Primary Instructor: Dr. Clayton Thyne
Office: 1625 Patterson Office Tower
Office Hours: TBA
Email: clayton.thyne@uky.edu
Phone: 859-257-6958
URL for Course Syllabus: TBA

COURSE SUMMARY

PCE 410 is designed to provide a “capstone” or conclusion to the Peace Studies certificate program. Its objective is to provide an opportunity to conduct independent research on a Peace Studies theme and area selected by the student so that s/he integrates the linkages between the themes, areas, and disciplinary foci of study. PCE 410 provides peace studies student a unique first hand research experience/faculty relationship unique among UK’s College of Arts and sciences majors.

This course has two main foci, which are aligned with the objectives for UK Core’s Citizenship-Global Dynamics. The first is to further the students’ understanding of the multiple issues and processes of peace. While the materials covered in Peace 201 are meant to provide a background on Peace Studies and relevant theories on the topic, the course materials here are geared towards (1) an improved understanding of the complex and global nature of Peace Studies and (2) development of specific skills to further peaceful resolution of conflicts. Multiple topics will be discussed during in-class sessions, and the topics covered are aligned with the six learning outcomes defined by UK Core.

The course begins by defining the skills of peacemaking, including negotiation, mediation, and efforts towards reconciliation and justice. It then considers how these skills have been applied in the international context, focusing on peacemaking efforts in both civil and international conflicts. The third section looks at peacemaking efforts in the US context, considering peacemaking during the civil rights movement, efforts to minimize conflict over immigration, and the gender equality movement. Next, we discuss specific cases in which peacemaking skills have been used to varying degrees of success, including indigenous rights in Latin America, anti-colonial movements in Asia, and ethnic conflict in Africa. The final section examines efforts to promote long-term peace and reconciliation, including actions taken by the International Criminal Court and UNESCO’s efforts to promote positive peace.

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

1. Student will demonstrate a grasp of the origins and shaping influence of human diversity and issues of equality in this world.
2. Students will demonstrate an awareness of major elements of at least one non-US culture or society, and its relationship to the 21st century context.
3. Students will demonstrate an understanding of the civic, and other, complexities and responsibilities of actively participating in a diverse, multietnic, multilingual world community.

4. Students will demonstrate an understanding of how local features (economic, cultural, social, political and religious) of urban or rural communities, ethnicities, nations and regions are often linked to global trends, tendencies, and characteristics that often mutually shape one another.

5. Students will demonstrate an awareness of how individual and collective decision making and civic responsibilities often generate ethical dilemmas, conflicts, and trade-offs that must be thoughtfully evaluated, weighed, and resolved.

6. Students will demonstrate an understanding of (1) civic engagement and (2) power and resistance as they pertain to the subject matter of the course.

Faculty Mentorship

The primary feature of this course is to provide a “capstone” or conclusion to your Peace Studies work. In addition to regular quizzes, you will conduct independent research on a Peace Studies theme for an international conflict of your choosing. The final assignment will be the production of a policy memo, which is explained thoroughly in the “Paper Assignment” section of the syllabus below. In order to make this process as fruitful as possible, you are required to establish a relationship with a faculty member other than the instructor. Students are encouraged to find faculty mentors on their own. However, if a student does not succeed in doing so, the instructor will take necessary steps to secure such a mentor for the student or else offer alternate means for the student to earn credit for this part of the course. The external faculty member’s role is to help guide you through the research process as s/he sees fit. This might include suggesting readings, reading drafts, or simply having informal conversations about the conflict you chose. How the relationship develops is entirely up to you and your faculty mentor.

Though the relationships may vary, this course requires that you meet with your faculty mentor at least 3 times throughout the semester. You will be required to turn in brief summaries of the “Take away points” from these meetings to assure that you are taking the opportunity to work with faculty seriously. More information about these assignments explained under “Faculty Mentorship Requirements” later in the syllabus.

Required Readings

Students will need to purchase the following book, which are available at the UK Bookstore.


All other required readings and videos will be available on the course’s BlackBoard site.

Earning Grades

You will be graded in two ways. The first is a set of quizzes over the assigned readings and lecture notes, which come primarily from the course readings. The purpose of these quizzes is to
make sure that you are keeping up with the readings and learning the material. They are meant to be easy for those who are putting in the required time and effort. The second set of assessments comes from the various stages of your research assignment. This assignment will be due in various stages as outlined in the Course Schedule.

