# ECR 1

Chairman, Board of Trustees February 19, 2016

Members, Board of Trustees:

# PROCESS FOR THE 2015-16 PRESIDENTIAL EVALUATION

<u>Recommendation</u>: that the Board of Trustees approve the process, attached timeline, and preliminary list of survey questions as part of the 2015-16 Presidential Evaluation.

<u>Background</u>: Pursuant to Section E. (Evaluation), of President Eli Capilouto's Employment Agreement with the University of Kentucky Board of Trustees, an annual performance evaluation shall be performed in accordance with Governing Regulation II.E.2(a).

The Executive Committee shall serve as the performance review committee for the President. The Executive Committee shall involve the entire Board of Trustees in this evaluation and shall also solicit input from the executive committees or executive councils of the University Senate, Staff Senate and Student Government Association.

As in the past, the evaluation of the President's performance in 2015-16 will include input from various constituencies; and each member of the Board will be asked to provide an evaluation of the President. The Chair of the Board of Trustees and the Executive Committee, in consultation with the President, will agree on a set of interview questions. The evaluation will consider the President's performance across the range of responsibilities of the Office and missions of the University, including objectives of the <u>2015-2020 Strategic Plan</u> adopted by the Board of Trustees at its October 2015 Retreat. The performance assessment document may contain both qualitative and quantitative components.

Assessment of the President's performance will be transmitted to the Board from written responses (survey questionnaire) from various constituent groups, including members of the Board. In addition to the written responses, the President will prepare and submit an annual self-evaluation to the Board.

The Executive Committee will review the President's self-evaluation, a summary of the written responses, reports from the respective groups listed in GR II, and will meet with the President to provide feedback. The Executive Committee will share the information with the full Board of Trustees and make a recommendation to the Board.

This process would be used to assess the President's performance and provide feedback on his accomplishments and challenges. It also would be used to determine any changes to the President's compensation, including merit increases and performance payments per his Employment Agreement.

Disapproved

# **Presidential Evaluation Timeline for 2016**

February 19, 2016	Executive Committee (EC) approves proposed list of questions and reviews timeline.
February 19	Questions will be sent to Senate Council Chair, Staff Senate Chair and SGA President for distribution, review and feedback.
May	EC approves list of constituents to be interviewed and finalizes questions after feedback.
June	Questionnaire/Survey circulated to constituency representatives and Board of Trustees (BOT.)
	President submits self-evaluation to Board Chair.
June/July	Collection of constituent surveys.
July/August (early)	EC meets to review constituent surveys and President's self-evaluation.
	EC sends report of meeting to full BOT.
	A second quantitative evaluation is sent to full BOT.
August (late)	Return of BOT second quantitative evaluations.
September (early)	EC meets to review BOT evaluations and draft recommendation(s).
September 9, 2016	Chair presents EC report and full BOT votes on recommendation(s).

#### DRAFT

#### UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY BOARD OF TRUSTEES EVALUATION OF THE PRESIDENT

Your answers to the following questions will help us evaluate the President. This form should take you 10 - 15 minutes to complete. Your responses are completely confidential. Results will be reported only in summary fashion. Mark 'Don't know' if you do not have sufficient information to rate the President on a given item. Share your written comments in the text boxes at the bottom of each bank of questions.

<u>STF</u>	RATEGY AND PRIORITIES	Strongele	Disagree	Someweb	Neuther, disagree	Somewhy or dis	Agree <sup>367</sup> ee	Strongly_	$D_{0\eta}{}^{j}{}^{ggree}_{tkn_{0\mu}}$
1.	The President is effectively working with key constituencies (i.e. faculty, staff, students, alumni, donors, policy makers, etc.) to identify the strengths, weakness, opportunities, and threats (SWOT) currently facing UK.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Œĸ
2.	The President is effectively communicating the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (SWOT) UK faces.	1	2	3	4	5	6	0	(DK)
3.	The President is clearly articulating the strategic priorities as reflected in the 2015-2020 Strategic Plan and explaining the rationale underlying them.	1	2	3	4	5	6	0	Œĸ
4.	The President's priorities are the right ones for UK today.	1	2	3	4	5	6	$\bigcirc$	(OK)
5.	The President's policies and actions strike an appropriate balance between the short-term needs and the long-term interests of the University.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Œĸ

General Comments:

#### **LEADERSHIP**

- 1. The President is creating a learning and working environment that reinforces UK's core values as reflected in the 2015-2020 Strategic Plan and promotes the teaching, research, and service missions of the University.
- 2. The President is effectively leading the organization by executing the initiatives and actions associated with his priorities.
- 3. The President's pace of execution is consistent with the institution's needs and capabilities.
- 4. The President facilitates a culture of ethical behavior and compliance with University policies and procedures and state and federal statutes and regulations.

Stronger,	Disapr.	$S_{0\eta_{2}e_{1}}$	Neither disagree	Somewhere or disac	Agr <sub>ce</sub> <sup>3grce</sup> 8	Strongel.	$D_{0_{11}}$	Alouy,
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	(DK)	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	(DK)	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	(OK)	
1	2	3	4	5	6	$\bigcirc$	Œ	

ree Yee

50

#### UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY BOARD OF TRUSTEES EVALUATION OF THE PRESIDENT Continued

General Comments:									
<u>ORGANIZATION AND TEAM</u>		Strong,	$D_{i_{sac}}$	Surce Some	Neither	Somer die	Agree agree	Strong	$D_{0\eta_{1}^{i}t_{kn_{0}W}}$
1. The President has built an organizati systems) that will produce solid strat	ion (including structure and management egic and operational performance.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	0K)
2. The President is building and deve drive the University's future succes	loping the management team needed to	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	()K
3. The President has engendered a fe constituencies that encourages and in guidance and governance.	eling of inclusion from all invites active individual participation	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	0K

General Comments:

## **RELATIONSHIPS WITH CONSTITUENCIES**

- 1. The President has established a productive relationship with the Board of Trustees that enables the Board to contribute most effectively to UK's advancement.
- 2. The President has established credibility with constituencies (faculty, staff, current students, prospective students and their families, alumni, donors, policy-makers, etc.) important to the University.



#### UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY BOARD OF TRUSTEES EVALUATION OF THE PRESIDENT Continued



### UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY BOARD OF TRUSTEES EVALUATION OF THE PRESIDENT Continued

Ge	eneral Comments:								
<u>FU</u>	<u>TURE CONSIDERATION</u>	$S_{tr_{Opr}}$	$D_{is_{a_{fc}}}$	Some Source	Neithen disagree	Some agree or disa	Asree Stee	Strong	$D_{0\eta_{f}} e_{f_{0}0_{W}}^{SP}$
1.	The President is positioning the University to make meaningful progress in the next five years to achieve the objectives of the 2015-2020 Strategic Plan.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Œĸ
2.	The President is demonstrating the multiple skills necessary for leading the University in the next five years.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	OK
3.	What advice would you give the President on his leadership of UK?								