

Postsecondary Education Performance Funding

February 17, 2017



Educational Appropriations Per FTE Student (Constant Dollars) Percent Change Since Recession Began, 2008-2015



-OUISIANA **ALABAM** MAINE UTA CAROLIN MISSOUF MISSISSIDI NEW MEXIC HO TEXA CONNECTICL NDIAN HAW MICHIGA OKLAHON **VERMON** VIRGIN AWA **TENNESSI** JER.S **NEW HAMPSHI** MINNESO NORTH DAKC S เ NYO PENNSYL KEN ОDE A R Ш С NEW WEST NORTH SOUTH MASSA SOU H



Distribution of Kentucky General Fund Appropriations



From 2004-06 to 2016-18, Kentucky General Fund appropriations increased by \$6.5 billion, or 41%, to \$22.4 billion for the current biennium. Over the same period, Kentucky General Fund Appropriations to postsecondary education have decreased approximately \$100 million.



Comparing Kentucky's Performance to Other States

Percent Change Relative to Other States	2000-09	2009-13
Six-Year Graduation Rate at Four-Year Institutions	1 st	41st
Three-Year Graduation Rate at Two-Year Institutions	3 rd	44 th
Age 25-44 with at least an Associate's Degree	2 nd	24th



Outcomes-Based Funding (OBF) in States, FY 2016



Source: Snyder and Fox (2016), HCM Strategists, Driving Better Outcomes: Fiscal Year 2016 State Status and Typology Update



Outcomes-Based Funding for Four-Year Universities as Percentage of Overall State Support, FY 2016



Source: Snyder and Fox (2016), HCM Strategists, Driving Better Outcomes: Fiscal Year 2016 State Status and Typology Update;



2016-18 Budget of the Commonwealth (HB 303)

House Bill 303:

- "...there is a need for the development of a comprehensive funding model that aligns the Commonwealth's investments in postsecondary education with the Commonwealth's postsecondary education policy goals and objectives..."
 - Transferred 5% of the FY 2017-18 state funds of the public universities and colleges, excluding Kentucky State University, to a Postsecondary Education Performance Fund
 - Created a working group made up of representatives from the Governor, Kentucky House and Senate, the Council on Postsecondary Education, and public postsecondary education presidents -- to develop a comprehensive model and submit its recommendations no later than December 1, 2016



2017 Session of the Kentucky General Assembly Senate Bill 153 An Act Relating to Postsecondary Funding

"...finds that improving opportunity for the Commonwealth's citizens and building a stronger economy can be achieved by its public college and university system focusing its efforts and resources on the goals of:

- Increasing retention and progression of students toward timely credential or degree completion;
- Increasing the number and types of credentials and degrees earned by all types of students;
- Increasing the number of credentials and degrees that garner higher salaries upon graduation, such as science, technology, engineering, math, and health (STEM+H); and in areas of industry demand;
- Closing achievement gaps by increasing the number of credentials and degrees earned by low-income students, underprepared students, and underrepresented minority students; and
- Facilitating credit hour accumulation and transfer of students from KCTCS to four-year institutions."



2017 Session of the Kentucky General Assembly Senate Bill 153 An Act Relating to Postsecondary Funding

Public Universities Performance Funding Model:

- Recognizes differences in missions and cost structures between the research universities and the comprehensive universities by weighting degree types
- Excludes funding for mandated programs and state supported debt, while adding a small school adjustment
- Allocates funds in the Postsecondary Education Performance Fund regardless of whether state funding for postsecondary education institutions increases, decreases, or remains stable
- Contemplates funding equilibrium based on productivity as measured by the model



Model Components & Distribution of Allocable Resources

Outcomes-Based Components

Student Success (35%)

Course Completion (35%)

Operational Support Components

> Maintenance & Operations (10%)

Institutional Support (10%)

Academic Support (10%)



Model Components & Distribution of Allocable Resources

Outcomes-Based Components

Student Success (35%)

Course Completion (35%)

Student Success (35%)

- Distributed based on each institution's share of sector's total student success outcomes:
 - Bachelor's Degrees
 - Degrees per 100 Undergraduate Full-Time Equivalent Students
 - STEM+H, Underrepresented Minority, and Low Income Degree recipients
 - Student Progression (30, 60, and 90 student credit hour thresholds)

Course Completion (35%)

- Distributed based on each institution's share of sector's total student credit hours earned:
 - Weighted to account for cost differences by course level and discipline



Model Components & Distribution of Allocable Resources

Maintenance and Operations [M&O] (10%)

• Distributed based on each institution's share of square feet (net of research, nonclass laboratory, and open laboratory space)

Institutional Support (10%)

 Distributed based on each institution's share of sector's total instruction and student services spending (net of M&O)

Academic Support (10%)

- Funding for academic support services, such as libraries and academic computing
- Distributed based on each institution's share of sector's total full-time equivalent student enrollment

Operational Support Components

Maintenance & Operations (10%)

Institutional Support (10%)

Academic Support (10%)



2017 Session of the Kentucky General Assembly Senate Bill 153 An Act Relating to Postsecondary Funding

Over the next two biennia, the Kentucky General Assembly may allocate new state funds and/or a percentage (0%-100%) of the institutions' existing allocable state funds to the Postsecondary Education Performance Fund for distribution based on the performance funding model.

FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	
Funds allocated to the Postsecondary Education Performance Fund are allocated in accordance with the model	Universities will be held harmless, preventing a reduction in existing state funds based solely on the model	A stop-loss provision will limit any reduction to 1% of an institution's existing state funds	A stop-loss provision will limit any reduction to 2% of an institution's existing state funds	The hold-harmless and stop-loss provisions are no longer guaranteed and must be enacted by the General Assembly this year and in the future	