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1 BIRD-POLLAN: Just a reminder to sign in. That’s so we know you were here, and grab your clicker from the back of the room. So a quick reminder about how we do things here at the Senate. We follow reference rules and ask you to be a good citizen, which partly means coming back to your constituents and reporting on the events of the Senate meeting. So please do that work as well, and to return the clicker to the table so that we have them bright and early in 2020 for the January meeting, although we’ll all report on this later about the clicker situation. We are still using them today, despite last month’s crisis. So to see if those clickers are working, we will start with an attendance slide.

So are you looking forward to the winter break? We did try these at home,
and they were working and now they’re not. So one of the later slides is going to be we’re seeking your help on what we should do about clickers
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instead. But are you all here? Yes, you are -- I’m not going to give you options. You, I assume, are looking forward to the break?

We had minutes, which we circulated to you from November 11th. We did not receive edits to those via email, so unless I hear any objections now, we’re going to let those minutes from November 11th stand approved as distributed by unanimous consent.

A couple of announcements: Our first announcement, the Senate Council, at its meeting last week, elected new officers that I am very excited to announce to you; that effective June 1st, 2020, the new Chair of Senate Council will be
Aaron Cramer from Engineering, and the new Vice Chair will be DeShana Collett from Health Sciences. So I’m very grateful to both of them. I’m grateful to everybody who ran. I think we all are grateful that people put themselves out there engaged in this process.

Thanks to those of you who provided feedback, and a special thanks to Roger Brown as the Chair of the Elections Subcommittee, who coordinated this whole thing, so very exciting.

A couple of other announcements. I’m going to be sending in the next day or two an email to all faculty across campus soliciting nominations for campus-wide committees. So this is something we do annually, and something we’ve been talking about over the last year around campus to try to increase the response rate. So please be on the lookout for that. If you wouldn’t mind
forwarding to your constituents, to your colleagues, reminding people, thinking yourself about names of people who might be good for these various committees. It’s a long list of committees, and you know, there’s opportunities, really, for people from all across the campus at all stages of their career. So if you have colleagues who’ve spoken to you about university-level service who you think might be good, please forward their names on or talk to them more about what might be appropriate.

Here’s the other announcement. We are in the process of researching additional polling options, whether it involves using your phone, an app on the phone, whether we really need to invest in a whole new set of clickers, or whether those days or over. I know many of you teach classes where you use some
kind of clicker technology. I don’t personally, so we’re at a disadvantage in the office. If you have views about this, either something you think works really well or something you think we should definitely avoid, please send Stephanie an email with that information or come on over and talk to us. We will benefit — all of us will benefit if we get feedback from some of you about what works. That would be really great to hear. So we have high hopes to have something new in place by the time we meet again in January. The strategic plan was — most of you would know that our current strategic plan ends this summer, and so I’ve been involved in conversations with the Provost and others around campus about how to formulate the next strategic plan. So those conversations are ongoing, and obviously, I’ll keep you updated as we
advance on that. But there’ll be lots of opportunities for people around campus to provide their views at various stages in that process.

The Senate Council will meet next on January 13th, so that will be our first meeting in the spring term. Because the following Monday, January 20th, is the Martin Luther King holiday, the Monday after that, January 27th, is right now scheduled to be our next Senate meeting. So keep all those things on your calendars.

Another announcement: So you might remember last year the CPE was letting us send proposals to CPE before they had been approved by the Board of Trustees, sort of out of order of what has directly been required. They are not doing that this year. I think there have been questions about what’s
permitted or prohibited. And so there’s
a conversation happening at CPE about
the possibility of what we’re calling
softening the current prohibition on
marketing or advertising programs that
hadn’t yet been approved by the CPE.
We’re waiting for information about
that. I think the very earliest we
would hear anything is from the CPE’s
meeting in January.

So that’s something which might be
forthcoming, and it’s been difficult for
programs who have wanted to start
seeking students, or advertise to
prospective students earlier in the
process, especially since the CPE
doesn’t meet again until April. This
was especially relative to people who
couldn’t get their programs on the
December Board of Trustees meeting. So
this is a conversation that’s ongoing.

If you get asked about this, please feel
free to send people my way. I’m happy
to share any information I have.

A reminder that new programs coming
to the Senate now have to come in
through the Curriculog approval process.
You saw your first program in Curriculog
for this meeting, the DSW proposal. We
had given units until December 2nd for
proposals to come in on the Word
documents, and so anything that didn’t
leave the college by December 2nd we’re
now asking to have forwarded on out of
the college and to the academic councils
using the Curriculog for forms. So if
anybody has any questions about how to
do that or how to use Curriculog,
anything like that, they could certainly
contact Sheila or me directly. We’ve
also got the UKNow article, which was on
October 31st, and the November Senate
newsletter had information about that as
well, including Sheila’s and my totally
lovely and professional video. So if
you haven’t seen that, put in some
I want to make a special -- take a special moment to recognize our colleague Davy Jones. So Davy is retiring at the end of this semester, and he has been just really an incredible resource for us here in the Senate. Many of you have personally experienced the value he’s brought to his service on the Senate. We tried to think in the Senate Council office how we would describe him, and we said wonder of complex motions and a nudger-in-chief, we thought were very valuable descriptors of him. Of course, an incredibly important one was spouse of Professor Grace Jones for a long and very happy marriage, and a member of the faculty here at the University of Kentucky for 37 years. He is really the Senate’s historian or unofficial expert.
on all rules and regulations. I mean not just for the Senate, but people all across campus have relied on Davy for that as the Chair of the Senate’s Rules and Elections Committees for longer than many people in this room were alive.

