

**Indoor Air Quality in Public Venues in Elliott and Lawrence Counties, 2012**

Ellen J. Hahn, PhD, RN, FAAN  
Kiyoung Lee, ScD, CIH  
Heather E. Robertson, MPA  
Hilarie Bratset

October 8, 2013

*This study was funded by the Kentucky Cancer Consortium. This publication was supported by the Cooperative Agreement Number 5U55DP003027 / DP10-1017 from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.*


## Executive Summary

Indoor air quality was assessed in a total of 11 public venues in Elliott and Lawrence Counties, Kentucky. Fine particulates were measured from July 12, 2012 to January 17, 2013, using the TSI SidePak AM510 Personal Aerosol Monitor. The average PM<sub>2.5</sub> level from the 11 locations was compared to the average PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels in Georgetown and Lexington, Kentucky before and after implementation of their smoke-free laws, as well as the outdoor National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS; 35µg/m<sup>3</sup>) for 24 hours.

Key findings of the study are:

- The average indoor air pollution (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) in public venues measured in all 11 Elliott and Lawrence Counties was 25µg/m<sup>3</sup>. Smoking was observed in five of the venues. The average PM<sub>2.5</sub> in those five venues was 37 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, exceeding the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for *outdoor* air. The level in the five venues where smoking was observed was approximately 1.9 times higher than Georgetown and 2.1 times higher than Lexington after implementation of their smoke-free laws (see Figure 1). Further, the level of indoor air pollution in these venues was 1.1 times higher than the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for *outdoor* air.
- The 11 public venues had average PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels ranging from 3 to 73µg/m<sup>3</sup> (see Figure 2). Air pollution in 4 of the 11 venues exceeded the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for *outdoor* air.

**Figure 1. Average fine particle air pollution in four Kentucky communities, pre- and post-law**



**Note.** The shaded bar for Elliott/Lawrence reflects the average fine particle air pollution in the five venues with observed smoking.

## Introduction

Secondhand smoke (SHS) contains at least 250 chemicals that are known to be toxic.<sup>1</sup> There is no safe level of exposure to SHS.<sup>2,3</sup> SHS damages the DNA, blood vessels, and lung tissue, causing cancer, heart, and lung disease.<sup>3</sup> SHS exposure is the third leading cause of preventable death in the United States.<sup>2</sup> SHS is a mixture of the smoke from the burning end of tobacco products (sidestream smoke) and the smoke exhaled by smokers (mainstream smoke). An estimated 3,000 nonsmokers die from lung cancer and over 46,000 nonsmokers die from heart disease<sup>2</sup> every year in the U.S due to SHS exposure. It is estimated that 40.1% of nonsmokers in the United States have biological evidence of SHS exposure.<sup>4</sup>

Currently in the U.S., 22,486 local municipalities are covered by either local or state 100% smoke-free laws in workplaces and/or restaurants and/or bars.<sup>5</sup> It is estimated that approximately 49.1% of the U.S. population is protected by clean indoor air regulations that cover virtually all indoor worksites including bars and restaurants. There are 3,931 local ordinances or regulations that restrict smoking to some extent in workplaces across the United States and Washington D.C.<sup>5</sup> The extent of protection provided by these laws varies widely from community to community.

As of October 1, 2013, 38 Kentucky communities had implemented smoke-free laws or adopted smoke-free regulations. The most comprehensive ordinances/regulations, 100% smoke-free workplace and 100% smoke-free enclosed public place laws, have been implemented in 22 Kentucky communities: Ashland, Bardstown, Bowling Green, Campbellsville, Clark County (Board of Health regulation), Corbin, Danville, Elizabethtown, Georgetown, Glasgow, Hardin County (unincorporated areas), Lexington-Fayette County, London, Louisville, Madison County (Board of Health regulation), Manchester, Morehead, Prestonsburg, Radcliff, Somerset, Williamsburg, and Woodford County (Board of Health regulation). Bullitt County's Board of Health has adopted a comprehensive regulation but it is delayed pending court action (upheld by Kentucky Court of Appeals, 12/7/12). The next most comprehensive ordinances, 100% smoke-free enclosed public place laws, have been implemented in three communities: Frankfort, Letcher County, and Paducah. Thirteen communities have enacted partial smoke-free laws, protecting workers and patrons in some public venues: Beattyville, Daviess County, Elkhorn City, Franklin County, Henderson, Hopkins County, Hopkinsville, Kenton County, Mayfield, Oak Grove, Oldham County, Paintsville, and Pikeville.