I will calculate your final grade based on the following:
Quiz 1: (date TBA): 6% of total grade
Quiz 2: (date TBA): 6% of total grade
Quiz 3: (date TBA): 6% of total grade
Quiz 4: (date TBA): 6% of total grade (cumulative final)
Quiz 5: (date TBA): 6% of total grade (cumulative final)
Capstone Project, Part 1: Summary Statement (due date TBA): 10% of total grade
Capstone Project, Part 2: Analytical Overview (due date TBA): 15% of total grade
Capstone Project, Part 3: Analysis of Management Options (due date TBA): 15% of total grade
Capstone Project, Part 4: Policy Recommendations (due date TBA): 10% of total grade
Faculty Mentorship “Take Away Points”: 10% of total grade

The final 10% of your grade will be based on your attendance and participation. You will lose 20% of this grade for each unexcused absence (i.e., if you miss 5 sessions, the best you can do in the course is a B). You must do more than simply attend the sessions to receive your attendance/participation grade. I expect regular participation and will count you as absent if you fail to participate in the discussions.

Final course grades will be based on the following scale:
A = 90-100
B = 80-89
C = 70-79
D = 60-69
E = below 60

Midterm grades will be posted by [date TBA] and will be based on the grading criteria in the syllabus.

Absences

An absence will be considered unexcused unless you give me documentation of the absence as defined by the University (Senate Rule V.2.4.2).

Missed Exams

Make-up exams (for missed examinations) will only be given for documented excused absences as defined by the University (Senate Rule V.2.4.2) and are scheduled as needed. A missed exam will result in a score of zero for that exam, unless an acceptable written excuse is presented within 48 hours of the missed examination.

Late Assignments
The due dates/times for each phase of your research assignment are listed above. You will immediately lose 20% off the assignment’s grade if it is not turned in before class ends on the listed date. You will lose an additional 20% off the assignment’s grade for each 24 hour period that the assignment is late (i.e., after 5:00pm on the 4th day, you will have a zero for the assignment).

**Plagiarism and Cheating**

Students are advised to retain all notes and drafts for all work until after they receive their final grade. Students should also be aware that the instructor takes matters of plagiarism and cheating very seriously and is prone to imposing the most severe penalty allowed by university rules, which includes, but is not limited to, issuing an automatic grade of 0.0 for the entire course. All assignments, projects, and exercises completed by students for this class should be the product of the personal efforts of the individual(s) whose name(s) appear on the corresponding assignment. Misrepresenting others’ work as one’s own in the form of cheating or plagiarism is unethical and will lead to those penalties outlined in the [University Senate Rules](#) (6.3.1 & 6.3.2). The [Ombud site](#) also has information on plagiarism.
**Student Conduct**

Students are expected to maintain decorum that includes respect for other students and the professor, to regularly log in to the course, and to display an attitude that seeks to take full advantage of the educational opportunity. All students are expected to be prepared to work and actively participate in class activities.

**Disabilities/ Medical Conditions**

If you have a documented disability that requires academic accommodations, please see me as soon as possible. In order to receive accommodations in this course, you must provide me with a Letter of Accommodation from the Disability Resource Center (Room 2, Alumni Gym, 257-2754, email address jkarnes@email.uky.edu) for coordination of campus disability services available to students with disabilities.

**Classroom Expectations**

I expect all students to behave professionally in this class. If you miss a class you are still responsible for the information covered, the teaching assistant and the instructor will not provide you with their notes. I expect all students who attend class to arrive on time and ready to start class. It is disrespectful to the instructor and your classmates to show up late or leave early. During class please refrain from all disruptive behavior, including (but not limited to) reading newspapers, sleeping, talking during lecture, cell phone and pager use, and insulting classmate or instructors.

Please be aware that the instructor’s pet peeve is students packing up their stuff before class ends. I will never keep you late for class because it is rude to assume that my lecture is more important than your time. Likewise, I will consider it rude if you assume that packing up your items is more important than my lecture. In regards to cell phones, it is absolutely rude to text or talk on the phone during class. That being said, I understand that you have lives and issues that may require immediate attention (as do I). If something important arises, please simply remove yourself from class momentarily and take care of the issue.

**Blackboard**

This course utilizes Blackboard for quizzes, course content and submission of assignments. You are expected to check Blackboard regularly for all information pertaining to this course. At the end of the syllabus you’ll find minimum technology requirements that you will need to access and use Blackboard. It is your responsibility to obtain these requirements.
Class Preparations

You are expected to come prepared to class. This means that you have (1) read the material being discussed, (2) listened to the podcast for each session (where applicable), and (3) watched the videos for each session (where applicable).