Three time recipient of the Outstanding Senator Award, which I’m confident is a record. He served on Senate Council and was a faculty trustee. So I’d just like to take a moment to really thank and acknowledge him.

I didn’t tell him I was going to do that. He might be mad at me later, but I’ll take it. Oh, the possibilities are endless.

Curricular deadlines for next year: We’ve said this before and we’ll say it again, but the following 2020 effective date, we have these deadlines in place. These are very important because people want programs that are going to be effected in the fall of
2020, or changes effected by those dates. We really need to get these proposals into the Senate Council office by the deadlines we’ve listed up here. So if it’s a brand new degree program, we need to have it in our office, which means through the academic councils by February 3rd. And then anything else which requires committee review, and that includes certificates, degree transfers, new departments, things like that, that has to get to the Senate Council office by March 16th. So, again, through the councils before then.

And then, finally, things that are going to go in a web transmittal through committee courses, program changes and minors have to get to us by April 13th. So please, again, share that information around. We’re putting it in all the newsletters trying to wave this flag as much as we can. So we appreciate you
Many of you participated in our Phased Retirement Survey, and thank you very much to those of you who did. Just a quick reminder; this was a question about whether senators who are either on phased retirement or going on phased retirement, first, whether people who entered phased retirement while in a Senate position could continue or should be allowed to continue. And then secondly, whether people who are on phased retirement should be allowed to run for Senate. We got 35 responses, but many of those responses indicated that the senator completing the survey had spoken to colleagues across the departments and colleges, so we feel that the results are reflective of a larger body of faculty. So this is the general response we got.

In general, people agreed that
faculty employees who enter phased retirement while serving on the Senate should be permitted to finish their terms. There was pretty clear support for that proposition. And then faculty employees who are on phased retirement, generally there was a view that they should not be allowed to run for Senate. So we felt that there was pretty clear preferences with regard to both those questions. But in terms of what the details would look like, we thought it would be important to get a group of people to think about this more intensely. So we formed a small ad hoc committee to do that, and that committee is Bob Grossman, DeShana Collett and Leslie Vincent. And they’re tasked with sort of thinking of, and then answering -- recommending answers to a couple questions, like would there be a limit
to the length of time a senator on phased retirement would be committed to complete; so is it a full three years or is it some other less than that? If a senator is currently on phased retirement, can they be elected to the Senate Council or elected to serve as an officer?

And then, finally, we’ve asked the committee to think about what rules specifically would need to be changed to permit this, since it is a change. Under the current rules, only full-time faculty members can serve on the Senate, and that means not people on phased retirement. So this committee, presumably with our unofficial advisor on all things rules, Davy Jones, we’ll think about how -- what changes might need to be made to permit this change.
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The other thing that we’re working on right now, which we’ve already
reported on briefly, an update on this, we have a proposal, which is currently being shepherded by Allison Soult from Chemistry, about what would have to be included -- what changes we might make to the Senate Rules to think about what kinds of things should be on a syllabus. So we’ve got a proposal that was sort of created by a group of people who have been working hard on this for a while now. We brought that proposal to the Senate’s Academic Planning and Priorities Committee, and then the Graduate Council and Undergraduate Council all have been formally voted to recommend approval of these changes. And so we expect this proposal to come to Senate Council and Senate during the spring term. So you’ll see it’s really sort of a codification of a lot of things that people do anyway, best practices, and I will include some...
specific recommendations for what should be included in the Senate Rules.

That’s the end of my report, so the Provost is here. Would you like to make a brief report?

BLACKWELL: Very brief, because hear my voice and nose and chest. But the semester has gone by very, very quickly. I just want to take a moment to thank everyone again for supporting our initiatives, for your hard work in the Senate, and certainly as faculty members, everything that you are doing to help us fulfill our mission and action. We continue to improve on all dimensions of our mission. Certainly, student success and research, both continue to show marked trajectory upward, and that’s due to your hard work. I also thank Aaron and DeShana for willingness to serve in important leadership roles. I look forward to working with both of you.

And Davy, congratulations, I think, and
it’s been a -- I’ll say a good consultant for me over the years, going on eight years now, and appreciate your help, Davy. And I wish you the very best, and thanks for all that you’ve done for UK.

