

Brothers, Sheila C

From: Greissman, Richard
Sent: Monday, February 09, 2009 11:03 PM
To: Randall, David C
Cc: Brothers, Sheila C; Subbaswamy, Kumble
Subject: Combined AR (Version B revised)
Attachments: AR II-1_0-1 - Parts I-III (Version B - reconciliation with Senate Council 2-09-09).doc

Dear David,

The attached document represents my attempt to incorporate the feedback from the SACPT and Senate Council on Version B of AR II-1.0-1 (Parts I-III), the ARs that deal with faculty appointment, reappointment, promotion and the granting of tenure. I believe the document reflects favorable on the input from the Senate Council and SACPT, and suggests how the Provost's position has been influenced by the tenor and substance of that judicious advice. I note, however, that Provost Subbaswamy has not relented on the terms of an early tenure review. Once initiated, an early tenure review will count as the one opportunity for a comprehensive tenure review under the revised language of version B.

I hope we can discuss the attached document at the February 16th meeting of the Senate Council. Also, please remind the Senators when you circulate this document that Provost Subbaswamy has requested that the Senate Council advise him on the matter of an implementation strategy. The question is this: should the effective date of Version B apply to all current probationary faculty and not just those tenure-track faculty hired on or after the effective date? Finally, please consider how we might vet the final document with college faculties.

Thanks.

My best,
Richard