

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	71	78.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	20	22.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	59	64.8	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	32	35.2	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	6	6.6
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	17	18.7
Required by my major	87	95.6	3 hours	22	24.2
Other (e.g. elective)	4	4.4	4 - 5 hours	16	17.6
			6 - 7 hours	7	7.7
			8 or more hours	23	25.3

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	2	2.3	Not Applicable	3	3.4
1	9	10.2	Windows Xp/2000	13	14.6
2	31	35.2	Windows Vista	17	19.1
3	11	12.5	Window 7	22	24.7
4	13	14.8	MAC OS	34	38.2
5	11	12.5	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	11	12.5	Other	0	0.0

N	% Strongly Disagree			% Strongly Agree			Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	91	0.0	2.2	25.3	72.5	3.7	0.51
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	53	1.9	9.4	45.3	43.4	3.3	0.72
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	90	0.0	0.0	47.8	52.2	3.5	0.50
4. Exams reflected what was taught	91	0.0	3.3	40.7	56.0	3.5	0.56
5. Grading was fair and consistent	91	0.0	3.3	34.1	62.6	3.6	0.56
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	90	0.0	2.2	41.1	56.7	3.5	0.54
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	91	2.2	3.3	37.4	57.1	3.5	0.67
8. Graded assignments included comments	80	3.8	10.0	32.5	53.8	3.4	0.82

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	88	0.0	2.3	46.6	51.1	3.5	0.55
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	89	0.0	1.1	22.5	76.4	3.8	0.46
11. Was available for consultation	85	0.0	0.0	28.2	71.8	3.7	0.45
12. Satisfact answered class questions	91	0.0	2.2	25.3	72.5	3.7	0.51
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	91	0.0	3.3	27.5	69.2	3.7	0.54

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	74	0.0	2.7	37.8	59.5	3.6	0.55
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	85	0.0	0.0	34.1	65.9	3.7	0.48
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	82	0.0	1.2	30.5	68.3	3.7	0.50
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	88	0.0	1.1	29.5	69.3	3.7	0.49
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	87	0.0	4.6	33.3	62.1	3.6	0.58

N	% Strongly Disagree				Mean	SD
	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	91	1.1	3.3	38.5	57.1	3.5	0.62
21. Overall quality of teaching	91	1.1	2.2	26.4	70.3	3.7	0.58

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer II 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = HEALTH SCIENCES

Page 2

		% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		% Strongly Agree			
	N	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	Mean	SD		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY									
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	85	0.0	1.2	40.0	58.8	3.6	0.52		
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	85	0.0	2.4	37.6	60.0	3.6	0.54		
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	78	0.0	0.0	39.7	60.3	3.6	0.49		
25. Able to interact with other stu.	82	0.0	8.5	37.8	53.7	3.5	0.65		
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	58	0.0	5.2	46.6	48.3	3.4	0.60		
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	82	0.0	1.2	40.2	58.5	3.6	0.52		
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	77	2.6	9.1	37.7	50.6	3.4	0.76		
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	83	0.0	0.0	37.3	62.7	3.6	0.49		

Number of enrolled = at least 248

Number of responses = 91

Percent completed = 36.7 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer II 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = AGRICULTURE

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	2	3.6	A	25	45.5
Sophomore	4	7.1	B	21	38.2
Junior	7	12.5	C	7	12.7
Senior	30	53.6	D	0	0.0
Graduate	2	3.6	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	4	7.1	I	0	0.0
Other	7	12.5	Pass or Audit	2	3.6

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	5.5
Studies Program	9	16.4	2 hours	6	10.9
Required by my major	21	38.2	3 hours	20	36.4
Other (e.g. elective)	25	45.5	4 - 5 hours	13	23.6
			6 - 7 hours	9	16.4
			8 or more hours	4	7.3

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	18	32.7	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	12	21.8	Windows Xp/2000	7	13.2
2	10	18.2	Windows Vista	9	17.0
3	9	16.4	Window 7	18	34.0
4	2	3.6	MAC OS	16	30.2
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	4	7.3	Other	3	5.7

