



PERSPECTIVES

CLIMATE

How cleaner air changes the climate

Air quality improvements affect regional climate in complex ways

By Bjørn Hallvard Samset

Aerosols have a strong influence on the present climate, but this influence will likely be reduced over the coming decades as air pollution measures are implemented around the world. At a global level, aerosols have helped to reduce the warming effect from greenhouse gas emissions, and necessary reductions in air pollution may thus make it harder to achieve ambitious

global climate and environmental aims, such as the Paris Agreement's 2°C target. Furthermore, the local nature of air pollution means that the impacts of changes to aerosol emissions—on temperature, precipitation, extreme events, and health—are likely to differ widely from one place to another. Model and observational studies are beginning to assess these impacts, particularly the link between aerosols and precipitation, to elucidate the climate effects of cleaning up our air.

Human influence on the climate is a tug-of-war, with greenhouse gas-induced warming being held partly in check by cooling from aerosol emissions. In a Faustian bargain, humans have effectively dampened global climate change through air pollution. Increased greenhouse gas concentrations from fossil fuel use are heating the planet by trapping heat radiation. At the same time,

CICERO Center for International Climate Research, Oslo, Norway. Email: b.h.samset@cicero.oslo.no

Smog covers Lujiazui, Shanghai, China. Cleaning up air pollution affects regional air temperature and precipitation.



ent patterns of impact. A reduction in aerosol emissions—as has already occurred in the United States and Europe and is assumed to continue in most climate scenarios—can be expected to have disproportionately strong impacts near emission regions, where most of the world's population lives. The effects of global warming on society are therefore different if the warming is due to loss of aerosol cooling, rather than from greenhouse gas-induced warming. Simply put, it matters not only that we limit global warming to 2°C, but also how we do it.

Since 1990, there has been little change in the global volume of anthropogenic aerosol emissions. Regionally, however, there are large differences, with reductions in Europe and the United States balanced by increases in Africa and Asia (see the photo) (4). Recent simulations of the industrial era suggest that aerosols have prevented most surface warming from greenhouse gases in East Asia and, at the same time, changed


sulfate aerosols, have declined by 75% since 2007, whereas those from India increased by 50% over the same period (7).

Aerosols also affect region-specific climate and weather phenomena, such as the South Asian monsoon. Indian summer monsoon rainfall has steadily declined since the 1950s, and model simulations indicate that aerosol forcing is critical to explaining this trend (8). Aerosol-induced surface cooling is thought to lead to anomalous circulation patterns over much of the region, weakening moisture transport from the Indian Ocean and thereby reducing monsoon rainfall (9).

Furthermore, aerosols are mainly emitted over Northern Hemisphere land masses, resulting in a hemispheric asymmetry that may have driven a shift in the position of the Intertropical Convergence Zone over the past century (10). Overall, today's precipitation patterns in the Northern Hemisphere are likely markedly influenced by aerosols, both near and far from emission sources.

Tug-of-war between aerosol cooling and greenhouse gas warming

Surface temperature and precipitation have, since preindustrial times, been affected by both greenhouse gases and aerosols. Model simulations comparing the periods 1985 to 2005 and 1880 to 1900 show that across the global land area, aerosols have limited the impacts of greenhouse gas warming. The regional patterns are more complex for precipitation. Data from (14).



emissions of aerosols—particles that make up a substantial fraction of air pollution—have an overall cooling effect by reflecting incoming sunlight (1). The net effect of greenhouse gases and aerosols is the ~1°C of global warming observed since 1880 CE. The individual contributions of greenhouse gases and aerosols are, however, much more uncertain. Recent climate model simulations indicate that without anthropogenic aerosols, global mean surface warming would be at least 0.5°C higher, and that in their absence there would also be a much greater precipitation change (2, 3) (see the figure).

Many climate effects from aerosols are, however, regional rather than global. Whereas the major greenhouse gases, carbon dioxide and methane, get distributed globally, aerosols are removed from the atmosphere in a matter of days, leading to quite differ-

what would have been a precipitation increase into a marked drying (see the figure). Although there are large differences between models, these results are broadly consistent with observations (5).

Regional cooling has likely also strongly influenced the rates of occurrence of extreme events (3) and the hydrological cycle (6). Modeling cannot, however, give definitive answers regarding these effects, because the model resolution is too coarse and it remains difficult to accurately reproduce the relevant cloud processes. It therefore remains unclear how an Asian aerosol cleanup would affect local precipitation and extreme weather events such as storms and droughts. The topic is urgent because Asian emissions levels are changing rapidly. According to one recent study, Chinese emissions of SO₂, a main precursor of cooling

To add to the complexity, not all aerosols cool the climate. Carbonaceous aerosols, by-products of incomplete combustion, absorb sunlight and can therefore heat the atmosphere. The global warming effects of black carbon, the main absorbing aerosol type, are likely to be moderate, but black carbon can have substantial regional climate impacts (11). Absorbing aerosols change the temperature profile of the atmosphere and therefore also alter circulation, cloud formation, and precipitation. These processes may have contributed to the observed drying trend in Southern Africa since the 1950s (12). Also, the deposition of dark aerosols on white snow has likely contributed to the strong Arctic warming since the 1980s (13).

Currently, most anthropogenic aerosol emissions are related to fossil fuel use. The massive emission reductions necessitated

by the Paris Agreement will therefore also reduce aerosol-induced cooling. Health and air quality considerations provide further, strong motivations for rapid reductions in particle emissions. Legislation targeting air pollution, such as the U.S. Clean Air Act and the European Union's Ambient Air Quality Directive, has proven that such mitigation is possible. Despite limited regulation, aerosol concentrations are currently falling in parts of Asia, although the driving factors are incompletely understood. Health concerns may drive local and regional aerosol reductions faster than foreseen in the climate scenarios used, e.g., in the IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) assessments. This, in turn, implies that reductions in greenhouse gas emission may need to be even more rapid than has been assumed, in order to meet the goals of the Paris Agreement. Policy measures may also target cooling sulfate aerosols and heating carbonaceous aerosols differently, making it even more challenging to predict the outcomes of specific mitigation strategies.

