1. **UK BLUE (Law 3+3) Program Discussion**

   A&S representatives Anna Bosch, Karen Petrone and Ernie Yanarella attended to discuss the proposal for the new UK BLUE (Law 3+3) program. Incoming freshmen who enroll in this program would be able to earn a BA and JD in six years, by completing three years of a BA in either English, Political Science or History and then applying for admission into UK Law for their fourth year. In their fourth year, they would begin their law school requirements and will earn their BA upon completion of 120 credit hours.

   **Questions from the UGC:**

   a. Students enrolled in this program are not guaranteed admission into UK Law – what happens to students who are denied admission into Law school?
      - Students would have completed three years of their BA and would just need to complete the other 30 hours to earn a degree, like a normal four-year student would. The remaining 30 hours in these three majors would be mainly electives. Also, if any students enroll in the program and then change their mind about enrolling in UK Law or do not complete the first three years of requirements on time, they have the option to complete their BA as usual without applying to UK Law.
   
   b. Would any students other than incoming freshmen have the ability to enroll (transfer students, etc.)?
      - Not at this time. The law school first-year requirements are very rigid, so students wouldn’t be able to finish their BA requirements concurrently. However, this is something the proposers may consider in the future. It’s possible that students who have AP credit or who are willing to complete summer courses could be eligible.
   
   c. Students in the program will be guided by an A&S faculty advisor (also a representative from UK Law will be familiar with these students and available to help). Pre-law advising is usually completed by Undergraduate Studies, so the proposal should clarify that the A&S Pre-law advisor will be specifically only for students enrolled in the BLUE program.
   
   d. There is an issue with financial aid for students enrolled in University Scholars programs (aid is terminated after students complete their BA requirements). Will the same issue occur for students in the BLUE program?
      - Students in the BLUE program will not be affected. Anna Bosch has some documentation that she will share with Ben Withers.

   Since some UGC members were not able to attend this meeting, the minutes will be distributed for review and the proposal will be added to the next meeting agenda for further discussion.

2. **Approval of minutes from 2/3/15 meeting**
   - approved

3. **Consent agenda**
A-E 300-new
CHE 106-drop
EES 210-drop
EES 311-drop
EES 579-drop
-all approved

4. Proposal reviews
Gender and Women’s Studies BA-change – approved
Send recommendation to proposer that the syllabi for GWS 250 and GWS 340 should include the Senate excused absence policy and learning outcomes.

Gender and Women’s Studies Minor-change – approved

MNG 201-new – approved

*ABT-MSMS University Studies Program-new – move to next meeting agenda
*AHVS University Studies Program-new – move to next meeting agenda
*AAD 340-change – move to next meeting agenda
*A-A-H 407-change – move to next meeting agenda
*TA 364-new – move to next meeting agenda

AAD 399-drop – hold: course is being replaced by AAD 299, which hasn’t been approved yet; also, the UGC discussed the possibility of offering both 399 and 299.

ENG 211-drop – approved

ENG 212-drop – approved

COM 316-new – approved-pending: the make-up policy in the syllabus should be revised (under Activities and Assignments it is stated that points may not be made up if a student misses an in-class assignment), clarify the statement “major assignments can be made up under dire circumstances” (what are “major assignments” and what are “dire circumstances?”)

CPH 315-new – approved

CPH 410-new – approved

*KHP 382DL-change – move to next meeting agenda
*ENG 130-change (Arts & Creativity) – move to next meeting agenda
*PHI 315-change (Arts & Creativity) – move to next meeting agenda

BME 580-new (held, 2/3/15) – approved (prerequisites have been updated on the syllabus but also need to be updated on the eCATS form)

5. Other Business
a. Bill Smith raised a question regarding some 300-level courses that he is reviewing, and whether or not 300-level courses need to have prerequisites. The council agreed that many 300-level courses do not have prerequisites, but after reviewing the course descriptions of these particular courses one or more prerequisites may be necessary.
b. The UGC has reviewed several minor proposals recently, with the understanding that these should include an assessment plan and learning outcomes (like majors and certificates do). Representatives from the UGC and Senate have been meeting to determine whether this is accurate. At this time, there is no evidence that SACS or the CPE require these components for a minor. Chris Thuringer will keep the UGC updated as the group continues to discuss this issue.

*This meeting was scheduled as a make-up meeting for the February 17 meeting (canceled due to severe weather). Some members were unable to attend, preventing several proposals from being presented as planned.

Meeting adjourned at 4:45 pm.
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