	5	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	5	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	2.8	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	4	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	2.8	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	5	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.

Number of enrolled = 10
 Number of responses = 5
 Percent completed = 50.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = AGRICULTURE
 DEPARTMENT = Nutrition And Food Science
 COURSE = NFS 212 210L1 INTRODUCTORY NUTRITION
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Forsythe, Hazel

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	1	6.7	A	5	33.3
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	8	53.3
Junior	2	13.3	C	0	0.0
Senior	10	66.7	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	2	13.3	Pass or Audit	2	13.3

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	12	80.0	3 hours	1	6.7
Other (e.g. elective)	3	20.0	4 - 5 hours	4	26.7
			6 - 7 hours	5	33.3
			8 or more hours	5	33.3

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	9	64.3	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	2	14.3	Windows Xp/2000	2	13.3
2	0	0.0	Windows Vista	4	26.7
3	1	7.1	Window 7	5	33.3
4	1	7.1	MAC OS	4	26.7
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	1	7.1	Other	0	0.0

N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	15	0.0	13.3	60.0	26.7	3.1	0.64
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	14	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.52
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	15	6.7	6.7	53.3	33.3	3.1	0.83
4. Exams reflected what was taught	15	6.7	20.0	60.0	13.3	2.8	0.77
5. Grading was fair and consistent	15	6.7	13.3	46.7	33.3	3.1	0.88
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	14	7.1	14.3	50.0	28.6	3.0	0.88
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	15	0.0	6.7	66.7	26.7	3.2	0.56
8. Graded assignments included comments	14	7.1	35.7	42.9	14.3	2.6	0.84

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	13	0.0	23.1	69.2	7.7	2.8	0.55
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	10	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	0.52
11. Was available for consultation	12	16.7	0.0	58.3	25.0	2.9	1.00
12. Satisfact answered class questions	11	9.1	27.3	27.3	36.4	2.9	1.04
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	9	0.0	11.1	44.4	44.4	3.3	.

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	10	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	3.2	0.42
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	14	0.0	7.1	71.4	21.4	3.1	0.53
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	13	0.0	7.7	69.2	23.1	3.2	0.55
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	14	0.0	0.0	64.3	35.7	3.4	0.50
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	14	7.1	7.1	50.0	35.7	3.1	0.86

N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	15	6.7	20.0	60.0	13.3	2.8	0.77
21. Overall quality of teaching	15	13.3	26.7	46.7	13.3	2.6	0.91

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = AGRICULTURE
 DEPARTMENT = Nutrition And Food Science
 COURSE = NFS 212 210L1 INTRODUCTORY NUTRITION
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Forsythe, Hazel

Page 2

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	14	14.3	21.4	50.0	14.3	2.6	0.93
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	15	13.3	26.7	46.7	13.3	2.6	0.91
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	11	9.1	9.1	54.5	27.3	3.0	0.89
25. Able to interact with other stu.	12	8.3	8.3	58.3	25.0	3.0	0.85
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	15	0.0	6.7	53.3	40.0	3.3	0.62
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	15	0.0	6.7	66.7	26.7	3.2	0.56
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	9	11.1	33.3	33.3	22.2	2.7	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	14	0.0	14.3	64.3	21.4	3.1	0.62

Number of enrolled = 38
 Number of responses = 15
 Percent completed = 39.5 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = AGRICULTURE
 DEPARTMENT = Family Studies
 COURSE = FAM 403 210L1 MATE SELECTION THEORY AND RESEARCH
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Brock, Gregory

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	13	68.4
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	4	21.1
Junior	5	26.3	C	0	0.0
Senior	14	73.7	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	2	10.5

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	5.3
Required by my major	10	52.6	3 hours	5	26.3
Other (e.g. elective)	9	47.4	4 - 5 hours	5	26.3
			6 - 7 hours	2	10.5
			8 or more hours	6	31.6

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	6	31.6	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	6	31.6	Windows Xp/2000	3	15.8
2	3	15.8	Windows Vista	6	31.6
3	0	0.0	Window 7	6	31.6
4	2	10.5	MAC OS	4	21.1
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	2	10.5	Other	0	0.0

N	%				Mean	SD
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	19	0.0	5.3	47.4	47.4	3.4	0.61
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	19	0.0	10.5	36.8	52.6	3.4	0.69
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	19	5.3	10.5	36.8	47.4	3.3	0.87
4. Exams reflected what was taught	10	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	0.52
5. Grading was fair and consistent	17	0.0	0.0	58.8	41.2	3.4	0.51
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	19	0.0	5.3	52.6	42.1	3.4	0.60
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	16	6.3	0.0	68.8	25.0	3.1	0.72
8. Graded assignments included comments	16	12.5	12.5	50.0	25.0	2.9	0.96

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	17	0.0	5.9	64.7	29.4	3.2	0.56
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	17	0.0	0.0	52.9	47.1	3.5	0.51
11. Was available for consultation	11	0.0	0.0	63.6	36.4	3.4	0.50
12. Satisfact answered class questions	11	0.0	0.0	63.6	36.4	3.4	0.50
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	15	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	3.6	0.51

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	19	0.0	0.0	52.6	47.4	3.5	0.51
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	19	0.0	10.5	42.1	47.4	3.4	0.68
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	17	0.0	0.0	64.7	35.3	3.4	0.49
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	18	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	0.51
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	19	5.3	5.3	52.6	36.8	3.2	0.79

N	%				Mean	SD
	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	19	0.0	15.8	31.6	52.6	3.4	0.76
21. Overall quality of teaching	19	0.0	15.8	36.8	47.4	3.3	0.75

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = AGRICULTURE
 DEPARTMENT = Family Studies
 COURSE = FAM 403 210L1 MATE SELECTION THEORY AND RESEARCH
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Brock, Gregory

Page 2

		%		%	%	%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD	
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY								
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	19	0.0	0.0	73.7	26.3	3.3	0.45	
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	19	0.0	5.3	68.4	26.3	3.2	0.54	
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	13	0.0	0.0	76.9	23.1	3.2	0.44	
25. Able to interact with other stu.	18	5.6	0.0	61.1	33.3	3.2	0.73	
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	19	0.0	10.5	68.4	21.1	3.1	0.57	
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	13	7.7	7.7	84.6	0.0	2.8	0.60	
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	11	0.0	18.2	72.7	9.1	2.9	0.54	
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	19	0.0	5.3	63.2	31.6	3.3	0.56	

Number of enrolled = 56
 Number of responses = 19
 Percent completed = 33.9 %

```
*****
* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
* of the class is absent or does not respond. *
*****
```

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Gender & Women's Studies Program
 COURSE = GWS 200 219L2 INTRO GWS SOCIAL SCIENCE
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Cooper, Patricia

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	1	33.3
Sophomore	1	33.3	B	2	66.7
Junior	1	33.3	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	1	33.3	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	1	33.3	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	1	33.3	3 hours	1	33.3
Other (e.g. elective)	1	33.3	4 - 5 hours	2	66.7
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	2	66.7	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	0	0.0	Windows Xp/2000	1	33.3
2	0	0.0	Windows Vista	0	0.0
3	1	33.3	Window 7	2	66.7
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	0	0.0
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
11. Was available for consultation	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.

	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Gender & Women's Studies Program
 COURSE = GWS 200 219L2 INTRO GWS SOCIAL SCIENCE
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Cooper, Patricia

Page 2

		%		%	%	%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD	
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY								
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3		.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7		.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5		.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7		.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7		.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0		.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0		.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0		.

Number of enrolled = 10
 Number of responses = 3
 Percent completed = 30.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Gender & Women's Studies Program
 COURSE = GWS 200 219L1 INTRO GWS SOCIAL SCIENCE
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Dotson, Emily

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	4	57.1
Sophomore	1	14.3	B	3	42.9
Junior	3	42.9	C	0	0.0
Senior	1	14.3	D	0	0.0
Graduate	1	14.3	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	14.3	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	3	42.9	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	3	42.9	3 hours	3	42.9
Other (e.g. elective)	1	14.3	4 - 5 hours	3	42.9
			6 - 7 hours	1	14.3
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	3	42.9	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	1	14.3	Windows Xp/2000	1	14.3
2	0	0.0	Windows Vista	1	14.3
3	2	28.6	Window 7	4	57.1
4	1	14.3	MAC OS	1	14.3
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0

N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	.

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
11. Was available for consultation	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.

