

**Wyoming Citizen
Review Panel**

Annual Report

May 21, 2004

Citizen Review Panel Members

Brenda Oswald (Chairperson)

Jenny Higgins (Vice-Chairperson)

Betsy Groom

Bill Barnes

Martha Ferris

Deb Renneisen

Martha William

Vicki Powell

David Courter

Rose Kor

Rep. Randall Luthi

Brenden McKinney

Dan Wilde

Carolyn Yeaman

Lisa Brockman

Nancy Dawson

Katie Mordhorst

George Moss

Mary Ann Nelson

Bernadette Oberly

Carla Thurin

Alice Sullivan

Pat Hans

Andy Aldrich

Introduction

The Wyoming Citizen Review Panel (CRP) was established in response to the 1996 amendment to the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act requiring states to develop and establish Citizen Review Panels as oversight to the states' child protective services systems. The purpose of this program is to develop recommendations for improvement of Child Protective Services through independent, unbiased reviews by panels composed of citizens, social service, legal, medical, education, and mental health professionals in Wyoming. The creation of the Citizen Review Panel Program is an acknowledgment that protection of our children is the responsibility of the entire community, not a single agency. As such, the child protection system is the interaction of numerous agencies and individuals. The entire community has a stake in protecting the safety of its children.

In September of 2003, the Panel met to discuss goals and objectives for the SFY 2004. The Panel decided to form three committees: Logistics, Foster Care Review, and Quality Assurance. This year a majority of the time has been spent on building a solid foundation for the Panel to operate effectively.

Logistics Committee

The logistics committee was formed in September 2003 with the purpose of building the framework of the panel. The Goals of the committee are:

- To Obtain funding for the CRP to perform their duties;
- Hiring a CRP Coordinator;
- Writing By-laws;
- Implementing a membership application process;
- Planning publicity events for the CRP – Annual Recognition Dinner

The administration of the Department of Family Services and the Governor has been very supportive in assisting us with creating a CRP that will be independent. The Governor supported \$90,000 general fund dollars for the CRP in his SFY2005-2006 biennium budget. This could not have been accomplished without assistance from the Department of Family Services (DFS) liaison, Andy Aldrich, and the DFS Director Rodger McDaniel.

The Wyoming Citizen Review Panel is in the process of becoming a 501(c) 3 corporation. This will allow the CRP to hire a Coordinator and have a board of directors to deal with the business end of the Citizen Review Panel while allowing trained community volunteer to work directly on the improvement of the foster care system.

Committee Members:

Kori Erickson, WYCAN Executive Director

Bill Barnes, Interfaith Hospitality Network

Nancy Dawson, Child Fatality Review Committee

Brenda Oswald (Chairperson) Governor's Planning Council on Developmental Disabilities, Foster/Adoptive Parent

The Citizen Review Panel plans on hiring a coordinator in July of 2004.
(Please See Appendix – Proposal to DFS)

Foster Care Review Committee Report 2003

The Foster Care Review committee was formed in September 2003 to evaluate Wyoming's foster care system and make recommendations for improvement.

The committee's goals for the year are:

- Review state foster care survey results conducted by Casey Foundation
- Review DFS policies and procedures in regards to foster care
- Interview the DFS Director Rodger McDaniel
- Identify Strengths and weaknesses and set goals for SFY 2005

The committee has begun the process of reviewing DFS policies and procedures as well as reviewing the state foster care survey.

The committee interviewed the DFS Director Rodger McDaniel regarding his focus group meetings with foster parents in Cheyenne, Laramie, Casper, Gillette, Buffalo, Newcastle, Rock Springs, and Green River. He shared the following concerns of foster parents:

- Communication between foster parents and caseworkers need work, in general;
- Judges determine who are involved in Multi-disciplinary Team Meetings (MDT's) – varies from court to court;
- Foster parents are not always involved in MDT meetings;
- If they are involved, notification of Foster Parent MDT meetings are at the last minute;
- A number of Foster parents did not know what an MDT team meeting was and felt they were devalued by the system; and,
- Concerned that critical information was not being shared with foster parents regarding children in their care.

Director McDaniel's would like assistance in define best practices for working foster parents and support encouraging the judges and DFS to implement best these practices. An area of interest would be identifying best practices in foster parent/natural parent relationships for MDT meetings. An analysis of WY DFS policies versus best practices would be useful for modifying state policy in regarding to working with foster parents.