The purpose of the study was to (a) assess air quality in public venues in Elliott and Lawrence Counties; and (b) compare the results from the two counties combined to Georgetown and Lexington, Kentucky air quality data before and after their smoke-free laws took effect.

## Methods

Between July 17, 2012 and January 17, 2013, indoor air quality was assessed in 11 indoor public venues located in Elliott and Lawrence Counties. Sites were of various sizes; some sites were individually owned establishments and some were part of local or national chains.

A TSI SidePak AM510 Personal Aerosol Monitor (TSI, Inc., St. Paul, MN) was used to sample and record the levels of respirable suspended particles in the air. The SidePak uses a built-in sampling pump to draw air through the device and the particulate matter in the air scatters the light from a laser to assess the real-time concentration of particles smaller than  $2.5\mu\text{m}$  in micrograms per cubic meter, or  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ . The SidePak was calibrated against a light scattering instrument, which had been previously calibrated and used in similar studies. In addition, the SidePak was zero-calibrated prior to each use by attaching a HEPA filter according to the manufacturer's specifications.

TSI SidePak AM510 Personal Aerosol Monitor



The equipment was set to a one-minute log interval, which averages the previous 60 one-second measurements. For each venue, the first and last minute of logged data were removed because they are averaged with outdoor and entryway air. The remaining data points were summarized to provide an average  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentration within each venue. The Kentucky Center for Smoke-free Policy (KCSP) staff trained researchers from the Lawrence and Elliott County Health Departments who did the sampling and sent the data to KCSP for analysis. Sampling was discreet in order not to disturb the occupants' normal behavior.

### Statistical Analyses

Descriptive statistics including the venue volume, number of patrons, number of burning cigarettes, and smoker density (i.e., average number of burning cigarettes per  $100\text{ m}^3$ ) were reported for each venue and averaged for all venues.

## Results

The public venues were visited Tuesday through Friday for an average of 48 minutes (range 45-68 minutes). Visits occurred at various times of the day from 8:15AM to 4:50 PM. The average size of the Elliott and Lawrence County venues was  $966\text{ m}^3$  (range  $336\text{-}2523\text{ m}^3$ ) and the average smoker density was  $0.05/100\text{ m}^3$ . On average, 11 patrons were present per venue and 0.3 burning cigarette per venue was observed. Descriptive statistics for each venue are summarized in the Table.

**Table. Air Quality Data for 11 Venues in Elliott and Lawrence County Kentucky, July, 2012-January, 2013**


Venue	Date Sampled	Size (m <sup>3</sup> )	Average # people	Average # burning cigs	Smoker density (#bc/100m <sup>3</sup> )	Average PM <sub>2.5</sub> levels (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
Venue A	7/12/2012	408	17	0.0	0.00	7
Venue B	8/02/2012	2512	7	0.0	0.00	3
Venue C	8/02/2012	1380	20	0.7	0.05	50
Venue D	8/02/2012	2523	11	0.2	0.01	4
Venue E	8/02/2012	336	8	0.0	0.00	47
Venue F	9/13/2012	1053	7	0.0	0.00	13
Venue G	9/13/2012	573	14	0.0	0.00	10
Venue H	9/13/2012	352	6	0.0	0.00	7
Venue I	9/14/2012	550	10	0.2	0.03	4
Venue J	11/27/2012	514	12	1.8	0.35	55
Venue K	01/17/2013	425	8	0.3	0.07	73
<b>Average</b>		<b>966</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>25</b>

**Note.** There were no burning cigarettes observed in Venue E, but researchers were seated next to the kitchen and they smelled tobacco smoke.

