

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Spring 2009 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = AFS 113 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = O'Dell, Kelvin B

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	7	100.0	A	5	71.4
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	2	28.6
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	4	57.1
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	3	42.9
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	7	100.0	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				COLL		
		SD	D	A	SA	SD	Mean	Mean Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.2	0.7
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
4. Exams reflected what was taught	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
5. Grading was fair and consistent	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
8. Graded assignments included comments	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.2	0.7

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.6	0.3
11. Was available for consultation	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.5	0.4
12. Satisfact answered class questions	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.2	0.7
14. Encouraged class participation	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.2	0.7
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	7	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	.	3.7	3.2	0.5
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.0	1.0

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				COLL		
		P	F	G	E	SD	Mean	Mean Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
21. Overall quality of teaching	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	COLL		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Spring 2009 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = AFS 113 002L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = O'Dell, Kelvin B

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	14	87.5	A	13	81.3
Sophomore	2	12.5	B	3	18.8
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	9	60.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	3	20.0
Required by my major	1	6.3	3 hours	1	6.7
Other (e.g. elective)	15	93.8	4 - 5 hours	2	13.3
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		SD	D	A	SA			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	20	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.44	3.8	3.4	0.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	20	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.41	3.8	3.2	0.6
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	20	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.41	3.8	3.3	0.5
4. Exams reflected what was taught	20	0.0	0.0	15.0	85.0	0.37	3.9	3.3	0.6
5. Grading was fair and consistent	20	0.0	0.0	15.0	85.0	0.37	3.9	3.4	0.5
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	20	0.0	5.0	15.0	80.0	0.55	3.8	3.4	0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	19	0.0	0.0	15.8	84.2	0.37	3.8	3.4	0.4
8. Graded assignments included comments	19	0.0	0.0	15.8	84.2	0.37	3.8	3.2	0.6

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	20	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.31	3.9	3.3	0.6
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	20	0.0	0.0	15.0	85.0	0.37	3.9	3.6	0.3
11. Was available for consultation	20	0.0	0.0	15.0	85.0	0.37	3.9	3.5	0.4
12. Satisfact answered class questions	20	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.31	3.9	3.5	0.4
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	20	0.0	0.0	15.0	85.0	0.37	3.9	3.2	0.7
14. Encouraged class participation	20	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.31	3.9	3.4	0.5

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	20	0.0	0.0	15.0	85.0	0.37	3.9	3.2	0.7
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	20	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.41	3.8	3.3	0.5
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	20	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.41	3.8	3.2	0.6
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	20	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.31	3.9	3.4	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	20	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.41	3.8	3.0	0.8

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		P	F	G	E			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	20	0.0	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.31	3.9	3.2	0.7
21. Overall quality of teaching	20	0.0	0.0	5.0	95.0	0.22	4.0	3.4	0.6

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	COLL	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
28. Guided student report preparation	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.4	0.1
29. Students free to express opinions	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.5	0.0
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
31. Developed abil to conduct research	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
33. Amount of work appropriate	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
34. Instructor explained expectations	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2
35. Instructor helped with my problems	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.3	0.2

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Spring 2009 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = AFS 313 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES III

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = O'Dell, Kelvin B

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	14	100.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	13	92.9	C	0	0.0
Senior	1	7.1	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	7	53.8
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	4	30.8
Required by my major	3	21.4	3 hours	2	15.4
Other (e.g. elective)	11	78.6	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		SD	D	A	SA			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	14	0.0	0.0	21.4	78.6	0.43	3.8	3.4	0.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	14	0.0	0.0	21.4	78.6	0.43	3.8	3.2	0.6
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	14	0.0	0.0	21.4	78.6	0.43	3.8	3.3	0.5
4. Exams reflected what was taught	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.3	0.6
5. Grading was fair and consistent	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.4	0.5
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.4	0.5
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.4	0.5
8. Graded assignments included comments	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.2	0.7