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	54	3.7	9.3	35.2	51.9	3.4	0.80
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	50	0.0	4.0	36.0	60.0	3.6	0.58
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	54	3.7	9.3	33.3	53.7	3.4	0.81
4. Exams reflected what was taught	48	2.1	12.5	43.8	41.7	3.3	0.76
5. Grading was fair and consistent	53	1.9	13.2	35.8	49.1	3.3	0.78
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	54	0.0	9.3	37.0	53.7	3.4	0.66
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	54	0.0	13.0	44.4	42.6	3.3	0.69
8. Graded assignments included comments	51	0.0	15.7	43.1	41.2	3.3	0.72
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	51	5.9	19.6	29.4	45.1	3.1	0.94
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	48	4.2	6.3	37.5	52.1	3.4	0.79
11. Was available for consultation	43	7.0	4.7	39.5	48.8	3.3	0.86
12. Satisfact answered class questions	34	5.9	0.0	38.2	55.9	3.4	0.79
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	36	0.0	8.3	38.9	52.8	3.4	0.65
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	48	0.0	20.8	39.6	39.6	3.2	0.76
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	52	5.8	7.7	46.2	40.4	3.2	0.82
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	52	3.8	11.5	51.9	32.7	3.1	0.77
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	53	3.8	5.7	45.3	45.3	3.3	0.75
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	52	9.6	13.5	36.5	40.4	3.1	0.97
	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	54	5.6	11.1	37.0	46.3	3.2	0.87
21. Overall quality of teaching	54	11.1	11.1	35.2	42.6	3.1	1.00

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer II 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = AGRICULTURE

Page 2

		%	%	%	%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	54	7.4	11.1	40.7	40.7	3.1	0.90
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	54	3.7	9.3	51.9	35.2	3.2	0.75
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	34	2.9	5.9	50.0	41.2	3.3	0.72
25. Able to interact with other stu.	41	7.3	7.3	53.7	31.7	3.1	0.83
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	47	6.4	10.6	48.9	34.0	3.1	0.84
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	38	7.9	10.5	31.6	50.0	3.2	0.94
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	30	13.3	10.0	40.0	36.7	3.0	1.02
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	54	3.7	7.4	51.9	37.0	3.2	0.74

Number of enrolled = at least 200

Number of responses = 56

Percent completed = 28.0 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer II 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	34	5.1	A	264	40.6
Sophomore	126	19.0	B	234	36.0
Junior	188	28.3	C	107	16.5
Senior	278	41.9	D	21	3.2
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	5	0.8
Professional	2	0.3	I	2	0.3
Other	36	5.4	Pass or Audit	17	2.6

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	21	3.2
Studies Program	218	33.3	2 hours	56	8.6
Required by my major	270	41.3	3 hours	123	18.8
Other (e.g. elective)	166	25.4	4 - 5 hours	200	30.6
			6 - 7 hours	128	19.6
			8 or more hours	125	19.1

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	275	42.0	Not Applicable	3	0.5
1	154	23.5	Windows Xp/2000	43	6.7
2	98	15.0	Windows Vista	154	23.8
3	32	4.9	Window 7	220	34.1
4	30	4.6	MAC OS	214	33.1
5	20	3.1	Linux	6	0.9
more than 5	45	6.9	Other	6	0.9

N	%		%		Mean	SD
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	641	2.3	7.2	45.2	45.2	3.3	0.71
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	620	4.4	9.0	45.8	40.8	3.2	0.79
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	632	4.4	9.8	46.0	39.7	3.2	0.79
4. Exams reflected what was taught	584	5.3	9.9	46.7	38.0	3.2	0.81
5. Grading was fair and consistent	647	5.6	11.1	44.2	39.1	3.2	0.84
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	640	4.2	8.4	45.5	41.9	3.3	0.78
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	639	6.7	14.4	41.2	37.7	3.1	0.88
8. Graded assignments included comments	585	12.3	16.2	39.7	31.8	2.9	0.98