Committee Members:

Deb Renneisen, CASA

Carla Thurin, Safehouse, Foster Parent

Alice Sullivan, Foster Parent

Carolyn Yeamon, DFS, Laramie County District Manager

Pat Hans, Foster Parent

Brenda McKinney, Casey Family Director

(See Appendix B – Foster Parent Survey)

Quality Assurance Committee Report 2003

The Quality Assurance (QA) Committee has been formed within the Citizen Review Panel (CRP) to address issues related to quality of services provided to youth in foster care through the Wyoming Department of Family Services (DFS). The CRP QA committee reviewed the Child and Family Services Report (CFSR), a federal audit completed in 2003 by Administration for Children and Families (ACF), and is aware of the areas where the state did not meet substantial conformity. As federal requirements mandate, the state of Wyoming DFS completed and submitted a Program Improvement Plan (PIP) as a response to the CFSR, which was approved January 2004. The CRP QA committee reviewed the Wyoming PIP and identified areas where the CRP is able to assist in the implementation of the plan in the area of quality assurance. Currently, the CRP QA committee has developed a working knowledge of areas of needed improvement in the state and the major strategies identified in the PIP to address issues related to quality assurance. The CRP QA committee has been identifying gaps and trends in existing data available and is determining what data sources are needed to address quality assurance issues. The current goals and objectives identified below is the CRP QA committee work plan for 2004:

Goal: Create a feedback system that supports the development of quality practice in DFS.

Indicators

Complete a mini-CFSR review statewide annually

Coordinate with the Child Fatality Team to review recommendations to ensure the safety of children in foster care.

Make recommendations to DFS to improve consistency in practice through feedback loops and quality assurance

Integrate the CRP into specific policy review groups related to the foster care system.

Committee members:

Rose Kor, Prevent Child Abuse Wyoming

Martha Williams, University of Wyoming Social Work Department

Lisa Brockman, Department of Health, Mental Health Division

Andy Aldrich: DFS, Child Protection Services

Vicki Powell, Division of Victim Services

Betsy Groom, Catholic Charities

Lisa Gossert (Chairperson), Casey Family Programs

APPENDIX A

Proposal to DFS

Wyoming Citizen's Review Panel

Request for Funding

Program Overview:

Citizen Review Panels should be seen as an opportunity to recognize the importance of the wider community responsible for child protection. Citizen review processes are important because they ensure quality services and federal requirements for the CRP. Despite the dedication of the current members, the panel determined that it has insufficient resources to meet the requirements stated in the Child Abuse Protection and Treatment Act (CAPTA). A subcommittee of panel members attended the 2nd Annual Citizen's Review Panel training in Lexington, KY, who then presented recommendations for improving the effectiveness of the CRP and its role in Wyoming's child welfare system. After completing a strategic planning process, the CRP determined the need for a paid, professional position to help the CRP meet its objectives.

The CRP is requesting \$90,900.00 from the State of Wyoming for the addition of a ¾-time paid program coordinator position and the infrastructure to support this position, in order to help the panel achieve its mandated requirements and to ensure quality of care for Wyoming's children. Please refer to the attached budget and budget narrative for details.

Federal Requirements for Citizen's Review Panels:

Based on Federal Guidelines and Protocols

The Child Abuse Protection and Treatment Act (CAPTA) requires each state to establish a Citizen's Review Panel (CRP) responsible for determining whether state and local agencies are effectively providing child protective services. The federal guidelines specify that the CRP must review the policies and procedures of state and local child protective agencies as it pertains to:

- the state CAPTA Plan;
- coordination with Title IV-E foster care and adoption programs;
- review of child fatalities and near fatalities;
- other criteria deemed important by the panel.

Though the federal statute does not specify the panel's scope and operational procedures, it does imply that states meet a minimum set of standards. The panel must be representative of the communities from which they operate, including professionals with child protection experience. The panel must also meet at least once every three months. Furthermore in the strictest of confidentiality, the panel has to review the

policies and procedures of each state and local agency, including access to case-specific review. The statute also specifically stipulates that panels must have review mechanisms for child fatalities and foster care. Finally, the panel is federally mandated to compile its findings in an annual report. Other requirements for the panel include:

- establishing confidentiality requirements and procedures;
- developing a protocol for recruitment, training and retention of new panel members;
- locating a permanent home for the panel.