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	14	0.0	0.0	7.1	92.9	0.27	3.9	3.3	0.6
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	14	0.0	0.0	7.1	92.9	0.27	3.9	3.6	0.3
11. Was available for consultation	14	0.0	0.0	7.1	92.9	0.27	3.9	3.5	0.4
12. Satisfact answered class questions	14	0.0	0.0	7.1	92.9	0.27	3.9	3.5	0.4
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	14	0.0	0.0	7.1	92.9	0.27	3.9	3.2	0.7
14. Encouraged class participation	14	0.0	0.0	7.1	92.9	0.27	3.9	3.4	0.5

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.38	3.8	3.2	0.6
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.3	0.6
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.2	0.7
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.4	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.38	3.8	3.0	0.8

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		P	F	G	E			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	13	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.28	3.9	3.2	0.7
21. Overall quality of teaching	13	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.28	3.9	3.4	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	COLL	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Spring 2009 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = AFS 114 001S1 LEADERSHIP LABORATORY I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steven

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	9	100.0	A	0	0.0
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	8	100.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	4	57.1
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	2	28.6
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	0	0.0
Other (e.g. elective)	9	100.0	4 - 5 hours	1	14.3
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				COLL		
		SD	D	A	SA	SD	Mean	Mean Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	9	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	.	3.8	3.4	0.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
4. Exams reflected what was taught	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
5. Grading was fair and consistent	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
8. Graded assignments included comments	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.6	0.3
11. Was available for consultation	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.5	0.4
12. Satisfact answered class questions	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.5	0.4
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.2	0.7
14. Encouraged class participation	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.4	0.5

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.2	0.7
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.2	0.7
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.0	0.9

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				COLL		
		P	F	G	E	SD	Mean	Mean Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
21. Overall quality of teaching	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.4	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	COLL		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.1	0.9
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Spring 2009 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = AFS 114 001S2 LEADERSHIP LABORATORY I

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steven

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	11	84.6	A	6	46.2
Sophomore	2	15.4	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	0	0.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	7	53.8

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	6	46.2
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	2	15.4
Required by my major	0	0.0	3 hours	1	7.7
Other (e.g. elective)	13	100.0	4 - 5 hours	3	23.1
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	1	7.7

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		SD	D	A	SA			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	16	0.0	0.0	31.3	68.8	0.48	3.7	3.4	0.3
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	12	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.45	3.8	3.2	0.6
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	15	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.46	3.7	3.3	0.4
4. Exams reflected what was taught	13	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.44	3.8	3.3	0.5
5. Grading was fair and consistent	16	0.0	0.0	18.8	81.3	0.40	3.8	3.4	0.4
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	16	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.45	3.8	3.4	0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	14	0.0	0.0	21.4	78.6	0.43	3.8	3.4	0.4
8. Graded assignments included comments	14	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.36	3.9	3.2	0.7

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	16	0.0	0.0	18.8	81.3	0.40	3.8	3.3	0.5
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	16	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.34	3.9	3.6	0.3
11. Was available for consultation	15	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.41	3.8	3.5	0.3
12. Satisfact answered class questions	15	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.41	3.8	3.5	0.3
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	16	0.0	0.0	31.3	68.8	0.48	3.7	3.2	0.5
14. Encouraged class participation	15	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.41	3.8	3.4	0.4

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	16	0.0	0.0	31.3	68.8	0.48	3.7	3.2	0.5
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	16	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.45	3.8	3.3	0.5
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	15	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.41	3.8	3.2	0.6
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	16	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.34	3.9	3.4	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	16	0.0	6.3	18.8	75.0	0.60	3.7	3.0	0.7

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		P	F	G	E			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	16	0.0	0.0	18.8	81.3	0.40	3.8	3.2	0.6
21. Overall quality of teaching	16	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.34	3.9	3.4	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	COLL		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.1	0.4
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.1	0.4
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	2	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	.	3.5	3.2	0.3
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.4	-0.4
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.4	-0.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Spring 2009 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = AFS 213 001L1 AEROSPACE STUDIES II

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steven

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	5	62.5
Sophomore	7	87.5	B	2	25.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	1	12.5	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	1	12.5