Jennifer mentioned the strategic planning process. Just very briefly, in October, late October, we had a retreat with the Board of Trustees where we began discussions about the long-term vision for University of Kentucky. Then in -- later, actually later in October, then we held another retreat with Provost Council, so the Deans and Associate Provost, and another similar conversation. And I’ve since attended a number of national conferences and meetings where I tried to listen to trends around the country and listen to best practices around the country, and I’m in the process of bringing all that
information together. I actually present a brief summary of that information at the Academic and Student Affairs Committee at the Board in the morning. And I think that slide will be posted. But what I would plan is in the first meeting of the spring semester to come here and go into more detail of what we’ve learned from those processes. And then I’ve been working with the president and other members of the leadership team to design the process whereby we get input from the community on priorities and metrics under that strategic plan. And I think by the time of the first Senate meeting, we should have that pretty much in bed. I want to emphasize already there has been faculty involvement in this process.

Certainly, at the Board Retreat we had about 20 faculty members that
participated, also 20 students, 20 staff members in addition to the Board of Trustees. In subsequent conversations and the planning, I’ve been working very closely with Jennifer, and also with Katherine McCormick on discussions about the process and how we organize it. So I think by the first Senate meeting I’ll have more details to share. I just wanted you to be aware that that’s been sort of in the front burner cooking since October. Thank you. I hope you all have a very pleasant winter break. Thank you, again.

BIRD-POLLAN: The next report is the Vice Chair Report, and they’re not here today, so we will concludes there’s no report. Our trustees aren’t here, so they do not have a trustee report because they are at the trustee’s meeting. So they will give us a report in January. Okay. I think maybe our clickers might work now.
Just do whatever you can. Okay.

Parliamentarian's Report, nothing to report.

So very exciting, one of the most happy things we do, we’re going to talk about the December 2019 degree list. So you got an updated version of this list by email today. There are hard copies in the back for those of you who didn’t have a chance to review then. We’ve added five students to the version that was circulated last week, and that was in response to those of you who sent us feedback about specific who were absent from the list. So we have a motion that the elected faculty senators -- again, just the faculty senators -- vote on this approved UK’s December 2019 list of candidates for credentials, for submission through the President to the Board of Trustees. Is there any debate
on that motion? Any debate? Okay.

Well, let’s see if those clickers work.

I think if I move forward it closes it.

So we have a motion from the committee to approve the UK’s December 2019 list of candidates. All those in favor, please indicate by raising your hand.

Thank you. All those opposed? All those abstaining? Looks like the motion passed. Okay, great. Thank you all very much. We will go with a show of hands for now.

So -- uh-oh, Sheila, I can’t advance it. It’s falling apart before our eyes.

I still can’t forward it. Can you forward it? Do we have any computer engineers in the house today?

So really no clickers anymore, you guys, so raise those hands high. We have approved the degree list, which means we are on to the honorary degrees, the next item on our degree list.
Morris Grubbs is here on behalf of the Graduate School and the Honorary Degree Committee to present the nominees. Oh, and just a quick reminder -- and maybe you were going to say this, Morris, but these names are embargoed until the Board of Trustees votes on these, which won’t be until February. So I’ll ask you to keep these names to yourself for the next couple of weeks.

So another happy thing that we do.

Yes, that’s right.

Honorary degrees. As she said, I’m here on behalf of the Graduate School.

Dean Jackson is actually the Chair of the Honorary Degree Committee, and he could not be here today, and so I help facilitate the committee.

So I’d just like to honor and celebrate
accomplishments, as well as to showcase
those individuals who serve as exemplars
to our students. So today’s nominees
are the fourth and the fifth nominees
for the academic year. We’ve already
approved three others for this academic
year. One was Dr. Doris Wilkinson, and
that was awarded back in August. We
have one coming up, Dr. Porter G.
Peeples, in December. And then Joseph
Halcomb III is one that was already
approved for May. So we’re representing
two more for today, and that’s it for
today.

So the two for discussion today,
William Harry Clarke and Nikky Finney,
and we’ll do these individually. First,
William Harry Clarke taught here -- both
of these nominees today are former
members of the UK faculty. As it turns
out, which is important for honorary
degrees, they also have distinguished
accomplishments beyond academia. So
Mr. Clarke taught here for 43 years, retired in 2011. Served as Director of Bands for 21 years, and that’s really his time as Director of Bands, is what Everett McCorvey, who was one of the committee members, pointed to as a major accomplishment in his time as a faculty member, because he really changed the direction, bulletproofed the direction of band. He also has many former students who are out there across the country and internationally teaching and serving as Director of Bands and Choral. One of his honors in his field is that he was awarded the Distinguished Service Award by the Kentucky Music Education Association. But also equally important is his public service, and this is also what the committee found to be exemplary beyond his time as a faculty member. He was elected and served for a year as council member of Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government. And then
within LFUCG he served in a number of capacities. Also beyond that, he served as Vice President of OperaLex. Actually, I think he’s still in that organization. Vice President of the Moon Dance Association. Board member for the Blue Grass Trust for Historic Preservation, and a member of the Picnic with the Pops.