On the Blackboard page you will see many links for each session under “Lecture info.” These links will take you to youtube pages. I want to be explicit about how these links are to be used. First, you will not be tested on anything from these links. The information required for your exams will come from the readings and lectures. Second, these links are meant to either (1) reinforce the topics covered in class, (2) spur you to think more deeply about the concepts from class, or (3) do both 1 and 2. Third, most of these links are not intended to provide you with objective information. You’ll frequently see people explaining only one side of very complex issues (e.g., Ron Paul and Kofi Annan discussing their views on humanitarian interventions). I have zero interest in indoctrinating you to one point of view or another. These clips are simply meant to make you think.

Technology

In order to participate in this course, you will need access to a computer with the minimum hardware, software and internet configuration described at this site: http://wiki.uky.edu/blackboard/Wiki%20Pages/Bb9%20Hardware%20and%20Software%20Requirements.aspx

Note: the use of Internet Explorer is NOT recommended for use with Blackboard. Firefox is the recommended Internet browser for the course. You can download Mozilla Firefox (free) at this site: http://www.mozilla.com/en-US/firefox/upgrade.html

You will need to install a number of plugins on your computer. The links to the specific plugins required for this course can be also be found your course. If using a UK computer these plugins should be already installed.

To check if your browser has Flash, Adobe Acrobat Reader and QuickTime movie player, click this link: http://wiki.uky.edu/blackboard/Wiki%20Pages/Browser%20Check.aspx. If you do not have these, you can download them from this site.

To download Windows Media Player, click this link: http://www.microsoft.com/windows/windowsmedia/player/10/default.aspx

Students and faculty can download Microsoft Office Suite (including Word and PowerPoint) from this site: https://download.uky.edu/.

If you experience technical difficulties with accessing course materials, the Customer Service Center may be able to assist you. You may reach them at 859-218-HELP (4357) or by e-mail at helpdesk@uky.edu. Please also inform the course instructor when you are having technical difficulties.
The Teaching and Academic Support Center (TASC) website (http://www.uky.edu/TASC/) offers additional information and resources that can promote a successful “online course” learning experience. They may also be reached at 859-257-8272.

Course Schedule

Section 1: The Skills of Peacemaking

Week 1: Course introduction and overview

Week 2: International Conflict Resolution - 1
Readings:

Week 3: International Conflict Resolution - 2
Faculty Mentor Meeting 1 summary due.

Readings:

Week 4: International Conflict Resolution - 3
Readings:

Section 2: Conflict Resolution in the International Context

Week 5: Conflict Resolution in the International Context – Background
Quiz 1 covering Weeks 2-4. This will be taken at the beginning of class.

Readings:

**Week 6: Confliction Resolution in the International Context – Civil Disputes**

Policy memo: Analytical overview/background of the conflict due on [DATE] at [TIME]. The paper must be submitted on BlackBoard.

Readings:

**Week 7: Confliction Resolution in the International Context – Interstate Disputes**

Readings:

**Section 3: Cases of Protest Movements and Conflict Resolution in the US Context**

**Week 8: Civil Rights**

Faculty Mentor Meeting 2 summary due.

Quiz 2 covering Weeks 5-7. This will be taken at the beginning of class.

Video:
1. “PBS – Civil Rights – Eyes on the Prize.”
   http://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL3E824E42C331714B

Readings:

**Week 9: Immigration**

Video:
1. “Law professor busts myths about U.S. immigration policy.”
   http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HmFaZNI7Wr0

Readings:

**Week 10: Gender**

Policy memo: Revised *Analytical Overview* of the conflict plus the new *Analysis of Options for Managing the Conflict* due on [DATE] at [TIME]. The paper must be submitted on BlackBoard.

Video:
1. “Celebrating 90 Years of Women’s Rights.”
   http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=A2aclDaE2ek

Readings:

**Section 4: Cases of Protest Movements and Conflict Resolution in the International Context**

**Week 11: Indigenous Rights**

Quiz 3 covering Weeks 8-10. This will be taken at the beginning of class.

Readings:


Week 12: Anti-colonial Movements

Faculty Mentor Meeting 3 summary due.

Readings:

Week 13: Ethnic Minorities

Policy memo: Revised *Analytical Overview* of the conflict plus the revised *Analysis of Options for Managing the Conflict* plus new *Policy Recommendations* due on [DATE] at [TIME]. The paper must be submitted on BlackBoard.

