

# *Annual Report* 2004



## *Kentucky Citizens Review Panels for Child Protective Services*

# Table of Contents

Welcome .....	2
Report/Recommendations from Citizens Review Panels	
Gateway/Buffalo Trace .....	3
Purchase .....	8
Jefferson .....	10
Fayette .....	19

## *Acronyms contained in this report and their meanings:*

- CRP* Citizens Review Panels
- CFC* Cabinet for Health and Family Services
- CPS* Child Protective Services
- SRA* Service Region Administrators
- QSR* Quality Service Review
- CQA* Continuous Quality Assessment
- DCBS* Department for Community Based Services

## *Web sites:*

*Kentucky Citizens Review Panels:* [www.uky.edu/socialwork/trc](http://www.uky.edu/socialwork/trc)

*National Citizens Review Panel Virtual Community:* [www.uky.edu/socialwork/crp](http://www.uky.edu/socialwork/crp)

\*\* All recommendations are in **BOLD** print

Dear Citizen,

If you are reading these words, I am quite certain that you are interested in how we as Kentucky citizens can band together and prevent the Commonwealth's children from being abused and neglected. You join in that wish nearly sixty other citizen volunteers who comprise Kentucky's Citizens Review Panels.

The Citizens Review Panels for Child Protective Services were formed in 1999 through an amendment to the federal Child Abuse and Prevention Treatment Act. The Panels are composed of volunteers who meet monthly to evaluate the state's services in place to deal with child abuse. The Panels are located in the Fayette, Jefferson, Gateway-Buffalo Trace, and Purchase Regions. Plans are under way to form a new Panel in the Prestonsburg area in 2004-05.

This year has seen unprecedented growth and activity within the Panels. Many new volunteers have joined the ranks of those who are working with the Cabinet for Health and Family Services to protect our most vulnerable families from harm.

I would like to stress that this a citizen report, written by citizens. It is a public report and may be photocopied or electronically disseminated without prior approval.

As you read the recommendations of the Citizens Review Panels, I hope you will take a moment to think about all of the frontline workers and supervisors who devote their time and energy to working in a field that is often frustrating and dangerous. As the Program Coordinator, I can attest to the fact that the citizen volunteers have worked diligently to remain objective and helpful as these dedicated workers do their jobs. The CRP members realize that the only real solution to entrenched problems is through a community-wide effort. Strength in numbers was never as needed as it is now.

**Blake L. Jones**  
*Program Coordinator*

## ***Gateway/Buffalo Trace***

***Chairperson: Goldie Williams***

***Co-Chair: Douglas Brown***

*Marilyn Slone*

*Genrose Turner*

*Cindy Kerns*

*Cheryl Love*

*Teresa Conway*

*Shirley Price*

*Jackie Johnson\**

*Sue Hill*

*Gail Flora*

*Alan Siegel*

*\* DCBS Liaison*

This year, the Gateway/Buffalo Trace Citizens Review Panel conducted its work using three working teams centered around the issues of foster care, investigation to prosecution of child abuse, and repeat child maltreatment. The reports from these working teams are synthesized below.

The Panel also held an appreciation luncheon for Division of Community Based Services (DCBS) staff in honor of Child Abuse Prevention Month.

The Panel is planning a new-member recruiting effort this summer and a strategic planning retreat on September 17, 2004. Areas planned for evaluation in the coming year are: services needed in our region, the “capped” number of foster children allowed in a foster home, and the barriers to the timely prosecution of child abuse cases in our region.

*Work Group 1-* Investigation to Prosecution of child abuse cases. This work group is a carry over from last year’s work and looked at the barriers to successful prosecution of child abuse.

A survey conducted with DCBS supervisors for the region in 2003 led to the consensus that approximately 26% of substantiated abuse cases were not prosecuted. Also, the results indicated that there were a large number of child sexual abuse cases that

had been substantiated but not prosecuted. As unfortunate as this may be, these statistics are consistent with national figures. Those cases that are heard in the various courts of jurisdiction have a 73% to 80% rate of prosecution (based on 2001 statistics from Kentucky Prosecutors Advisory Council). Regionally, we have rates of substantiated cases that are equivalent or better than the state averages. It is unclear if this indicates excellent investigation or a greater number of reported incidents of abuse/neglect. Child abuse data reported by the Kentucky Prosecutors advisory council in 1999-2001 indicated a higher ratio of law enforcement prosecution involvement in the abuse cases.