Statement of Need:

Due to the expansive federal mandate, the CRP currently does not have the structural capacity to fully complete its required tasks. Over the last several meetings, the CRP acknowledged its current deficiencies in achieving the federal guidelines and began establishing a strategic plan aimed at bringing the panel up to federal standard. The CRP proposed hiring a full-time program coordinator to help the panel develop the appropriate infrastructure, in order to assist it in achieving the prescribed goals.

Proposal:

In order to complete the tasks assigned to the CRP, the panel recommends hiring a paid professional to help execute the CRP's requirements and goals. Below is a the proposed Program Coordinator's job description. Furthermore, the CRP proposes securing a permanent office location for the Program Coordinator, including other panel related costs such as travel expenses, supplies, and general operational expenses.

Program Coordinator's Job Description:

The Program Coordinator shall be the micro-manager of the CRP's daily tasks while acting as the panel's primary liaison to the Department of Family Services and various other child welfare entities. The Program Coordinator must be a master's level graduate in the social sciences and/or mental health profession with experience or experience commensurate with the proposed job description.

The main objective of the CRP is to review the policies and procedures of state and local agencies to determine the quality of child protective services and make recommendations for improving the system. The Program Coordinator will gather the appropriate information necessary for the CRP to adequately review the ascribed agencies. This includes identifying appropriate cases for review, in order to look at case-specific procedures to ensure consistent service. Furthermore, the Program Coordinator will make recommendations for in-depth case reviews for thorough investigation on agency procedures. In order to successfully complete this task, the Program Coordinator must have knowledge of statistical methods and liberal access to information contained in agency's databases. When reviewing the state and local agencies, some of the many criteria the CRP reviews are:

- Intake screening- the response process to reported abuse and neglect;
- Investigation and assessments of the report;
- Case disposition- process of case classification according to state law;
- Agency decisions- balancing between child safety and family preservation pertaining to the child's well-being in the home;
- Case service planning- reacting to the investigation, review how the agency identifies individualized strategies to handle each case;
- Crisis intervention- the process undertaken to react to emergency situations;
- Case closure- review how cases are closed, based on child safety or family progress rather than case length or heavy case load.

Though there are very specific CAPTA requirements the panel must meet, the statute allows each individual panel to set its own goals of interest. The Program Coordinator will assist the CRP in establishing these goals, while continuously striving to meet all

federal guidelines. Therefore, it is important that the Program Coordinator maintain current methods and models from other state's CRPs, and present best practice methods for consideration. Also, the Program Coordinator will be responsible for handling all the logistics of CRP meetings. These responsibilities include:

- Reserving adequate facilities for all CRP meetings;
- Preparing meeting agendas and compiling of needed handout materials;
- Keeping detailed minutes of all CRP committee and subcommittee proceedings;
- Assisting the panel with problem clarification and resolution building when needed.

As stipulated by CAPTA requirements, the panel member's participation must reflect the diversity of population within the community, including adequate representation from low-income neighborhoods. It is also recommended that the panel incorporate former "consumers" of the child protective service system when possible. Considering many of the aforementioned citizens have little exposure as it pertains to the federal and state requirements, a certain level of training must precede their participation. Currently, no formal training exists. It would be the responsibility of the Program Coordinator to establish such training protocol to aid in easy transitions of panel members. Furthermore, the Program Coordinator will help coordinate the panel's recruitment efforts to fulfill CAPTA requirements.

The Program Coordinator must present regular reports regarding the CRP's compliance with CAPTA requirements at each panel hearing. If the panel still does not meet the requirements, the Program Coordinator will present a list of recommended solutions to aid the panel's compliance. Furthermore, the Program Coordinator must gather and present any information requested by the panel.

As stipulated by the federal guidelines, the CRP must issue an annual report to the public and state legislature. The annual report must include the state's compliance with federal CAPTA requirements, analysis of the state's child protective services, and recommendations to help improve the child welfare system. Through the annual report, when needed, the panel should make recommendations to the state legislature for statutory changes for improvement of the child protective services. The program coordinator will be responsible for collecting all data, case studies, and the panel's conclusions to be written in the annual report. Finally, the Program Coordinator must present the annual report for the panel's approval.

