

NSSE 2024 Topical Module Report Development of Transferable Skills

University of Kentucky

Adapted from a pilot survey that was developed by the American Association of State Colleges and Universities, this module examines activities that develop useful and transferable skills for the workplace and beyond (such as verbal and written fluency, critical thinking, creative thinking, problem solving, project management, and time management). The module complements questions on the core survey about higher-order learning, reflective and integrative learning, and students' perceptions of their development in a variety of areas.

Comparison group details are in the online Selected Comparison Groups report, linked in the Data & Reports table on the Institution Interface. A PDF copy is also saved in your report download folder.

This page intentionally left blank.



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons University of Kentucky

				Frequency Distributions ^a			ns ^a	Statistical Comparisons ^b			
						Dev Trans	fer		Dev Trar		
				UK		Skills		UK	Skills		
Item wording or description	Variable name	Values ^c	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size ^d	
1. During the current school year, v	whether cours	e-related	or not, about how ofter	n have vou do	ne the	following?					
a. Discussed or debated an issue of	TRNdebate	1	Never	231	17	908	19				
social, political, or philosophical		2	Sometimes	584	42	1,876	41				
importance		3	Often	387	28	1,222	27	2.4	2.3	.04	
		4	Very often	177	13	633	13		210		
			Total	1,379	100	4,639	100				
b. Made a speech to a group	TRNspeech	1	Never	141	10	1,379	30				
1 6 1	1	2	Sometimes	633	46	1,857	41				
		3	Often	393	28	937	20	2.5	2.1 ***	.43	
		4	Very often	211	15	463	10	2.0	2.1	.+5	
			Total	1,378	100	4,636	100				
c. Worked in a group with people	TRNgroup	1	Never	52	4	273	6				
who differed from you in terms of	TTC . Browp	2	Sometimes	437	32	1,627	36				
background, political orientation,		3	Often	602	44	1,857	40	2.8	2.7 ***	.14	
points of view, etc.		4	Very often	286	21	878	18	2.0		.14	
			Total	1,377	100	4,635	100		Δ		
d. Discussed the ethical consequences	TRNethical	1	Never	143	100	726	16		-		
of a course of action	TRivetillear	2	Sometimes	560	41	1,890	41				
		3	Often	462	33	1,443	31	2.5	2 4 ***	10	
		4	Very often	402 214	16	575	12	2.5	2.4 ***	.18	
		4	-	1,379	100		12		Δ		
e. Evaluated the credibility of	TRNcred	1	Total Never	43	3	4,634	6				
information sources	TRIveled	2	Sometimes	43	31		32				
		3	Often	583	42	1,460	32 40	2.0			
						1,851		2.9	2.8 ***	.12	
		4	Very often	336	24	1,018	21		Δ		
f Diama da malar mala mati	TDN	1	Total Never	1,378	100	4,629	100				
f. Discussed complex problems with others to develop a better solution	TRNcomplex	1		50							
onlers to develop a better solution		2	Sometimes	443	33	1,656	36	• •			
		3	Often	591	43	1,777	39	2.8	2.7 ***	.17	
		4	Very often	291	21	855	18		Δ		
	(TD) 1 . 1		Total	1,375	100	4,627	100		_		
 g. Generated multiple solutions to a problem or task 	TRNsolution	1	Never	39	3	247	5				
problem of task		2	Sometimes	430	31	1,571	34				
		3	Often	608	44	1,925	42	2.8	2.7 ***	.14	
		4	Very often	300	22	880	18		Δ		
	TDM		Total	1,377	100	4,623	100				
 h. Combined dissimilar concepts to create a novel idea 	TRNcreate	1	Never	157	11	994	21				
create a nover filea		2	Sometimes	552	40	1,992	43				
		3	Often	464	34	1,171	26	2.5	2.2 ***	.31	
		4	Very often	205	15	464	10				
	(TD) I .		Total	1,378	100	4,621	100				
 Adapted a previously used solution to a new situation 	TRNadapt	1	Never	83	6	453	10				
to a new situation		2	Sometimes	482	35	1,824	39				
		3	Often	545	40	1,689	37	2.7	2.5 ***	.21	
		4	Very often	263	19	647	14		Δ		
			Total	1,373	100	4,613	100				