This data is consistent with the national data shown in the Department of Juvenile Justice Bulletin. Over the last year there have been three deaths of children within the region that DCBS has determined to be due to abuse/neglect, however there has been no prosecution in these fatalities. The possible reasons for this breakdown between substantiation and prosecution indicate an area of continued concern for the Panel.

### **Recommendations**

- 1. When possible, joint investigations between Child Protective Services (CPS) staff and law enforcement should be conducted, especially in cases that involve serious physical abuse, sexual abuse and child fatality incidents when child abuse is alleged.**
- 2. CPS staff and the Citizens Review Panel should work towards the establishment of functioning Child Fatality Teams in each county of our region.**
- 3. The Cabinet should encourage legislative change in the wording of child fatality review teams from “at the discretion of the coroner” to “mandatory.”**

*Work Group 2-* Recidivism in repeat child maltreatment cases. This group looked at incidents of repeated reports of child abuse by reviewing cases and interviewing supervisors

This team reviewed a number of reports as well as spoke with several recruitment and certification workers at the Cabinet.

### **Recommendations**

- 1. When after care/safety plans are established on cases during an investigation but when no case is opened, the CPS worker should do a follow-up visits to check compliance with the agreed upon action items.**
- 2. Explore the possibility of establishing drug and alcohol screening and urine drug testing at local Health Departments for DCBS clients. Currently DCBS staff does not have access to urine screenings on clients for which drug and alcohol abuse is an issue. It is possible that the Cabinet could contract with the Health Department for a certain number of screenings per month across the state.**

### *Concerns, Comments and Suggestions*

- As a region, we need to establish a wider scope of services to cover a greater number of needs of citizens that are effective-and accessible. We intend to look at this issue further in 2004-05 and assist the Cabinet in developing this broader service array.
- We commend the Cabinet for making progress on fulfilling the goals and objectives outlined in the Program Improvement Plan to address recidivism.
- We encourage the Cabinet to continually move away from “incident-based” investigations and toward a more community-based and collaborative approach of doing child abuse investigations.
- We encourage the frequent use of case staffings; these could include consultation with outside Masters of Social Work (MSWs) and/or supervisors. We are aware that this is happening already. However,

we suggest that this type of supervision be encouraged and monitored in order to ensure optimal case outcomes.

*Work Group 3-* The recidivism in foster care placements work group looked at the repeat instances of foster care placement by interviewing a worker, a Recruitment/Certification worker and through statistical review.

During the year the group analyzed statistics on foster children and placements from The Worker Information System (TWIST) reports from October 2003. Three Review Panel members conducted interviews with a Recruitment/Certification worker and a CPS worker.

### ***Recommendations***

- 1. Foster parents report frustration with the current system of medical transportation financial reimbursement. The process is burdensome and lengthy and can result in foster parents not providing the transportation or not receiving reimbursement. Either result is not good for children and must be addressed. **We recommend that the Cabinet take this process back over as it has done in the past in order to ensure a more efficient system of reimbursement for foster parents.****
- 2. Increased accountability with the current foster parent-training program is essential for ensuring long-term success of foster parents. Foster care parents should have a better system to account for the knowledge they are required to comprehend. Pre and post-test worksheets, online responses, postcard responses should be developed for videos and live presentations to show knowledge obtained; hours should not be acquired for mere attendance. Foster parents should be included in workshops with special educators, DCBS, and mental health staff to answer any questions addressed in a specific workshop.**

**We strongly encourage the Cabinet to move away from allowing foster parents to watch videotapes at home in order to complete their continuing education hours. There is a lack of quality control in this arrangement; a live presenter is the optimal arrangement. We are aware that there are certain circumstances that dictate the need for videotaped training, so we encourage the Cabinet to consider doing a piloted research project evaluating the effectiveness of videotaped training.**

- 3. Regional staff needs to be able to close foster homes when justified and standards of acceptance need to be raised. It is imperative that foster homes meet high standards of acceptability in order to provide high quality care of children. Decisions to close or not open foster homes must be based on sound reasoning and a good decision making model that is in the best interest of children.**