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	619	5.5	12.3	47.7	34.6	3.1	0.82
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	598	1.3	3.0	47.2	48.5	3.4	0.62
11. Was available for consultation	533	5.6	9.6	43.9	40.9	3.2	0.83
12. Satisfact answered class questions	444	8.3	8.8	41.7	41.2	3.2	0.90
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	429	5.6	7.9	44.5	42.0	3.2	0.82

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	523	3.6	11.1	52.0	33.3	3.1	0.75
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	603	5.1	11.1	51.4	32.3	3.1	0.79
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	564	4.8	16.1	49.5	29.6	3.0	0.81
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	634	4.4	8.2	51.3	36.1	3.2	0.76
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	624	12.8	22.4	33.8	30.9	2.8	1.01

N	%		%		Mean	SD
	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	650	8.8	18.0	39.5	33.7	3.0	0.93
21. Overall quality of teaching	649	10.2	14.6	37.4	37.8	3.0	0.97

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer II 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES

Page 2

		%	%	%	%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	641	4.4	11.2	49.6	34.8	3.1	0.78
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	641	3.3	9.8	50.2	36.7	3.2	0.75
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	420	1.7	11.7	53.8	32.9	3.2	0.69
25. Able to interact with other stu.	491	13.4	20.4	43.2	23.0	2.8	0.96
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	512	12.1	20.1	41.2	26.6	2.8	0.96
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	617	5.7	9.7	44.1	40.5	3.2	0.83
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	415	9.9	20.5	39.5	30.1	2.9	0.95
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	620	5.6	8.7	50.2	35.5	3.2	0.80

Number of enrolled = at least 2404

Number of responses = 664

Percent completed = 27.6 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer II 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	3	2.1	A	113	80.1
Sophomore	1	0.7	B	25	17.7
Junior	4	2.8	C	1	0.7
Senior	15	10.6	D	0	0.0
Graduate	72	50.7	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	3	2.1	I	0	0.0
Other	44	31.0	Pass or Audit	2	1.4

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	2.1
Studies Program	5	3.5	2 hours	14	9.9
Required by my major	93	66.0	3 hours	31	22.0
Other (e.g. elective)	43	30.5	4 - 5 hours	38	27.0
			6 - 7 hours	16	11.3
			8 or more hours	39	27.7

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	41	29.1	Not Applicable	1	0.7
1	13	9.2	Windows Xp/2000	19	13.9
2	12	8.5	Windows Vista	20	14.6
3	9	6.4	Window 7	69	50.4
4	10	7.1	MAC OS	26	19.0
5	2	1.4	Linux	1	0.7
more than 5	54	38.3	Other	1	0.7

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	139	0.0	0.7	35.3	64.0	3.6	0.50
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	103	1.9	3.9	35.9	58.3	3.5	0.67
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	138	1.4	3.6	33.3	61.6	3.6	0.64
4. Exams reflected what was taught	102	0.0	2.0	37.3	60.8	3.6	0.53
5. Grading was fair and consistent	130	1.5	3.8	32.3	62.3	3.6	0.65
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	138	2.2	2.2	36.2	59.4	3.5	0.65
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	125	5.6	7.2	30.4	56.8	3.4	0.85
8. Graded assignments included comments	122	2.5	9.0	32.8	55.7	3.4	0.76
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	138	2.2	5.8	34.1	58.0	3.5	0.71
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	137	1.5	0.7	20.4	77.4	3.7	0.55
11. Was available for consultation	127	2.4	4.7	32.3	60.6	3.5	0.70
12. Satisfact answered class questions	128	1.6	3.1	32.8	62.5	3.6	0.64
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	132	0.8	0.0	25.0	74.2	3.7	0.50
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	132	0.8	1.5	43.9	53.8	3.5	0.57
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	138	0.7	3.6	34.8	60.9	3.6	0.60
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	137	0.7	5.8	40.9	52.6	3.5	0.64
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	139	0.7	2.2	33.8	63.3	3.6	0.57
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	136	2.2	9.6	25.7	62.5	3.5	0.76