As depicted in Figure 1, the average level of indoor air pollution in the Elliott and Lawrence County venues was 25µg/m<sup>3</sup>. There were five venues where smoking was observed. The average PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the five venues was 37 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. That level was approximately 1.9 times higher than Georgetown and 2.1 times higher than Lexington after implementation of their smoke-free laws. Further, the level of indoor air pollution in the public venues in Elliott and Lawrence Counties was 1.1 times higher than the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for *outdoor* air for 24 hours.

Figure 2 shows the average level of indoor air pollution in each of the 11 tested venues in Elliott and Lawrence County. The average PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels ranged from 3 to 73µg/m<sup>3</sup>. There were no burning cigarettes observed in Venue E, but researchers were seated next to the kitchen during monitoring, and the smell of tobacco smoke was observed. Air pollution in 4 venues exceeded the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for *outdoor* air (NAAQS; 35µg/m<sup>3</sup>).

**Figure 2. Average Indoor Fine Particle Concentration in 11 Elliott and Lawrence County, Kentucky Venues, 2012-2013**



**Note.** There were no burning cigarettes observed in Venue E, but researchers were seated next to the kitchen and smelled tobacco smoke.

## Discussion

The average PM<sub>2.5</sub> level in 11 venues in Elliott and Lawrence Counties was 25µg/m<sup>3</sup>. There were five venues where smoking was observed. The average PM<sub>2.5</sub> in these five venues was 37µg/m<sup>3</sup>. There were over 80 EPA cited epidemiologic studies in creating a particulate air pollution standard in 1997.<sup>6</sup> To protect the public's health, the EPA set a new limit of 35 µg/m<sup>3</sup> on December 17, 2006 as the average level of exposure over 24-hours in *outdoor* environments. There is no EPA standard for indoor air quality.

At least two Kentucky air quality studies have demonstrated significant improvements in air quality as a result of implementing a comprehensive smoke-free law. Hahn et al. showed a 91% decrease in indoor air pollution after Lexington, Kentucky implemented a smoke-free law on April 27, 2004.<sup>7</sup> The average level of indoor air pollution was 199 µg/m<sup>3</sup> pre-law and dropped to 18 µg/m<sup>3</sup> post-law. Average levels of indoor air pollution dropped from 86 µg/m<sup>3</sup> to 20 µg/m<sup>3</sup> after Georgetown, Kentucky implemented a comprehensive smoke-free law on October 1, 2005.<sup>8</sup> Similarly, other studies show significant improvements in air quality after implementing a smoke-free law. One California study showed an 82% average decline in air pollution after smoking was prohibited.<sup>9</sup> When indoor air quality was measured in 20 hospitality venues in

western New York, average levels of respirable suspended particle (RSP) dropped by 84% after a smoke-free law took effect.<sup>10</sup>

Other studies have assessed the effects of SHS on human health. Hahn et al. found a 56% drop in hair nicotine levels in a sample of workers after Lexington implemented a smoke-free law, regardless of whether workers were smokers or nonsmokers.<sup>11</sup> Workers were also less likely to report colds and sinus infections after the law went into effect. Similarly, Farrelly et al. also showed a significant decrease in both salivary cotinine concentrations and sensory symptoms in hospitality workers after New York State implemented a smoke-free law in their worksites.<sup>12</sup> Smoke-free legislation in Scotland was associated with significant improvements in symptoms, spirometry measurements, and systemic inflammation of bar workers. The significant improvement of respiratory health was reported in only one month after smoke-free law.<sup>13</sup>

There is no longer any doubt in the medical or scientific communities that SHS is a significant public health problem. In 2006, U.S. Surgeon General Carmona, said “The scientific evidence is now indisputable: secondhand smoke is not a mere annoyance. It is a serious health hazard that can lead to disease and premature death in children and nonsmoking adults.”<sup>2</sup> In 2010, U.S. Surgeon General Benjamin reported that tobacco smoke causes immediate blood vessel, lung tissue, and DNA damage causing heart disease, lung disease, and cancer.<sup>3</sup>