So the UK CHD found him to be exemplary for this honor and advances for your consideration.

BIRD-POLLAN: So I think we’ve had -- the next slide is description of the next nominee, and then we’ll go to the voting.

GRUBBS: Okay. So go back --

BIRD-POLLAN: Go back one. Yeah, exactly.

GRUBBS: So the second nominee is Nikky Finney, who was here teaching in English and Creative Writing for 20 years. She
received her B.A. at Talladega College, and then went on to Atlanta University. She is the recipient of the 2011 National Book Award for Poetry. She is a founding member of the Affrilachian Poets, who she was one of several --

Frank X Walker, who founded that group, and that group has had a profound impact on writing in Kentucky and way beyond. She also founded “The Twenty,” which was a summer writing retreat for 20 talented writers in urban and rural areas. She was named to the Hall of the Fame for Writers of African Descent, recipient of several awards from the Kentucky Foundation for Women, as well as the Kentucky Arts Council and several other awards.

So here’s how we’ve done this. So we have -- we’re going to have two votes per nominee, and the first vote is to award an honorary degree to the person,
and the second vote is a vote on the type of honorary degree you’d like to award to them. And we also have a slide in here that Morris has prepared describing the honorary degree types, and specifically the one that’s the suggested honorary degree for each of these two candidates. So I think the first is the recommendation for William TRISHA B. MORLEY, COURT REPORTER AN/DOR REPORTING & VIDEO TECHNOLOGIES, INC. University of Kentucky Senate Council Meeting, December 9, 2019 Harry Clarke, specifically an Honorary Doctor of Humane Letters. So before we get to a debate of the motion, are there any questions of the fact regarding this nomination, regarding William Harry Clarke or the committee’s recommendation of an honorary degree? Any questions? Okay. So then we’ll move forward on this one. The first motion is that the elected faculty senators approve William Harry Clarke as the recipient of an honorary degree. Is there any debate on
that motion? This is the awarding of
the honorary degree. But first we’ll
have a separate motion about the
specific degree. Okay. So seeing no
debate, we’ll get this forwarded on. So
all those in favor of awarding William
Harry Clarke an Honorary Doctor, raise
your hands. Any opposed? Any
abstaining? Okay, that motion passes.
So the next recommendation is to
award him the Honorary Doctor of Humane
Letters. And you can see there the
description of that Honorary Doctorate.

That comes from the Senate Rules to
recognize extraordinary contributions to
philanthropy, human development,
education, or societal well-being. So
is there any questions of fact regarding
this recommendation? Any questions?
So then we’ll move forward to the
recommendation. So there’s a
recommendation that the elected faculty
senators approve the granting of,
specifically, the Honorary Doctor of
Humane Letters to William Harry Clarke,
for submission through the President to
the Board of Trustees. Any debate on
that motion? Any debate? All those in
favor, indicate by raising your hands.
Any opposed? Any abstaining? That
motion passes. Great.

So next we have recommendation to
award an Honorary Doctor of Humanities
to Nikky Finney. So the first motion is
to award Professor Finney the honorary
degree. Any questions of fact regarding
that recommendation? Any questions?
Okay. So we have a motion, then, from
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the committee to approve Nikky Finney as
a recipient of an honorary degree, for
submission through the President to the
Board of Trustees. Any debate on that
motion? All those in Favor? Any
opposed? Any abstaining? Okay, that
motion passes.

And then the next specific
recommendation is the Honorary Doctor of
Humanities, the honorary award to which
she would be given, which is recognize
extraordinary accomplishments in the
humanities. Any questions of fact
regarding that recommendation? And
we've got a recommendation from the
committee, a motion that the elected
faculty senators approve the granting of
an Honorary Doctor of Humanities to
Nikky Finney for submission through the
President to the Board of Trustees. Any
debate on that motion? Any debate? All
those in favor, please raise your hands.

Any opposed? Any abstaining? Okay,
motion passes. Great. Thank you all.

Again, a reminder, quickly, that those
names are embargoed for the next couple
of months, but very exciting, I think.
That’s a great moment. So thank you, Morris. Thanks very much.

GRUBBS: Thank you.

BIRD-POLLAN: Our next committee report comes from Zack Bray with the Senate’s Academic Organization and Structure Committee.

BRAY: This is the first of two proposed name changes from this committee today. The request comes from the Department of Early Childhood, Special Education and Rehabilitation Counseling. Ralph Crystal is here with us today. The name changes to the Department of Early Childhood, Special Education and Counselor Education. There are many reasons for this change. They’re set forth in the proposal that Ralph sent to us, which you all should have. If there are questions, I’m sure Ralph can answer them much better than I can.