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons University of Kentucky

				Frequency Distributions ^a				Statistical Comparisons			
						Dev Trans	fer		Dev Tra		
				UK		Skills		UK	Skil	ls	
	Variable									Effect	
Item wording or description	name	Values ^c	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size ^d	
j. Referred to online content (tutorial,	TRNonlsolve	1	Never	35	3	174	4				
forum, webpage, etc.) to solve a		2	Sometimes	354	26	1,167	25				
problem		3	Often	562	41	1,824	40	3.0	3.0	.02	
		4	Very often	419	30	1,454	31				
			Total	1,370	100	4,619	100				
k. Referred to online content (tutorial,	TRNonlskill	1	Never	34	2	166	4				
forum, webpage, etc.) to learn a		2	Sometimes	385	28	1,257	27				
new skill or procedure		3	Often	560	41	1,807	40	3.0	2.9	.01	
		4	Very often	395	29	1,384	29				
			Total	1,374	100	4,614	100				
l. Used project management tools to	TRNproject	1	Never	77	6	482	11				
plan, organize, or schedule tasks		2	Sometimes	459	34	1,621	35				
		3	Often	535	39	1,641	36	2.8	2.6 ***	.18	
		4	Very often	303	22	868	18		Δ		
			Total	1,374	100	4,612	100				
n. Used a daily or weekly "to do" list	TRNtodo	1	Never	86	7	381	10				
		2	Sometimes	375	28	1,110	25				
		3	Often	381	28	1,094	24	3.0	3.0	.00	
		4	Very often	534	38	2,027	41	0.0	5.0	.00	
			Total	1,376	100	4,612	100				
n. Prioritized what tasks need to be	TRNtasks	1	Never	19	1	74	2				
accomplished		2	Sometimes	255	19	725	16				
		3	Often	496	37	1,551	35	3.2	3.3 **	08	
		4	Very often	595	43	2,250	47	0.2	▼	00	
			Total	1,365	100	4,600	100		Ť		
o. Worked longer hours than usual to	TRNhours	1	Never	71	5	344	8				
meet deadlines (i.e., after midnight,		2	Sometimes	408	30	1,261	28				
before dawn)		3	Often	446	33	1,363	30	2.9	2.9	.00	
		4	Very often	449	32	1,651	34	2.7	2.9	.00	
			Total	1,374	100	4,619	100				
p. Written something (paper, report,	TRNwrsource	1	Never	33	2	185	4		-		
article, etc.) that used information	The composition	2	Sometimes	350	26	1,220	27				
from a variety of sources (books,		3	Often	528	38	1,691	37	3.0	3.0 *	.07	
journals, Internet, databases, etc.)		4	Very often	464	33	1,513	31	5.0		.07	
		· ·	Total	1,375	100	4,609	100		Δ		
q. Written something (paper, report,	TRNwrassess	1	Never	1,375	7	564	12				
article, etc.) that assessed the	1101001035055	2	Sometimes	440	33	1,565	35				
conclusions of a published work		3	Often	478	35	1,505	32	2.8	2 (***	16	
		3 4	Very often	478 349	25	1,431	52 21	2.0	2.6 ***	.16	
		4	Total						Δ		
			10181	1,367	100	4,607	100				



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons University of Kentucky

				Frequen	cy D	istributio	Statistical Comparisons ^b			
						Dev Trans	fer		Dev Tra	nsfer
				UK		Skills		UK	Skill	s
Item wording or description	Variable name	Values ^c	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size ^d
r. Written something (paper, report, article, etc.) that included ideas	TRNwrideas	1	Never	106	8	624	14			
		2	Sometimes	454	34	1,647	36			
from more than one academic discipline		3	Often	477	35	1,453	32	2.8	2.5 ***	.22
discipline		4	Very often	335	24	884	18		Δ	
			Total	1,372	100	4,608	100			
s. Written something (paper, report,	TRNwrviews	1	Never	73	5	402	9			
article, etc.) that presented multiple		2	Sometimes	452	33	1,676	37			
viewpoints or perspectives		3	Often	521	38	1,566	34	2.8	2.7 ***	.16
		4	Very often	324	23	968	20		Δ	
			Total	1,370	100	4,612	100			