N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Gender & Women's Studies Program
 COURSE = GWS 200 219L1 INTRO GWS SOCIAL SCIENCE
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Dotson, Emily

Page 2

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	3.6	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.

Number of enrolled = 10
 Number of responses = 7
 Percent completed = 70.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Anthropology
 COURSE = ANT 242 219L1 ORIGINS-NEW WORLD CIVIL
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Hutson, Scott

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	1	10.0	A	2	20.0
Sophomore	1	10.0	B	5	50.0
Junior	3	30.0	C	2	20.0
Senior	4	40.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	10.0	Pass or Audit	1	10.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	10.0
Studies Program	6	60.0	2 hours	1	10.0
Required by my major	2	20.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	2	20.0	4 - 5 hours	1	10.0
			6 - 7 hours	2	20.0
			8 or more hours	5	50.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	9	90.0	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	1	10.0	Windows Xp/2000	3	30.0
2	0	0.0	Windows Vista	3	30.0
3	0	0.0	Window 7	2	20.0
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	2	20.0
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0

N	%				Mean	SD
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

	N	Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
1. Outlined course material and grading	10	0.0	10.0	30.0	60.0	3.5	0.71
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	10	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.0	2.9	0.32
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	10	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	3.0	0.67
4. Exams reflected what was taught	10	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	3.0	0.67
5. Grading was fair and consistent	10	0.0	10.0	60.0	30.0	3.2	0.63
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	10	0.0	10.0	70.0	20.0	3.1	0.57
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	10	20.0	60.0	10.0	10.0	2.1	0.88
8. Graded assignments included comments	10	0.0	30.0	60.0	10.0	2.8	0.63

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

	N	Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
9. Presented material effectively	10	20.0	20.0	30.0	30.0	2.7	1.16
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	10	10.0	0.0	40.0	50.0	3.3	0.95
11. Was available for consultation	10	10.0	0.0	50.0	40.0	3.2	0.92
12. Satisfact answered class questions	8	12.5	0.0	50.0	37.5	3.1	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	8	12.5	0.0	37.5	50.0	3.3	.

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

	N	Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	9	11.1	0.0	77.8	11.1	2.9	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	10	10.0	10.0	60.0	20.0	2.9	0.88
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	7	14.3	14.3	71.4	0.0	2.6	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	10	10.0	0.0	60.0	30.0	3.1	0.88
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	10	20.0	0.0	70.0	10.0	2.7	0.95

N	%				Mean	SD
	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

	N	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	Mean	SD
20. Overall value of the course	10	10.0	10.0	40.0	40.0	3.1	0.99
21. Overall quality of teaching	10	10.0	20.0	40.0	30.0	2.9	0.99

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Anthropology
 COURSE = ANT 242 219L1 ORIGINS-NEW WORLD CIVIL
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Hutson, Scott

Page 2

		%			%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	10	10.0	0.0	50.0	40.0	3.2	0.92
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	10	10.0	10.0	30.0	50.0	3.2	1.03
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	8	12.5	37.5	37.5	12.5	2.5	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	10	10.0	0.0	70.0	20.0	3.0	0.82
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	9	11.1	0.0	77.8	11.1	2.9	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	10	10.0	20.0	40.0	30.0	2.9	0.99
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	6	16.7	33.3	33.3	16.7	2.5	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	10	10.0	10.0	70.0	10.0	2.8	0.79

Number of enrolled = 29
 Number of responses = 10
 Percent completed = 34.5 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Anthropology
 COURSE = ANT 311 219L1 GLOBAL DREAMS AND LOCAL REALITIES
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Lyon, Sarah

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	1	5.3	A	8	42.1
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	6	31.6
Junior	5	26.3	C	1	5.3
Senior	13	68.4	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	4	21.1

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	4	21.1	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	4	21.1	3 hours	1	5.3
Other (e.g. elective)	11	57.9	4 - 5 hours	7	36.8
			6 - 7 hours	1	5.3
			8 or more hours	10	52.6

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	13	68.4	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	1	5.3	Windows Xp/2000	4	21.1
2	2	10.5	Windows Vista	4	21.1
3	0	0.0	Window 7	3	15.8
4	2	10.5	MAC OS	8	42.1
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	1	5.3	Other	0	0.0

N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

	N	% Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Agree (4)	Mean	SD
1. Outlined course material and grading	19	0.0	5.3	31.6	63.2	3.6	0.61
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	19	0.0	0.0	47.4	52.6	3.5	0.51
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	19	0.0	0.0	42.1	57.9	3.6	0.51
4. Exams reflected what was taught	19	0.0	5.3	52.6	42.1	3.4	0.60
5. Grading was fair and consistent	19	0.0	5.3	31.6	63.2	3.6	0.61
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	18	0.0	27.8	38.9	33.3	3.1	0.80
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	19	0.0	0.0	26.3	73.7	3.7	0.45
8. Graded assignments included comments	19	0.0	0.0	31.6	68.4	3.7	0.48

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

	N	% Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Agree (4)	Mean	SD
9. Presented material effectively	19	0.0	10.5	26.3	63.2	3.5	0.70
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	19	0.0	0.0	47.4	52.6	3.5	0.51
11. Was available for consultation	18	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	3.6	0.51
12. Satisfact answered class questions	15	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	3.5	0.52
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	16	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	0.45

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

	N	% Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Agree (4)	Mean	SD
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	19	0.0	5.3	52.6	42.1	3.4	0.60
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	19	0.0	5.3	63.2	31.6	3.3	0.56
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	17	5.9	5.9	64.7	23.5	3.1	0.75
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	19	0.0	0.0	52.6	47.4	3.5	0.51
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	19	5.3	0.0	47.4	47.4	3.4	0.76

N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

	N	% Poor (1)	% Poor (2)	% Excellent (3)	% Excellent (4)	Mean	SD
20. Overall value of the course	19	0.0	10.5	26.3	63.2	3.5	0.70
21. Overall quality of teaching	19	0.0	5.3	26.3	68.4	3.6	0.60

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Anthropology
 COURSE = ANT 311 219L1 GLOBAL DREAMS AND LOCAL REALITIES
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Lyon, Sarah

Page 2

		%	%	%	%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	19	0.0	5.3	57.9	36.8	3.3	0.58
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	19	0.0	5.3	52.6	42.1	3.4	0.60
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	16	0.0	12.5	50.0	37.5	3.3	0.68
25. Able to interact with other stu.	19	0.0	15.8	52.6	31.6	3.2	0.69
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	18	5.6	11.1	33.3	50.0	3.3	0.89
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	19	0.0	10.5	31.6	57.9	3.5	0.70
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	5	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	3.2	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	19	0.0	5.3	47.4	47.4	3.4	0.61

Number of enrolled = 58
 Number of responses = 19
 Percent completed = 32.8 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Political Science
 COURSE = PS 360 219L2 POLITICS OF LAW AND COURTS
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Winger, Phillip

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	2	40.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	2	40.0
Junior	2	40.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	3	60.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	20.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	20.0
Required by my major	3	60.0	3 hours	2	40.0
Other (e.g. elective)	2	40.0	4 - 5 hours	1	20.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	1	20.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	3	60.0	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	0	0.0	Windows Xp/2000	1	20.0
2	1	20.0	Windows Vista	1	20.0
3	1	20.0	Window 7	2	40.0
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	1	20.0
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0

N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	3.2	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	3.2	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	5	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	3.2	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	5	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	5	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	2.6	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	5	40.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	1.8	.

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	5	20.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	2.4	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	5	0.0	40.0	40.0	20.0	2.8	.
11. Was available for consultation	3	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	2.3	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	3	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	2.7	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	.

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	2	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	2.5	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	5	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	3.0	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	3	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	2.7	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	5	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	3.2	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	5	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	2.4	.

N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	5	40.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	2.2	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	5	20.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	2.2	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Political Science
 COURSE = PS 360 219L2 POLITICS OF LAW AND COURTS
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Winger, Phillip

Page 2

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	5	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	3.0	.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	5	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	3.0	.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	3	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	3	33.3	33.3	33.3	0.0	2.0	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	4	50.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	1.8	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	5	40.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	2.2	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	5	20.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	2.8	.

