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Agricultural workers: vulnerable workers

- Hazardous industry
  - Highest fatality rate across all industries (CFOI, 2014)

- Comprised mostly of Latino workers
  - Latinos = 70% of the approximately 750,000 US agriculture jobs (BLS, 2014; Carroll et al., 2011)
  - Latinos comprise 83% of crop workers in US (NAWS, 2005)

- Latinos are particularly vulnerable
  - Latino injury and fatality rates highest among all ethnic groups (CFOI, 2014) and is rising (BLS, 2014)
  - Latino farm workers = 7X the national average of injury or death compared to non-Latino farm workers (Byler 2013)
  - 25% of Latino farm workers injured in past year (Swanberg et al., 2013)
Animal Production Workers

Thoroughbred farmworkers

Animal production workers experience the highest nonfatal injury rate across all agricultural industries.

Heightened risk for Latino thoroughbred workers in horse breeding?

Non-Fatal Illness/Injury (BLS, 2013)

- All industries: 3.7
- Agriculture: 5.5
- Animal Production: 6.2
The Hazards of Horse Work

Research on horse breeding is scarce, but hazards associated with horses include:

**The Horse**
- Bites, Kicks (Iba, et al., 2001)
- Falls (Iba, et al., 2001)
- Pulling on upper extremity +joints (Lofquist, et al., 2009)
- Horse hair/dander

**Dusty Environment**
- Endotoxins, Beta 1-3 Glucans, Mycotoxins, Hydrogen Sulfide, Ammonia, Metals
  (Elfman et al., 2009 Samadi et al., 2009; Curtis et al., 1996; Mazan and Hoffman, 2006)
Respiratory Exposures and Symptoms

– Exposure sources
  • Horse, horse barn
  • Mucking, cleaning, grooming

– Common respiratory conditions/symptoms
  • Asthma, allergies, dyspnea, cough, obstructive lung function (Elfman et al., 2009; Kimball-Dunn et al., 1999; Tutluoglu et al., 2002)
Latino Thoroughbred Workers

• Under-investigated vulnerable worker group with little known about respiratory health

• 50% of year-round thoroughbred farm workforce (Swanberg et al., 2013)

• Latinos experience more horse related injuries than non-Latinos (Swanberg et al., 2013)
  – Closer proximity, more time in barn

• Little concern for respiratory hazards noted among workers and managers (Swanberg et al., 2013)
Research Aims

• Assess prevalence of self-reported respiratory symptoms among Latino thoroughbred workers
• Evaluate individual and occupational factors associated with self-reported respiratory symptoms
Thoroughbred Worker & Health Safety Study

Research Goals
- Identify job/workplace characteristics & hazards
- Describe Latino workers & their occupational health
- Determine job/workplace factors associated with ill health and hazard exposure
- Develop & disseminate outreach materials

Research Methodology
- Thoroughbred farm interview
  - Phone interview (20-30 min)
  - Farm interview (1-3 hr)
  - Injury log & other documents
- Latino thoroughbred worker interview (1-1.5 hr)
- Respiratory supplement & spirometer test (30 min)

Community & Industry Benefits
- Increase understanding of job hazards and work stressors
- Reduce occupational illness & injury
- Reduce individual & organizational costs
- Sharing of best practices among farms

Educational Materials
- Topical Issue Briefs
- Graphic safety chart/booklet
- Best practices report

www.workerhealthandsafety.com
Methods: Sampling, Recruitment, Training

• Participants recruited via a community-based, purposive sampling strategy

• Lay community health educator (Promotoras) administered survey

• Inclusion/exclusion criteria
  – Self-identified as Latino
  – Work on horse farms for at least 9 out of past 12 months
  – ≥18 years old
Methods: Questionnaire