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons University of Kentucky

				Frequen	cy D	istributio	ns ^a	Statistical Comparisons ^b			
						Dev Trans	fer		Dev Trai	nsfer	
				UK		Skills		UK	Skill	S	
	Variable									Effect	
Item wording or description	name	Values ^c	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size ^d	
1. During the current school year,		e-related	or not, about how often	have you do	ne the	following?					
a. Discussed or debated an issue of	TRNdebate	1	Never	231	20	1,061	21				
social, political, or philosophical importance		2	Sometimes	489	43	1,988	39				
mporanee		3	Often	261	23	1,227	25	2.3	2.4	04	
		4	Very often	169	14	807	16				
			Total	1,150	100	5,083	100				
b. Made a speech to a group	TRNspeech	1	Never	215	19	1,192	23				
		2	Sometimes	530	46	2,127	42				
		3	Often	278	24	1,106	22	2.3	2.2	.03	
		4	Very often	131	11	659	13				
			Total	1,154	100	5,084	100				
c. Worked in a group with people	TRNgroup	1	Never	45	4	279	6				
who differed from you in terms of background, political orientation,		2	Sometimes	336	30	1,586	32				
points of view, etc.		3	Often	478	41	1,958	38	2.9	2.8 **	.10	
		4	Very often	292	25	1,261	24		Δ		
			Total	1,151	100	5,084	100				
d. Discussed the ethical consequences of a course of action	TRNethical	1	Never	130	11	681	14				
of a course of action		2	Sometimes	450	39	1,913	38				
		3	Often	391	34	1,632	32	2.5	2.5	.02	
		4	Very often	180	16	859	17				
			Total	1,151	100	5,085	100				
 Evaluated the credibility of information sources 	TRNcred	1	Never	65	6	319	6				
information sources		2	Sometimes	351	31	1,443	29				
		3	Often	442	39	1,908	37	2.8	2.9	04	
		4	Very often	290	25	1,411	28				
			Total	1,148	100	5,081	100				
f. Discussed complex problems with others to develop a better solution	TRNcomplex	1	Never	51	4	344	7				
others to develop a better solution		2	Sometimes	323	28	1,415	28	• •			
		3	Often	479	41	1,989	39	2.9	2.8	.06	
		4	Very often	298	26	1,341	26				
			Total	1,151	100	5,089	100				
 g. Generated multiple solutions to a problem or task 	TRNsolution	1	Never	34	3	238	5				
processi or tubic		2	Sometimes	324	28	1,428	29	2.0			
			Often Vorrege frem	487	42	2,076	40 26	2.9	2.9	.06	
		4	Very often	305	27	1,336	26				
h Combined dissimilar concents to	TDNamete	1	Total	1,150 167	100	5,078	100		_		
 Combined dissimilar concepts to create a novel idea 	TRNcreate	1	Never Sometimes		14	942	19				
		2		477	42	2,172	43 26	2.4		10	
		3 4	Often Very often	345 158	30 14	1,337 627	26 12	2.4	2.3 ***	.13	
		4	Total	138	14	5,078	12		Δ		
i. Adapted a previously used solution	TRNadapt	1	Never	72	6	434	9				
to a new situation	плацарі	2	Sometimes	384		434 1,795					
		2	Often	384 471	33 41	1,795	35 37	27	27**	10	
		3 4	Very often	223	20	963	19	2.7	2.7 **	.10	
		4	Total	1,150	100	903 5,076	19		Δ		
			10001	1,150	100	5,070	100				



