University of Kentucky

Comparison of GASB Exposure Draft to FAS 106 for Select Funding Methods 1

Scenario A

Scenario B

Scenario C

Scenario D

Scanario E

Sconario E

Current Plan

| | Current Plan | Scenario A | Scenario B | Scenario C | Scenario D | Scenario E | Scenario F |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| FAS 106 Methodology | | | | | | | |
| EPBO | \$ 447,701,015 | \$ 245,757,081 | \$ 280,752,751 | \$ 297,528,501 | \$ 305,949,618 | \$ 346,426,059 | \$ 370,022,250 |
| APBO | \$ 350,969,430 | \$ 204,163,628 | \$ 221,617,141 | \$ 233,204,762 | \$ 241,625,879 | \$ 265,313,052 | \$ 283,629,446 |
| Service cost at beginning of year | \$ 11,129,316 | \$ 4,369,173 | \$ 5,711,713 | \$ 6,224,415 | \$ 6,224,415 | \$ 7,828,058 | \$ 8,331,323 |
| Projected cash flow | \$ 8,952,191 | \$ 9,007,182 | \$ 9,007,182 | \$ 9,007,182 | \$ 9,007,182 | \$ 9,007,182 | \$ 9,007,182 |
| Amortization period for prior service base under FAS 106 | 9.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 |
| Calculation of annual Net Periodic Postretirement Benefit Cost (NPPB | C) | | | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 11,936,191 | \$ 4,685,938 | \$ 6,125,812 | \$ 6,675,685 | \$ 6,675,685 | \$ 8,395,592 | \$ 8,935,344 |
| Interest cost | \$ 25,120,767 | \$ 14,475,352 | \$ 15,740,732 | \$ 16,580,835 | \$ 17,191,366 | \$ 18,908,686 | \$ 20,236,624 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | \$ 37,337,173 | \$ 17,909,090 | \$ 19,440,100 | \$ 20,456,558 | \$ 21,195,253 | \$ 23,273,075 | \$ 24,879,776 |
| Total | \$ 74,394,131 | \$ 37,070,380 | \$ 41,306,644 | \$ 43,713,078 | \$ 45,062,304 | \$ 50,577,353 | \$ 54,051,744 |
| Funding Method (based on level dollar amounts): | ·-· | | | | <u> </u> | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | +,, |
| B-1 Unit Credit Actuarial Cost Method | | | | | | | |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) | \$ 350,969,430 | \$ 204,163,628 | \$ 221,617,141 | \$ 233,204,762 | £ 044 COE 070 | A 005 040 050 | 4 000 000 110 |
| Normal cost at beginning of year | \$ 11,129,316 | \$ 4,369,173 | \$ 5,711,713 | | \$ 241,625,879 | \$ 265,313,052 | \$ 283,629,446 |
| Amortization factor based on 30 years and 7.25% - immediate | 12.1037 | 12.1037 | 12.1037 | , -, | \$ 6,224,415 | \$ 7,828,058 | \$ 8,331,323 |
| Calculation of Annual Required Contribution (ARC) | 12.1007 | 12.1007 | 12.1037 | 12.1037 | 12.1037 | 12.1037 | 12.103 |
| Normal cost end of year | \$ 11,936,191 | \$ 4,685,938 | \$ 6.125.812 | Ф 6 67E 60E | Φ 0.07E.00E | A 0.00==00 | |
| Amortization of UAAL | \$ 28,996,961 | \$ 16,867,921 | , -,, | \$ 6,675,685 | \$ 6,675,685 | \$ 8,395,592 | \$ 8,935,344 |
| Total ARC | \$ 40,933,152 | \$ 21,553,859 | \$ 18,309,924 \$ 24,435,736 | \$ 19,267,289 | \$ 19,963,038 | \$ 21,920,063 | \$ 23,433,357 |
| Future years ARC may include amortizations of: | \$ 40,333,132 | ¥ 21,555,655 | 3 24,435,736 | \$ 25,942,974 | \$ 26,638,723 | \$ 30,315,655 | \$ 32,368,701 |
| plan changes, assumption changes, (gain)/loss and funding | | | | | | | |
| method changes | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | |
| B-4 Aggregate Actuarial Cost Method | | | | | | | |
| Total present value of benefits | \$ 447,701,015 | \$ 245,757,081 | \$ 280,752,751 | \$ 297,528,501 | \$ 305,949,618 | \$ 346,426,059 | \$ 370,022,250 |
| Calculation of Annual Required Contribution (ARC) | | | | | | | |
| Calculation of normal cost | | | | | | | |
| Present value future benefits | \$ 447,701,015 | \$ 245,757,081 | \$ 280,752,751 | \$ 297,528,501 | \$ 305,949,618 | \$ 346,426,059 | \$ 370,022,250 |
| Present value of future service | 80,367 | 80,367 | 80,367 | 80,367 | 80,367 | 80,367 | 80,367 |
| Normal cost accrual rate | \$ 5,570.71 | \$ 3,057.94 | \$ 3,493.38 | \$ 3,702.12 | \$ 3,806.91 | \$ 4,310.55 | \$ 4,604.16 |
| Active headcount | 11,515 | 11,515 | 11,515 | 11,515 | 11,515 | 11,515 | 11,515 |
| Normal cost | \$ 64,146,726 | \$ 35,212,179 | \$ 40,226,271 | \$ 42,629,912 | \$ 43,836,569 | \$ 49,635,983 | \$ 53,016,902 |
| Normal cost adjusted to year end | \$ 68,797,364 | \$ 37,765,062 | \$ 43,142,676 | \$ 45,720,581 | \$ 47,014,720 | \$ 53,234,592 | \$ 56,860,627 |
| Total ARC | \$ 68,797,364 | \$ 37,765,062 | \$ 43,142,676 | \$ 45,720,581 | \$ 47,014,720 | \$ 53,234,592 | \$ 56,860,627 |
| Future years ARC may include amortizations of: | | | | | | | , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| plan changes, assumption changes, and funding method change | 28 | a et terrigie i i e | | | | | |

Scenario A: Account based plan with max of \$50,000 account, pro-rata award based on 25 years of service, unused amounts earn 4% interest, and current retiree credit capped at \$6,000 (surviving spouse at \$3,000)

Scenario B: Account based plan starting at \$50,000 in 2003 increasing 4% per year, pro-rata award based on 25 years of service, and current retiree credit capped at \$6,000 (surviving spouse at \$3,000)

Scenario C: Account based plan starting at \$50,000 in 2003 increasing 4% per year, pro-rata award based on 25 years of service with unused amounts earning 4% interest, and current retiree credit capped at \$6,000 (surviving spouse at \$3,000)

Scenario D: Account based plan starting at \$50,000 in 2003 increasing 4% per year, pro-rata award based on 25 years of service with unused amounts earning 4% interest, and current retiree credit capped at \$7,500 (surviving spouse at \$3,750)

Scenario E: Account based plan starting at \$71,850 in 2003 increasing 4% per year, pro-rata award based on 25 years of service with unused amounts earning 4% interest, and current retiree credit capped at \$6,000 (surviving spouse at \$3,000) Account based plan starting at \$79,600 in 2003 increasing 4% per year, pro-rata award based on 25 years of service with unused amounts earning 4% interest, and current retiree credit capped at \$7,500 (surviving spouse at \$3,750)

¹ Unless otherwise noted all data, assumptions, methodologies, and plan provisions are the same as those outlined in the April 3, 2003 presentation.