The Program Coordinator will also be responsible for developing a publicity plan for the CRP. CRP meetings are open for public influence, unless confidential case information is discussed and the panel decides to close the session. Therefore, the CRP needs to effectively communicate to the public its efforts, in order to get increased community participation and awareness. The Program Coordinator will be responsible for sending regular press releases to various state media.

The collaborative relationships between the Department of Family Services, the CRP and all other relevant agencies will increase the authority to actively improve the effectiveness of the child welfare system in Wyoming, which is certain to result in the permanency, well-being, and safety of all children.

Citizen's Review Panel

Budget Narrative

This budget is based on actual costs to fund a $\frac{3}{4}$ time position and all supporting infrastructure necessary to effectively and efficiently bring the Citizen's Review Panel to fruition.

Salary:

- Program Coordinator
30-35 hours per week, no benefits
- Liability Insurance

Occupancy:

- Office Space Rental
- Meeting Space Reservations

Supplies:

- General Office Supplies
- Printing
- Annual Reports, agendas, official documentation

Equipment:

- Year One-Office Computer
- Year One-Office Furniture
- Equipment Maintenance

Telephone:

- DSL and phone line
- Year One-Telephone
- Conferencing

Postage/Shipping:

Publicity/Advertising:

- Panel recruitment

Travel:

- In-state travel for coordinator and panel members
- National CRP Conferences

Training:

- Panel Members
- Program Coordinator

The Citizen's Review Panel Proposed Budget

Revenue	Total
Department of Family Services	\$90,900.00







Expenses	
Contract Labor	\$45,000.00
Insurance/Liability	\$ 900.00
Supplies	\$ 3,000.00
Equipment	\$ 7,500.00
Equipment Maintenance	\$ 500.00
Postage/Shipping	\$ 1,500.00
Telephone	\$ 4,000.00
Occupancy	\$ 7,000.00
Publicity/Advertising	\$ 1,500.00
Training	\$10,000.00
Travel	\$10,000.00

Total	\$90,900.00
--------------	--------------------

APPENDIX B

Foster Parent Survey






1. I live in...			
		Response Percent	Response Total
Natrona county		18.3%	40
Laramie county		15.6%	34
Sheridan county		2.8%	6
Sweetwater county		11.9%	26
Albany county		3.2%	7
Carbon county		3.2%	7
Goshen county		0%	0
Platte county		2.3%	5
Big Horn county		5%	11
Fremont county		4.6%	10
Park county		6.4%	14
Lincoln county		1.4%	3
Converse county		2.3%	5
Niobrara county		0.9%	2
Hot Springs county		6%	13
Johnson county		2.8%	6






Campbell county		5.5%	12
Crook county		0.5%	1
Uinta county		3.7%	8
Washakie county		1.4%	3
Weston county		1.4%	3
Teton county		0%	0
Sublette county		0.9%	2
Total Respondents			218
(skipped this question)			2

2. I have been a foster parent for...



		Response Percent	Response Total
--	--	------------------	----------------





10 years.		3.7%	8
11 years.		0%	0
12 years.		0.9%	2
13 years.		1.4%	3
14 years.		2.3%	5
15 or more years.		5.6%	12
Total Respondents			215
(skipped this question)			5

3. I am a foster (adoptive) parent for...(click all that apply)			
		Response Percent	Response Total
Department of Family Services		88.2%	194
Mental Health Center		6.4%	14
Adoption Agency		0.9%	2
Private agency		5.5%	12
Other (please specify)		17.3%	38
Total Respondents			220
(skipped this question)			0

4. I am a caregiver for a relative's child.			
		Response Percent	Response Total
Yes		15.9%	35
No		84.1%	185
Total Respondents			220
(skipped this question)			0

5. Rate the following statements with responses that best describe your experiences as a kinship caregiver.						
	True	Mostly True	Somewhat True	Not True	Not applicable	Response Total
I receive a subsidy for the care of my relative's child.	44% (12)	7% (2)	7% (2)	15% (4)	26% (7)	27
I receive ongoing agency support for the care of my relative's child.	64% (18)	7% (2)	7% (2)	7% (2)	14% (4)	28
I am given a chance to be heard at court proceedings regarding my relative's child.	48% (13)	7% (2)	4% (1)	11% (3)	30% (8)	27
My relative's child has a						

court order supporting his/her permanent placement with me.						
I was the first option considered for placement when my relative's child needed a home.	46% (13)	11% (3)	11% (3)	25% (7)	7% (2)	28
Total Respondents						29
(skipped this question)						191