But to continue with education for Early Childhood the department offers is a logical continuum, but the degrees and
course offerings in all three areas listed in the proposed name change are separate, and students in each academic area have their core academic requirements in their area of study without much overlap. And so this is a change they’re asking for lots of reasons, but the vote at the committee level was six in favor, one opposed and three abstaining. And then it was unanimous in Senate Council, I believe.

BIRD-POLLAN: So we have some representatives from the department here, including the Chair of the department, to answer any questions you might have. Are there any questions of fact regarding this proposal? Any questions of fact? Okay. Then we’ve got a motion from the committee that the Senate endorse the proposed name change of the Department of Early Childhood, Special Education and Rehabilitation Counseling to the

BRAY: Then the next proposal, which also has come through SAOS -- I’m sorry -- is the proposed name change of the College of Law. The College of Law seeks to change its name to the University of Kentucky J. David Rosenberg College of Law. The reasons for the proposal are set forth in the proposals from Dean Davis, and the vote of the committee was eight in favor, none opposed, two abstaining, and it was also unanimous at the Senate Council.

BIRD-POLLAN: So, again, Dean Davis is here from the College of Law to answer any questions. Are there any factual
questions about his proposal?

BIRD-POLLAN: Is there a need to --

VASIL: Say your name.

VASIL: Oh, Martina. College of Fine Arts, Martina Vasil. Is there a need to have University of Kentucky in the title?

BIRD-POLLAN: You want to speak to this?

BRAY: Sure. The Dean may or may not want to speak to this, too. The answer is, yes, this is something the committee considered and the Senate Council has considered as well. But it was important, both to the faculty of the College of Law, and to -- from what I understand, Mr. Rosenberg, in reasons set forth in the proposal.

BIRD-POLLAN: Dean Davis, do you want to say anything to that?

DAVIS: Well, actually, that’s right what Chair Bray has mentioned. The reason
the -- the goal was to ensure perpetuity
to naming of the college, and that the
University of Kentucky, in perpetuity,
precede the donor’s name. That was
important to us. It’s important to the
donor.

BIRD-POLLAN: Any factual questions? Yeah?
DEBSKI: I was just going to say --
BIRD-POLLAN: Do you want to say your name?
DEBSKI: Liz Debski. Sorry. ANS. So there

is precedent for -- so there are a
number of other law colleges that have
the university in the title, with the
name of the donor to follow.

BIRD-POLLAN: Other questions? Alan, do you have
a question?
BROWN: Yeah, Alan Brown, Arts and Sciences.
Just curious -- obviously, that’s the
donor’s name when I saw the press
release. Is there precedent for what
level of donation it needs to be to have
your name -- that seems like a stupid
question, but at what point does someone

tip the scales over into it being --

having the college named after them? I
don’t know if that was --

BIRD-POLLAN: The Provost has --

BLACKWELL: Sure. Can I use the mic?

BIRD-POLLAN: Sure.

BROWN: And, finally, the second part of the

question, is there full disclosure as

far as what the donor has asked that the

university or the college do, the

college and whatnot.

BIRD-POLLAN: Do you mean the -- what the gift

agreement says?

BROWN: Yeah.

BIRD-POLLAN: Because that’s in the proposal. You

saw the gift agreement in the proposal.

And I think everything is included in

the gift agreement.

BLACKWELL: That’s correct. And, Mary, you

might want to address that specifically.
I’ll address the part about the naming guidelines. And, again, pardon my voice. So the -- these are guidelines.

So the university uses a goal of increasing a college’s operating budget by 10 percent based on income from the endowment. That’s a goal. That being said, there are other considerations, so benchmarking internally for naming opportunities, or have them internally. Or benchmarking other naming opportunities that happen in colleges of law at similar institutions. And so all of that goes into it, so it’s ultimately, you know, a number of factors. But, you know, one of the factors is, you know, whether we have donors with sufficient capacity to provide that level of support. So I think all of those were considered in this gift agreement.

So could you mention the other part
of the question so that I can ans it
with the other part of the question?
BROWN: Oh, it’s probably just me not
reading the whole thing. I was just
curious --
DAVIS: I’m happy to do that.
BROWN: -- what connections were attached to
when the donor gives, I think, 20
million, right --
DAVIS: That’s right.
BROWN: You know, what are they able to
stipulate, as far as the direction of
the college, the curriculum, the
structure, et cetera.
DAVIS: Right. So the donor has specific
intent to enhance intellectual
excellence and promise. He has attached
no strings to that. He has suggested
that we have a range. We provide
student scholarships, faculty
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professorships and programs. And beyond
that, there is simply a mechanism by which the college leadership and faculty identify the scholarships and the professorships and the programs that enhance intellectual excellence and promise. There have been no other substantive or programmatic suggestions by the donor. In fact, he has issued that.

BIRD-POLLAN: Other factual questions about this proposal? Yeah?

MR. JONES: Davy Jones, College of Medicine. I’ve got a question. I’ll masquerade it as a question of fact.