Readings:

Section 5: The Path Forward for Conflict Resolution: Reconciliation and Long-term Peace

Week 14: Peace and Reconciliation

Quiz 4 covering Weeks 11-13. This will be taken at the beginning of class.

Readings:

Week 15: Mechanisms for Peace

Readings:
**Week 16: Finals Week**

1. Policy memo including all facets of the assignment 1-4 due on [date/time TBA]. This should include the (1) summary statement, (2) analytical overview, (3) analysis of policy options, and (4) policy recommendation.

2. Quiz 5 covering Weeks 14-15 will take place on [date/time TBA]
Paper Assignment:  
Conflict Analysis and Policy Recommendation

1. Background

The final paper for this class is an analysis and policy recommendation of a current issue or conflict within the international community. Thus, the paper is a specific type of policy memorandum. Policy memoranda are prepared by analysts who work in both the public and private sector. They are read by a variety of government agencies as well as a wide array of clients from foreign investors to nongovernmental organizations to rebel leaders. A client typically requests such a memorandum when they must make a decision to take action (or not take action). In a memorandum, analysts explain the origins of the problem, identify the options available to the client, assess the consequences (both positive and negative) that would follow from each option, and recommend an option (or some combination of options).

The exact topic and audience of the paper is up to you. You are encouraged to follow your interests and to build upon the knowledge you have learned in other courses. In writing the paper, you are supposing that there is a leader or organization that is in the need of your advice. For example, if you are writing about Afghanistan, the audience could be the Obama administration, or, if you are writing about Darfur, the audience might be the U.N. Security Council. In order for us to assess the quality of your paper, it is critical that you make the audience or client of your paper clear from the very beginning of the paper and address your recommendations to that audience throughout. You should also write your paper with a clear sense of the objectives of your client in mind. In order to convince them that you can solve their problem, you should try to see the conflict from their perspective.

2. Your research and analysis

Your paper should be 12-15 pages double-spaced pages (1 inch margins, "Times New Roman").

The information guiding your analysis and recommendation should come from three sources. First, you should draw on the readings you’ve done in your major and minor fields. This capstone project is meant to bring the wealth of knowledge you have gathered over the past years into a single project, so think critically and creatively about how you might bring this together. Second, you must look more broadly for source material in both explaining the issue and past conflict resolution efforts. Third, in the first part of the course we will cover a variety of conflict resolution methods. The final part of the policy memo should draw on these as potential avenues for conflict resolution.

When you use sources, please give proper credit to the source with in-text citations and a list of references at the end, using MLA style. If you are unfamiliar with university policies on plagiarism, please consult the university documents that define these). Your “works cited” page does not count towards the 12-15 page limit.
3. Submission of your paper

Your paper will be due in various stages to assure that you get plenty of feedback on your work. My goal is for everyone in the class to receive an A on this project, and I will offer a plethora of support to achieve this goal, and I very much encourage you to have me read drafts before the due dates. I will be more than happy to update your grade as you make revisions to improve your memo. For example, the Analytical Overview is initially due in Week 6. You will subsequently turn in revised drafts of the Analytical Overview in Weeks 10 and 16. Improvements to the Analytical Overview beyond week 6 will result in an improvement of your grade for that part of the memo.

All work must be submitted on the course BlackBoard page according to the following schedule:

1. Summary Statement: Week 16 [add specific date/time when available]. Please note that this is the first part of the memo. However, it will include information that will not be known until Parts 2-3 have been completed. Thus, it makes the most sense to write this part after Parts 2-3 have been completed, and it will not be graded until the end of the term.

2. Analytical Overview: Week 6 [add specific date/time when available].

3. Analysis of the Options for Conflict Management: Week 10 [add specific date/time when available]. Please note that this submission should include both (1) your revised Analytical Overview and (2) your new Analysis of the Options for Conflict Management.

4. Policy Recommendations: Week 16 [add specific date/time when available]. Please note that this submission should include all facets of the paper, including (1) the Summary Statement, (2) the revised Analytical Overview, (3) the revised Analysis of Options for Conflict Management, and (4) Policy Recommendations.