Number of enrolled = 24
 Number of responses = 5
 Percent completed = 20.8 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Psychology
 COURSE = PSY 100 219L1 INTRO TO PSYCHOLOGY
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Brown, Tamara

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	4	13.8	A	6	20.7
Sophomore	7	24.1	B	16	55.2
Junior	7	24.1	C	6	20.7
Senior	7	24.1	D	0	0.0
Graduate	1	3.4	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	3	10.3	Pass or Audit	1	3.4

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	3.4
Studies Program	11	37.9	2 hours	4	13.8
Required by my major	15	51.7	3 hours	3	10.3
Other (e.g. elective)	3	10.3	4 - 5 hours	3	10.3
			6 - 7 hours	5	17.2
			8 or more hours	13	44.8

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	18	62.1	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	6	20.7	Windows Xp/2000	2	6.9
2	2	6.9	Windows Vista	8	27.6
3	1	3.4	Window 7	9	31.0
4	1	3.4	MAC OS	10	34.5
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	1	3.4	Other	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	29	3.4	6.9	48.3	41.4	3.3	0.75
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	28	7.1	14.3	57.1	21.4	2.9	0.81
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	29	6.9	20.7	58.6	13.8	2.8	0.77
4. Exams reflected what was taught	29	6.9	20.7	48.3	24.1	2.9	0.86
5. Grading was fair and consistent	29	3.4	17.2	58.6	20.7	3.0	0.73
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	29	10.3	17.2	55.2	17.2	2.8	0.86
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	28	28.6	25.0	39.3	7.1	2.3	0.97
8. Graded assignments included comments	25	36.0	44.0	12.0	8.0	1.9	0.91
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	29	10.3	17.2	51.7	20.7	2.8	0.89
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	28	3.6	0.0	42.9	53.6	3.5	0.69
11. Was available for consultation	28	10.7	7.1	64.3	17.9	2.9	0.83
12. Satisfact answered class questions	23	13.0	21.7	52.2	13.0	2.7	0.88
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	21	4.8	19.0	61.9	14.3	2.9	0.73
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	24	8.3	16.7	58.3	16.7	2.8	0.82
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	29	10.3	10.3	55.2	24.1	2.9	0.88
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	28	7.1	17.9	57.1	17.9	2.9	0.80
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	29	13.8	6.9	62.1	17.2	2.8	0.89
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	29	13.8	31.0	34.5	20.7	2.6	0.98
	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	29	17.2	31.0	37.9	13.8	2.5	0.95
21. Overall quality of teaching	29	17.2	24.1	27.6	31.0	2.7	1.10

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Psychology
 COURSE = PSY 100 219L1 INTRO TO PSYCHOLOGY
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Brown, Tamara

Page 2

		%			%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	%	Disagree (2)	%	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	29	17.2	17.2	51.7	13.8	2.6	0.94
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	29	10.3	13.8	62.1	13.8	2.8	0.82
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	28	3.6	21.4	53.6	21.4	2.9	0.77
25. Able to interact with other stu.	25	20.0	28.0	44.0	8.0	2.4	0.91
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	28	21.4	39.3	28.6	10.7	2.3	0.94
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	29	6.9	10.3	51.7	31.0	3.1	0.84
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	23	21.7	21.7	52.2	4.3	2.4	0.89
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	27	7.4	25.9	55.6	11.1	2.7	0.78

Number of enrolled = 90
 Number of responses = 29
 Percent completed = 32.2 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Psychology
 COURSE = PSY 215 219L1 EXPERIMENTAL PSYCHOLOGY
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Friedrich, Andrea

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	2	14.3	A	2	14.3
Sophomore	5	35.7	B	9	64.3
Junior	4	28.6	C	3	21.4
Senior	3	21.4	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	7.1
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	9	64.3	3 hours	4	28.6
Other (e.g. elective)	5	35.7	4 - 5 hours	8	57.1
			6 - 7 hours	1	7.1
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	9	64.3	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	2	14.3	Windows Xp/2000	2	14.3
2	1	7.1	Windows Vista	4	28.6
3	1	7.1	Window 7	2	14.3
4	1	7.1	MAC OS	6	42.9
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	14	0.0	7.1	71.4	21.4	3.1	0.53
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	14	7.1	28.6	50.0	14.3	2.7	0.83
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	14	7.1	0.0	64.3	28.6	3.1	0.77
4. Exams reflected what was taught	14	0.0	7.1	57.1	35.7	3.3	0.61
5. Grading was fair and consistent	14	14.3	42.9	35.7	7.1	2.4	0.84
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	14	7.1	35.7	50.0	7.1	2.6	0.76
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	14	0.0	14.3	64.3	21.4	3.1	0.62
8. Graded assignments included comments	14	0.0	7.1	57.1	35.7	3.3	0.61
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	13	0.0	15.4	53.8	30.8	3.2	0.69
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	12	0.0	0.0	58.3	41.7	3.4	0.51
11. Was available for consultation	12	0.0	16.7	25.0	58.3	3.4	0.79
12. Satisfact answered class questions	9	0.0	11.1	55.6	33.3	3.2	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	4	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	8	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	2.8	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	14	0.0	14.3	71.4	14.3	3.0	0.55
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	13	0.0	15.4	76.9	7.7	2.9	0.49
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	14	0.0	7.1	71.4	21.4	3.1	0.53
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	14	7.1	21.4	64.3	7.1	2.7	0.73
	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	14	7.1	14.3	57.1	21.4	2.9	0.83
21. Overall quality of teaching	14	7.1	28.6	35.7	28.6	2.9	0.95

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Psychology
 COURSE = PSY 215 219L1 EXPERIMENTAL PSYCHOLOGY
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Friedrich, Andrea

Page 2

		% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		% Strongly Agree		Mean	SD
	N	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY									
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	14	7.1	14.3	50.0	28.6	3.0	0.88		
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	14	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	3.3	0.47		
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	14	7.1	14.3	64.3	14.3	2.9	0.77		
25. Able to interact with other stu.	9	22.2	11.1	55.6	11.1	2.6	.		
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	13	7.7	7.7	76.9	7.7	2.8	0.69		
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	14	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	3.4	0.76		
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	9	0.0	22.2	55.6	22.2	3.0	.		
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	13	7.7	0.0	69.2	23.1	3.1	0.76		

Number of enrolled = 56
 Number of responses = 14
 Percent completed = 25.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = 8E130
 COURSE = STA 291 219L1 STATISTICAL METHOD
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Gebert, Mark

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	6	30.0
Sophomore	10	50.0	B	12	60.0
Junior	3	15.0	C	2	10.0
Senior	6	30.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	5.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	2	10.0
Required by my major	15	75.0	3 hours	3	15.0
Other (e.g. elective)	5	25.0	4 - 5 hours	5	25.0
			6 - 7 hours	6	30.0
			8 or more hours	4	20.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	11	55.0	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	4	20.0	Windows Xp/2000	2	10.5
2	1	5.0	Windows Vista	2	10.5
3	1	5.0	Window 7	7	36.8
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	8	42.1
5	2	10.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	1	5.0	Other	0	0.0

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	20	0.0	10.0	60.0	30.0	3.2	0.62
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	19	5.3	21.1	42.1	31.6	3.0	0.88
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	20	0.0	5.0	60.0	35.0	3.3	0.57
4. Exams reflected what was taught	20	0.0	5.0	75.0	20.0	3.2	0.49
5. Grading was fair and consistent	20	0.0	0.0	70.0	30.0	3.3	0.47
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	20	0.0	0.0	65.0	35.0	3.4	0.49
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	19	0.0	0.0	63.2	36.8	3.4	0.50
8. Graded assignments included comments	17	0.0	35.3	47.1	17.6	2.8	0.73
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	19	0.0	31.6	52.6	15.8	2.8	0.69
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	19	0.0	5.3	52.6	42.1	3.4	0.60
11. Was available for consultation	13	7.7	7.7	53.8	30.8	3.1	0.86
12. Satisfact answered class questions	12	8.3	8.3	66.7	16.7	2.9	0.79
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	10	0.0	50.0	30.0	20.0	2.7	0.82
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	12	0.0	25.0	58.3	16.7	2.9	0.67
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	19	0.0	10.5	73.7	15.8	3.1	0.52
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	19	0.0	10.5	78.9	10.5	3.0	0.47
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	18	0.0	11.1	66.7	22.2	3.1	0.58
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	18	11.1	44.4	33.3	11.1	2.4	0.86

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	20	0.0	35.0	50.0	15.0	2.8	0.70
21. Overall quality of teaching	20	5.0	30.0	40.0	25.0	2.9	0.88