BIRD-POLLAN: Okay.

MR. JONES: So I believe this is the first educational unit at UK. It’s going to have the words University of Kentucky as part of the name of the educational unit. And the proposal talked about some reasons of merit: Rich tradition; relationship with the community. And so there are a number of colleges that are as old as the College of Law and have
the same kind of rich tradition. Just

for the future, not for right now, but I

know that the Senate has its arms around

-- what were the other occasions in

which we would entertain putting the

words University of Kentucky in the name

of their tradition. Is it only

debatable to colleges? How about

University of Kentucky Department of

Toxicology? I kind of ask rhetorically

that, Zack, maybe your committee

discusses -- things like this may come

up again in the future, and if we can

have thought that kind of thing

through...

BRAY: Yeah. I will say our conversation

about the governing regulation and the

University of Kentucky at issue focused

on the College of Law. We were aware of

the other issues, but we were not -- we

didn’t offer any advisory opinions about

this for other schools. So I just --
23 you know, I don’t recall it coming up specifically at Senate Council, I don’t think. So, you know, I mean --
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1 JONES: I know you don’t have enough on your plate, so could you add this?

3 BRAY: Yeah. I mean, I think -- I will say -- you know, Liz’s question was well-taken, because there was some discussion at committee level of these kinds of issues with respect to the proposal before us. But with respect to what other kinds of factors would be relevant to other departments, we didn’t think about it. We didn’t note the variation that exists already, and the relevant governing regulations between the names that exist already. So which ones have college, for example, and which ones don’t. And we talked about this in the context of the proposal before, but I think it’s something that, speaking only
for myself, would be happy for the committee to consider if that’s something that you want to do.

BLACKWELL: I’ll just add this, not necessarily from my perspective as Provost, but I’ve been a leader or a faculty member in three different colleges that have names on them in my career. And I know in one case, the name of the college really overshadowed the name of the university. And then at my prior institution before coming here, I had an actually big debate with my Dean over how to feature the name of the donor, whose name was on the college, versus the name of the university when the college didn’t really have an international reputation separate from the university. And so by having the name of the university first, and linked to the name, it basically avoids any future controversy about how the college is branded. And that could
be an unintended consequence of this.
That’s just -- not from my perspective
of a Provost. To be clear, this is a
faculty member.

BIRD-POLLAN: Any other factual questions. Yeah?
UDVARDY: Monica Udvardy, Arts and Sciences.
So I think you said in perpetuity; so
what happens 30, 40 years from now and
we need a new College of Law and
somebody else donates a new building?
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BIRD-POLLAN: Well, just to be clear, this isn’t
the name of the building. It’s the name
of the college.

DAVIS: So when a donor provides funds that
are in perpetuity, that will probably
mean that someone else will be standing
here in front of another group of
senators to explore whether or not the
name should be changed from the current
name to something else. It also means
that there will have been something that
happened under the terms of that agreement that caused this particular gift to have to be considered as to whether or not it should continue. And there are opportunities for changes like that built into the agreements of this university if something untoward would happen, which we do not anticipate. But in a way that life rolls on and teachers do what teachers do, we thought that now, for this college, for our faculty, for this university, this was the right name. Will it last for a hundred years? Perpetuity is perpetuity, and changes happen, and there are many things that apply which changes in the future will be made or they won’t be made. So we can’t predict, but we anticipate that this name will last, as long as the good will and the good fortune of the College of Law are benefitted by J. David Rosenberg.
Any other factual questions about this proposal? Any questions? Okay. Well, then, we have a motion from the committee that the Senate endorse the proposed name change of the College of Law to the University of Kentucky J. David Rosenberg College of Law. And just to be clear, this -- both of these name changes would then go on to the Board of Trustees in their meeting tomorrow. So any debate on that motion? Any debate on the motion? Okay. All those in favor, please raise your hands. Any opposed? Any abstaining? One abstained. Okay, motion passes. Thank you.

Thank you.
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Next is the Senate’s Academic Programs Committee, and the Chair of the committee recused himself for these first two proposals, so Leslie Vincent,
member of that committee, is here to make those presentations.

VINCENT: So this is a recommendation from the Senate and Academic Programs Committee to -- that the University Senate approved for submission to the Board of Trustees the establishment of a new Master’s in Computer Engineering to be housed in the Department of Computer Science in the College of Engineering. The proposed program will provide an advanced degree in the area of Computer Engineering, an area which already has a successful undergraduate program and successful faculty research. The field of Computer Engineering integrates expertise for both Electrical Engineering and Computer Science. So the program would include faculty from both the ECE and CS departments, working collaboratively with the program being housed in the Department of Computer Science.
Science. The proposed program will support the College of Engineering’s mission, and further enhance their ability to pursue its top-50 vision of being internationally recognize and ranked as one of the top 50 colleges of Engineering in the United States. There is demand and salaries to respond to this demand in pure Engineering. They continue to be strong, and expected enrollment of 10 to 20 new students per year is anticipated. So the statement from the SAPC Committee, we considered the required collaboration between the Departments of the ECE and CS extensively as indicated within the proposal.