4. Paper Format

Your paper should include the following elements:

4.1. Summary statement (1 page or less). Open with a concise summary of the central points of your analysis and proposal. You may want to give this section the heading “Summary” or (even better) a section heading that expresses the main point of your paper (which is your strategy for dealing with the conflict/topic in question, your policy recommendation). A summary statement (or executive summary) is simply what an introductory paragraph is called in a policy memorandum. You want your main message to grab the attention of your audience immediately. Although you will not be able to explain all the details of your analysis or proposal, your audience should be able to understand both your diagnosis of the problem and the essence of your solution to it in the first paragraph.

More specifically, your summary should include (1) the issue; (2) why a decision is needed; (3) what key info is contained in the memo; (4) course of action recommended.
4.2. Analytical overview of the conflict (4 to 7 pages) Put your policy proposal in context by addressing the following questions (4 to 7 pages):

a. What is the primary cause of the conflict?
b. What is the historical and social context of the conflict?
c. What are the interests of the main actors involved in the conflict (e.g., populations within society, the rebel or terrorist group, the state)?
d. What is the current state of the conflict (e.g., have any conflict management strategies failed? is the problem getting worse or better)?
e. How has the issue evolved to become a concern to the policymaker?

It is important that your overview does not merely list events in the conflict, but provides an argument for the underlying causes of the conflict. Indeed, other than a few basic facts, all of the information in this section should be geared towards supporting your argument about the origins of the conflict. A convincing explanation will require doing research and citing reports and studies of the conflict as well as referring to the theoretical readings covered in the course. Note that by arguing that a conflict is motivated by "relative deprivation" or the "economic rewards of rebellion," you are conveying a great deal of information with a short phrase.

Because the validity of your solution depends on the validity of you diagnosis of the problem, it is essential that you do not take this part of the assignment for granted. In particular, you should recognize that your client may already have an alternative understanding of the origins of the conflict that is very likely derived from one of the theories of conflict covered in your other courses. In addition, your analysis will be judged by the persuasiveness of the evidence you present to support your explanation of the causes of conflict (and undermine others). We will spend a great deal of time discussing what constitutes compelling evidence and the application of those concepts will be rewarded.

4.3. Analyze the options for managing the conflict (5 to 7 pages). What can be done to resolve the conflict? State the options (alternative strategies) clearly and crisply. Once again, remember that you are trying to maintain the attention of your audience. Which strategies will work? Which will fail? Why? In order to answer these questions should do the following:

a. Predict the consequences of each alternative. Most options will have a mixture of desired and undesired consequences. Some options may be ineffective. The consequences of other options may be uncertain. You should identify these consequences and uncertainties.
b. Provide evidence for your predictions. Do not assume that your audience will believe your predictions without a compelling reason to do so. Following a new course of action entails significant risks and your client is unlikely to follow your vision blindly. Drawing on cases external to your conflict as examples would be useful here.
c. The most convincing evidence for your predictions in this paper will come from professional research about political violence and analogous real world cases in which similar policies have been implemented. In other words, it is essential that you justify your expectations by grounding them in the literature discussed in this course as well as your own research.
4.4. Policy recommendation (1 page or less): What policy option or combination of options do you recommend? Summarize your argument for why your strategy is better than the alternatives. This is the conclusion of your policy recommendation.

5. Faculty Mentorship Requirements

You must meet with your faculty member at least three times throughout the semester to discuss your policy memo. To make these discussions fruitful, you must turn in a brief summary of your discussions. The due dates for these discussions are outlined in the course schedule.

5.1. Meeting 1:
Purpose: This discussion should focus on two areas, both of which are critical for developing a good policy memo. First, you should develop a basic understanding of the conflict you have chosen. Second, you should know the relevant policymaker that will be the audience for your memo. At a minimum, you should discuss potential readings to help you better understand your conflict. You should also try to decide which policymaker would be best suited to deal with the situation.

Requirements: You must provide a brief summary of your meeting with the faculty mentor. This summary can be very brief (<1 page). It must address the following:
1. Date of the meeting and the faculty mentor’s name.
2. Summary of the discussion regarding the conflict.
3. Summary of the discussion regarding the relevant policymaker.
4. Potential readings.

Grading: This assignment is essentially a pass/fail assignment, which means that you’ll receive 100% credit for addressing points 1-4 above in any manner. Bulleted lists and incomplete sentences are fine here. Your effort should be geared towards a good discussion with your mentor, not in writing a beautiful summary of your discussion.