• Data collection: October 2013 - April 2014
• Demographics
  – Gender, age, educational attainment, birth country, years living in U.S., language acquisition, marital status
• Exposure factors
  – Years working on horse farms, hour exposed to barn/dust, dust mask availability, use of dust masks
• Self-reported respiratory symptoms (w/in last yr)
  – Upper respiratory symptoms
    • Nasal irritation, throat irritation, and sinus trouble
  – Lower respiratory symptoms
    • Cough, wheezing, chest tightness, shortness of breath, and difficulty breathing
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selected Factors</th>
<th>Total (n = 225)</th>
<th>Respiratory Symptoms</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Presence (n = 139)</td>
<td>Absence (n = 86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>35.4 ± 9.6</td>
<td>34.7 ± 9.4</td>
<td>36.5 ± 9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female, %)</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico as birth country (%)</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>86.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than high school education (%)</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>79.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married or living as married (%)</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>66.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of time living in US (years)</td>
<td>14.5 ± 8.4</td>
<td>13.2 ± 7.5</td>
<td>16.5 ± 9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor English understanding (%) (little or not at all)</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette smoking (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smokers</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former smokers</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never smoker</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>60.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years at current horse farm</td>
<td>5.4 ± 4.6</td>
<td>5.3 ± 4.0</td>
<td>5.5 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years working at horse farms</td>
<td>10.5 ± 7.3</td>
<td>10.1 ± 6.3</td>
<td>11.2 ± 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work in barns (yes, %)</td>
<td>92.4</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>89.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours working in barns per week</td>
<td>22.9 ± 13.7</td>
<td>22.2 ± 11.7</td>
<td>23.9 ± 16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of dust mask (yes, %)</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>49.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust mask utilization (%)d (never or seldom)</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>52.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Prevalence (%) of Respiratory Symptoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Total (n = 225)</th>
<th>Women (n = 32)</th>
<th>Men (n = 193)</th>
<th>P Value&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Upper respiratory symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal irritation</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>0.930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throat irritation</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>0.312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinus trouble</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>0.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Any of the above</strong></td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lower respiratory symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>0.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheezing</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest tightness</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortness of breath</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty breathing</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Any of the above</strong></td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Any upper or lower symptoms</strong></td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Comparison between women and men.
ORs (95% CIs) of Having Respiratory Symptoms in Relation to Selected Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Any Upper Symptoms</th>
<th>Any Lower Symptoms</th>
<th>Any Symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (1 year increase)</strong></td>
<td>1.00 (0.96-1.03)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.96-1.04)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.96-1.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex (female vs male)</strong></td>
<td>2.13 (0.88-5.13)</td>
<td><strong>4.33 (1.60-11.70)</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.28 (1.45-12.63)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education (low vs high)</strong></td>
<td>1.06 (0.51-2.23)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.38-1.70)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.29-1.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Years of living in US</strong></td>
<td>0.96 (0.92-1.01)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.92-1.01)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.90-1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>English understanding</strong></td>
<td>1.41 (0.67-2.98)</td>
<td>1.93 (0.90-4.11)</td>
<td>1.43 (0.63-3.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(poor vs good)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former vs never</td>
<td><strong>2.95 (1.36-6.43)</strong></td>
<td>1.48 (0.71-3.08)</td>
<td><strong>3.07 (1.30-7.26)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current vs never</td>
<td>0.83 (0.36-1.92)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.17-1.01)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.25-1.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time working in barns per week (long vs short)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.49-1.74)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.58-2.05)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.51-1.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dust mask utilization</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.34 (1.21-4.53)</strong></td>
<td>1.71 (0.87-3.36)</td>
<td>1.79 (0.89-3.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(less vs more)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of work at the farm</td>
<td>1.02 (0.94-1.10)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.89-1.05)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.93-1.09)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‘Less’ means never, seldom, or sometime used dust masks; ‘more’ means often or almost always used dust masks.
Short means quartiles 1 and 2, long means quartiles 3 and 4, of hours working in barns.
Conclusions

• High prevalence of respiratory symptoms among Latino thoroughbred workers (62%)
  – Young worker group (35 yrs) with high prevalence of respiratory symptoms
  – Potential occupational contribution?

• Greater use/availability of PPE needed
  – Infrequent dust mask use (63%)
  – Low availability of dust masks (38%)
Conclusions

• Females heightened risk group?
  – Smaller lung volume in females may increase susceptibility to respiratory hazards
  – Social differences in gender reporting

• Spirometry testing supports high prevalence of adverse respiratory health conditions in worker group
  – 27% prevalence of abnormal pulmonary function (Primarily restrictive (20/21 cases)) (Flunker et al. 2015)
Future Research

• Dust sampling in horse barns- assess worker exposures

• Interventions: Increase level of dust mask availability and usage among thoroughbred workers
Strengths and Limitations

Strengths

– Provides insight into the respiratory health of Latino thoroughbred workers and associated occupational/demographic factors
– Utilizes trained Promotoras to collect data from a hard-to-access population

Limitations

– Purposive, convenience sampling; non-random
– Cross sectional study; no causality
– Potential self-reporting bias
– Limited number of unexposed workers (92% work in barns)
– Limited knowledge of risky tasks on farm/past respiratory exposures
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