6. For this survey I will answer questions about the following agency:			
		Response Percent	Response Total
Department of Family Services		82.6%	181
Mental Health Center		4.1%	9
Adoption Agency		0%	0
Private agency		1.8%	4
Other (please specify)		11.4%	25
Total Respondents			219
(skipped this question)			1

7. Rate the following statements with responses that best describe your experiences with your foster/adoptive care agency.						
	True	Mostly True	Somewhat True	Not True	Not applicable	Response Total
I received helpful pre-service training.	90% (27)	3% (1)	3% (1)	3% (1)	0% (0)	30
I receive ongoing training that helps me.	97% (29)	3% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	30
I received full disclosure of information available to the agency about the youth in my care prior to placement.	67% (20)	20% (6)	10% (3)	3% (1)	0% (0)	30
Agency staff provided accurate information and assessment of the youth in my care prior to placement.	53% (16)	30% (9)	10% (3)	3% (1)	3% (1)	30
I am satisfied with the pre-placement visits that	77% (23)	17% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0)	7% (2)	30

occurred before my youth was placed in my home.						
Total Respondents						30
(skipped this question)						190

8. Rate the following statements with responses that best describe your experiences with your foster/adoptive care agency.



	True	Mostly True	Somewhat True	Not True	Not applicable	Response Total
--	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	-----------------	-----------------------	-----------------------



staff provided				(26)		
----------------	--	--	--	------	--	--

youth in my care prior to placement.						
I am satisfied with the pre-placement visits that occurred before my youth was placed in my home.	32% (57)	13% (23)	14% (24)	12% (21)	29% (52)	177
Total Respondents						180
(skipped this question)						40

9. Rate the following statements with responses that best describe your experiences with your foster/adoptive care agency.

prior to placement.							
Agency staff provided accurate information and assessment of the youth in my care prior to placement.	44% (4)	33% (3)	22% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)		9
I am satisfied with the pre-placement visits that occurred before my youth was placed in my home.	44% (4)	33% (3)	11% (1)	0% (0)	11% (1)		9
Total Respondents							9
(skipped this question)							211

10. Rate the following statements with responses that best describe your situation.

information or assistance.						
My caseworker provides helpful consultation.	51% (89)	22% (38)	18% (32)	7% (12)	3% (5)	176
I am a valued member of my child's support team.	55% (94)	19% (32)	13% (22)	9% (15)	5% (8)	171
My caseworker or program staff is interested in the well-being of my entire family.	31% (11)	8% (3)	8% (3)	6% (2)	47% (17)	36
I am satisfied with the amount of my monthly foster care stipend.	25% (43)	22% (37)	21% (35)	25% (42)	8% (13)	170
I have been informed of my rights and responsibilities as a foster, kinship, guardianship or adoptive parent.	61% (108)	19% (33)	14% (25)	5% (9)	1% (2)	177
Total Respondents						178
(skipped this question)						42

11. Rate the following statements with responses that best describe your situation.

My caseworker returns my calls.	89% (8)	11% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	9
I have access to a caseworker whenever I need information or assistance.	78% (7)	11% (1)	11% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	9
My caseworker provides helpful consultation.	89% (8)	11% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	9
I am a valued member of my child's support team.	78% (7)	11% (1)	11% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	9
My caseworker or program staff is interested in the well-being of my entire family.	100% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	1
I am satisfied with the amount of my monthly foster care stipend.	22% (2)	33% (3)	33% (3)	11% (1)	0% (0)	9
I have been informed of my rights and responsibilities as a foster, kinship, guardianship or adoptive parent.	100% (9)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	9
Total Respondents						9

12. Rate the following statements with responses that best describe your situation.

	True	Mostly True	Somewhat True	Not True	Not applicable	Response Total
calls.						
I have access to a caseworker whenever I need information or assistance.	83% (25)	10% (3)	7% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	30
My caseworker provides helpful consultation.	90% (27)	10% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	30
I am a valued member of my child's support team.	93% (28)	7% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	30



my rights and responsibilities as a foster, kinship, guardianship or adoptive parent.						
Total Respondents						30
(skipped this question)						190