5.2. Meeting 2:
Purpose: At this point, you should already have a conflict under study and a policymaker decided. In this meeting, you should try to accomplish two tasks. First, solidify your understanding of the conflict you chose. You should be an expert on your conflict at this time. If you are not, discuss other readings or information sources that you might use to become an expert. An expert knows both the historical background of the conflict, the current situation of the conflict, and past attempts at conflict resolution. Be sure you know all three well. Second, you should be developing theoretically strong and persuasive arguments about what the policymaker should do about the conflict. Work with your faculty mentor to consider the pros and cons of your favored approach, and the pros and cons of alternative approaches.

Requirements: You must provide a brief summary of your meeting with the faculty mentor. This summary can be very brief (<1 page). It must address the following:
1. Date of the meeting and the faculty mentor’s name.
2. Summary of the discussion regarding the historical background of the conflict, the current situation, and past conflict resolution attempts.
3. Summary of the discussion regarding your policy recommendation and alternatives, focusing on the pros and cons of each.

Grading: This assignment is essentially a pass/fail assignment, which means that you’ll receive 100% credit for addressing points 1-3 above in any manner. Bulleted lists and incomplete sentences are fine here. Your effort should be geared towards a good discussion with your mentor, not in writing a beautiful summary of your discussion.

5.3 Meeting 3:
Purpose: At this point, your policy memo should be almost complete. Your purpose here is to tie up any lose ends. The feedback you have received on your policy memo would be a good place to start here in deciding topics to further strengthen your memo. If the faculty member is willing, it would be a good idea to have him/her read a full draft of the memo for comments and suggestions. Beyond that, this meeting will vary largely depending on your specific needs. Some students might need more discussion on the background of the conflict, while others might need help in clarifying policy recommendations. It will be up to you to decide how to best use this meeting to improve your policy memo.

Requirements: You must provide a brief summary of your meeting with the faculty mentor. This summary can be very brief (<1 page). It must address the following:
1. Date of the meeting and the faculty mentor’s name.
2. Summary of the discussion regarding your specific needs.

Grading: This assignment is essentially a pass/fail assignment, which means that you’ll receive 100% credit for addressing points 1-2 above in any manner. Bulleted lists and incomplete sentences are fine here. Your effort should be geared towards a good discussion with your mentor, not in writing a beautiful summary of your discussion.

6. Evaluation

The following rubrics will be used to grade each part of the policy memo.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POLICY MEMO PART 1: SUMMARY STATEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Criteria</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the summary statement concisely summarize the central points of the analysis and proposal? Comments: [professor comments here]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the summary statement grab the attention of the reader? Is the relevance of this conflict explained well? Comments: [professor comments here]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the diagnosis of the problem and the essence of the solution clear? Comments: [professor comments here]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the assignment represent advanced undergraduate-level work? If I were the policymaker, would I throw this in the trash or continue reading? Comments: [professor comments here]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the memo clearly address the primary cause of the conflict?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is appropriate evidence used to address the cause of the conflict?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments: [professor comments here]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is sufficient background given to understand the historical and social context of the conflict?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments: [professor comments here]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the interests of the main actors involved in the conflict clear?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the memo address the most relevant actors?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments: [professor comments here]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the current state of the conflict clearly explained? Are past efforts to resolve the conflict covered well?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments: [professor comments here]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will policymaker understand why this conflict should be a concern to him or her?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments: [professor comments here]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the assignment represent advanced undergraduate-level work?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If I were the policymaker, would I have to do more reading to understand basic information on the conflict?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments: [professor comments here]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Score (1-5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the memo clearly explain what should be done to resolve the conflict?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments: [professor comments here]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the proposed resolution sufficiently grounded in the conflict resolution literature? Is evidence given to support the policy recommendation?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments: [professor comments here]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the memo clearly state the pros and cons of the proposed solution?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does it analyze the likelihood of success or failure?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments: [professor comments here]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are alternative solutions addressed? Does the memo address key pros and cons of alternative solutions?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments: [professor comments here]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the assignment represent advanced undergraduate-level work?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If I were the policymaker, would I throw this in the trash or seriously consider the policy recommendation?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments: [professor comments here]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Score (1-5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the memo clearly state the policy that should be followed?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments: [professor comments here]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the argument upon which the policy recommendation is founded summarized well?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments: [professor comments here]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the policymaker understand why the proposed recommendation is preferable to alternatives?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments: [professor comments here]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the assignment represent advanced undergraduate-level work?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If I were the policymaker, would I throw this in the trash or seriously consider the recommendation?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments: [professor comments here]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>