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	37.5
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	1	12.5
Required by my major	1	12.5	3 hours	3	37.5
Other (e.g. elective)	7	87.5	4 - 5 hours	0	0.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	1	12.5

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% SD	% D	% A	% SA	SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
4. Exams reflected what was taught	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
5. Grading was fair and consistent	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
8. Graded assignments included comments	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
12. Satisfact answered class questions	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
14. Encouraged class participation	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.0	1.0

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% P	% F	% G	% E	SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
21. Overall quality of teaching	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	COLL	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.5	0.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Spring 2009 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = AFS 214 001S1 LEADERSHIP LABORATORY II

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steven

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	2	25.0
Sophomore	7	87.5	B	0	0.0
Junior	0	0.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	1	12.5	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	1	12.5
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	5	62.5

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	1	12.5
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	0	0.0
Required by my major	1	12.5	3 hours	3	37.5
Other (e.g. elective)	7	87.5	4 - 5 hours	2	25.0
			6 - 7 hours	1	12.5
			8 or more hours	1	12.5

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	COLL		
		SD	D	A	SA		Mean	Mean	Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
4. Exams reflected what was taught	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
5. Grading was fair and consistent	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.4	0.6
8. Graded assignments included comments	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.2	0.8

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.6	0.3
11. Was available for consultation	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.5	0.4
12. Satisfact answered class questions	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.5	0.4
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.2	0.7
14. Encouraged class participation	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.4	0.5

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	9	0.0	11.1	0.0	88.9	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.3	0.6
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.2	0.7
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.4	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	9	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	.	3.9	3.0	0.9

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				SD	COLL		
		P	F	G	E		Mean	Mean	Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	8	0.0	12.5	0.0	87.5	.	3.8	3.2	0.6
21. Overall quality of teaching	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	.	3.9	3.4	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	COLL	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	1.0	3.3	-2.3
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.3	-1.3
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	.	2.0	3.3	-1.3
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Spring 2009 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = Arts and Sciences DEPARTMENT = Air Force Studies
 FACULTY TYPE = Part-time
 COURSE = AFS 314 001A1 LEADERSHIP LAB III

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Ott, Steven

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	2	15.4
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	0	0.0
Junior	12	92.3	C	0	0.0
Senior	1	7.7	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	11	84.6

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	3	23.1
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	3	23.1
Required by my major	3	23.1	3 hours	1	7.7
Other (e.g. elective)	10	76.9	4 - 5 hours	5	38.5
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	1	7.7

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		SD	D	A	SA			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.38	3.8	3.4	0.4
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.2	0.6
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.3	0.5
4. Exams reflected what was taught	11	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	0.40	3.8	3.3	0.5
5. Grading was fair and consistent	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.38	3.8	3.4	0.4
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	12	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.39	3.8	3.4	0.4
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	12	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.39	3.8	3.4	0.4
8. Graded assignments included comments	12	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.39	3.8	3.2	0.6

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.38	3.8	3.3	0.5
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	12	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.39	3.8	3.6	0.2
11. Was available for consultation	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.38	3.8	3.5	0.3
12. Satisfact answered class questions	12	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.39	3.8	3.5	0.3
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	12	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.39	3.8	3.2	0.6
14. Encouraged class participation	12	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.39	3.8	3.4	0.4

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.38	3.8	3.2	0.6
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.38	3.8	3.3	0.5
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.38	3.8	3.2	0.6
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.38	3.8	3.4	0.4
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	12	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.39	3.8	3.0	0.8

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				SD	Mean	COLL	
		P	F	G	E			Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	13	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.38	3.8	3.2	0.6
21. Overall quality of teaching	13	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.28	3.9	3.4	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Mean	COLL	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			Mean	Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.1	-3.1
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.2	-3.2
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.5	-3.5
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.4	-3.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	0.0	3.3	-3.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
33. Amount of work appropriate	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
34. Instructor explained expectations	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
35. Instructor helped with my problems	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	.	4.0	3.3	0.7

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***