Aerosol emissions are an important component of human influence on the climate today. Fossil fuel use reductions and air quality measures make it likely that this influence will be greatly reduced over the coming decades, with consequences for the climate that may even dominate over those from greenhouse gas warming in some regions. However, understanding of the complex interactions between cooling and heating aerosols, atmospheric circulation, and precipitation patterns remains limited. The regional effects of cleaning our air, in all their complexity, must be taken into account when developing climate adaptation and mitigation strategies, if we are to be prepared for the changes to come. ■

REFERENCES

1. O. Boucher *et al.*, in *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, T.F. Stocker *et al.*, Eds. (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge/New York, 2013), chap. 7.
2. H.D. Matthews, K. Zickfeld, *Nat. Clim. Change* **2**, 338 (2012).
3. B. H. Samset *et al.*, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **45**, 1020 (2018).
4. G. Myhre *et al.*, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **17**, 2709 (2017).
5. D. L. Hartmann *et al.*, in *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, T.F. Stocker *et al.*, Eds. (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge/New York, 2013), chap. 2.
6. L. Liu *et al.*, *J. Climate* **10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0439.1** (2018).
7. C. Li *et al.*, *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 14304 (2017).
8. R. Krishnan *et al.*, *Clim. Dyn.* **47**, 1007 (2016).
9. R. E. Bartlett *et al.*, *Clim. Dyn.* **50**, 1863 (2018).
10. H. E. Ridley *et al.*, *Nat. Geosci.* **8**, 195 (2015).
11. C. W. Stjern *et al.*, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **122**, 11462 (2017).
12. Ø. Hodnebrog, G. Myhre, P. M. Forster, J. Sillmann, B. H. Samset, *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 11236 (2016).
13. M. Sand *et al.*, *Nat. Clim. Change* **6**, 286 (2016).
14. A. M. L. Ekman, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **119**, 817 (2014).

10.1126/science.aat1723

SYNTHETIC BIOLOGY

Improved memory devices for synthetic cells

CRISPR enables efficient recording of signaling events in cells onto DNA

By Joanne M. L. Ho¹ and Matthew R. Bennett^{1,2}

Synthetic biologists have long sought to make cells more like computers. This is not because they think cells will be more efficient than silicon—current microelectronics make excellent computers and are less messy than cell cultures—but instead because synthetic cells can interface with biology to perform biochemical tasks. Synthetic cells might one day be capable of attacking tumors or releasing site-specific drugs inside the human body. But to carry out these tasks, synthetic biologists must be able to program cells much in the same way we

“Because the order and timing of biological events determine cell fate, memory devices that can reveal the order of cellular events are of great utility.”

program computers—by providing them with decision-making capabilities based on inputs. Indeed, prototypes of many of the genetic parts necessary for turning cells into biocomputers have been constructed, including transcriptional logic gates (1), timers (2, 3), counters (4), memory devices (5, 6), tunable sensors (7, 8), and even in vitro DNA systems that can perform complex calculations (9). On page 169 of this issue, Tang and Liu (10) expand the capabilities of cellular computers by engineering a new memory device that records events directly onto DNA.

To collect, process, and act on information, cells must be able to accurately record signals. Given the fundamental importance of memory devices to biocomputing, sev-

eral cell-based memory systems have been built in the past decade. The first synthetic memory device was the bistable toggle switch, which featured two repressible promoters arranged in a mutually inhibitory gene regulatory network. In this setup, the system can switch between either of two stable states by exposure to small molecules (11). Next, a memory device that provided a more permanent record etched in the genome was built with DNA recombinases (4). Orthogonal recombinases, enzymes that cut and paste specific pieces of DNA, have enabled implementation of logic-gated memory devices (6) even in complex environments such as the mammalian gut (12, 13). Such devices allow for real-time surveillance of complex microbiomes and may lead to the development of living diagnostics and therapeutics. However, owing to the limited number of orthogonal recombinases, their use precludes facile multiplexing of complex information systems.

An elegant solution to this problem was the development of SCRIBE (synthetic cellular recorders integrating biological events) (5), a memory device that translates exogenous signals into point mutations in a bacterial genome via reverse transcription and recombination. With the discovery and development of CRISPR for genome editing, researchers have recognized the utility of CRISPR-mediated DNA storage as a stable means of capturing large amounts of data. Recently, digitization of a movie into the genomes of living bacteria was accomplished, with no single cell encoding more than a small snippet of the movie (14). However, these memory devices face the problem of low conversion frequency, which necessitates the use of large cell populations. By using DNA base editors and high-copy number plasmids carrying the recorder DNA (see the figure), Tang and Liu have developed a sensitive recording device that needs few cells. These improvements are a considerable advance in the arena of cell-based memory systems.

Tang and Liu's memory device, called CRISPR-mediated analog multi-event recording apparatus (CAMERA), can record a variety of biological and chemical signals,

¹Department of Biosciences, Rice University, Houston, TX, USA. ²Department of Bioengineering, Rice University, Houston, TX, USA. Email: matthew.bennett@rice.edu

How cleaner air changes the climate

Bjørn Hallvard Samset

Science **360** (6385), 148-150.
DOI: 10.1126/science.aat1723

ARTICLE TOOLS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/360/6385/148>

REFERENCES

This article cites 11 articles, 0 of which you can access for free
<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/360/6385/148#BIBL>

PERMISSIONS

<http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. 2017 © The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.