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Student Name: [self populate]

Reviewer: [self populate]

Provide a numerical assessment for each of the statements below using the following scale: 
5=Strongly agree, 4=Agree, 3=Do not agree or disagree, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly disagree

1. The proposal is clear, concise, and scholarly?

2. The applicant has enthusiastic support from the UK mentor?

3. The applicant has enthusiastic support from the abroad mentor?

4. The student can clearly articulate all facets of the research project.

5. All required elements were included on the application: (1) name and contact information of all parties involved; include duration of project (e.g. departure and return; must be ≥ 8 weeks of study); (2) project title; (3) hypothesis, or purpose; (4) background and value of the current study; (5) methods and potential outcome(s), (6) general budget, (7) brief personal statement (goals and value to student), and (8) description of roles of student, UK mentor, and abroad mentor.

6. The budget is realistic and reasonable for the proposed project.

7. The student articulates how this experience will help them in their future education and/or career, e.g. they intend a career in research (see personal statement).

8. The student demonstrates a clear understanding of their role, the role of the UK mentor, and the role of the abroad mentor?

Additional Comments (Optional):

TOTAL SCORE (of possible 40): __________

Compared to the other applications you review, please provide your overall impression of the application:

Excellent; must be funded
Very Good; fund if at all possible
Good; fund if possible
Fair; fund only if money remains
Poor; do not fund