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons University of Kentucky

				Frequen	cy Di	istributio	ns	Statistical (l Comparisons ^b		
						Dev Trans	fer		Dev Tra		
				UK		Skills		UK	Skil	ls	
	Variable									Effe	
Item wording or description	name	Values ^c	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size	
j. Referred to online content (tutorial,	TRNonlsolve	1	Never	28	3	187	4				
forum, webpage, etc.) to solve a problem		2	Sometimes	227	20	1,116	22				
problem		3	Often	423	36	1,814	36	3.2	3.1 *	.0	
		4	Very often	470	41	1,967	38		Δ		
			Total	1,148	100	5,084	100				
. Referred to online content (tutorial,	TRNonlskill	1	Never	36	3	205	4				
forum, webpage, etc.) to learn a		2	Sometimes	249	22	1,191	23				
new skill or procedure		3	Often	432	37	1,844	37	3.1	3.0	.0	
		4	Very often	429	37	1,833	35				
			Total	1,146	100	5,073	100				
l. Used project management tools to	TRNproject	1	Never	99	9	506	10				
plan, organize, or schedule tasks		2	Sometimes	330	29	1,566	32				
		3	Often	418	36	1,766	35	2.8	2.7 **	.0	
		4	Very often	299	26	1,236	24		Δ		
			Total	1,146	100	5,074	100		-		
n. Used a daily or weekly "to do" list	TRNtodo	1	Never	81	7	389	8				
		2	Sometimes	209	19	1,063	22				
		3	Often	306	27	1,166	23	3.1	3.1	.0	
		4	Very often	550	47	2,469	46	5.1	5.1	.0	
		·	Total	1,146	100	5,087	100				
n. Prioritized what tasks need to be	TRNtasks	1	Never	1,110	100	80	2				
accomplished	1 Ki (taškš	2	Sometimes	154	14	577	12				
-		3	Often	353	31	1,542	31	3.4	2.4		
		4	Very often	628	54	2,884	56	3.4	3.4	0	
		4	Total	1,147	100	5,083	100				
o. Worked longer hours than usual to	TRNhours	1	Never	49	4	3,083	6				
meet deadlines (i.e., after midnight,	TRINIOUIS										
before dawn)		2	Sometimes	320	28	1,316	27	2.0			
		3	Often	320	28	1,314	26	3.0	3.0	.0	
		4	Very often	459	40	2,131	41				
			Total	1,148	100	5,081	100				
p. Written something (paper, report, article, etc.) that used information	TRNwrsource	1	Never	45	4	220	4				
from a variety of sources (books,		2	Sometimes	290	26	1,209	24				
journals, Internet, databases, etc.)		3	Often	383	34	1,671	33	3.0	3.1	0	
		4	Very often	420	36	1,971	39				
			Total	1,138	100	5,071	100				
q. Written something (paper, report,	TRNwrassess	1	Never	135	12	679	13				
article, etc.) that assessed the conclusions of a published work		2	Sometimes	348	31	1,648	33				
conclusions of a published work		3	Often	340	29	1,412	28	2.7	2.7	.0	
		4	Very often	321	27	1,328	26				
			Total	1,144	100	5,067	100				



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons University of Kentucky

				Frequen	cy D	istributio	ns ^a	Statistical Comparisons ^b			
						Dev Trans	fer		Dev Tr	ansfer	
				UK		Skills		UK	Skills		
Item wording or description	Variable name	Values ^c	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size ^d	
r. Written something (paper, report, article, etc.) that included ideas	TRNwrideas	1	Never	110	10	640	12				
		2	Sometimes	403	36	1,737	34				
from more than one academic discipline		3	Often	354	31	1,474	30	2.7	2.6	.03	
userpine		4	Very often	277	24	1,225	24				
			Total	1,144	100	5,076	100				
s. Written something (paper, report,	TRNwrviews	1	Never	115	10	587	12				
article, etc.) that presented multiple		2	Sometimes	416	37	1,877	37				
viewpoints or perspectives		3	Often	367	32	1,469	29	2.6	2.6	.02	
		4	Very often	245	21	1,140	22				
			Total	1,143	100	5,073	100				



Detailed Statistics^e University of Kentucky

					, f		dard	DF ^h	er i	Effect
	<u>N</u>	Me	an	Standa	rd error [†]	devia	ation ^g	DF	Sig.	size ^d
Variable								Comparisons with:		
name	UK	UK	Dev Transfer Skills	UK	Dev Transfer Skills	UK	Dev Transfer Skills	Dev T	ransfer Skills	
TRNdebate	1,379	2.37	2.33	.024	.013	.91	.94	6,373	.171	.04
TRNspeech	1,378	2.49	2.09	.023	.013	.87	.93	6,371	.000	.43
TRNgroup	1,377	2.81	2.70	.022	.012	.80	.83	2,256	.000	.14
TRNethical	1,379	2.54	2.39	.024	.013	.88	.89	6,370	.000	.18
TRNcred	1,378	2.87	2.77	.022	.012	.81	.86	2,292	.000	.12
TRNcomplex	1,375	2.81	2.67	.022	.012	.80	.85	2,301	.000	.17
TRNsolution	1,377	2.85	2.73	.021	.012	.79	.82	2,265	.000	.14
TRNcreate	1,378	2.52	2.24	.024	.013	.88	.90	6,351	.000	.31
TRNadapt	1,373	2.72	2.54	.023	.012	.84	.85	2,217	.000	.21
TRNonlsolve	1,370	2.99	2.97	.022	.012	.82	.85	2,254	.527	.02
TRNonlskill	1,374	2.95	2.94	.022	.012	.82	.85	6,334	.714	.01
TRNproject	1,374	2.76	2.61	.023	.013	.85	.90	2,290	.000	.18
TRNtodo	1,376	2.97	2.96	.026	.015	.96	1.02	2,316	.876	.00
TRNtasks	1,364	3.21	3.27	.022	.011	.80	.79	6,313	.007	08
TRNhours	1,374	2.91	2.91	.024	.014	.91	.96	2,290	.982	.00
TRNwrsource	1,375	3.02	2.96	.022	.012	.83	.87	2,266	.023	.07
TRNwrassess	1,366	2.78	2.62	.025	.014	.91	.95	2,262	.000	.16
TRNwrideas	1,372	2.75	2.55	.025	.013	.91	.94	2,250	.000	.22
TRNwrviews	1,370	2.79	2.65	.023	.013	.86	.90	2,267	.000	.16