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = 8E130
 COURSE = STA 291 219L1 STATISTICAL METHOD
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Gebert, Mark

Page 2

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	19	0.0	15.8	57.9	26.3	3.1	0.66
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	19	0.0	5.3	73.7	21.1	3.2	0.50
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	13	0.0	15.4	69.2	15.4	3.0	0.58
25. Able to interact with other stu.	12	0.0	66.7	25.0	8.3	2.4	0.67
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	9	0.0	44.4	44.4	11.1	2.7	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	18	0.0	22.2	55.6	22.2	3.0	0.69
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	9	0.0	22.2	66.7	11.1	2.9	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	19	0.0	5.3	73.7	21.1	3.2	0.50

Number of enrolled = 70
 Number of responses = 20
 Percent completed = 28.6 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Sociology
 COURSE = SOC 342 219L1 ORGANIZATIONS AND WORK IN SOCIETY
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Janoski, Thomas

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	4	44.4
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	4	44.4
Junior	3	33.3	C	0	0.0
Senior	6	66.7	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	11.1

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	3	33.3	3 hours	3	33.3
Other (e.g. elective)	6	66.7	4 - 5 hours	2	22.2
			6 - 7 hours	1	11.1
			8 or more hours	3	33.3

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	3	33.3	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	3	33.3	Windows Xp/2000	0	0.0
2	2	22.2	Windows Vista	3	33.3
3	1	11.1	Window 7	2	22.2
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	4	44.4
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	9	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	9	0.0	11.1	88.9	0.0	2.9	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	9	0.0	0.0	77.8	22.2	3.2	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	9	0.0	0.0	88.9	11.1	3.1	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	9	0.0	0.0	77.8	22.2	3.2	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	9	0.0	0.0	77.8	22.2	3.2	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	9	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	8	0.0	25.0	62.5	12.5	2.9	.
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	9	0.0	0.0	88.9	11.1	3.1	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	9	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
11. Was available for consultation	6	0.0	16.7	66.7	16.7	3.0	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	7	0.0	0.0	85.7	14.3	3.1	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	6	0.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	3.2	.
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	9	11.1	0.0	77.8	11.1	2.9	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	9	0.0	11.1	66.7	22.2	3.1	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	9	0.0	11.1	66.7	22.2	3.1	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	9	0.0	0.0	88.9	11.1	3.1	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	8	0.0	37.5	50.0	12.5	2.8	.
	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	9	0.0	22.2	55.6	22.2	3.0	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	9	0.0	22.2	66.7	11.1	2.9	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Sociology
 COURSE = SOC 342 219L1 ORGANIZATIONS AND WORK IN SOCIETY
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Janoski, Thomas

Page 2

		% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
	N	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	9	0.0	0.0	88.9	11.1	3.1	.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	9	0.0	0.0	88.9	11.1	3.1	.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	7	0.0	14.3	71.4	14.3	3.0	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	9	0.0	22.2	55.6	22.2	3.0	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	8	0.0	12.5	75.0	12.5	3.0	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	9	0.0	0.0	77.8	22.2	3.2	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	6	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	2.8	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	9	0.0	0.0	88.9	11.1	3.1	.

Number of enrolled = 35
 Number of responses = 9
 Percent completed = 25.7 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Biology
 COURSE = BIO 208 219L1 PRINCIPLES OF MICROBIO
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Beattie, Ruth

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	1	2.6	A	9	23.1
Sophomore	6	15.4	B	18	46.2
Junior	11	28.2	C	10	25.6
Senior	18	46.2	D	1	2.6
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	1	2.6
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	3	7.7	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	2	5.1	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	31	79.5	3 hours	2	5.1
Other (e.g. elective)	6	15.4	4 - 5 hours	4	10.3
			6 - 7 hours	8	20.5
			8 or more hours	25	64.1

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	24	61.5	Not Applicable	1	2.6
1	8	20.5	Windows Xp/2000	6	15.4
2	3	7.7	Windows Vista	10	25.6
3	3	7.7	Window 7	13	33.3
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	9	23.1
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	1	2.6	Other	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	39	0.0	2.6	48.7	48.7	3.5	0.55
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	39	0.0	7.7	41.0	51.3	3.4	0.64
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	39	2.6	10.3	41.0	46.2	3.3	0.77
4. Exams reflected what was taught	39	28.2	20.5	30.8	20.5	2.4	1.12
5. Grading was fair and consistent	39	7.7	7.7	56.4	28.2	3.1	0.83
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	39	2.6	7.7	46.2	43.6	3.3	0.73
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	39	0.0	0.0	38.5	61.5	3.6	0.49
8. Graded assignments included comments	26	38.5	19.2	30.8	11.5	2.2	1.08
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	33	9.1	27.3	45.5	18.2	2.7	0.88
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	27	0.0	3.7	55.6	40.7	3.4	0.56
11. Was available for consultation	30	0.0	6.7	73.3	20.0	3.1	0.51
12. Satisfact answered class questions	15	6.7	13.3	53.3	26.7	3.0	0.85
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	11	18.2	9.1	45.5	27.3	2.8	1.08
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	19	26.3	26.3	36.8	10.5	2.3	1.00
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	36	13.9	16.7	50.0	19.4	2.8	0.94
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	34	14.7	26.5	44.1	14.7	2.6	0.92
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	37	10.8	8.1	59.5	21.6	2.9	0.86
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	38	15.8	34.2	34.2	15.8	2.5	0.95
	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	39	15.4	38.5	30.8	15.4	2.5	0.94
21. Overall quality of teaching	39	20.5	28.2	33.3	17.9	2.5	1.02

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Biology
 COURSE = BIO 208 219L1 PRINCIPLES OF MICROBIO
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Beattie, Ruth

Page 2

		%			%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	%	Disagree (2)	%	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)
							Mean SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	39	5.1	23.1	43.6	28.2	2.9	0.86
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	38	2.6	13.2	55.3	28.9	3.1	0.73
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	18	5.6	33.3	50.0	11.1	2.7	0.77
25. Able to interact with other stu.	25	28.0	60.0	8.0	4.0	1.9	0.73
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	20	25.0	35.0	30.0	10.0	2.3	0.97
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	39	5.1	15.4	51.3	28.2	3.0	0.81
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	16	18.8	37.5	25.0	18.8	2.4	1.03
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	39	2.6	12.8	48.7	35.9	3.2	0.76

Number of enrolled = 104
 Number of responses = 39
 Percent completed = 37.5 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Biology
 COURSE = BIO 102 219L1 HUMAN ECOLOGY
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Gleeson, Scott

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	3	10.3	A	20	69.0
Sophomore	5	17.2	B	8	27.6
Junior	7	24.1	C	0	0.0
Senior	12	41.4	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	2	6.9	Pass or Audit	1	3.4

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	3.4
Studies Program	8	27.6	2 hours	6	20.7
Required by my major	12	41.4	3 hours	3	10.3
Other (e.g. elective)	9	31.0	4 - 5 hours	10	34.5
			6 - 7 hours	5	17.2
			8 or more hours	4	13.8

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	17	58.6	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	5	17.2	Windows Xp/2000	1	3.6
2	4	13.8	Windows Vista	5	17.9
3	1	3.4	Window 7	12	42.9
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	10	35.7
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	2	6.9	Other	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	28	0.0	0.0	35.7	64.3	3.6	0.49
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	28	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	0.44
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	27	0.0	0.0	29.6	70.4	3.7	0.47
4. Exams reflected what was taught	28	0.0	3.6	25.0	71.4	3.7	0.55
5. Grading was fair and consistent	28	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	0.46
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	27	0.0	3.7	29.6	66.7	3.6	0.56
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	27	0.0	7.4	22.2	70.4	3.6	0.63
8. Graded assignments included comments	21	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	3.4	0.75
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	28	0.0	7.1	39.3	53.6	3.5	0.64
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	28	0.0	0.0	21.4	78.6	3.8	0.42
11. Was available for consultation	24	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	0.44
12. Satisfact answered class questions	21	0.0	4.8	23.8	71.4	3.7	0.58
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	16	0.0	0.0	18.8	81.3	3.8	0.40
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	24	0.0	8.3	54.2	37.5	3.3	0.62
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	28	0.0	7.1	57.1	35.7	3.3	0.60
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	27	0.0	7.4	63.0	29.6	3.2	0.58
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	28	0.0	3.6	46.4	50.0	3.5	0.58
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	27	3.7	18.5	40.7	37.0	3.1	0.85
	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	28	0.0	10.7	39.3	50.0	3.4	0.69
21. Overall quality of teaching	28	0.0	7.1	32.1	60.7	3.5	0.64