## *Purchase*

*Kim Brand, Chairperson*

*Ladonna Butler, Co-Chair*

*Peggy Meriedeth\**

*Mary Jackson*

*Steve Jimenez*

*Gloria Olney*

*Ralph Prince*

*Cheryl Dodd*

*Kim Brand*

*Wendy Lay*

*June Anthony\*\**

*Cindy Darnell*

*Carol Sampson*

*Elizabeth Riley*

*Stephanie Hooper*

*Traci Lavey*

*\* DCBS Liaison*

*\*\* Resigned*

The Purchase Area Citizens Review Panel has had a busy, productive year. We began by hosting a retreat for members in July of 2003 for the purpose of strategic planning. The members of this group felt some type of concerted effort was needed to solidify our individual thoughts into an effective plan of action. Mr. Howard Pulley served as our moderator and a plan of action was devised that would ultimately become a true success story.

Because our membership was struggling to reflect the needed diversity and continuity, immediate focus was given to recruiting. Our membership has grown from 10 to 16 committed members, each one bringing a wealth of knowledge and excellent skills to round our group.

In addition to recruitment, the Purchase Area Citizens Review Panel, at the suggestion of and with the assistance of our local DCBS agency, began reviewing the case plans of “at risk” children who are still residing in their homes. The membership was aware the Foster Care Review teams were already reviewing the cases of children placed in foster care, so the process of reviewing the home placement case files was an excellent method of providing necessary feedback to the Cabinet. To date, the panel is in the process of establishing trends and will be able to provide a more concise, detailed report in the near future.

Our efforts to keep communication lines open and provide “helping hands” to DCBS brought us yet another opportunity to provide assistance in the form of exit interviews for the Cabinet. Several panel members have been identified as exit interviewers willing to contact the employee leaving their position of employment with DCBS. It was suggested by DCBS that their employees might not always be 100% honest with supervisors as to the reasons of their departure, so it was thought that an independent, impartial entity/person would be able to handle that process, allowing anonymity, yet giving important feedback to the Cabinet.

In keeping with the significance of April, National Child Abuse Awareness and Prevention Month, our panel collaborated with the Community Collaborations for Children (CCC) Network to publicize special events around our region. A calendar was assembled by the CCC Network with the input from the Panel’s various counties and was distributed region wide. Another project in the works with this excellent resource is a “Second Time Parent Conference” scheduled for September 2004. For this conference, our Panel will be collaborating, with the CCC Network the Kentucky State Police to provide a workshop for relative caregivers concerning methamphetamine. The rise of this highly addictive drug is overwhelming for all of Kentucky’s citizens. The number of caregivers who find themselves raising their grandchildren because of methamphetamine is staggering. Our Panel will also provide an information booth pertaining to Shaken Baby Syndrome and SIDS, thanks to the assistance of the CCC Network.

Another important accomplishment by our panel was the online needs assessment/survey that was distributed to DCBS workers/supervisors, Family Resource and Youth Services Center personnel, etc., in order to ascertain the needs per county (i.e., transportation, translators, emergency shelters). The form was distributed in March, 2004 and there were 55 returned, which Panel members felt was excellent. Because of the results of this survey, the Panel felt better equipped to pursue options for the various counties in an effort to help obtain services and/or collaborate with agencies pursuing the same goals.

# *Jefferson*

*Mary Lou Cambron, Chairperson*

*Robyn Zapp\**

*Gloria Talbott\*\**

*Carla Tyree Curry*

*Dwala Griffin*

*Martha Casper\*\**

*Becky Lewis*

*Barbara Dempsey*

*Sheila Nelson*

*Gretchen Hunt*

*Becky Harris*

*Dr. Annatjie Faul\*\**

*Bryan Fantoni*

*Phillis Thompson*

*Linda Wilhelms*

*Abraham Solano*

*\*DCBS Liaison*

*\*\* Resigned*

This year, the Jefferson Citizens Review Panel accomplished its goals by breaking into three “working teams.” These teams were focused around the areas of foster care, cultural competency and the internal functioning of the Cabinet (“Steering Committee”). Because of the resignation of two members on the foster care working team, it was decided to dissolve this team and to re-visit the idea of a foster care team at the Fall retreat, which is scheduled for September 15, 2004

The reports and recommendations from the Steering Committee and the Language as a Second Language/Cultural Competency team are below.