13. How satisfied are you with the services you receive from DFS?			
		Response Percent	Response Total
Not satisfied		8.6%	15
Somewhat satisfied		14.9%	26
Mostly satisfied		41.7%	73
Very satisfied		34.9%	61
Total Respondents			175
(skipped this question)			45

14. How satisfied are you with the services you receive from the Mental Health Center?			
		Response Percent	Response Total
Mostly		55.6%	5
Very		44.4%	4

satisfied			
Total Respondents			9
(skipped this question)			211



15. How satisfied are you with the services you receive from the Adoption Agency?			
		Response Percent	Response Total
Not satisfied		0%	0
Somewhat satisfied		0%	0
Mostly satisfied		0%	0
Very satisfied		0%	0
Total Respondents			0
(skipped this question)			220




16. How satisfied are you with the services you receive from the placement agency?			
		Response Percent	Response Total
Not satisfied		0%	0
Somewhat satisfied		0%	0
Mostly satisfied		30%	9
Very satisfied		70%	21
Total Respondents			30
(skipped this question)			190

17. Rate the following statements with responses that best describe your thoughts or beliefs about foster parent associations.

	True	Mostly True	Somewhat True	Not True	Response Total
I have a formal network of other foster parents I access when needed for support and advice.	24% (46)	18% (35)	17% (33)	40% (76)	190
I have an informal network of other foster parents I access when needed for support and advice.	31% (60)	18% (35)	22% (43)	28% (54)	192
I am interested in meeting with other foster parents.	44% (87)	22% (43)	24% (49)	10% (21)	200
I would like to join an association of foster parents.	39% (75)	16% (31)	23% (44)	21% (41)	191
I would be willing to					

organize or lead meetings held for foster parents.					
I want to see changes in foster care policies or services.	38% (72)	11% (21)	31% (58)	20% (38)	189
Total Respondents					209
(skipped this question)					11








18. Would you be willing to help organize a foster parent association in your area?			
		Response Percent	Response Total
Yes.		32.4%	68
No thanks.		67.6%	142
Total Respondents			210
(skipped this question)			10


19. Please enter your name and contact information so that you can be			
		Response	Response
Name		100%	60
Phone		75%	45
Address		80%	48
Total Respondents			60
(skipped this question)			160

(skipped this question)

160



20. I was recruited to become a foster parent because...(check all that apply)

		Response Percent	Response Total
I knew a child that needed a		40%	86
I saw an advertisement or show on		8.4%	18
I read an advertisement in a		12.1%	26
An agency staff member talked to me		15.3%	33
My church or religious organization		5.6%	12
I read an article or book that		7.4%	16
Other (please specify)		43.7%	94

Other (please specify)		43.7%	94
Total Respondents			215
(skipped this question)			5




21. These are the best ways to recruit new foster parents... (choose up to three)

		Response	Response

parents.			
Talk to people who know a particular child that needs a home.		31.1%	66
Other (please specify)		8%	17
Total Respondents			212
(skipped this question)			8

22. The best ways to keep me as a foster parent is to... (Check up to three ways)





		Response Percent	Response Total

Provide more training specific to my family's needs.		18.7%	38
Provide more support services to my family including consultation, training, respite.		36%	73
Other (please specify)		27.1%	55
Total Respondents			203
(skipped this question)			17

23. Please put any other comments here:	
Total Respondents	34
(skipped this question)	186

24. Casey Family Programs and the State of Wyoming are developing a youth advisory group to help improve services offered to youth and families across Wyoming. This group may review policies, provide training, develop guidelines for programs, and/or provide guidance to programs concerned about issues related to children and young adults in Wyoming. If you know of a youth age 16 or over that you would nominate to serve on a youth advisory board, please enter his or her name and contact information below. (For a young person currently in placement please alert his/her guardian to your desire to nominate and ask the youth's guardian to contact Casey Family Programs at 1-800-440-8645)

<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
----------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

Youth Name		100%	19
Youth age		100%	19
Youth phone number		94.7%	18
Youth address		94.7%	18
Total Respondents			19
(skipped this question)			201