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Biology
 COURSE = BIO 102 219L1 HUMAN ECOLOGY
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Gleeson, Scott

Page 2

		%	%	%	%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	28	0.0	3.6	35.7	60.7	3.6	0.57
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	28	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	0.46
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	17	5.9	0.0	41.2	52.9	3.4	0.80
25. Able to interact with other stu.	15	13.3	6.7	26.7	53.3	3.2	1.08
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	16	0.0	0.0	56.3	43.8	3.4	0.51
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	28	0.0	3.6	39.3	57.1	3.5	0.58
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	15	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	3.5	0.52
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	28	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.51

Number of enrolled = 92
 Number of responses = 29
 Percent completed = 31.5 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = English
 COURSE = ENG 264 219L1 MAJOR BLACK WRITERS
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Young, Vershaw

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	3	15.0
Sophomore	3	15.0	B	7	35.0
Junior	7	35.0	C	9	45.0
Senior	9	45.0	D	1	5.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	5.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	12	60.0	2 hours	1	5.0
Required by my major	8	40.0	3 hours	5	25.0
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	6	30.0
			6 - 7 hours	3	15.0
			8 or more hours	5	25.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	11	55.0	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	3	15.0	Windows Xp/2000	2	10.5
2	3	15.0	Windows Vista	5	26.3
3	2	10.0	Window 7	8	42.1
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	3	15.8
5	1	5.0	Linux	1	5.3
more than 5	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0

N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
1. Outlined course material and grading	20	15.0	25.0	40.0	20.0	2.7	0.99
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	20	5.0	20.0	45.0	30.0	3.0	0.86
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	20	25.0	15.0	30.0	30.0	2.7	1.18
4. Exams reflected what was taught	20	15.0	20.0	45.0	20.0	2.7	0.98
5. Grading was fair and consistent	20	15.0	20.0	45.0	20.0	2.7	0.98
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	20	10.0	30.0	40.0	20.0	2.7	0.92
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	20	25.0	15.0	50.0	10.0	2.5	1.00
8. Graded assignments included comments	20	25.0	15.0	45.0	15.0	2.5	1.05

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
9. Presented material effectively	20	20.0	30.0	25.0	25.0	2.6	1.10
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	19	0.0	5.3	63.2	31.6	3.3	0.56
11. Was available for consultation	16	12.5	12.5	43.8	31.3	2.9	1.00
12. Satisfact answered class questions	16	12.5	18.8	37.5	31.3	2.9	1.02
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	14	7.1	21.4	42.9	28.6	2.9	0.92

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	18	11.1	22.2	27.8	38.9	2.9	1.06
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	20	20.0	35.0	30.0	15.0	2.4	0.99
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	17	23.5	35.3	23.5	17.6	2.4	1.06
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	20	15.0	25.0	30.0	30.0	2.8	1.07
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	20	25.0	35.0	25.0	15.0	2.3	1.03

N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

	N	% Poor (1)	% Fair (2)	% Good (3)	% Excellent (4)	Mean	SD
20. Overall value of the course	20	40.0	25.0	15.0	20.0	2.2	1.18
21. Overall quality of teaching	20	25.0	35.0	20.0	20.0	2.4	1.09

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = English
 COURSE = ENG 264 219L1 MAJOR BLACK WRITERS
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Young, Vershawn

Page 2

		%			%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	%	Disagree (2)	%	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	20	30.0	20.0	25.0	25.0	2.5	1.19
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	20	15.0	10.0	50.0	25.0	2.9	0.99
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	10	0.0	10.0	70.0	20.0	3.1	0.57
25. Able to interact with other stu.	20	10.0	5.0	65.0	20.0	3.0	0.83
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	20	25.0	15.0	40.0	20.0	2.6	1.10
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	20	25.0	30.0	20.0	25.0	2.5	1.15
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	17	23.5	23.5	29.4	23.5	2.5	1.12
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	20	30.0	20.0	30.0	20.0	2.4	1.14

Number of enrolled = 54
 Number of responses = 20
 Percent completed = 37.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = History
 COURSE = HIS 121 219L1 WAR AND SOCIETY, 1914-1945
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Wilburn, Alayna

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	2	50.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	2	50.0
Junior	1	25.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	3	75.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University	1	25.0	1 hour or less	0	0.0
			2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	1	25.0
Other (e.g. elective)	3	75.0	4 - 5 hours	2	50.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	1	25.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	2	50.0	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	1	25.0	Windows Xp/2000	1	25.0
2	1	25.0	Windows Vista	1	25.0
3	0	0.0	Window 7	1	25.0
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	1	25.0
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
11. Was available for consultation	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = History
 COURSE = HIS 121 219L1 WAR AND SOCIETY, 1914-1945
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Wilburn, Alayna

Page 2

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.

Number of enrolled = 19
 Number of responses = 4
 Percent completed = 21.1 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Modern & Classical Languages
 COURSE = CLA 131 219L1 MED TERMINOL GREEK/LATIN
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Higgs, Calvin

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	2	8.7	A	18	78.3
Sophomore	10	43.5	B	5	21.7
Junior	2	8.7	C	0	0.0
Senior	6	26.1	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	3	13.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	13.0
Studies Program	1	4.3	2 hours	3	13.0
Required by my major	9	39.1	3 hours	4	17.4
Other (e.g. elective)	13	56.5	4 - 5 hours	8	34.8
			6 - 7 hours	4	17.4
			8 or more hours	1	4.3

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	17	73.9	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	3	13.0	Windows Xp/2000	3	13.0
2	2	8.7	Windows Vista	2	8.7
3	1	4.3	Window 7	8	34.8
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	10	43.5
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	23	0.0	0.0	30.4	69.6	3.7	0.47
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	23	0.0	0.0	13.0	87.0	3.9	0.34
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	20	0.0	0.0	35.0	65.0	3.7	0.49
4. Exams reflected what was taught	23	0.0	0.0	26.1	73.9	3.7	0.45
5. Grading was fair and consistent	23	0.0	0.0	17.4	82.6	3.8	0.39
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	20	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	0.44
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	22	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	3.8	0.39
8. Graded assignments included comments	11	0.0	9.1	36.4	54.5	3.5	0.69
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	19	0.0	0.0	26.3	73.7	3.7	0.45
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	17	0.0	0.0	23.5	76.5	3.8	0.44
11. Was available for consultation	16	0.0	0.0	18.8	81.3	3.8	0.40
12. Satisfact answered class questions	13	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	3.8	0.44
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	3.8	.
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	15	6.7	13.3	46.7	33.3	3.1	0.88
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	22	0.0	0.0	31.8	68.2	3.7	0.48
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	18	0.0	5.6	33.3	61.1	3.6	0.62
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	23	0.0	0.0	34.8	65.2	3.7	0.49
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	22	0.0	9.1	27.3	63.6	3.5	0.67
	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	23	0.0	8.7	39.1	52.2	3.4	0.66
21. Overall quality of teaching	23	0.0	4.3	43.5	52.2	3.5	0.59

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES
 DEPARTMENT = Modern & Classical Languages
 COURSE = CLA 131 219L1 MED TERMINOL GREEK/LATIN
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Higgs, Calvin

Page 2

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	23	0.0	0.0	30.4	69.6	3.7	0.47
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	23	0.0	0.0	34.8	65.2	3.7	0.49
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	15	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	3.6	0.51
25. Able to interact with other stu.	12	25.0	16.7	8.3	50.0	2.8	1.34
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	11	18.2	18.2	18.2	45.5	2.9	1.22
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	17	0.0	0.0	41.2	58.8	3.6	0.51
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	9	11.1	11.1	11.1	66.7	3.3	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	17	0.0	0.0	29.4	70.6	3.7	0.47

Number of enrolled = 82
 Number of responses = 23
 Percent completed = 28.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Educational, School and Counselin
 COURSE = EDP 613 210L1 SOCIAL PSY ISSUES IN ED
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Fedewa, Alicia

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	0	0.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	100.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	1	100.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	0	0.0	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	1	100.0	Windows Xp/2000	0	0.0
2	0	0.0	Windows Vista	0	0.0
3	0	0.0	Window 7	0	0.0
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	0	0.0
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
11. Was available for consultation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Educational, School and Counselin
 COURSE = EDP 613 210L1 SOCIAL PSY ISSUES IN ED
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Fedewa, Alicia

Page 2

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.