	N	% Strongly				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	138	1.4	8.0	27.5	63.0	3.5	0.71
21. Overall quality of teaching	138	1.4	7.2	29.0	62.3	3.5	0.70

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer II 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION

Page 2

		% Strongly Disagree		% Agree			
	N	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	133	0.8	6.8	40.6	51.9	3.4	0.66
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	134	0.7	9.0	43.3	47.0	3.4	0.68
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	97	3.1	8.2	40.2	48.5	3.3	0.76
25. Able to interact with other stu.	129	0.0	3.9	46.5	49.6	3.5	0.57
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	114	1.8	7.0	43.9	47.4	3.4	0.69
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	117	0.9	0.9	43.6	54.7	3.5	0.57
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	87	0.0	9.2	40.2	50.6	3.4	0.66
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	131	1.5	0.8	39.7	58.0	3.5	0.60

Number of enrolled = at least 354

Number of responses = 142

Percent completed = 40.1 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer II 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = COMMUNICATIONS & INFO. STUDIES

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	71	88.8
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	9	11.3
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	77	96.3	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	3	3.8	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	10	12.5
Required by my major	39	49.4	3 hours	14	17.5
Other (e.g. elective)	40	50.6	4 - 5 hours	27	33.8
			6 - 7 hours	18	22.5
			8 or more hours	11	13.8

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	9	11.3	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	4	5.0	Windows Xp/2000	10	12.5
2	12	15.0	Windows Vista	16	20.0
3	8	10.0	Window 7	32	40.0
4	9	11.3	MAC OS	19	23.8
5	6	7.5	Linux	2	2.5
more than 5	32	40.0	Other	1	1.3

N	%		%		Mean	SD
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	80	1.3	0.0	23.8	75.0	3.7	0.53
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	64	3.1	6.3	46.9	43.8	3.3	0.73
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	80	1.3	1.3	26.3	71.3	3.7	0.57
4. Exams reflected what was taught	50	2.0	0.0	40.0	58.0	3.5	0.61
5. Grading was fair and consistent	80	1.3	1.3	25.0	72.5	3.7	0.56
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	80	1.3	1.3	25.0	72.5	3.7	0.56
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	80	1.3	2.5	18.8	77.5	3.7	0.57
8. Graded assignments included comments	80	1.3	2.5	32.5	63.8	3.6	0.61

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	80	0.0	1.3	38.8	60.0	3.6	0.52
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	80	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	0.44
11. Was available for consultation	76	0.0	0.0	28.9	71.1	3.7	0.46
12. Satisfact answered class questions	79	0.0	2.5	29.1	68.4	3.7	0.53
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	80	0.0	0.0	32.5	67.5	3.7	0.47

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	68	0.0	1.5	61.8	36.8	3.4	0.51
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	79	0.0	1.3	59.5	39.2	3.4	0.51
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	77	0.0	7.8	51.9	40.3	3.3	0.62
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	80	0.0	0.0	41.3	58.8	3.6	0.50
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	79	1.3	7.6	45.6	45.6	3.4	0.68

N	%		%		Mean	SD
	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	80	1.3	2.5	25.0	71.3	3.7	0.59
21. Overall quality of teaching	79	1.3	0.0	19.0	79.7	3.8	0.51

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer II 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = COMMUNICATIONS & INFO. STUDIES

Page 2

		%	%	%	%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	79	1.3	0.0	39.2	59.5	3.6	0.57
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	80	0.0	2.5	38.8	58.8	3.6	0.55
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	60	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	3.5	0.50
25. Able to interact with other stu.	77	1.3	1.3	50.6	46.8	3.4	0.59
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	79	0.0	6.3	54.4	39.2	3.3	0.59
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	63	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	0.46
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	52	0.0	5.8	38.5	55.8	3.5	0.61
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	79	0.0	0.0	30.4	69.6	3.7	0.46

Number of enrolled = at least 145

Number of responses = 80

Percent completed = 55.2 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	1	100.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	1	100.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	1	100.0
Other (e.g. elective)	1	100.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	0	0.0	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	1	100.0	Windows Xp/2000	1	100.0
2	0	0.0	Windows Vista	0	0.0
3	0	0.0	Window 7	0	0.0
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	0	0.0
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0

N	% Strongly Disagree			% Strongly Agree			Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
11. Was available for consultation	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.