## **Steering Committee Report**

In completing our work this year, we would like to stress that we have received excellent cooperation both from our Panel-level liaison, Robyn Zapp, and our region’s Service Region Administrator, Sandra Wilson. The majority of our working team’s recommendations are targeted toward the state office of the Cabinet for Health and Family Services.

1. EXIT INTERVIEWS:

One of the goals of the steering committee last year was to assist the Cabinet for Health and Family Services (CHFS) in conducting exit interviews for Jefferson County Child Protective Services (CPS) staff in order to identify any issue that may assist CHFS in providing a better working environment for staff. Currently, the Office of Human Resource Management (OHRM) mails exit surveys to staff leaving state employment. The survey requests that the former employee complete the survey and return it to OHRM. This office then provides the data statewide to all regions. The Jefferson County CHFS Service Regional Administrator (SRA) can make no conclusions regarding this data because the return rate is too low to break down by region without identifying the former employee.

There have been numerous obstacles in getting approval for this project.

The Jefferson Citizens Review Panel (JCRP) developed a protocol with the Service Regional Administrator (SRA) for Jefferson County CPS to interview and complete the exit survey in person with the goal of increasing the number of surveys completed. The completed surveys were to be mailed to OHRM. OHRM was to then send the cumulative responses to the JCRP. The JCRP was not creating its own instrument, nor was it keeping copies of the completed exit survey forms. The JCRP was required to complete an application for IRB (Institutional Review Board) to conduct a “research” project and to have the project approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) Administrator.

The JCRP completed the research application and submitted it to Robert L. Blackburn, the IRB Administrator. Mr. Blackburn notified the JCRP that the project did not need the approval of the IRB. Mr. Blackburn further stated that the Office of Human Resource Management (OHRM), the Office of Legal

Services (OLS), and the Department for Community Based Services (DCBS) must approve the request. At this time, approval has not been granted by any of these offices and no interviews have been completed.

*Recommendations:*

- A. The State Department for Community Based Services, State Office of Human Resource Management and State Office of Legal Services should immediately provide approval of the JCRP request to conduct exit interviews of the Jefferson County CPS staff who are leaving the Cabinet.**
  
- B. The State and Regional Department for Community Based Services, State Office of Human Resource Management, and the State Office of Legal Services should cooperate and assist the JCRP to ensure that the JCRP can conduct exit interviews.**
  
- C. The Jefferson County SRA should make available to the training branch names and contact information for all CPS employees leaving CHFS. This information should be made available to the training branch the same day that the employee gives notice of leaving or the employee has been given notice of being released. The training branch would contact the JCRP with contact information. The JCRP member would contact the staff member and try to conduct exit interview to complete the exit survey. The Jefferson County SRA should allow the employee time to meet with the JCRP member who is conducting the exit interview.**

**D. The State Department for Community Based Services, State Office of Human Resource Management, and the State Office of Legal Services should cooperate and ensure that the cumulative data is shared with our Citizens Review Panel.**

2. PUBLIC RELATIONS:

It appears that the general public does not have knowledge of the services provided by state and regional CHFS. The JCRP believes that state and regional CHFS should take a more proactive position regarding advertising the services that they provide to the community.

Additionally, the Community Partnership for Protecting Children (CPPC) has been collecting data in an attempt to identify the types of abuse, neglect and dependency, which occur in each Neighborhood Place. By identifying these types of abuse by area, education and preventive services can be specialized for that area and population. Collecting and collating this data has truly been a collaborative community effort.

We commend the Cabinet for showcasing foster children in events such as the Shining Star Photo Gallery Introduction and other television spots.

Recommendation:

**A. Jefferson CPS should identify at least one program, initiative or service and feature it in press releases, morning talk shows, letters to the editors of the LEO, Courier Journal, etc. Jefferson County Regional CHFS Office should meet with the State CHFS Office of Public Relations in central office regarding ways to promote services that the CPS provides.**

**B. State and Regional CHFS should continue to cooperate with CPPC and data collection. Results of the research will provide areas to target for prevention and education. State CHFS should attempt to provide funding to educate the public as well as funding for media campaigns for prevention.**

3. GENERAL:

Due to a new administration in Frankfort, much of the leadership has changed at the state Cabinet. It is imperative that these new administrators are aware of the Citizens Review Panels, their work, their responsibilities, and the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) entered into in 2002. Understandably, the administration has been very busy becoming familiar with all the facets of CHFS. However, the relationship between the Panels and CHFS leadership is crucial in utilizing the Panels to their fullest extent. **We would like a chance to meet with Drs Holsinger and Foster as well as Commissioner Mike Robinson in order to update them about our work.**

4. EMPLOYEE MORALE:

A. Due to the rise in gasoline prices, reimbursement for mileage is not keeping up with the expense of workers using their own vehicles. Employees should not be penalized financially for using their own vehicles for home visits, appointments, etc. to complete mandated duties.

