

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY  
 Winter 2010 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = AGRICULTURE  
 DEPARTMENT = Nutrition And Food Science  
 COURSE = HMT 120 230L1 INTR TO HOSPITALITY MGMT & TOURISM  
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Jason Swanson

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	7	77.8
Sophomore	2	22.2	B	2	22.2
Junior	2	22.2	C	0	0.0
Senior	5	55.6	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

  

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	2	22.2	2 hours	2	22.2
Required by my major	2	22.2	3 hours	1	11.1
Other (e.g. elective)	5	55.6	4 - 5 hours	4	44.4
			6 - 7 hours	1	11.1
			8 or more hours	1	11.1

  

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	3	33.3	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	3	33.3	Windows Xp/2000	1	11.1
2	3	33.3	Windows Vista	3	33.3
3	0	0.0	Window 7	1	11.1
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	4	44.4
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0

N	%		%		Mean	SD
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	9	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	3.6	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	3.6	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	3.6	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	8	0.0	25.0	25.0	50.0	3.3	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	8	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	9	0.0	11.1	33.3	55.6	3.4	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	8	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
11. Was available for consultation	8	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	3	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	8	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	6	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	8	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	9	0.0	11.1	44.4	44.4	3.3	.

N	%		%		Mean	SD
	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	9	0.0	22.2	22.2	55.6	3.3	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	9	0.0	33.3	0.0	66.7	3.3	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY  
 Winter 2010 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = AGRICULTURE  
 DEPARTMENT = Nutrition And Food Science  
 COURSE = HMT 120 230L1 INTR TO HOSPITALITY MGMT & TOURISM  
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Jason Swanson

Page 2

		%		%	%	%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD	
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY								
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	3.6		.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	3.6		.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	8	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5		.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	6	16.7	16.7	33.3	33.3	2.8		.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5		.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5		.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	5	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	3.6		.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	9	11.1	0.0	44.4	44.4	3.2		.

Number of enrolled = 21  
 Number of responses = 9  
 Percent completed = 42.9 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page  
[http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce\\_understanding.html](http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html)

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY  
 Winter 2010 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = AGRICULTURE  
 DEPARTMENT = Family Studies  
 COURSE = FAM 403 230L1 MATE SELECTION THEORY AND RESEARCH  
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Gregory Brock

Page 1

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	8	50.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	3	18.8
Junior	2	12.5	C	2	12.5
Senior	14	87.5	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	3	18.8

  

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	6.3
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	6.3
Required by my major	3	18.8	3 hours	1	6.3
Other (e.g. elective)	13	81.3	4 - 5 hours	6	37.5
			6 - 7 hours	3	18.8
			8 or more hours	4	25.0

  

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	6	37.5	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	0	0.0	Windows Xp/2000	2	12.5
2	6	37.5	Windows Vista	5	31.3
3	2	12.5	Window 7	3	18.8
4	1	6.3	MAC OS	5	31.3
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	1	6.3	Other	1	6.3

	N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	16	0.0	0.0	43.8	56.3	3.6	0.51
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	16	0.0	6.3	18.8	75.0	3.7	0.60
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	16	0.0	6.3	50.0	43.8	3.4	0.62
4. Exams reflected what was taught	9	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	13	0.0	15.4	46.2	38.5	3.2	0.73
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	16	0.0	0.0	68.8	31.3	3.3	0.48
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	13	7.7	15.4	46.2	30.8	3.0	0.91
8. Graded assignments included comments	15	20.0	33.3	20.0	26.7	2.5	1.13
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	16	0.0	6.3	56.3	37.5	3.3	0.60
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	13	0.0	7.7	38.5	53.8	3.5	0.66
11. Was available for consultation	13	15.4	7.7	23.1	53.8	3.2	1.14
12. Satisfact answered class questions	12	8.3	8.3	33.3	50.0	3.3	0.97
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	15	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	3.8	0.41
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	16	0.0	0.0	31.3	68.8	3.7	0.48
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	16	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	3.6	0.50
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	14	0.0	0.0	35.7	64.3	3.6	0.50
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	16	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	0.52
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	16	6.3	0.0	37.5	56.3	3.4	0.81

	N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	16	0.0	12.5	31.3	56.3	3.4	0.73
21. Overall quality of teaching	16	0.0	18.8	50.0	31.3	3.1	0.72

