

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY--Fall 2011 PAPER TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS  
 COLLEGE = Communications & Info Studies DEPARTMENT = Communication  
 FACULTY TYPE = Full-time  
 COURSE = COM 365 001L1 INTRO TO COMM RES METH

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Lane, Derek

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	0	0.0	A	3	17.6
Sophomore	0	0.0	B	13	76.5
Junior	6	35.3	C	1	5.9
Senior	11	64.7	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	0	0.0
Studies Program	1	5.9	2 hours	2	11.8
Required by my major	16	94.1	3 hours	4	23.5
Other (e.g. elective)	0	0.0	4 - 5 hours	6	35.3
			6 - 7 hours	3	17.6
			8 or more hours	2	11.8

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% COLL				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		SD	D	A	SA				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	21	0.0	0.0	4.8	95.2	0.22	4.0	3.4	0.6
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	21	4.8	9.5	28.6	57.1	0.86	3.4	3.0	0.4
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	21	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.51	3.6	3.3	0.3
4. Exams reflected what was taught	21	0.0	0.0	23.8	76.2	0.44	3.8	3.3	0.5
5. Grading was fair and consistent	21	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.48	3.7	3.3	0.4
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	21	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.46	3.7	3.4	0.3
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	21	0.0	0.0	23.8	76.2	0.44	3.8	3.4	0.4
8. Graded assignments included comments	21	0.0	4.8	9.5	85.7	0.51	3.8	3.3	0.5

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	21	0.0	0.0	19.0	81.0	0.40	3.8	3.3	0.5
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	21	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.00	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	21	0.0	0.0	4.8	95.2	0.22	4.0	3.5	0.5
12. Satisfact answered class questions	21	0.0	0.0	9.5	90.5	0.30	3.9	3.4	0.5
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	21	0.0	14.3	33.3	52.4	0.74	3.4	3.3	0.1
14. Encouraged class participation	21	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.46	3.7	3.4	0.3

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	21	0.0	4.8	52.4	42.9	0.59	3.4	3.3	0.1
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	21	0.0	0.0	19.0	81.0	0.40	3.8	3.4	0.4
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	21	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.46	3.7	3.3	0.4
18. Gained undrstnd of concepts & prin.	21	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.46	3.7	3.5	0.2
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	21	0.0	14.3	47.6	38.1	0.70	3.2	3.2	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	% COLL				SD	Mean	Mean	Diff
		P	F	G	E				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	20	0.0	0.0	35.0	65.0	0.49	3.7	3.3	0.4
21. Overall quality of teaching	20	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.41	3.8	3.3	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	COLL		
		SD	D	A	SA		Mean	Mean	Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	3.2	-2.2	
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	-3.5	
28. Guided student report preparation	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	-3.5	
29. Students free to express opinions	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	-3.6	
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	-3.6	
31. Developed abil to conduct research	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	-3.5	
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	-3.4	
33. Amount of work appropriate	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	-3.3	
34. Instructor explained expectations	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	-3.4	
35. Instructor helped with my problems	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	-3.4	
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	-3.4	

N	% answering item					Mean	SD
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

\*\*\* No Optional Questions were answered \*\*\*

Number of forms preslugged = 26

Number of forms scanned = 21

Number of forms with at least one student response = 21

Percent completed = 80.8 %

For help with interpretation of the results, please refer to

[http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce\\_understanding.html](http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html)

For additional help please contact Institutional Research at 257-4110.