Recommendation:

**The Governor and the Secretary of CFHS need to investigate the parity of reimbursement and increase reimbursement as needed to cover costs to employees for use of personal vehicles or provide more state cars.**

- B. The CRP continues to receive numerous complaints regarding technology. The complaints tend to center around old computers and the TWIST program

Recommendations:

1. **An inventory should be completed by state CHFS Office of Technology of the number and age of computers used by field staff. Computers should be updated and /or replaced to provide staff with updated technology.**
  
2. **An assessment should be conducted regarding TWIST to include surveys or focus groups with staff to identify problems and to determine the efficiency of this program. A plan should be created about how to resolve these complaints to make the TWIST a more efficient and effective program and a dateline for completion should be set. If making changes to TWIST proves to be too costly or the TWIST program is unable to be adapted to make changes, a plan should be developed to replace TWIST. The Secretary of CHFS, the Governor, and State Legislature should make funding these plans for repair or replacement of TWIST a priority.**
  
5. CONTINUOUS QUALITY IMPROVEMENT  
The Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) process is used as a way for staff to identify problems and direct these problems to supervisory staff for consideration for change. If the problem cannot be resolved on that level, then it goes up to the next level of supervision until the problem is resolved. At that point, the solution is then communicated back down to the front line staff. At this time, there appears to be issues at both regional and state level regarding the CQI process and the front line staff receiving information regarding resolutions.

Recommendation:

**Both state and regional CHFS should participate in the CQI process as outlined in policy. Datelines should be given regarding feedback to insure that issues do not get forgotten or are not acted upon.**

***Report from Language as a Second Language/Cultural Competency team***

Recommendations from Immigration Committee

*Introduction: Given the changing demographics of Jefferson County, our Panel created an Immigration Committee to address the needs and barriers faced by immigrant and non-English speaking families who may come into contact with Child Protective Services. Even in a time of budget crunch, it is too costly to lose reports of child abuse by Limited English Proficiency (LEP) parents. Non-citizens still pay taxes and their children are eligible for services. The percentage of LEP in the Jefferson County school system is approximately three percent. Several cultures demonstrate a need for a multi-faceted approach (including, but not limited to, Spanish-speaking populations). A change in policy and procedure (for example, using a language line and interpreter services) has worked for family health clinics, and community based service centers (for an example of such a policy from Family Health Centers, Inc., please contact Blake Jones at (859) 257-7210.)*

*Jefferson County is uniquely positioned with affordable, qualified interpreters (for example, through Catholic Charities). The Cabinet may be able to look to this pool of volunteers if the volunteers are offered training in interpreter ethics.*

*At a minimum, the crisis line should be accessible or a plan should be put into place for how it will be accessible in the future. Portions of the Program Improvement Plan can only be implemented if services are accessible.*

Recommendations:

**Hook up the crisis line for child and adult protective services to a language service and translate the case plan into language of parent(s).**

Rationale: In order to comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and to ensure that all children may be safe, we recommend that the Cabinet put into place a language service on the crisis line so that all reports may be taken and so that the reports will be complete and accurate. The crisis line may often be the single point of entry and a valuable prevention tool against child and adult abuse. The case plan is a vital document that shapes the responsibilities and expectations of the parent. These recommendations are part of a general recommendation that the Cabinet ensure that all services are accessible to individuals who have limited English proficiency.