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY  
 Winter 2010 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = AGRICULTURE  
 DEPARTMENT = Family Studies  
 COURSE = FAM 403 230L1 MATE SELECTION THEORY AND RESEARCH  
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Gregory Brock

Page 2

	N	% Strongly Disagree (1)	% Disagree (2)	% Agree (3)	% Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	16	0.0	12.5	18.8	68.8	3.6	0.73
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	16	0.0	6.3	31.3	62.5	3.6	0.63
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	11	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	3.5	0.52
25. Able to interact with other stu.	15	0.0	6.7	33.3	60.0	3.5	0.64
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	16	0.0	6.3	25.0	68.8	3.6	0.62
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	8	12.5	0.0	50.0	37.5	3.1	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	9	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	3.6	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	16	0.0	6.3	50.0	43.8	3.4	0.62

Number of enrolled = 60  
 Number of responses = 16  
 Percent completed = 26.7 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page  
[http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce\\_understanding.html](http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html)

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY  
 Winter 2010 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = AGRICULTURE  
 DEPARTMENT = Merchandising, Apparel & Textil  
 COURSE = MAT 247 230L1 DRESS AND CULTURE  
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Preeti Joshi

Page 1

## SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	4	100.0
Sophomore	1	25.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	3	75.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

  

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	2	50.0	2 hours	2	50.0
Required by my major	1	25.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	1	25.0	4 - 5 hours	1	25.0
			6 - 7 hours	1	25.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

  

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	1	25.0	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	1	25.0	Windows Xp/2000	1	25.0
2	1	25.0	Windows Vista	1	25.0
3	0	0.0	Window 7	0	0.0
4	1	25.0	MAC OS	2	50.0
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0

	N	%				Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS							
1. Outlined course material and grading	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	4	0.0	25.0	50.0	25.0	3.0	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	4	0.0	25.0	25.0	50.0	3.3	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	3.8	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	3	33.3	33.3	33.3	0.0	2.0	.
SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS							
9. Presented material effectively	4	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	4	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
11. Was available for consultation	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES							
15. Learned respect different viewpoint	4	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	3.3	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	3	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	2.3	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	4	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	4	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	2.3	.
	N	%				Mean	SD
		Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)		
SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS							
20. Overall value of the course	4	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	4	0.0	25.0	25.0	50.0	3.3	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY  
 Winter 2010 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = AGRICULTURE  
 DEPARTMENT = Merchandising, Apparel & Textil  
 COURSE = MAT 247 230L1 DRESS AND CULTURE  
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Preeti Joshi

Page 2

		%	%	%	%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	4	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	4	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	4	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	3.3	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	4	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	3.0	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	4	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	3.5	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	4	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	3.0	.

Number of enrolled = 20  
 Number of responses = 4  
 Percent completed = 20.0 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page  
[http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce\\_understanding.html](http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html)

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY  
 Winter 2010 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES  
 DEPARTMENT = 8E130  
 COURSE = STA 291 201L1 STATISTICAL METHOD  
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Mark Gebert

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	1	4.3	A	14	60.9
Sophomore	5	21.7	B	8	34.8
Junior	8	34.8	C	1	4.3
Senior	8	34.8	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	4.3	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	13.0
Studies Program	1	4.3	2 hours	2	8.7
Required by my major	19	82.6	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	3	13.0	4 - 5 hours	5	21.7
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	13	56.5

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	13	56.5	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	3	13.0	Windows Xp/2000	4	18.2
2	3	13.0	Windows Vista	4	18.2
3	4	17.4	Window 7	9	40.9
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	4	18.2
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	0	0.0	Other	1	4.5

N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	23	0.0	4.3	30.4	65.2	3.6	0.58
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	20	10.0	15.0	55.0	20.0	2.9	0.88
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	23	0.0	8.7	43.5	47.8	3.4	0.66
4. Exams reflected what was taught	22	0.0	9.1	45.5	45.5	3.4	0.66
5. Grading was fair and consistent	23	0.0	4.3	56.5	39.1	3.3	0.57
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	23	0.0	0.0	65.2	34.8	3.3	0.49
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	23	0.0	4.3	43.5	52.2	3.5	0.59
8. Graded assignments included comments	23	8.7	17.4	52.2	21.7	2.9	0.87

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	23	4.3	13.0	56.5	26.1	3.0	0.77
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	23	0.0	0.0	43.5	56.5	3.6	0.51
11. Was available for consultation	18	11.1	0.0	55.6	33.3	3.1	0.90
12. Satisfact answered class questions	8	0.0	12.5	37.5	50.0	3.4	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	5	0.0	20.0	20.0	60.0	3.4	.