Throughout the review process, the committee asked for a formal memorandum of understanding between the departments. Furthermore, we asked the proposer to document faculty support of the memorandum of understanding developed, and the response is that
The faculty had not voted on this memorandum of understanding.

There was concern among the SAPC regarding potential for different levels of faculty support for both departments. The proposal was evaluated based on SAPC's charge to consider programs based on academic excellence, need and impact, desirability and priority of the new academic program in relation to other programs. And its recommendation was to approve the program based on a vote of two in favor, one opposed, and three abstaining. Since this vote, the ECE Department has since met to discuss and revote to affirm their support for the proposed program. Out of 29 graduate faculty, 27 voted and the Master’s of Science proposal in Computer Engineering was approved with a vote of 18 in favor, 7 opposed and 2 abstained.
So we do have some people from both Computer Science and Electrical Computer Engineering here, as well as from the College of Engineering. Are there questions of fact regarding this proposal? Any questions of fact for either the faculty or Leslie? Okay.

So, then, we have a motion from the committee that the Senate approved for submission to the Board of Trustees. The establishment of the new MS in Computer Engineering and the Department of Computer of Science and the College of Engineering, any debate on that motion? All right, then. All those in favor, please raise your hands. Any opposed? Any abstaining? One, two, three, four, five abstaining. Motion passes.

So the second motion I’m presenting today is for the PhD program in Computer Engineering. So similar background of
the proposed program would be a collaboration between both the ECE and the Computer Science Departments for this PhD program where you would integrate and include faculty across both departments, but it would be housed in the Department of Computer Science as well. Demand is expected to be ten new students per year. And so, again, a statement of the SAPC would consider the required collaboration between the departments as indicated in the proposal. There was, again, concern regarding the potential for different levels of faculty, commitment and support from both departments, and so the proposal was evaluated based on the SAPC’s charge and was approved based on a vote of two in favor, one opposed, and three abstaining.

Since that vote, the ECE Department
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has since met to discuss and revote to
affirm support, and the proposal for PhD
program was approved by the ECE faculty
by a vote of 19 in favor, 7 opposed, and
1 abstained.

BIRD-POLLAN: Again, we have representatives of
the college and departments here, in
addition to Leslie as the representative
of SAPC. Any factual questions about
this proposal? Then we have a motion
from the committee that the Senate
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approved for submission to the Board of
Trustees the establishment of a new PhD
in Computer Engineering and the
Department of Computer Science and the
College of Engineering. Any debate on
that motion? All those in favor, raise
your hands. Any opposed? Any
abstaining? One, two, three, four, five
abstaining. Motion passes. Thank you,
Leslie.

I think our Chair of the SAPC and
Page 52
Hi. This is a recommendation that the University Senate approved for submission to the Board of Trustees the establishment of a new DSW degree, Social Work and the College of Social Work. The proposed Doctorate of Social Work is an advanced professional practice doctoral degree. The DSW is emerging nationally because of terminal practice doctoral degrees for social work. It’s now research-focused. It's not research-focused like the college’s existing program. Steadily, products are embedded within the course work, and students will be able to understand and evaluate research as it relates to advanced practice.

On average, DSWs make approximately $20,000 more per year than MSWs, so the program will also create specific
advancements, advanced opportunities for its graduates. The program, which will be primarily offered online, consists of 42 hours, 33 of which are core curriculum, and 9 of which are in an identified concentration, either administrative leadership, clinical social work, or military behavioral health. An initial enrollment of 12 students growing to 30 students is anticipated.

BIRD-POLLAN: And we have representatives from the College of Social Work here, including the Dean of the college. Are there any questions of fact regarding this proposal?

JONES: Davy Jones, College of Medicine. Just noting something in the record here. CPE recognizes two kinds of professional doctorate degrees. One requires an M.S., but one does not. Up until now, all of our professional
5 degrees have been one that does not
6 require an M.S. I believe this is the
7 first one for UK, but is this other
8 category a professional doctorate?
9 BIRD-POLLAN: What will we do when he’s not
10 available? You do a lot. Any factual
11 questions? So, then, we have a motion
12 from the committee that the Senate
13 approved for submission to the Board of
14 Trustees the establishment of a new DSW
15 in Social Work and the College of Social
16 Work. Any debate on that motion? Any
17 debate? All those in favor, please
18 raise your hands. Any opposed? Any
19 abstaining? One abstaining. Motion
20 passes. Thank you.
21 Our next committee report is from
22 Chris Pool, Chair of the Senate’s
23 Academic Facilities Committee.
24 POOL: Yeah. So last January, I think it
25 was, the committees were asked to review
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their charges, the scope of their charges. And so we met last spring, and
the Academic Facilities Committee discussed this very long charge that we
have and decided that the points listed as to what the committee -- the way the
SAFC should act adequately covered everything. So we really didn’t need the sentence which reads, in this regard it shall be concerned about such matters as classrooms, buildings and grounds, shops and other such real property, audio/visual and television equipment, computers, duplication of printing facilities, vehicle fuels and scientific and musical instruments.