*Provide cultural competency training for workers and foster parents.*

**In order to provide services that are culturally appropriate, we recommend that the Cabinet provide cultural competency training to both workers and foster parents so that children will receive services that are appropriate. Cultural competency training should include, but not be limited to the following: language accessibility, working with interpreters, examining cultural beliefs and cultural misinformation, involving biological parents in decision-making with regards to the child's culture practices (including food, language, religion).**

Include at least one immigrant/non-English speaking family in the Quality Service Review

**Ensure that all surveys and accountability tools incorporate non-English speaking families in order to assess strengths and challenges in providing services to immigrant and/or non-English speaking families. This will assist the Cabinet in improving services and identifying areas of the county that may need specific services.**

*TRAINING*

- **Give out Spanish pamphlet “When Your Child is Removed From Your Home” to Spanish speaking parents.**
- **Cross training with other providers and immigrant groups (for example, Americana, Catholic Charities, Kentucky Refugee Ministries, Hispanic Latino Coalition, St Rita Catholic Church, International Office of Cultural Affairs, Center for Women and Families)**

*PUBLIC RELATIONS*

- **Feature initiatives in foreign language media TV (*Amigos en Louisville*), Radio, and newspapers (*Hoy en Las Americas*)**

## *Fayette*

***Chairperson: Claudia Blaylock***

*Pamela Black*

*Joanne Bell*

*Connie Boyd\**

*Al Speler*

*Teri Faragher*

*Sherrian Peyton*

*Janet Doss*

*\* DCBS Liaison*

***Co-Chair: Kim Hamilton***

*Rashmi Adi-Brown*

*Carol McDonald*

*Vicky Ritter*

*Steve and Linda Skaggs*

*Kim Hamilton*

*Kelli Cozen Warren*

*Anne Joseph*

### **Activities:**

- At each meeting a specific Team of direct service workers was invited to share a meal with the panel. Those meals were catered by Selma's, which is operated by the Center for Training and Employment. This restaurant is a job development site used for job training/placement in the culinary arts. Round table discussions are held with the workers. It is also a way to express appreciation for the work they are doing. The panel met with 13 workers this year. This will be an ongoing activity.
- Case reviews were conducted and the results of the reviews were shared with Connie Boyd, the panel liaison with the local office. Pam Black conducted training for the panel members in reading a case file. She also coordinated the case reviews.
- Crystal Collins-Camargo conducted training for the panel. She presented the historical perspective of the Citizen's Review Panels i.e. the America Safe Families Act and the mission and the goals of the CRP.
- The panel co-sponsored a Candidates Forum held at the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government. The forum addressed the issue of family safety.

- A meeting was held at the Lexington Herald Leader with the editorial staff to raise awareness about the work of the panel and to discuss local community concerns, specifically the ramification of state budget cuts on vulnerable families and children in Fayette County. This resulted in several stories in the Herald Leader concerning these issues.
- The Friends of Children Recognition Breakfast was co-sponsored and attended by the panel. The other sponsors included: United Way Success by Six; Community Collaborations for Children/Family Safety Task Force; LexLinc and Prevent Child Abuse Kentucky. The breakfast was held in honor of Prevent Child Abuse Month.
- Panel members attended two state wide Citizen's Review Panel meetings.
- Panel member attended the National Citizen's Review Conference held in Lexington, Kentucky.
- Claudia Blaylock participated in the CFSR (Kentucky Child and Family Site Review) and the development of the Program Improvement Plan.
- Mr. Mike Robinson, Mrs. Toya Nicholson and Mrs. Gayle Yocum were special guests at panel meetings.

Recommendations:

- **State legislators and/or Cabinet officials shadow local DCBS workers for one day. When requested, the panel would help coordinate that effort.**
- **Provide a software program (i.e., Via Voice and/or Totally Voice) for workers in order to complete documentation in a timely manner. As you read aloud the program recognizes and types the words, cutting paperwork time in half.**
- **Implement a weighted case assignment system. (This would include assessment of the family as a case, not just the child or children.)**

- **Citizen's Review Panels (across the state) conduct face-to-face exit interviews with employees who are leaving or who have left the Cabinet.**

**Future Plans:**

- Continue to meet with a DCBS Team at each meeting.
- Continue case reviews and follow up reporting.
- Review and revise bylaws.
- Recruit and train new panel members.
- Conduct strategic planning in a retreat setting.
- Review the issues of cultural diversity in the delivery of services and make recommendations.
- Review the current Memorandum of Understanding and work with the panels across the state to make recommendations to the Cabinet.

The Fayette County Panel would like to express our appreciation to: Jessica Belsky for her work with the panel; the continuing support of Toya Nicholson and the child protective services staff in Fayette County; Gayle Yocum and Mike Robinson in Frankfort.