Number of enrolled = 18
 Number of responses = 1
 Percent completed = 5.6 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Educational, School and Counselin
 COURSE = EDP 683 210L1 TOPICS IN COUNSELING PSY: ETHICS
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Love, Keisha

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	3	75.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	1	25.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	2	50.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	2	50.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	3	75.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	1	25.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	3	75.0
			8 or more hours	1	25.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	3	75.0	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	0	0.0	Windows Xp/2000	0	0.0
2	0	0.0	Windows Vista	0	0.0
3	0	0.0	Window 7	1	50.0
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	1	50.0
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	1	25.0	Other	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	4	0.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	3.5	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
11. Was available for consultation	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	4	0.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	3.5	.
	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Educational, School and Counselin
 COURSE = EDP 683 210L1 TOPICS IN COUNSELING PSY: ETHICS
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Love, Keisha

Page 2

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.

Number of enrolled = 16
 Number of responses = 4
 Percent completed = 25.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Kinesiology - Health Promotion
 COURSE = KHP 240 210L1 NUTRITION & PHYSICAL FITNESS
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Abel, Mark

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	16	88.9
Sophomore	3	16.7	B	2	11.1
Junior	2	11.1	C	0	0.0
Senior	10	55.6	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	2	11.1	I	0	0.0
Other	1	5.6	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	5	27.8
Required by my major	14	82.4	3 hours	6	33.3
Other (e.g. elective)	3	17.6	4 - 5 hours	5	27.8
			6 - 7 hours	1	5.6
			8 or more hours	1	5.6

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	5	27.8	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	5	27.8	Windows Xp/2000	1	5.6
2	5	27.8	Windows Vista	7	38.9
3	1	5.6	Window 7	6	33.3
4	1	5.6	MAC OS	4	22.2
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	1	5.6	Other	0	0.0

N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	18	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	3.6	0.51
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	17	0.0	5.9	35.3	58.8	3.5	0.62
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	18	0.0	5.6	38.9	55.6	3.5	0.62
4. Exams reflected what was taught	18	0.0	0.0	38.9	61.1	3.6	0.50
5. Grading was fair and consistent	18	0.0	0.0	38.9	61.1	3.6	0.50
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	18	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	0.49
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	17	0.0	0.0	35.3	64.7	3.6	0.49
8. Graded assignments included comments	12	0.0	16.7	41.7	41.7	3.3	0.75

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	13	0.0	0.0	53.8	46.2	3.5	0.52
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	14	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.52
11. Was available for consultation	13	0.0	7.7	46.2	46.2	3.4	0.65
12. Satisfact answered class questions	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	12	0.0	8.3	50.0	41.7	3.3	0.65
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	18	0.0	0.0	72.2	27.8	3.3	0.46
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	16	0.0	0.0	68.8	31.3	3.3	0.48
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	18	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	0.49
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	18	0.0	5.6	55.6	38.9	3.3	0.59

N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	17	0.0	0.0	58.8	41.2	3.4	0.51
21. Overall quality of teaching	18	0.0	5.6	50.0	44.4	3.4	0.61

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Kinesiology - Health Promotion
 COURSE = KHP 240 210L1 NUTRITION & PHYSICAL FITNESS
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Abel, Mark

Page 2

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	18	0.0	0.0	61.1	38.9	3.4	0.50
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	18	0.0	0.0	61.1	38.9	3.4	0.50
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	3.6	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	15	0.0	13.3	53.3	33.3	3.2	0.68
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	18	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	0.49
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	18	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	0.51
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	10	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.53
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	18	0.0	0.0	61.1	38.9	3.4	0.50

Number of enrolled = 38
 Number of responses = 18
 Percent completed = 47.4 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Kinesiology - Health Promotion
 COURSE = KHP 200 210L1 HIS & PHILOSOPHY OF PHYSICAL ED & SPORT
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Crist, Randall

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	8	88.9
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	3	33.3	C	0	0.0
Senior	6	66.7	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	11.1

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	4	50.0	3 hours	2	22.2
Other (e.g. elective)	4	50.0	4 - 5 hours	4	44.4
			6 - 7 hours	2	22.2
			8 or more hours	1	11.1

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	3	33.3	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	0	0.0	Windows Xp/2000	1	12.5
2	2	22.2	Windows Vista	3	37.5
3	2	22.2	Window 7	1	12.5
4	1	11.1	MAC OS	3	37.5
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	1	11.1	Other	0	0.0

N	%		%		Mean	SD
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	9	0.0	11.1	33.3	55.6	3.4	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	9	11.1	0.0	33.3	55.6	3.3	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	9	11.1	0.0	33.3	55.6	3.3	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	9	0.0	11.1	44.4	44.4	3.3	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	9	0.0	11.1	44.4	44.4	3.3	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	9	11.1	0.0	55.6	33.3	3.1	.

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	7	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	3.4	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	6	0.0	16.7	33.3	50.0	3.3	.
11. Was available for consultation	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	5	0.0	20.0	20.0	60.0	3.4	.

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	8	0.0	12.5	37.5	50.0	3.4	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	8	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	3.4	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	9	11.1	0.0	55.6	33.3	3.1	.

N	%		%		Mean	SD
	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	9	0.0	11.1	33.3	55.6	3.4	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	9	11.1	0.0	33.3	55.6	3.3	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Kinesiology - Health Promotion
 COURSE = KHP 200 210L1 HIS & PHILOSOPHY OF PHYSICAL ED & SPORT
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Crist, Randall

Page 2

		%		%	%	%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD	
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY								
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	8	12.5	0.0	37.5	50.0	3.3		.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	8	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5		.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	3.3		.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	5	20.0	20.0	40.0	20.0	2.6		.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	7	14.3	28.6	14.3	42.9	2.9		.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	6	16.7	16.7	33.3	33.3	2.8		.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	3	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	2.7		.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	7	14.3	0.0	28.6	57.1	3.3		.

Number of enrolled = 22
 Number of responses = 9
 Percent completed = 40.9 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Kinesiology - Health Promotion
 COURSE = KHP 300 210L1 PSY & SOC OF PE & SPORT
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Erwin, Heather

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	13	92.9
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	1	7.1
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	14	100.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	7.1
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	7.1
Required by my major	10	71.4	3 hours	3	21.4
Other (e.g. elective)	4	28.6	4 - 5 hours	7	50.0
			6 - 7 hours	2	14.3
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	3	21.4	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	2	14.3	Windows Xp/2000	1	7.1
2	4	28.6	Windows Vista	9	64.3
3	1	7.1	Window 7	1	7.1
4	2	14.3	MAC OS	3	21.4
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	2	14.3	Other	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	0.36
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	14	0.0	7.1	14.3	78.6	3.7	0.61
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	14	0.0	0.0	21.4	78.6	3.8	0.43
4. Exams reflected what was taught	14	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	0.51
5. Grading was fair and consistent	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	0.36
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	0.36
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	0.36
8. Graded assignments included comments	14	0.0	7.1	42.9	50.0	3.4	0.65
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	13	0.0	7.7	30.8	61.5	3.5	0.66
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	13	0.0	0.0	38.5	61.5	3.6	0.51
11. Was available for consultation	12	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	0.45
12. Satisfact answered class questions	8	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	12	0.0	8.3	58.3	33.3	3.3	0.62
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	14	0.0	7.1	50.0	42.9	3.4	0.63
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	13	0.0	7.7	53.8	38.5	3.3	0.63
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	14	0.0	0.0	35.7	64.3	3.6	0.50
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	14	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	3.4	0.76

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	14	0.0	7.1	50.0	42.9	3.4	0.63
21. Overall quality of teaching	13	0.0	7.7	46.2	46.2	3.4	0.65

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Kinesiology - Health Promotion
 COURSE = KHP 300 210L1 PSY & SOC OF PE & SPORT
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Erwin, Heather

Page 2

	N	% Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	14	0.0	0.0	35.7	64.3	3.6	0.50
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	14	0.0	0.0	7.1	92.9	3.9	0.27
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	8	0.0	12.5	25.0	62.5	3.5	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	9	11.1	33.3	0.0	55.6	3.0	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	8	25.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	3.0	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	13	0.0	7.7	23.1	69.2	3.6	0.65
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	8	12.5	0.0	12.5	75.0	3.5	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	14	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	0.51

Number of enrolled = 32
 Number of responses = 14
 Percent completed = 43.8 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Special Education & Rehabilita
 COURSE = EDS 558 210L1 SPEC TOPS IN REHAB: REHAB TECHNOLOGY
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Flanagan-Jessee, Allison

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	4	57.1
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	2	28.6
Junior	0	0.0	C	1	14.3
Senior	1	14.3	D	0	0.0
Graduate	6	85.7	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	14.3
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	6	85.7	3 hours	1	14.3
Other (e.g. elective)	1	14.3	4 - 5 hours	2	28.6
			6 - 7 hours	2	28.6
			8 or more hours	1	14.3

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	0	0.0	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	0	0.0	Windows Xp/2000	1	14.3
2	0	0.0	Windows Vista	1	14.3
3	0	0.0	Window 7	5	71.4
4	1	14.3	MAC OS	0	0.0
5	1	14.3	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	5	71.4	Other	0	0.0

N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	3.3	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	3.3	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	3.3	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	5	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	3.4	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	3.3	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	3.3	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
11. Was available for consultation	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	3.3	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	7	0.0	0.0	85.7	14.3	3.1	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.