N	% Strongly Disagree				% Strongly Agree				Mean	SD
	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer II 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = SOCIAL WORK

Page 2

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.

Number of enrolled = at least 16

Number of responses = 1

Percent completed = 6.3 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer II 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = FINE ARTS

Page 1

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	8	13.8	A	47	81.0
Sophomore	11	19.0	B	8	13.8
Junior	8	13.8	C	0	0.0
Senior	15	25.9	D	1	1.7
Graduate	6	10.3	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	10	17.2	Pass or Audit	2	3.4

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	4	6.9
Studies Program	14	24.1	2 hours	8	13.8
Required by my major	22	37.9	3 hours	14	24.1
Other (e.g. elective)	22	37.9	4 - 5 hours	17	29.3
			6 - 7 hours	7	12.1
			8 or more hours	8	13.8

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	27	46.6	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	14	24.1	Windows Xp/2000	7	12.5
2	6	10.3	Windows Vista	5	8.9
3	3	5.2	Window 7	16	28.6
4	3	5.2	MAC OS	25	44.6
5	1	1.7	Linux	1	1.8
more than 5	4	6.9	Other	2	3.6

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	58	0.0	5.2	37.9	56.9	3.5	0.60
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	54	0.0	3.7	37.0	59.3	3.6	0.57
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	58	0.0	3.4	39.7	56.9	3.5	0.57
4. Exams reflected what was taught	54	1.9	0.0	31.5	66.7	3.6	0.59
5. Grading was fair and consistent	56	0.0	3.6	37.5	58.9	3.6	0.57
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	58	0.0	3.4	39.7	56.9	3.5	0.57
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	55	0.0	14.5	36.4	49.1	3.3	0.73
8. Graded assignments included comments	52	1.9	3.8	32.7	61.5	3.5	0.67
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	55	0.0	7.3	43.6	49.1	3.4	0.63
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	52	0.0	0.0	28.8	71.2	3.7	0.46
11. Was available for consultation	49	2.0	0.0	34.7	63.3	3.6	0.61
12. Satisfact answered class questions	47	0.0	0.0	27.7	72.3	3.7	0.45
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	44	0.0	0.0	29.5	70.5	3.7	0.46
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	48	0.0	0.0	58.3	41.7	3.4	0.50
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	55	0.0	1.8	47.3	50.9	3.5	0.54
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	49	0.0	6.1	49.0	44.9	3.4	0.61
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	56	0.0	1.8	42.9	55.4	3.5	0.54
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	55	5.5	10.9	38.2	45.5	3.2	0.86

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	57	0.0	7.0	36.8	56.1	3.5	0.63
21. Overall quality of teaching	57	1.8	5.3	31.6	61.4	3.5	0.68

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
 Summer II 2011 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = FINE ARTS

Page 2

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	57	1.8	5.3	49.1	43.9	3.4	0.67
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	57	1.8	8.8	47.4	42.1	3.3	0.71
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	43	4.7	9.3	46.5	39.5	3.2	0.80
25. Able to interact with other stu.	47	4.3	12.8	40.4	42.6	3.2	0.83
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	50	6.0	14.0	32.0	48.0	3.2	0.91
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	43	2.3	7.0	27.9	62.8	3.5	0.74
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	39	10.3	15.4	28.2	46.2	3.1	1.02
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	57	0.0	5.3	43.9	50.9	3.5	0.60

Number of enrolled = at least 180

Number of responses = 58

Percent completed = 32.2 %

 * WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. *
 * Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third *
 * of the class is absent or does not respond. *

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html