Part of the reason for our decision was that the Senate has a new committee, the Technology Committee, which overlaps with this charge. And it was hard for us to imagine an occasion in which the music school, the School of Fine Arts, would ask our opinion on the musical instruments they require. And so given
that the scope was kind of both too broad and too specific about things that were covered, we recommend striking that sentence.

The last point of the charges says that the SAPC shall act to use renovation and need for space, including classrooms and equipment relevant to academic programs and functions.

BIRD-POLLAN: So we've got a recommendation from the committee to change the Senate Rules by striking a sentence from the committee’s charge. Any questions of fact regarding that recommendation?

BRADY: Yes, Christian Brady, Lewis Honors College. You probably just answered, but are there any other committees that this overlaps with, or is it primarily the technology --

POOL: Primarily the technology.

BRADY: Thank you.

BIRD-POLLAN: Any other factual questions?
DEBSKI: Liz Debski, ANS. So in the part that you’ve read, so the disposition of scientific equipment and faculty leave or retire or something like that was under the purview of this committee?

POOL: Well, it’s under the purview of the committee to the extent it’s relevant as the program functions, but the committee asked to provide recommendations to the Senate and through the Senate to the administration. And in order for the administration also to request its help. This doesn’t happen very often, and so conceivably the committee could be asked for such recommendations, but it doesn’t happen.

DEBSKI: It could be without -- with this part struck out as well, or is that not covered elsewhere?

POOL: I think as long as it is equipment, yeah, that’s it’s use renovation and
BIRD-POLLAN: Yes?

FARRELL: Herman Farrell, College of Fine Arts. So just to clarify, maybe sort of sum up what you just said, you’re not asking us to remove the kind of request of equipment from this Senate; we will address changing the definition of the equipment? Is that a summary?

POOL: Yeah. So what we’re doing is, this seems to be a case where over time things were added to the charge, some of which are not particularly relevant at this point. For example, televisions. I mean, I’m sure there’s a time at which the university was deciding about what kinds of televisions to have and that sort of thing, and might have sought out Senate approval. Well, I don’t know that as fact. Similarly, with computer equipment, since we have the Technology
Committee, it seems redundant. And so as things and time goes on, it’s probably better to kind of broadly say the nature of things that we will consider not to be quite so specific.

FARRELL: Thank you.

BIRD-POLLAN: So I put that up there so you can see the parameters of what Chris is talking about. That specific list of references is being deleted, but the general charges are there. Yeah?
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DEBSKI: Liz Debski, ANS. So did your committee just consider striking out the parts that you think are no longer relevant? So the visual and television equipment instead of getting rid of the whole thing?

POOL: Well, we did consider that, but in our discussion it was pointed out that that final point covers all of those things, and so it’s really unnecessary.
I mean, the sentence, you know, will deal with all of that stuff, but then there could be other kind of facilities that might come up, I suppose, that would not be included. And so why be so specific about such things as musical instruments?

BROWN: Alan Brown, Arts and Sciences. So, yeah, it seems like you’re -- it sounds like what you’re saying is it’s almost counterproductive to put so many things there because you’re given an exhaustive list no matter what you prepare, and so then it becomes this makes it seem like we’re going to have our fingers in all those other ones that we maybe aren’t aware of or haven’t listed.

POOL: Precisely.

BIRD-POLLAN: Any other factual questions? So, then, if we go back to the slides, we’ve got -- so then we have a motion from the committee that the Senate approves

POOL: So also kind of coming up very soon next semester, the committee would like to poll the Senate on what it sees as kind of critical needs with regard to facilities, and we’re working on the wording of that, which we’ll bring to the Senate for approval before.
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BIRD-POLLAN: So keep our eyes out, since we’re all into surveys now. And I’ll just thanks very much to Chris and to the
committee for doing this work of reconsidering the work of the committee. This was a discussion we had at the committee on committees last January. And I would like -- I would plan to call another meeting of that group, which is just the Chairs of all the Senate Committees, because I think it’s useful for us to at least check in once a year, and it’s great for the committees to sort of think about the work they’re doing and whether there are more efficient ways to do it or other ways to do it. So we’ll hopefully see more of that coming forward.

Are there any items from the floor?

It’s only 4:00, you guys. So I will take a motion to adjourn. Your name?

FORREN: Jan Forren, College of Nursing.
BROWN: I second.
BIRD-POLLAN: Second from Alan Brown.
BROWN: Alan Brown, Arts and Sciences.
BIRD-POLLAN: Anyone in favor, raise your hands.

Oh, look at that. Opposed? Abstaining?

Have a very happy holiday and I’ll see you all in January.
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