

for future actions to follow, and Anderson expressed concern about the faculty members involved. Jones noted that the motion would not negatively affect any faculty member's employment. Dean Gonzalez said that it was her understanding that tenured faculty are tenured to the department, which in this case would be the Department of Clinical Sciences, because Clinical and Reproductive Sciences is a division within Clinical Sciences.

After additional discussion, the Chair reminded SC members of the time and the remaining agenda items. He commented that an additional meeting later in the week could be necessary. Anderson **moved** to table the proposal until later in the week and Chappell **seconded**. There being no additional discussion, a **vote** was taken and the motion **failed** with one in favor and the remainder opposed.

There was additional discussion about the day's actions setting a precedent for future actions, such that if something did not rise to the level of "significant reduction," the process for handling the situation would default to the college and department's rules and SC would merely be informed of the action and there would be no further role of the Senate or its committees. It was ultimately determined that the minutes would reflect that the SC understood the situation in which Dean Gonzalez found herself with respect to research funding and scarce resources, but that the day's action by the SC would in no way set a precedent.

There being no further discussion, a **vote** was taken on the **motion** that the Senate be informed by the Senate Council Chair that admissions to the MS and PhD degree programs in Reproductive Sciences have been suspended for one year and the motion **passed** with none opposed.

6. Two Late Additions to UK's May 2010 Degree List - Clerical Error and Student Oversight

Guest Jones explained that there were two students for whom a request was made to be added to the May 2010 degree list. In one case, the Graduate School readily acknowledged that the student was inadvertently left off due to a clerical error, and in the second case, the student admitted that missing the deadline for applying to graduate was his own fault, although he did apply for a degree. The Chair said that he was looking for the SC to set a precedent, documented in the minutes, regarding future requests for inclusion on the UK degree list after the deadline had passed.

Grossman **moved** that in cases where the student can show that the failure to be on the degree list is entirely due to administrative error, then the SC will add the student to the degree list; failing that demonstration, the SC will not consider the student's petition. Chappell **seconded**.

There was brief discussion about the motion. Greissman noted that he was working with the Registrar to create a pop-up registration screen reminding a student to apply for graduation if the student is (mathematically speaking) ready to graduate.

A **vote** was taken on the motion, and the motion **passed** with a majority in favor and two opposed.

Jensen **moved** to direct the Senate Council Chair to act on behalf of the Senate Council regarding degree lists if the matter cannot wait until the next Senate Council meeting. Chappell **seconded**. There being no discussion, a **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with none opposed.

5. Proposed Change to Senate Rules 5.1.1 ("General Grading System")

Grossman explained that he had identified a hole in the *Senate Rules (SR)* as it pertained to grades. There is a grade of SI, to indicate progress in courses such as credit-bearing seminars, independent work