Many millions of Americans, both children and adults, are still exposed to secondhand smoke in their homes and workplaces. Approximately 40.1% nonsmokers in the United States have biological evidence of SHS exposure.<sup>4</sup> U.S. Surgeon General Carmona said, “Eliminating smoking in indoor spaces fully protects nonsmokers from exposure to secondhand smoke. Separating smokers from nonsmokers, cleaning the air, and ventilating buildings cannot eliminate exposure of nonsmokers to secondhand smoke.”<sup>2</sup>

## **Conclusions**

This study demonstrated that workers and patrons in public venues in Elliott and Lawrence Counties are exposed to harmful levels of SHS. On average, workers and patrons in Elliott and Lawrence County venues where smoking was observed were exposed to indoor air pollution levels approximately 1.1 times the National Ambient Air Quality Standard, and the level of indoor air pollution in these venues was 1.9 times higher than Georgetown and 2.1 times higher than Lexington’s average PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels after implementation of their smoke-free laws. When smoking is completely prohibited, air quality significantly improves.

## References

1. National Toxicology Program. *10<sup>th</sup> Report on Carcinogens*. Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Toxicology Program, December 2002.
2. United States Department of Health and Human Services. *The Health Consequences of Involuntary Exposure to Tobacco Smoke: A Report of the Surgeon General*. Atlanta, GA: Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease and Prevention and Promotion, Office of Smoking and Health; 2006.
3. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *How Tobacco Smoke Causes Disease: The Biology and Behavioral Basis for Smoking-Attributable Disease: A Report of the Surgeon General*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Center for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, 2010.
4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. "Vital signs: Nonsmokers' exposure to secondhand smoke---United States, 1999-2008." *MMWR*, 2010;59(35): 1141-1146.
5. Americans for Nonsmokers' Rights. Overview list: How many smoke-free laws. October 1, 2013. Retrieved October 3, 2013 from <http://no-smoke.org/pdf/mediaordlist.pdf>.
6. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter; Final Rule. *Federal Register* 1997; 62(138): 38651-38701.
7. Hahn, E, Lee, K, Okoli, Z, Troutman, A, Rowan, R. Smoke-free Laws and Indoor Air Pollution in Lexington and Louisville. *Louisville Medicine*, 2005; 52(10): 391-392.
8. Lee, K., Hahn, E.J., Riker, C., Head, S. Seithers, P. Immediate impact of smoke-free laws on indoor air quality. *Southern Medical Journal*, 2007; 100(9): 885-889.
9. Ott, W, Switzer, P, Robinson, J. Particle concentrations inside a tavern before and after prohibition of smoking: Evaluating the performance of an indoor air quality model. *Journal of the Air Waste Management Association*, 1996; 46:1120-1134.
10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Indoor air quality in hospitality venues before and after implementation of a clean indoor air law—Western New York, *MMWR*, 2003, November 12, 2004, 53(44); 1038-1041.
11. Hahn, E.J., Rayens, M.K., York, N., Okoli, C.T.C., Zhang, M., Dignan, M., Al-Delaimy, W.K. Effects of a smoke-free law on hair nicotine and respiratory symptoms of restaurant and bar workers. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 2006; 48(9): 906-913

12. Farrelly, M, Nonnemaker, J, Chou, R, Hyland, A, Peterson, K, Bauer, U. Change in hospitality workers' exposure to secondhand smoke following the implementation of New York's smoke-free law. *Tobacco Control*, 2005; 14: 236-241.

13. Menzies, D, Nair, A, Williamson, P, Schembri, S, Al-Khairalla, M, Barnes, M, Fardon, T, McFarlane, L, Magee, G, Lipworth, B. Respiratory symptoms, pulmonary function, and markers of inflammation among bar workers before and after a legislative ban on smoking in public places. *JAMA*, 2006; 296: 1742-1748.