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	11	9.1	18.2	36.4	36.4	3.0	1.00
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	23	0.0	13.0	60.9	26.1	3.1	0.63
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	23	0.0	13.0	60.9	26.1	3.1	0.63
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	22	0.0	9.1	63.6	27.3	3.2	0.59
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	22	27.3	40.9	18.2	13.6	2.2	1.01

N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	23	8.7	21.7	39.1	30.4	2.9	0.95
21. Overall quality of teaching	23	4.3	17.4	34.8	43.5	3.2	0.89

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY  
 Winter 2010 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES  
 DEPARTMENT = 8E130  
 COURSE = STA 291 201L1 STATISTICAL METHOD  
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Mark Gebert

Page 2

		%		%	%	%		
	N	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)	Mean	SD	
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY								
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	23	0.0	4.3	65.2	30.4	3.3	0.54	
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	22	0.0	9.1	59.1	31.8	3.2	0.61	
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	11	0.0	18.2	45.5	36.4	3.2	0.75	
25. Able to interact with other stu.	14	14.3	28.6	35.7	21.4	2.6	1.01	
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	14	7.1	28.6	35.7	28.6	2.9	0.95	
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	23	0.0	13.0	30.4	56.5	3.4	0.73	
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	11	9.1	9.1	54.5	27.3	3.0	0.89	
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	23	0.0	4.3	39.1	56.5	3.5	0.59	

Number of enrolled = 56  
 Number of responses = 23  
 Percent completed = 41.1 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page  
[http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce\\_understanding.html](http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html)

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY  
 Winter 2010 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION  
 DEPARTMENT = Special Education & Rehabilita  
 COURSE = EDS 522 230L1 CHILDREN & FAMILIES  
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Lee Jung

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	6	85.7
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	1	14.3
Junior	2	28.6	C	0	0.0
Senior	2	28.6	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	3	42.9	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

  

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	6	85.7	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	1	14.3	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	2	28.6
			8 or more hours	5	71.4

  

Number of DL courses	Number	Percent	Operating system you use	Number	Percent
0	2	28.6	Not Applicable	0	0.0
1	2	28.6	Windows Xp/2000	2	28.6
2	0	0.0	Windows Vista	2	28.6
3	1	14.3	Window 7	2	28.6
4	0	0.0	MAC OS	1	14.3
5	0	0.0	Linux	0	0.0
more than 5	2	28.6	Other	0	0.0

N	% Strongly Disagree		% Agree		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	.
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
4. Exams reflected what was taught	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
5. Grading was fair and consistent	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.
8. Graded assignments included comments	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	.
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
11. Was available for consultation	7	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	3.4	.
12. Satisfact answered class questions	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	.
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.
14. Encouraged class participation	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	.

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	.
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	.
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	.
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	.

N	% Poor		% Excellent		Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	.
21. Overall quality of teaching	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY  
 Winter 2010 DISTANCE LEARNING ONLINE TEACHER EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = EDUCATION  
 DEPARTMENT = Special Education & Rehabilita  
 COURSE = EDS 522 230L1 CHILDREN & FAMILIES  
 INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Lee Jung

Page 2

	N	%		%		Mean	SD
		Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Agree (3)	Strongly Agree (4)		
SECTION F - COMPLETE FOR DISTANCE LEARNING COURSES ONLY							
22. Navigating crs was straightforward.	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.
23. Accessing crs materials was simple.	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.
24. Lib resources were easy to access.	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	.
25. Able to interact with other stu.	7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	3.6	.
26. Dis. contributed to my understand.	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	3.9	.
27. Med. contributed to my understand.	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	.
28. Com. contributed to my understand.	6	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	3.7	.
29. Ass. contributed to my understand.	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	3.7	.

Number of enrolled = 13  
 Number of responses = 7  
 Percent completed = 53.8 %

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* WARNING: THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS CLASS WAS LESS THAN 66.7%. \*  
 \* Research shows that results may not be valid if more than a third \*  
 \* of the class is absent or does not respond. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to UK web page  
[http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce\\_understanding.html](http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html)