

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA
 Fall 2014 PAPER TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = College of Communication and InfDEPARTMENT = Communication
 COURSE = COM 351 001L1 INTRO COMMUNICATION THRY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Lane, Derek

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	8	34.8
Sophomore	1	4.3	B	11	47.8
Junior	9	39.1	C	3	13.0
Senior	13	56.5	D	1	4.3
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	4	17.4
Required by my major	16	69.6	3 hours	11	47.8
Other (e.g. elective)	7	30.4	4 - 5 hours	6	26.1
			6 - 7 hours	2	8.7
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	SD	%	%	%	%	Coll				
							D	A	SA	SD	Mean
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)							

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	27	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	0.42	3.8	3.5	0.3
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	27	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.48	3.7	3.3	0.4
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	27	3.7	0.0	44.4	51.9	0.70	3.4	3.4	0.0
4. Exams reflected what was taught	26	0.0	0.0	26.9	73.1	0.45	3.7	3.4	0.3
5. Grading was fair and consistent	26	3.8	0.0	30.8	65.4	0.70	3.6	3.4	0.2
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	27	3.7	7.4	37.0	51.9	0.79	3.4	3.5	-0.1
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	27	0.0	7.4	29.6	63.0	0.64	3.6	3.4	0.2
8. Graded assignments included comments	27	0.0	0.0	14.8	85.2	0.36	3.9	3.3	0.6

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	27	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.48	3.7	3.5	0.2
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	27	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	0.32	3.9	3.6	0.3
11. Was available for consultation	27	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	0.32	3.9	3.6	0.3
12. Satisfact answered class questions	27	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.48	3.7	3.5	0.2
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	27	0.0	11.1	37.0	51.9	0.69	3.4	3.4	0.0
14. Encouraged class participation	27	0.0	0.0	18.5	81.5	0.40	3.8	3.5	0.3

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	27	0.0	3.7	29.6	66.7	0.56	3.6	3.4	0.2
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	27	0.0	3.7	22.2	74.1	0.54	3.7	3.4	0.3
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	27	0.0	11.1	37.0	51.9	0.69	3.4	3.4	0.0
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	27	0.0	0.0	29.6	70.4	0.47	3.7	3.5	0.2
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	27	0.0	18.5	44.4	37.0	0.74	3.2	3.3	-0.1

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	P	%	%	%	%	Coll				
							F	G	E	SD	Mean
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)							

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	27	3.7	0.0	18.5	77.8	0.67	3.7	3.4	0.3
21. Overall quality of teaching	27	0.0	0.0	14.8	85.2	0.36	3.9	3.5	0.4

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Lane, Derek

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	SD	% answering item				SD	Coll	
			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean Diff
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.2	-0.2
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.3	0.0
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	.	3.3	3.4	-0.1
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
28. Guided student report preparation	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.4	-0.4
29. Students free to express opinions	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.4	-0.4
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.4	-0.4
31. Developed abil to conduct research	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
33. Amount of work appropriate	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
34. Instructor explained expectations	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.4	-0.4
35. Instructor helped with my problems	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	.	3.0	3.3	-0.3

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 29
 Number of forms scanned = 27
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 27
 Percent completed = 93.1 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to
<http://www.uky.edu/iraa/faculty/tce>
 For additional help, please contact Carol Yu
 by email at carol.yu@uky.edu or by phone at 257-4110.