N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	3.3	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Special Education & Rehabilita
 COURSE = EDS 558 210L1 SPEC TOPS IN REHAB: REHAB TECHNOLOGY
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Flanagan-Jessee, Allison

Page 2

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	3.3	.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	7	0.0	0.0	85.7	14.3	3.1	.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	6	0.0	16.7	66.7	16.7	3.0	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	3.3	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.

Number of enrolled = 25
 Number of responses = 7
 Percent completed = 28.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Special Education & Rehabilita
 COURSE = EDS 558 212L1 SPEC TOPS REHAB: MENTAL HEALTH PSYCHPHRM
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Greer, Sherri

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	8	88.9
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	1	11.1
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	8	88.9	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	11.1	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	11.1
Required by my major	6	66.7	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	3	33.3	4 - 5 hours	4	44.4
			6 - 7 hours	1	11.1
			8 or more hours	3	33.3

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	1	11.1	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	0	0.0	Windows Xp/2000	2	22.2
2	1	11.1	Windows Vista	2	22.2
3	1	11.1	Window 7	3	33.3
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	1	11.1
5	3	33.3	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	3	33.3	Other	1	11.1

N	%		%		Mean	SD
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	3.6	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	9	0.0	11.1	55.6	33.3	3.2	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	3.6	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	3.8	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	9	0.0	11.1	22.2	66.7	3.6	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	3.6	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	.

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	8	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	3.4	.
11. Was available for consultation	7	0.0	14.3	71.4	14.3	3.0	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	6	0.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	3.2	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	.

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	7	0.0	0.0	85.7	14.3	3.1	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	3.6	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	3.6	.

N	%		%		Mean	SD
	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	9	0.0	11.1	44.4	44.4	3.3	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Special Education & Rehabilita
 COURSE = EDS 558 212L1 SPEC TOPS REHAB: MENTAL HEALTH PSYCHPHRM
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Greer, Sherri

Page 2

		% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	3.6	.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	3.6	.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	6	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	9	0.0	11.1	66.7	22.2	3.1	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	4	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	2.8	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	3.6	.

Number of enrolled = 26
 Number of responses = 9
 Percent completed = 34.6 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Special Education & Rehabilita
 COURSE = EDS 601 210L1 APPLIED BEHAV ANALYSIS
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Knight, Victoria

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	1	100.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	1	100.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	1	100.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	1	100.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	1	100.0	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	0	0.0	Windows Xp/2000	0	0.0
2	0	0.0	Windows Vista	0	0.0
3	0	0.0	Window 7	0	0.0
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	1	100.0
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
11. Was available for consultation	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.

	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY

Page 2

Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS

COLLEGE = EDUCATION

DEPARTMENT = Special Education & Rehabilita

COURSE = EDS 601 210L1 APPLIED BEHAV ANALYSIS

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Knight, Victoria

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.

Number of enrolled = 1

Number of responses = 1

Percent completed = 100.0 %

```

*****
* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
* of the class is absent or does not respond. *
*****

```

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Special Education & Rehabilita
 COURSE = EDS 601 211L1 APPLIED BEHAV ANALYSIS
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Knight, Victoria

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	5	62.5
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	2	25.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	1	12.5
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	3	37.5	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	5	62.5	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	4	50.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	4	50.0	4 - 5 hours	3	37.5
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	5	62.5

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	4	50.0	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	1	12.5	Windows Xp/2000	0	0.0
2	0	0.0	Windows Vista	3	37.5
3	0	0.0	Window 7	4	50.0
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	1	12.5
5	1	12.5	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	2	25.0	Other	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly			Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS						
1. Outlined course material and grading	8	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	3.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	8	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	8	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	3.4
4. Exams reflected what was taught	8	12.5	0.0	50.0	37.5	3.1
5. Grading was fair and consistent	8	0.0	0.0	87.5	12.5	3.1
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	8	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	3.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	8	0.0	25.0	37.5	37.5	3.1
8. Graded assignments included comments	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS						
9. Presented material effectively	8	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	3.4
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	8	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5
11. Was available for consultation	8	0.0	12.5	37.5	50.0	3.4
12. Satisfact answered class questions	8	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14. Encouraged class participation	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES						
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	8	0.0	0.0	87.5	12.5	3.1
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	8	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	3.4
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	8	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	3.4
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	8	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	3.4
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS						
20. Overall value of the course	8	12.5	12.5	37.5	37.5	3.0
21. Overall quality of teaching	8	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Special Education & Rehabilita
 COURSE = EDS 601 211L1 APPLIED BEHAV ANALYSIS
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Knight, Victoria

Page 2

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	8	12.5	12.5	62.5	12.5	2.8	.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	8	25.0	0.0	62.5	12.5	2.6	.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	7	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	8	0.0	0.0	87.5	12.5	3.1	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	4	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	6	0.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	3.2	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	8	0.0	25.0	50.0	25.0	3.0	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	8	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	3.4	.

Number of enrolled = 13
 Number of responses = 8
 Percent completed = 61.5 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Special Education & Rehabilita
 COURSE = EDS 558 211L1 SPEC TOPS IN REHAB: ETHICS FOR REHAB COU
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Rogers, Jackie

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	7	77.8
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	2	22.2
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	8	88.9	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	11.1	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	11.1
Studies Program	2	22.2	2 hours	2	22.2
Required by my major	5	55.6	3 hours	2	22.2
Other (e.g. elective)	2	22.2	4 - 5 hours	1	11.1
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	3	33.3

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	3	33.3	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	0	0.0	Windows Xp/2000	2	22.2
2	0	0.0	Windows Vista	0	0.0
3	2	22.2	Window 7	4	44.4
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	2	22.2
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	4	44.4	Other	1	11.1

N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	8	0.0	12.5	37.5	50.0	3.4	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	3.6	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	9	11.1	0.0	33.3	55.6	3.3	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	9	11.1	0.0	66.7	22.2	3.0	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	9	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	8	12.5	25.0	50.0	12.5	2.6	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	6	16.7	33.3	50.0	0.0	2.3	.

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	9	11.1	0.0	55.6	33.3	3.1	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.
11. Was available for consultation	4	25.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	3.0	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	4	25.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	2.8	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	7	0.0	0.0	85.7	14.3	3.1	.

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	7	0.0	14.3	71.4	14.3	3.0	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	9	0.0	0.0	88.9	11.1	3.1	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	9	0.0	0.0	88.9	11.1	3.1	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	9	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	9	0.0	33.3	55.6	11.1	2.8	.

N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	9	11.1	0.0	44.4	44.4	3.2	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	8	12.5	0.0	37.5	50.0	3.3	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Special Education & Rehabilita
 COURSE = EDS 558 211L1 SPEC TOPS IN REHAB: ETHICS FOR REHAB COU
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Rogers, Jackie

Page 2

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	9	11.1	0.0	66.7	22.2	3.0	.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	9	11.1	0.0	66.7	22.2	3.0	.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	3	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	9	0.0	0.0	88.9	11.1	3.1	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	9	0.0	22.2	44.4	33.3	3.1	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	3	33.3	0.0	33.3	33.3	2.7	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	9	11.1	11.1	33.3	44.4	3.1	.

