



## Supporting Online Material for

*Wolbachia* Establishment and Invasion in an *Aedes aegypti* Laboratory Population  
Zhiyong Xi, Cynthia C. H. Khoo, Stephen L. Dobson\*

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: [sdobson@uky.edu](mailto:sdobson@uky.edu)

Published 14 October 2005, *Science* **310**, 326 (2005)  
DOI: 10.1126/science.1117607

### **This PDF file includes:**

Materials and Methods  
Fig. S1  
Tables S1 and S2  
References and Notes

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Insect Strains**

The *A. aegypti* Waco strain is naturally uninfected. *A. albopictus* Houston (Hou) strain is naturally superinfected with both the *wAlbA* and *wAlbB* *Wolbachia* types (*S1*). Waco and Hou were provided by George Craig and Scott O'Neill, respectively. Mosquito strains were maintained following standard procedures as described previously (*S2*, *S3*).

#### **Microinjection**

Embryo injection was based upon techniques successfully used for prior mosquito and *Drosophila* transfection (*S4*, *S5*). Microinjection needles were prepared from quartz microcapillaries (#QF100-70-7.5; Sutter Instrument Co., Novato, CA) using a P2000 micropipette puller (Sutter Instrument Co). Approximately ten blood fed females (Hou or Waco) were allowed to oviposit on a wet filter paper funnel. Waco embryos to be injected (recipient embryos) were collected after allowing females to oviposit for  $\leq 90$  minutes. Following a brief desiccation to provide space for injected material, grey embryos were aligned on double sided tape (Scotch 665; St. Paul, MN) and covered with halocarbon 700 oil (Sigma-Aldrich Co.). Donor Hou embryos were treated similarly but not desiccated. Cytoplasm was withdrawn from donor Hou embryos and injected into the posterior of recipient Waco embryos using an IM300 microinjector (Narishige Scientific; Tokyo, Japan) as previously described (*S6*). After injection, the embryos were incubated at 80% relative humidity and 27°C for approximately 40 minutes. Embryos were then removed from oil and transferred to wet filter

## SUPPLEMENT INFORMATION

paper. Embryos were allowed to develop for 5 days on wet filter paper. Subsequently, the eggs were hatched ( $G_0$ ) and reared using standard maintenance conditions as above. Females ( $G_0$ ) were isolated as pupae to assure virginity and were subsequently mated with Waco *Aedes aegypti* males. Following blood feeding and oviposition,  $G_0$  females were assayed for *Wolbachia* infection via PCR using primer sets specific for *wAlbA* and *wAlbB* (S7).  $G_0$  females testing negative for *Wolbachia* infection were discarded along with their progeny. WB1 individuals were sibling mated in  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . Beginning in  $G_3$ , 50 virgin WB1 females were out crossed with 50 Waco males in every generation.

### INJECTION RESULTS

As shown in Table S1, thirteen of 248 injected embryos survived to adult ( $G_0$ ) in two injection experiments. Of the five PCR tested  $G_0$  females, one was infected with the *wAlbB* single infection (Table S2). PCR assays detected *Wolbachia* DNA in the remaining females and in six of seven  $G_0$  males. Line 2.3 was subdivided into superinfected 2.3a and *wAlbB* infected 2.3b based upon PCR results (Table S2).

PCR screening of  $G_1$  females failed to detect *Wolbachia* in Line 2.2; thus it was discarded. Lines 1.2 and 2.3a were not established due to the failure of  $G_2$  embryo hatch. Line 1.1 was selected for subsequent characterization and named ‘WB1’ [ Waco strain; *wAlbB* infection; Line # 1 ].

## SUPPLEMENT INFORMATION

### SUPPLEMENT REFERENCES

- S1. S. P. Sinkins, H. R. Braig, S. L. O'Neill, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. [Biol.]* **261**, 325 (1995).
- S2. D. B. Roberts, *Drosophila: a practical approach*, Practical approach series (IRL Press at Oxford University Press, Oxford, ed. 2nd, 1998), pp. xxiv, 389 p.
- S3. S. L. Dobson, E. J. Marsland, W. Rattanadechakul, *J. Med. Entomol.* **38**, 382 (2001).
- S4. Z. Xi, J. L. Dean, C. Khoo, S. L. Dobson, *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **35**, 903 (2005).
- S5. Z. Xi, S. L. Dobson, *Appl Environ Microbiol* **71**, 3166 (2005).
- S6. A. C. Morris, in *Molecular Biology of Insect Disease vectors: A Methods Manual* J. M. Crampton, Beard, C. B. & Louis, C., Ed. (Chapman & Hall, 2-6 Boundary Row, London SE1 8HN, UK, 1997) pp. 423-429.
- S7. S. L. Dobson, E. J. Marsland, W. Rattanadechakul, *Genetics* **160**, 1087 (2002).

**Table S1.** Survival rate of *A. aegypti* embryos injected with *Wolbachia* from *A. albopictus* eggs

Experiment	Percent Survival			Sex ratio ( Female/ Total )
	Hatch ( Larvae/ eggs )	Pupation ( Pupae/ larvae )	Eclosion ( Adult/ pupae )	
1	13.2% (11/83)	72.7% (8/11)	75.0% (6/8)	33.3% (2/6)
2	6.1% (10/165)	70.0% (7/10)	100.0% (7/7)	57.1% (4/7)

**Table S2.** *Wolbachia* infection in the *A. aegypti* transfection studies

Name of Isofemale line	G <sub>0</sub> PCR Test	G <sub>1</sub> infection Frequency	Note
1.1 ( $\bar{O}WB1\bar{O}$ )	wAlbB only	wAlbB only; n=22	'WB1' Line
1.2	wAlbA and wAlbB	wAlbA and wAlbB; n=4 wAlbB only; n=1	G <sub>2</sub> embryos did not hatch
2.1	Not tested	Not tested	G <sub>0</sub> female was accidentally killed
2.2	wAlbA and wAlbB	No infection detected n=14	Discarded
2.3	wAlbA and wAlbB	wAlbA and wAlbB; n=2 2.3a wAlbB only; n=8 2.3b	None of G <sub>2</sub> embryos from superinfected G <sub>1</sub> hatched; 'WB2' Line established from wAlbB infected progeny
2.4	wAlbA and wAlbB		G <sub>0</sub> female did not produce eggs

<sup>†</sup> n = the number of PCR tested G<sub>1</sub> females

## SUPPLEMENT INFORMATION

**Figure S1.** Egg hatch rate resulting with introgression crosses [WB1 females x Waco males]. Generation three ( $G_3$ ) is the cross results shown in Table 1. Subsequent generations result from outcrosses with Waco males.