Detailed Statistics^e University of Kentucky

					£		dard	b		Effect
	N	Me	an	Standa	rd error ^f	devia	ation ^g	DF ^h	Sig.	size ^d
Variable								Comp	Comparisons with:	
name	UK	UK	Dev Transfer Skills	UK	Dev Transfer Skills	UK	Dev Transfer Skills	Dev T	Dev Transfer Skills	
TRNdebate	1,152	2.31	2.36	.028	.015	.95	.98	1,828	.173	04
TRNspeech	1,157	2.28	2.25	.026	.014	.89	.96	1,897	.351	.03
TRNgroup	1,153	2.88	2.80	.024	.013	.83	.87	1,860	.003	.10
TRNethical	1,153	2.54	2.52	.026	.014	.89	.93	1,852	.549	.02
TRNcred	1,150	2.83	2.86	.026	.013	.87	.90	5,620	.278	04
TRNcomplex	1,153	2.90	2.85	.025	.013	.84	.89	1,876	.067	.06
TRNsolution	1,152	2.93	2.88	.024	.013	.81	.85	1,859	.059	.06
TRNcreate	1,149	2.44	2.32	.026	.014	.90	.91	5,616	.000	.13
TRNadapt	1,152	2.74	2.66	.025	.013	.84	.88	1,860	.003	.10
TRNonlsolve	1,150	3.16	3.09	.024	.013	.83	.86	5,621	.013	.08
TRNonlskill	1,148	3.09	3.04	.025	.013	.85	.87	5,610	.057	.06
TRNproject	1,148	2.80	2.71	.027	.014	.92	.94	5,610	.008	.09
TRNtodo	1,148	3.13	3.08	.029	.015	.97	1.00	1,832	.116	.05
TRNtasks	1,149	3.38	3.40	.022	.011	.76	.76	5,620	.331	03
TRNhours	1,150	3.03	3.01	.027	.014	.92	.97	1,852	.559	.02
TRNwrsource	1,140	3.02	3.06	.026	.013	.89	.89	5,602	.131	05
TRNwrassess	1,146	2.72	2.66	.029	.015	1.00	1.00	5,602	.087	.06
TRNwrideas	1,146	2.68	2.65	.028	.015	.94	.98	1,826	.378	.03
TRNwrviews	1,146	2.63	2.61	.027	.014	.93	.96	5,607	.565	.02



University of Kentucky

Endnotes

- a. Column percentages are weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding. Counts are unweighted; column percentages cannot be replicated from counts. Comparison group details are in the Selected Comparison Groups report, linked in the Data & Reports table on the Institution Interface.
- b. All statistics are weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Unless otherwise noted, statistical comparisons are two-tailed independent t-tests. Items with categorical response sets are left blank.
- c. These are the values used to calculate means. For the majority of items, these values match the codes in the data file and codebook.
- d. Effect size for independent t-tests uses Cohen's d; z-tests use Cohen's h.
- e. Statistics are weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Categorical items are not listed.
- f. The 95% confidence interval for the population mean is equal to the sample mean plus or minus 1.96 times the standard error of the mean.
- g. A measure of the amount individual scores deviate from the mean of all the scores in the distribution.
- h. Degrees of freedom used to compute the t-tests. Values differ from Ns due to weighting and whether equal variances were assumed.
- i. Statistical comparisons are two-tailed independent t-tests or z-tests. Statistical significance represents the probability that the difference between your students' mean and that of the students in the comparison group is due to chance.
- j. Statistical comparison uses z-test to compare the proportion who responded (depending on the item) "Done or in progress" or "Yes" with all who responded otherwise.
- k. Mean represents the proportion who responded (depending on the item) "Done or in progress" or "Yes."

Key to symbols:

- ▲ Your students' average was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.
- \triangle Your students' average was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- ∇ Your students' average was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- **Your students' average** was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.

Note: It is important to interpret the direction of differences relative to item wording and your institutional context. You may not see all of these symbols in your report.