Number of enrolled = 25
 Number of responses = 9
 Percent completed = 36.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Special Education & Rehabilita
 COURSE = RC 640 210L1 REHAB IN BUS & INDUSTRY
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Rogers, Jackie

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	7	53.8
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	5	38.5
Junior	0	0.0	C	1	7.7
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	13	100.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	2	15.4	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	11	84.6	3 hours	1	7.7
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	5	38.5
			6 - 7 hours	4	30.8
			8 or more hours	3	23.1

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	0	0.0	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	0	0.0	Windows Xp/2000	1	7.7
2	0	0.0	Windows Vista	2	15.4
3	0	0.0	Window 7	9	69.2
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	0	0.0
5	3	23.1	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	10	76.9	Other	1	7.7

N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	13	0.0	0.0	38.5	61.5	3.6	0.51
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	11	0.0	27.3	45.5	27.3	3.0	0.77
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	13	0.0	0.0	53.8	46.2	3.5	0.52
4. Exams reflected what was taught	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	12	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	0.49
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	13	0.0	0.0	69.2	30.8	3.3	0.48
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	10	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	3.0	0.67
8. Graded assignments included comments	10	0.0	0.0	70.0	30.0	3.3	0.48

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	13	0.0	0.0	69.2	30.8	3.3	0.48
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	13	0.0	0.0	46.2	53.8	3.5	0.52
11. Was available for consultation	10	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.53
12. Satisfact answered class questions	10	0.0	0.0	70.0	30.0	3.3	0.48
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	12	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	0.49

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	13	0.0	0.0	76.9	23.1	3.2	0.44
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	13	0.0	0.0	76.9	23.1	3.2	0.44
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	13	0.0	0.0	69.2	30.8	3.3	0.48
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	13	0.0	0.0	61.5	38.5	3.4	0.51
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	13	0.0	0.0	61.5	38.5	3.4	0.51

N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	13	0.0	0.0	61.5	38.5	3.4	0.51
21. Overall quality of teaching	13	0.0	0.0	46.2	53.8	3.5	0.52

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION
 DEPARTMENT = Special Education & Rehabilita
 COURSE = RC 640 210L1 REHAB IN BUS & INDUSTRY
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Rogers, Jackie

Page 2

		%	%	%	%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	13	0.0	0.0	61.5	38.5	3.4	0.51
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	13	0.0	0.0	69.2	30.8	3.3	0.48
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	10	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	3.0	0.67
25. Able to interact with other stu.	13	0.0	0.0	53.8	46.2	3.5	0.52
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	13	0.0	0.0	53.8	46.2	3.5	0.52
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	13	0.0	0.0	69.2	30.8	3.3	0.48
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	8	0.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	3.4	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	13	0.0	0.0	61.5	38.5	3.4	0.51

Number of enrolled = 41
 Number of responses = 13
 Percent completed = 31.7 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = FINE ARTS
 DEPARTMENT = Fine Arts - Art
 COURSE = A-E 680 210S1 HIST OF ART ED: ADV HISTORY OF ART ED
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Szekely, George

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	0	0.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	1	100.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	100.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	1	100.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	1	100.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	1	100.0	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	0	0.0	Windows Xp/2000	1	100.0
2	0	0.0	Windows Vista	0	0.0
3	0	0.0	Window 7	0	0.0
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	0	0.0
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0

N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	.

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
11. Was available for consultation	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.

N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = FINE ARTS
 DEPARTMENT = Fine Arts - Art
 COURSE = A-E 680 210S1 HIST OF ART ED: ADV HISTORY OF ART ED
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Szekeley, George

Page 2

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.

Number of enrolled = 8
 Number of responses = 1
 Percent completed = 12.5 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = FINE ARTS
 DEPARTMENT = Fine Arts - Music
 COURSE = MUS 100 211L1 INTRODUCTION TO MUSIC
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Leal, Cesar

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	1	7.1	A	8	57.1
Sophomore	4	28.6	B	5	35.7
Junior	5	35.7	C	0	0.0
Senior	4	28.6	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	7.1

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	2	14.3
Studies Program	10	71.4	2 hours	1	7.1
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	6	42.9
Other (e.g. elective)	4	28.6	4 - 5 hours	3	21.4
			6 - 7 hours	1	7.1
			8 or more hours	1	7.1

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	9	69.2	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	1	7.7	Windows Xp/2000	0	0.0
2	3	23.1	Windows Vista	2	18.2
3	0	0.0	Window 7	5	45.5
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	4	36.4
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0

N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	14	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	0.51
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	10	30.0	0.0	30.0	40.0	2.8	1.32
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	14	7.1	21.4	42.9	28.6	2.9	0.92
4. Exams reflected what was taught	13	7.7	7.7	46.2	38.5	3.2	0.90
5. Grading was fair and consistent	14	0.0	14.3	57.1	28.6	3.1	0.66
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	14	0.0	7.1	64.3	28.6	3.2	0.58
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	13	7.7	15.4	53.8	23.1	2.9	0.86
8. Graded assignments included comments	11	9.1	27.3	36.4	27.3	2.8	0.98

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	10	0.0	10.0	70.0	20.0	3.1	0.57
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	3.3	.
11. Was available for consultation	8	12.5	37.5	12.5	37.5	2.8	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	9	11.1	11.1	55.6	22.2	2.9	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	11	27.3	0.0	54.5	18.2	2.6	1.12
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	10	20.0	0.0	50.0	30.0	2.9	1.10
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	14	7.1	7.1	57.1	28.6	3.1	0.83
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	14	21.4	28.6	35.7	14.3	2.4	1.02

N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	14	7.1	42.9	28.6	21.4	2.6	0.93
21. Overall quality of teaching	14	14.3	21.4	42.9	21.4	2.7	0.99

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = FINE ARTS
 DEPARTMENT = Fine Arts - Music
 COURSE = MUS 100 211L1 INTRODUCTION TO MUSIC
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Leal, Cesar

Page 2

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	13	7.7	0.0	76.9	15.4	3.0	0.71
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	14	0.0	14.3	71.4	14.3	3.0	0.55
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	6	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	3.2	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	9	33.3	33.3	22.2	11.1	2.1	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	4	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	2.5	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	9	11.1	0.0	44.4	44.4	3.2	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	6	33.3	0.0	16.7	50.0	2.8	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	14	7.1	14.3	57.1	21.4	2.9	0.83

Number of enrolled = 30
 Number of responses = 14
 Percent completed = 46.7 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = FINE ARTS
 DEPARTMENT = Fine Arts - Music
 COURSE = MUS 100 210L1 INTRODUCTION TO MUSIC
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Walker, Erin

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	1	11.1	A	5	55.6
Sophomore	1	11.1	B	4	44.4
Junior	1	11.1	C	0	0.0
Senior	6	66.7	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	11.1
Studies Program	7	77.8	2 hours	5	55.6
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	2	22.2	4 - 5 hours	2	22.2
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	1	11.1

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	7	77.8	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	1	11.1	Windows Xp/2000	0	0.0
2	0	0.0	Windows Vista	1	12.5
3	0	0.0	Window 7	5	62.5
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	2	25.0
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	1	11.1	Other	0	0.0

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	9	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	6	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	9	0.0	11.1	33.3	55.6	3.4	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	9	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	3.4	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	8	0.0	12.5	50.0	37.5	3.3	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	8	0.0	12.5	62.5	25.0	3.1	.
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	9	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	9	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
11. Was available for consultation	9	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	3.3	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	3.3	.
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	7	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	3.3	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	9	11.1	0.0	66.7	22.2	3.0	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	8	12.5	0.0	62.5	25.0	3.0	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	9	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	9	11.1	22.2	44.4	22.2	2.8	.
	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	9	11.1	0.0	55.6	33.3	3.1	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	9	0.0	11.1	66.7	22.2	3.1	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer I 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = FINE ARTS
 DEPARTMENT = Fine Arts - Music
 COURSE = MUS 100 210L1 INTRODUCTION TO MUSIC
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Walker, Erin

Page 2

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	9	0.0	0.0	77.8	22.2	3.2	.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	9	0.0	11.1	77.8	11.1	3.0	.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	8	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.0	2.9	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	7	14.3	14.3	71.4	0.0	2.6	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	7	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	2.7	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	8	0.0	12.5	62.5	25.0	3.1	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	5	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	9	0.0	0.0	77.8	22.2	3.2	.

Number of enrolled = 28
 Number of responses = 9
 Percent completed = 32.1 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html